REF ID: A272888

Code message not an "art"

(C.C.N.Y. 1911). The Berardini patent No. 889,094, for a code message, claims 1 to 6, held invalid, the subject-matter thereof not falling within any of the statutory classes of invention. Claim 4 reads as follows: "A code messa e comprising a series of elements, the number of which is a multiple of the number of elements constituting a code unit, each code unit consisting of two portions, one of which indicates the value or amount of the order, while the other is a record mark identifying the parties to the transaction, the message also including means indicating the value of the elements representing the amounts of the orders. " Held that if the invention falls within any of the statutory classes of invention it must be an "art" . On this point the court said: If, therefore, this patent be construed as not merely for a thing called a "code message, " but for a system of transmitting code messages, for a process or me hod of cable communication in cipher, the question arises whether such a process or such an art is patentable upon the evidence. * * * No particular code message can be produced which in every exemplar thereof is the single subject of this patent. Indeed, the claims are misnomers. The patent is not intended to be for a code message, in the sense that patents have been granted for books of a peculiar kind. The patent is really for a system of devising code messages. and as such it is obnoxious. The patent is really for advice. It is for an art only in the sense that one speaks of the art of painting, or the art of curving the thrown baseball. Such arts, however ingenious, difficult, or amusing, are not patentable within any statute of the U.S. Berardini v. Tocci. 190 F. 329.

3660-U (7677) 4th Quar., 1911, Copyright 1912 by Card Digests Co

Approved for Release by NSA on 09-13-2013 pursuant to E.O. 13526