A58-1-B | Ø21 R1 | 177

Declassified and approved for release by NSA on 04-08-2010 pursuant to E.O. 12958, as amended. ST56834

U.S. T. ICAL CONFERENCE MINUTE

COPY NO. 37

# TOP SECRET

CREAM

R1/127a

Emery from GIDS MY E. G. 12005 3-403 B.

SAME 98005(9

Reversed: Solver to Inches de Constitution S. C. S.

TOTAL SOLVER NO. 20 Sep 1878.

Jun 1500 WILTER, 20 Sep 1878.

43 P. F.

DOCUMENT REPUBLIED
Date Signature
IGMANTS.pur Ogas

R15941

A52-17 R1/127a

1- 2

4

# OF U.S. - BRITISH BIGNAL INTELLIGENCE TRUBBLOAL CONFERENCE bold at 33 Grosvenor Square on Monday, March 11th 1946, at 1700

PRESENT

Major General Sir Stewart G. Mensics Chairman

Brig.General W.P.Corderman Captain J.M.Wenger Captain W.P.Smedberg Captain L.S.Howeth Captain E.S.L.Goodwin Commander E.Knopper Commander G.C.Wanson Ensign F.Webb

Colonel B. W. Heokemeyer Colomil P.B. Rowlett Captain P.J. Patton Major L.P. Stone Captain C. Collins Lieut. H. Schmidt

Str. E. R. Hudaleson Mr. E. Christopher Sir Edward Travis

Brigadier J.Tiltman Captain B.Hastings

Colonel P.Marr-Johnson Commander C.Lochnia

Er-L.J. Hooper A

1. The Chairman welcoming the U.S. Delegates, said that this was a happy moment for the London Sigint Board and for all the other. friends of the U.S. Delegates, - He hoped that those Delegates who had been here before would be lappy to be back and that those who were making their first visit would make new friends and wish to return.

**建建设**企业上流,中心产生

2. The present moment, he continued, was one in which it was difficult to exaggerat: the seriousness of the situation. Consequently the tasks confronting the Conference were argent.

3. The Chairman then excessed the importance of the U.S. British Communication Intelligence Agreement both in ensuring cooperation
in the Signit field art in its affect in communing the relations between
the two contries generally

The Chairman estured the U.S. Delegates that the London Signat Board would at all times do its utmost to carry out the letter and spring of the Agreement. It wistakes should implyer tently occur, he hoped that STANCIB would not besitate to point them out immediately to the Jondon Signat Board as he could assure the U.S. delegation that they would be unintended and the earlier they were pointed out the better.

4. He said that the colory governing the cooperation of the two countries in the field of Communication Intelligence had usen settled by the Agreement and that the present Conference was therefore entirely technical in its objects.

5. Its fire ' bjec was to implement the Agreement. We had to ensure that both sides obtain a the resumm results from the available

OGA EO 1.4.(d) RIT944

peacetime staffs as they would necessarily be limited. It was hoped that we could achieve this object by a suitable division of labour and the state of the state of the state of by avoiding duplication.

- 6. The Chairman next turned to the Security aspect of Communication Intelligence work, and said that its importance could not be exaggerated Lessons had been learned in both countries and he was certain that, on both sides of the Atlantic, every effort would be made to resist the pressure to divulge our information. He hoped that the U.S. and British authorities responsible for the maintenance of security would be able to help each other in resisting this pressure.
- 7. Referring to the Commonwealth Conference Just concluded, the Chairman reported that this had been highly successful. The terms of the U.S. British Communication Intelligence agreement had been explained to the Dominion Representatives, in so far as they were affected, and had been accepted by them. The Dominions had also agreed to abide by Joint Security Regulations to be issued from London after they had been agreed between STANCIB and the London Sigint Board. They had been agreed between STANCIB and the London Sigint Board. They had been agreed between STANCIB and the London Sigint Board. They had been agreed between the Component the Component the Component the Component the Component that the Chairman pointed out that they had been a special to the U.S.
- mendations of the Componental Conference were available for the U.S. Delegates, but the Chairman pointed out that they were still subject to ratification by the Dominion Governments concerned.

  8. \*\*General Cordennan expressed the gratitude of the U.S.Delegation for the wordial reception they had received and said that STANCIB also converted the U.S. British Communication antelligence Agreement to be of gery great importance:

  9. He added that STANCIB considered it to be a point of special importance that effective communications should be available, as without them our ability to collaborate will be greatly limited and duplication could not be avoided. This referred both to communications between Centres and between the Centre and the interception stations.
  - 10. Short behalf of STANCIB, he assured the meeting that every effort would be made to implement the U.S. British Agreement and reiterated the Chairman's point that, if it appeared that the U.S.A. was failing to do so, it would be due to some oversight to which it was hoped that the British would immediately draw the attention of STANCIB.
- He next montioned the Security question and stated that STANUIB was fully aware of its overriding importance.
- 12. Captain Fenger stated that General Cordinan, as the head of the U.S. Delegation, here fully expossed the point of view of the U.S. Navy who felt that war time of aboundion with the British had been successful and beneficial to both parties.
- The Chairman supported General Cordeman's remarks, stiersing on a again the importance of communications, and suggested that he and General Corderman should discuss certain Security points separately at a later date.
- The Chairman then invited General Cordernan to be the Chairman of the Conference. General Cordorman said he much appreciated the honour afforded to him and the U.S. Delegation by the suggestion, but he felt that a British Chairman would be more suitab c, as he would be more familiar with whatever procedure would be adopted for the Conference. He would therefore prefer to decline the offer. The Chairman therefore suggested that Captain Hastings should take the Chair and this was seconded by General Cordermon
- Sir Edward Travis suggested that the next meeting should take place at 1015 on Tuesday, 12th March, and this was agreed.
- 16. The Chairman, in conclusion, said that he was confindent that a great deal will be accomplished in the next fortnight and that the conference would mark an important milestone in Sigin, collaboration between the two countries.

#### MINUTES OF PLENARY MEETING

## held at 33 Grosvenor Sonare on Tuesday, March 12th 1946 at 1015

#### PRESENT

Captain E.G. Bastings (Chairman)

Sir Edward Travis, Brig.General W.P. Corderman. Brigadier J. Tiltman, Gaptain (S) D. A. Captain J.N. Wonger, Captain W.R. Smodberg. Captain (S) D.A. Wilson Captain L.S. Howeth, .. Captain E.S.L. Goodwin, Commander E. Knepper, Mr L.J.Rooper, Colonel B. W. Heckemeyer, Colonel B. Rowlett, Captain P. J. Patton, Colonel P.Marr-Johnson, Commander C. Lockuns Major L.P.Stone, Captain Collins, Lieut. H. Schmidt,

Mr E. E. Huddleson, Mr E. Christopher.

Commander Mansen, Secretaries.

#### EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

- 1. No amendment was made to the membership of the Executive Committee, as listed in the Agenda for the Meeting.
- 2. It was later decided that the general problem of liaison would be the responsibility of the Executive Committee.

  All Subcommittees would be instructed to present their recommendations on this subject to the Executive Committee for consideration.

#### CO-OPTING OF MEABERS TO EXECUTIVE COMPITTEE AND TO SUB-COMPITEES.

3. Sir Edward Travis suggested that the Executive Committee and the Sub-Committees should be free to co-opt additional members as needed. This was agreed.

#### SUB COMMITTEE "A".

40 The Membership and Agenda were agreed as presented.

#### SUB COMMITTEE "B".

- 5. General Corderman questioned the sense of item 2 of the Agenda and after some discussion it was agreed to amend this to read:
  - (2) Statement of general principles and priorities of collaboration.

#### SUB COMMTTRE "Q".

- 6. General Condernan requested that Major Stone be added to the personent nembership of the Sub-Countities and this was agreed.
- 7. On the examption that exchanges to be considered by than Sub-Commuttee mere technical only, Captain Subdorg asked to be released from permanent membership. His assumption was confirmed and his request exceed. Captain Goodwir undertook to ensure that he be called in more necessary.
- Sub-Committee "O" Captain Wenger said that it was essential that the nature of the Intelligence to be discussed by this Sub-Committee should be closely defined. The Chairman said that the British practice was to make no Intelligence appreciations for outside circulation but that such appreciations were undertaken for internal use. Be considered that, if U.S. practice was the same, an embange of appreciations made for internal use should be effected. Sir Edward Travis added that the responsibility for Intelligence appreciation belonged to the Ministries in the U.K. and that therefore the embange of appreciations for internal use could only take place if their circulation were limited to the Signal Intelligence agencies. General Corderman and Captain Smedberg agreed to these remarks stating that U.S. practice was identical. Captain Wenger therefore asked what was meant by reports. Sir Edward Travis replied that summaries were intended and after further discussion it was agreed that item 2 of the Agenda should be altered to tead:

"Exchange of original torts, translations, comments and summeries, individual or collective, based on Sight alone."

Bir Edward Travis added that one of the objects of the Sub Committee should be to decide what material should be exchanged, as it was desirable to avoid unnecessary exchange while at the same time ensuring that each side received all it required. He hoped all agencies would be quick to say when material was unnecessary.

#### SUB CCALIFFEE "D".

The Membership was agreed, as presented.

10. The nature and Agends of this Sub Committee, however, evoked general discussion. The sonse of para 2 of the Agenda was questioned by Mr Huddleson, and after some exchange of views it was agreed that all dissemination problems would be covered by item 1, provided the phraseology of this item was suitably changed. Item 1 was therefore charged to read;

"Establishment of joint U.S. - British Security Principles."

Item 2 was then deleted.

#### SUB COLOUTTER "B".

- 11. The membership was agreed, as presented.
- 12 <u>General Cordormon</u> questioned whether the scope of this Sub-Committee was limited to the discussion of Communications between the Centres. <u>Sir Edward Travis replied that the intention was that all categories of Sigint communications should be considered.</u>
- 13. At Captain Homoth's suggestion, para 3 of the Agenda was modified to read:

"Tolecommunications available and required"

and pure 4 was thereupon deleted.

L. At Osptain (a) Wilson's request a new para 4 was saided to

Oryptographic Alda.

#### FIRST MIETINGS OF SUB-COMMITTEES.

15. It was decided that

"A" would meet at 1100, 15th Merch,

B° 14,50 12th Berch,

Q v I a a pro-

~~

~~\*

53. Question 1. 12. 200

denden, a

Tuesday, 12th Barah.

Chairman of Exceptive Committee. Chairman, Sub-Committee A.

" B.
" C.
" D.

Secretaries, Executive Committee,

7. Attracted is the confirmed statement of the numbership of the Executive Committee and of the membership and Agenda of the Sub-Committees, reculting from this morning's plenary meeting.

#### 2. Linison.

Requirements regarding liaison should be considered by each subcommittee in connection with its sgends and recommendations on this subject should form a separate report to be forwarded to the Executive Committee.

### 3. Stendardiestion.

Requirements regarding standardisation of nomenclature and procedure should be considered by each sub-consisted in connection with its agenda and recommendations on this subject should be included in reports forwarded to the Executive Committee.

### 4. Reports required by the Executive Committee

Minutes of each sub-committee meeting previously suproved by the Chalman should be forwarded by the Secretary concerned to the Secretaries of the Executive Committee, it reseases in draft form. If in draft form they should be received in time to be typed by the Secretariat by moon of the day after the meeting in question. If typed before handing in to the Secretaries of the Executive Committee, a copies should be handed in.

- 5. When full minutes cannot be prepared in there, a brief progress report should be submitted.
- 6. In addition to the recys intowin reports, a finel report will required from each sub-committee. Final reports from sub-committees should be framed as recommendations to the Executive Scriftee in such a four that they can be included as agreed appendices to the U.S. British Communications Intelligence agreement. Sub-consisters should also forward if necessary, reports covering any subjects on their agenda which need special consideration by either STANCIB or the London Sigint Board but which would not be suitable as expendices to the Agreement.

### 7. Arrangements for Sub-Commission Meetings,

The Scoretary of each exp-condition encode keep the Scoretard informed in advance of arrangements for continge, in order that robust may be allotted and conflicts in personal schedules applied?

Scoreterios.

1.12

Captain E.G. Hastings, P.N. S.

Brigadier General Corde, man, U.S. Army,
Captain Wenger, U.S.N.,
Captain Smadberg, U.S.N.,
Colonel Hocksmoyer, U.S. Army,
Dr Huddleson, British Sir Edward Travis Commander Lochnis, R.N.

Heads of Groups as necessary.

Scoretaries Commender Menson, U.S.N.R.

Mc Hinsley.

#### TOP SECRET COLAC

#### SUB COMMITTEE A.

## (COL'ECTION AND EXCHANGE OF RAN MATERIAL AND CO-OPERATION IN TRAFFIC

	V
Chalina	
* Monbers	P. S. Captain Goodein, U.S. N.
(	Captain Patton, U.S. Army
4 74 39	
* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	British Way
ه را د	Secretary 3
4	
	ACCOUNT OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
	Things it we no it sit is the corn no street to the to oping the street of the street
	The state of the s
	ALCO TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY
A.C.	Regular exchange of this information thereafter to be
Se First	
AS C	Exphange of statements on tasks currently intercopted and search currently undertaken.
Till The St.	A THE TOTAL OF THE PARTY OF THE
40	Agreement on suitable division of interception tasks and of search programme.
	,
_	
5.	Exchange of reports, frequency information and General Search results.
, ,	
6.	Standardisation of frequency notation system and of other procedures,
`` _ ^	omor brocomment
7	Embange of Raw Material.

Standardisation of New Material Formet.

#### THE SECTION CREAM

SUB COLOUTTEE B.

## (CRYPTANALYSIS)

Chairman.

Colonel Rowlett, U.S. Army.

Members

Commander Knepper, U.S.R., Captain Collins, U.S.Arry.

British.

Brigadier Tiltman, we's

Secretary.

Lt. Colonel Evans.

#### AGENDA.

Exchange of lists of all tasks undertaken at present with soals of effort involved and of outstanding tasks not at present worked one

Statement of general principles and priorities of collaboration.

OGA

EO 1.4.(c)

EO 1.4.(d)

TOP SECRET OREAM.

#### SUB COMMITTEE C.

#### TRANSLATION, INTELLIGENCE AND COLLATERAL INFORMATION.

Chairman. Captain (S) D.A. Wilson, R.N.,

Members. U.S. Captain Goodwin, U.S.N.,
Colonel Rowlett, N.S. Army
Major Store, U.S. Army.

British. Mr Hooper.

- lo Division of labour in translation,
- Exchange of original texts, translations, coments and summaries, individual or collective based on Sigint alone.
- 3. Standardisation of Translations.
- 4. Exchange of Collateral Material.

Chairman;	( <b>)</b>	Mr Huddleson.
Monbors.	<u>v.s.</u>	Captain Smedberg, U.S.N., Colonel Heckemeyer, U.S.Army,
	British:	
, traili		Commander Locknis, R.N., Mr Hooper.
ria kating	Scoretary.	
		ACERDA
	21 x 25 7 15	

(COTINICATIONS)

Chairman: Captain Howeth, U.S. N.

Members: U.S. Captain Patton, U.S. Army.

LIVE Air Mail and sea bag routes available scross the Atlantic by each country.

2. Becurity of routes.

- Telecommunications evailable and required.
- Cryptographic Aids,

TOP SHORES CREAK

# CONFIRMED MINUTES OF FIRST MEETING OF EXCOUTIVE COMMITTEE.

held a.m. Tuesday, 12th March, 1946

#### PRESENT:

Captain E. Hasings

Brigadier General Cordensan

Sir Edward Travis

Captain Wenger Captain Smedburg Commander Lochnis

Colonel Heckemeyer

Mr. Huddleson.

Secretaries Commander G.C.Manson Mr. F.H.Hinsley.

与统。保持。但解除的主管中,为自己

Procedure to be adopted by Sub-Committees.

- 1. The Chairman asked for views on this matter.
- 2. General Corderman suggested that each sub-committee should present an oral or written report to the Executive Committee at least every other day
- 3. After discussion this proposal was modified and it was agreed that the Secretaries should issue the necessary instructions. (See attached sheet of instructions to Stb-Committees.)

#### Completion date

- 4. Captain Wenger asked whether the Committee should set a target data for the end of the Conference.
- 5. After some discussion, it was agreed to discuss this matter further on Friday, but meanwhile to consider that roughly two wooks would be taken.

#### Format of Reports from Sub- Committees.

- 6. It was agreed that final reports from the sub-committees should be in the form of recommendations to the Executive Opmnittee which should be so drafted as to be easily transformed into appendices to the basic Agreement.
- 7. Sir Edward Travis pointed out that not all the results of the discussions would recessarily be suitable for inclusion in the basic Agreement as Appendices and that, in any case, he would like to have a report drawn up for the consideration of the London Sigint Board apart from any Appendices that were written.

8. It was agreed that instructions should be issued to the Chairman of the sub-committees on these lines forthwith.

Next Meeting

9. It was agreed that the Executive Committee should meet next at 1500, Thursday 11th March, in the Main Committee Room.

# CONFIRMED EXPLORED OF SECOND MEETING OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, HELD AT 1500, MARCH 14TH, 1946

COLLITTEE

#### PRESENT:

Captain E.G. Hastings.

(Chairman)

Brigadier General Corderman.

Sir Edward Travis

Captain Wenger. Captain Saedberg.

Brigadior Tiltaun. Commander Lochnis.

Colonel Heckemeyer.

Mr. Huddleson.

(Sepretaries)

Commander Monson. Mr. Hinsley.

The Chairman opened the meeting by bringing up for discussion the question of Mr. Cimperman's semborship in the U.S. Delegation to the Conferences. Mr. Cimperman's bona fides were vouched for by General Cordarman, who referred to instructions from the Chairman, STANCIE that Mr. Cimperman was to be regarded as the F.B.I. delegate. There followed some debate as to whether he should attend the meeting then in progress (he was waiting in the outer lobby) or be first introduced all round and come to the following meeting. Also, the question was raised as to whether he had signed the UMTRA cath. It was finally agreed that he be admitted without delay as a full-fledged voting member. (Gen. Corderman brought Mr. Cimperman in and introduced him to all members).

The Chairmon welcomed Ur. Cimperman.

2. The Chairman then tabled the minutes of the First Executive Eseting and opened discussion.

Sir Edward Travis, with reference to para. 7, asked that the phrase "and STANCIB" be deleted, inasmuch as he did not include STANCIB in his original remark and did not intend to speak for the U.S. on this point. It was agreed to delete the phrase.

(There was then some discussion as to the distribution of approved minutes of Sub-Committees; 15 was served to circulate them to all Chairman).

The Minutes of the First Erecutive Committee meeting were them passed without further medicicate on.

3. The Chairman then objected to the short interval between the receipt of Sub-Committee minutes and the next following meeting of the Executive Committee. The interval on this particular day, from moon to 1500, was altogether too brief and had prevented a proper reading and understanding by the members of the Executive Committee.

(/It was ...

It was eventually agreed that the interval should be extended to about twenty hours; that is, that minutes and other reports be distributed at 1200, for example, for tabling the next day at 1000. It was also agreed that morning meetings might facilitate this program.

4. With the concurrence of all the murbers, the Chairman then began to read out the minutes of the First Meeting of Sub-Committee B.

General discussion broke out over the subject of covernance, with Sir Edward Travis stating that adoption of the suggested U.S. section covernances would make many difficulties both within his organization and in his dealings with the London SIGINF Beard.

The Chairman read out a special note on this subject which had been sent in by the Chairman of Sub-Committee B.

Sir Edward objected that the covernames would not fit in with the four geographical divisions of his post-war organization, and that, anyway, covernames were too readily subject to misuse through inconsistency General Cordenam replied that the point and purpose of covernames lay in universal application; unless consistently used, they failed to cover anything. He came out strongly in favour of covernames, nevertheless, and added that, if the present suggestions were unacceptable, he would be most eager to listen to some British counter-suggestion which might be adopted by the U.S. He said that neither previous inconsistency in the use of covernames nor the fact that the present suggestions alsahed with the four geographical divisions mentioned by Sir Edward should be permitted to rule out the desirability of both sides getting together on this point to see whether the organization of the U.S. and British agencies could be brought 100% into line.

Here the Chairman intervened to recommend postponement of the discussion to some later date. This was agreed, and it was arranged that separate discussion should take place between Gareral Corderman and Eir Edward and Captain Wenger.

The Chairman recursed his reading of the minutes of Sub-Counittee B. There was some bowilderment expressed over the phrasing of certain paragraphs, especially paras. 2 and 3. It was agreed to delete the phrase "group of systems" from para. 4, since the sense of the phrase was impossible to define.

5. Cross-references to the U.S. draft Appendices them turned attention sway from the Sub-Committee B minutes to the Graft Appendices themselves, and the question was reised as to whether the draft Appendices should be tabled. This produced general discussion. Sir Edward pointed out that, in the first place, the British members of the Executive Committee had not had time to study the Appendices, and he therefore did not at this point wish to be saked for opinions based upon them. General Cordoman stressed that the Executive Committee should not be required to table the them had at this stage, and that it was the duty of the Sub-Committees to make clear statements as to whether they were found suitable or were not so found; only those which were contraversial should be brought before the Executive Committee for modification, and the modifications should be embodied in the Sub-Committees final recommendations.

The Chairman then directed the Secretaries to instruct the Sub-Committees that elaborate cross-references in iterim reports to the U.S. dwaft appendices would no longer be acceptable to the Executive Committee. In the meanwhile, the appendices will be used only by the Sub-Committees as a guide toward their final recommendations.

6. The Chairman then resumed the reading. Some discussion arese re para. 5, and it was agreed to delete the parenthetical expression from it.

Considerable discussion was generated by the various subheadings of para. 6. Sir Edward pointed out that item 5 of sub-heading
C was no phrased as to impinge upon the prerogatives of the T/A Section,
or, on the other hand, to sweep the anyptanalytic reports with T/A data.
The Chairman asked Brigadier Tiltman to clarify the nature of the Interim
Reports, and it was then agreed to leave the matter for the time being.
Reference sub-heading H, the question was raised as to the discomination
of the Reports, to which Brigadier Tiltman replied that it was planned
to cut up the Reports and daliver only the pertinent pertions to
sections concerned. General Corderman approved of the plan, and added
that universal and uncontrolled discomination of Reports would not be
suitable to the U.S. delegation. He stressed that, for example, the menthly
analytis/Reports originating with U.S. agencies should not go to the
Dominions SIGINT Centres in full. Considerable discussion of the control
of cryptanalytic data reports here ensued, and it was generally agreed
that the subject was a broad one which must come up again for further
discussion. The Chairman directed the Secretaries to put it down on the
special agends of the Executive Countities.

Regarding pare. 12, it was agreed to discuss this point later, after recommendations had come in from Sub-Committee A. The Secretary informed the Chairman that, anticipating such a juncture, he had already passed Colonel Reviett's note to the Chairman of Sub-Committee A. This was approved.

7. The reading of the minutes of Sub-Constitute B terminated here, and discussion again broke out over the relationship between the Sub-Constitute reports and the U.S. draft appendices. Commander Leebnis began to make a rough erait of an order which might embody the idea earlier expressed by General Corderman to the effect that proposed modifications of the appendicus should be contained only in final recommendations of the Sub-Countities and not in the form of minutes wherein no conclusions upon which the Executive Committee could not to work were yet reached.

The Chairman, however, made the objection that such a move might in the long run be a greater waste of time; his reasoning being that the SubCommittees might spend days constructing recommendations on a basic misconception of which the Executive Committee would have no knowledge.

Captain Wonger added the objection that there would be too much for the Executive Consistee to accomplish in the very lest days and too little in the meantime.

Sir Edward also concurred, and stated that it was the duty of the Executive Committee to help the SubCommittees all along the line.

It was finally agreed that the U.S. draft Appendices were to be resease as a basis for discussion by the SubCommittees, and that they need not be considered by the Executive Committee until such time as they appeared in the form of final SubCommittee recommendations.

- 8. Following thin, the Chalman read the minutes of Sub-Committee D, which were approved without discussion.
- 9. He then began to read the minutes of Sub-Committee E.

  Sir Edward asked that para. 3, page 2, which might be misunderstood by
  the Admiralty, be deleted. This was agreed. General Corderman observed
  that the figures in para. 6(5) were probably too high, and it was agreed
  to out the total down to 100,000.

10. Sir Edward opened discussion on the question of whether the recommendations attached to the minutes of Sub-Committee E were to be eventually embedded in an appendix; he pointed out that the channels and figures of these recommendations were provisional and subject to make modification in time, whereas the appendices he regarded as lasting documents which should not include communications network estimates and other such variables. The American delegates demurred, feeling that adequate communications were basic to the future of STANCIB - GCCS relationships, and, as such, should be specifically written into the Arrequent.

General Corderman insisted that the statement a Washington-London link will be maintained, or some similar phrase, be inserted.

Sir Edward replied that he couldn't vouch for its implementation and that it would have to be reviewed from time to time by the Ministries. General Cordensan said that financial considerations applied equally on both sides, but that the conference must assume that they would be not. After some discussion, Sir Edward withdrew his objection, and emeral Cordensan suggested that communications provisions be written into the Angle-American Agreement as they had been written in to the Angle-Dominion's Agreement.

/ Subject to the above discussions, the recommendations outlined

The meeting was adjourned at 1745, and the following meeting was fixed for 1015 the next day.

ECCUTIVE COLUTTES.

# CONFIRMED OF EXECUTIVE CORPLETES, HELD AT 1015, MARCH 15th, 1946.

Prosent:

Captain Hastings, (Chairman)

Brigadier General Worderman,

Sir Edmid Travis,

Captain Wenger, Captain Swedberg, Cmdr. Lochnis.

Colonel Heckemeyer,

Mr Huddleson

Mr Cimperman

Commarker Mauson () Secretari Mr Hinsley

#### AGENDA

Donsideration of Minutes of First Mooting of Sub-Committee C.

- Sir Edward Travis referring to the first paragraph of Section 2 of the Kinutes of the First Meeting of Sub Committee C, said that it would be preforable to avoid the word "demand" in the final version of the recommendations, as the word indicated too persumptory a form of communication.
- 2. He also said that this was a good opportunity to take note that there might be occasions when the sheer process of copying became too burdensome and when requests could not be not either immediately or even at all. He hoped that lisison officers would always be in a position to appreciate the difficulties on these occasions and be able to explain them to the agencies originating the requests.
- 3. With reference to para 2 of the second section of the Minutes, on the subject of comments, Sir Edward Travis pointed out that this was British practice at propert and that agreement on this point would simply mean that the D.S. would receive the same documents as those sent to British customers.
- Brigadier General Corderman explained that A.S.A. made comments on telegrans and in papers loss frequently than OP-20-G but he saw no objection to the sub-committee's suggestion concerning comments.
- 5. On the third para of Section 2 of the Sub Committee Minutes, both Brigadier General Corderman and Sir Eduard Travis confirmed that there would be complete freedom of exchange. Such practical considerations as the fact that not all traffic is translated or that not all translations need to be exchanged could be met by making everything available to the liaison officers.

- 6. Para 4 of Section 2 of the Minutes was considered satisfactory.
- 7. Para 5 of Section 2 concerning summaries led to considerable discussion, with special reference to collateral material.
- 8. Sir Edward Travis suggested that the inclusion of "unexchangeable" colleteral information in summaries would be very infrequent.
- 9. Brigadier General Corderman suggested that, in this case, it would be preferrible to decide that "unexchangeable" collateral should never be included in summaries.
- 10. Sir Edward Travis while agreeing that this might be the best solution, considered that the matter needed further investigation and saked if he could raise it again at the next meeting. This was agreed.
- 11. Further discussion on the subject of collateral was raised by Section 3 of the Minutes and by the U.S. Appendix E which was referred to in this Section. Sir Edward Travis considered that there was some confusion in the interpretation of the Agreement with reference to three separate subjects?
  - (a) the exchange of collateral material:

    This was adequately healt with by para 2 of the basic Agreement. The state of th
  - (b) The exchange of material information and machinery acquired through third party sources and bearing directly on foreign communications:
    - This was regulated by para 3 (a) (2) and (6) of the basic Agreement and it was a mistake to regard this as collateral material, as it was regarded in part I of the U.S. draft Appendix E.
  - (c) the exchange of machinery and other techniques developed in either the U.S. and the U.K., or of information bearing on such machinery and techniques.

This was covered by para 4 of the basic Agreement.

- 12. Captain Wenger then described the difficulties that might arise in extending to the U.K. knowledge of, or the right to uso, techniques developed under patent in the U.S.
- 13. Sir Edward Travis fully appreciated these difficulties but said that, in his view, they arose only under item (c) of pera 11 above and were adequately met by the reservations contained in pera 4 of the basic Agreement concerning methods and techniques.
- 14. Brigadier General Corderman agreed that this point was covered by para 4 of the Agraement.
- 15. Sir Edward Travis suggested that, to meet such difficulties, an arrangement might be made by which British non technical experts were made aware of the purpose and method of operation of a technique which was developed under U.S. patent, in order to ensure that the technique was used to the maximum advantage, without the necessity of releasing technical details to the U.K.

- 16. Brigadier General Corderman agreed that this would be a practicable solution to the difficulty.
- 17. Sir Edward Travis then reverted to the points made in para. 11 above and Captain Wenger, agreeing with Sir Edward's division of the problem into three different subjects; suggested that the first apart of draft Appendix E would be more appropriately included in para. 5 of draft Appendix B, which dealt with the exchange of methods and techniques and which amplified para. 4 of the basic Agreement.
- 18. Sir Edward Travis acreed with this suggestion as it comformed with his view that the subject of pert I of draft Appendix B could not be considered as colleteral material.
- 19. Brigadier General Corderman, however, stated that the subject of Part I of draft Appendix E was considered collateral material in the U.S.
- 20. Sir Edward Travis pointed out that the wording of para, 2 of the basic agreement, and the discussion of this subject which had taken place in Washington, defined collateral as material not dealing with Communication Intelligence matters. If material bore directly on foreign communications, as in Part I of draft Appendix E, it should not be considered collateral material.
- 21. It was agreed at this point that some definition of collateral material was necessary to cover the above discussion and the Secretaries were instructed to prepare a draft statement for the Committee.
- 22. The next meeting of the Executive Countitee was arranged we for 1015 on Tueslay, 19th March.

#### TOP SECURT CREAM

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

# CONTINUES OF THE FOOLEN HEATING OF THE EXECUTIVE CONTINUES, HELD AT 1500, MARCH 14th, 1945.

#### PRESENT:

Captain Hastings, (Chairman)

Brigadior Concrel Corderman, Sir Sir Edward Travis,

Captain Wenger, Captain Smedberg,

Commander Lochnis,

Mr Huddleson, Mr Cimperman,

Colonel Rowlett (In Attendance)
Colonel Meckemoyer (Absent)

Commander Manson,) Mr Hinsley. > Secretaries.

#### MINUTES OF THE SECOND AND THIRD DEETINGS.

1. These were discussed and several modifications adopted. Confirmed Minutes have now been issued.

#### INCLUSION OF UNEXCHANGEABLE COLLATERAL MATERIAL IN SUBMARIES.

- 2. With reference to paras 9 and 10 of the Minutes of the Third Meeting, Sir Edward Travis reported that he had considered this matter since the last Meeting and was in full agreement with General Corderman's augrestion that "unexchangeable" collateral material should not be included in summaries. If it was necessary for a Contra to refer its own customers to "unexchangeable" collateral, this should be done in papers separate from the summaries and given a more restricted circulation.
- 3. The Secretaries were instructed to notify the Chairman of Sub-committee C that the recommendations of his Sub-committee should be modified in accordance with para 2 above.

## DEFINITION OF COLUMERAL MATERIAL.

- 4. Subject to one minor change, the definition was approved as tabled. It is given in its final form as Appendix 1 to these Minutes.
- 5. <u>Captain Smedberg</u> considered that it was important that this definition should go down on record. This was agreed and it was left to later decision whether it should appear in a list of other definitions or in the recommendations of Sub-committee C.

6. The Secretaries were instructed to notify the Universe of Subcommittee C of the definition as approved, in answer to para 2 cf. the Minutes of the Second Meeting of Sub Committee C.

#### REPERENCE TO DRAFT U.S. AFFENDICES.

7. Arising out of the discussion of previous minutes, the Secretaries were instructed to inform the Chairman of all Sub-condities that references to the U.S. draft Appendices should always include the word "draft" in order to avoid confusion.

(NOTE: This has been done in S/EC/1 of 20th March which is attached.)

## MINUTES OF 2nd AND 3rd MEETINGS OF SUBCOMMITTEE C.

8. The Minutes of the 2nd Meeting were noted.

## 9. Standardised Translation Format.

With reference to para 9 (a) of the limits of the Third Meeting, Sir Edward Travis suggested that a proposed standardised translation format should be drawn up by the British during the Conference instead of being drawn up afterwards and forwarded to STANCB at a later date. General Cordenues agreed but made it clear that the U.S. delegation would have to take such a proposal back to the States for discussion with Technical specialists concerned, before it was finally agreed.

- 10. The Secretaries were instructed to inform the Chairman of Sub-committee 0 to this effect.
- 11. With reference to pare 12 (d) it was agreed that the word "instructed" should be altered to read "required."

## 12. Exchange of Dictionaries.

With reference to para 12 (c) it was agreed that this should be changed to read:

"Collaboration in the preparation of any dictionaries and the exchange of such dictionaries in their completed form."

The Scoretaries were instructed to notify Sub-committee C of this charge.

#### 13. Standardisation in Translation.

Referring to para 12 (d), Captain Wenger questioned whether this solution would be adequate, as it did not establish which authority would be ultimately responsible for the standardisation of translations in the event of differences of opinion between London and Washington. He suggested that this could be achieved without waking any one Centre the final arbiter in all fields. One Centre could be established as the final authority in one field, and another in another, excording to the translators and experience available.

14. General Corderman agreed that it would be advisable to clarify the question of ultimate authority in cases of deadlook but considered that it would be impracticable to make on Centre responsible in all fields. He suggested that the arbiter in each language or problem would be one or the other Centre as mutually agreed.

- 15. Oclonel Rowlett was invited to attend the Committee at this stage and gave it as his opinion that it was necessary to establish a centralising section for this purpose in the U.S. He also considered that it would be unwise to make either the U.S. or the U.K. ultimately responsible in all fields but thought that this matter would work itself out if a centralised section was established in the U.S.A.
- 16. General Corderman confirmed that a group under STANCIB would be made responsible for centralising the standardisation of translation in the U.S.A. and it was agreed that direct lisison between that group and that of Commander Tandy would ensure that Captain Wenger's original point was not.
- 17. During this discussion, Sir Edward Travis reduced the question of standardising recovery on book group equivalents, but it was agreed that this was a matter for settlement by close liaison in cryptanalysis and Oclonel Rowlett thought there would be no difficulty in effecting the necessary standardisation.

# RECOMMENDATIONS OF SUB-COMMITTER A ON ITEM 6 OF ITS AGENDA (STANDARDISED DESIGNATION OF INTERCEPT TABGETS)

18. Sir Edward Travis pointed out that had been omitted in
18. Sir Edward Travis pointed out that had been omitted in
the list of bigrams given by the Sub-Committee in appendix I.
19. Colonel Rowlett said that the list used by Sub-Committee A was
identical with that prepared by Sub-Committee B and that had been
omitted deliberately because it was U.S. practice to refer to this task
only in special correspondence. Sir Edward Travis explained that it was
the practice in the U.K. to treat it normally, together with all other
tasks, and it was agreed that, at least for the purposes of this list,
It should be so treated. The Secretaries were therefore instructed to
inform Sub-Committees A and B that a bigram for should be included
in the lists and to inform Sub-Committee A that, ambject to this change,
1ts recommendations were approved.
20. Captain Smedberg enquired whether the dissemination of
was not specially restricted in the U.K., in view of the above remarks.
Commander Lochnia replied that the dissemination was specially restricted
and that would in future be issued as "TOP SECRET SPECIAL CREAK".

#### COMPLETE RECOMMENDATIONS OF SUB-COMMUTTEE B.

- 21. These were discussed at length and several modifications agreed which will be included in the final version. In particular the discussion covered:-
  - (a) The frequent references to paras. 3 and 4 of the Basic Agreement: It was decided that such references were unnecessary in Sub-Committee recommendations, as this point would be covered by a general statement prefacing the final recommendations of the Comferences, to the effect that nothing in the draft Appendices to the Basic Agreement shall be construed as contravening any clauses of that Agreement.

- (b) Whether compilation of the Monthly Status Reports should be according to the status of the tasks (i.e. solved, partly solved and under research) or according to alphabetical list by system.

  It was agreed that in the first instance the compilation of these reports should be as recommended by Sub-Committee B, except that a notation system should be introduced to indicate at a glence the status of each task
- (o) Attachment A of the Recommendations:

  Colonel Rowlett was requested to arrange for the production of revised figures and to ensure that they were on a comparable basis as regards the U.S. and the U.K.
- 22. The Secretaries were instructed to make available to the Chairman and Secretary of Sub-Committee B all details of changes made to the recommendations in order that the final version could be prepared.

#### PRIORITIES.

23. Sir Edward Travis noted that the general problem of priorities was nowhere being discussed. The Committee considered it was essential that arrangements should exist by which each Centre should keep the other informed of changes in priorities and it was agreed that agreement on this point should be included in the general statement preparing the final recommendations of the Conference.

#### RECOMMENDATIONS OF SUB-COMMITTEE C ON ITEM 2 OF ITS AGENDA.

- 24. Considerable discussion took place concerning the definition of terms at the beginning of these recommendations, and many changes were made in principle and detail. Mr. Huddleson was deputed to explain these changes to Sub-Committee C in order that the final version of these terms could be prepared.
- 25. Discussion of the remainder of the paper was postponed till 1015 on Thursday, 21st March.

#### UNEXCHANGEABLE COLLATERAL MATERIAL

26. Sir Edward Travis said he would like to revert to this subject and made the suggestion that, as it had been agreed that unexchangeable collateral material must be omitted from documents exchanged, the insertion, at discretion, of the comment:

"This is confirmed from other sources"

might be valuable to the other Centre as an indication that collateral of value existed. The receiving Centre could interpret this comment as a hint to probe no further into the sources.

27. This suggestion was agreed.

#### EVALUATED BRIELLICENCE AND DIFORMATION FROM CONCLY SOURCES.

- 228. Captain Wenger mentioned the distinction drawn in the U.S.A. between "information" and "intelligence" and between "interpretation" and "evaluation", the former being the duty of the COMINT Centres and the latter of Intelligence Staffs. He considered that this Conference provided a mitable opcortunity to invent new terms for the product and the functions of COMINT Centres, and so prevent confusion on this matter in the future.
- 29. The Chairman said that an exactly similar distinction existed in the U.K., though the momenclature was different.
- 50. Sir Edward Travis said that "information" was called "factual intelligence" in the U.K. but he agreed that this expression was open to criticism.
- 34. It was agreed, therefore, that this matter should be discussed by the Executive Committee at a later date.

#### SECURITY REGULATIONS.

- 72. It was agreed that the recommendations of Sub-Counittee D would have to be postponed until the next meeting, but General Cordenan and Captain Welger stressed the need for the addition of clauses which powered:
  - (a) the procedure by which U.S. personnel would be allowed access to British Comint Contres, and vice versa, and
  - vice versa, and
    (b) the discentration by U.S. sutherities of Communication
    Intelligence to British personnel, and vice versa.
- 33. Ar Middleson and Commander Looking were requested to draft a clause wind would cover these points and which could be added to the recommendations of Sub-Committee D.

S/EC/1

33 Grosvenor Square,

London W.1.

20th March, 1956.

Chai	rman	Sub	-Com	mit	tee	A
	7 10				. :	B
	-4		R	40	ú.,	C
, n			- 11	. 30		D
		18	s • n	50	1	E
10	,	178 15			5	2

The Executive Committee has directed that any references in the Minutes of Sub-Committee meetings made to the U.S.draft appendices, should specifically include the word "draft" in order to avoid confusion with the final versions which are now in course of production.

Joint Secretary, Executive Committee.

TUP SECRET GREAT

#### APPENDIX.L

#### DEFINITION OF COLLATERAL MATERIAL.

- Form. 2 of the Pritish U.S. Communication Intelligence Agreement specifies that the Agreement governs the relations of the contracting parties "in Communication Intelligence matters only".
- 2. In the same paragraph it is agreed that the embrace of such collatoral retorial as is applicable for technical purposes and is not projectional to national interests will, however, be effected between the COMME Centres in both countries.
- 3. In accordance with this paragraph, collateral material is defined as that material from any source other than COMMY which, though of assistance to the COMMY Centros (1.0. applicable for technical purposes") is not directly a Communication Intelligence matter.
- 4. Gonsequently, material listed in para, 3(a) (2) and (6) of the Agreement, though obtained from sources other than Communication Intelligence is not collatoral material because it is directly a Communication Intelligence matter. The exchange of such material will the unrestricted, theopt as provided in para, 3(b) of the Agreement.
- 5. Similarly, information concerning COMM' methods and techniques, which are developed by the COMIM' Centres under U.S. or British patent, is not collateral material, and its exchange is governed by para. 4 of the Agreement.

## idealing of the Fifth negring of 015. March 21st,

#### PRESERT:

#### Captain E.C. Eastings Chairman

Brig. General Corderman

Sir Edward Travis

Captoin Wonger Captain Smedberg Brigadior Tiltman Commander Lochnia

Colonal Hockemoyer

Scoretaries

Mr. Huddleson Mr.Cimpomian

Contrapdor Manson Mr. Hinsley

### Recommendations of Sub Committee O on Item 2 of its Agenda

These recommendations were disbussed and considerable changes made. The Becretaries were instructed to produce the revised recommendations in collaboration with Sub Committee C.

During the discussion, it was agreed that a definition of COMINT Items' was necessary. A suggestion from Mr. Huddleson was scoopted, reading as follows:

"Comint items shall be understood to include the following tems produced by Comint Centres, plain texts, translated texts, extracts, gists, Sumints and decrypts which serve Intelligence meds.

(Note: This was changed at the 6th Meeting)
The Secretaries were instructed to include this definition appropriate place in the final recommendations of the Conference

#### Recommendations of Sub Committee D

- Those were approved subject to:
  - (a) Rewording in para.8
  - (b) An addition to para. 12, to be discussed at the pext meeting.
  - (o) Other minor changes.
- The Secretaries, in collaboration with Sub Committee D, were instructed to prepare the final version, which should include a security principle recommended by Sub Committee E.

### Recommendation of Sub Committee C on Item 1 of its Agenda

This was approved, subject to minor changes in drafting, and the Secretaries were instructed to prepare the final version in collaboration with Sub Committee C.

### Recommendations of Sub Committee E

The Scoretaries were instructed to include the substance of para one of the Sub Committee's paper in the general paper which would prefece the final Appendices drawn up by the Conference.

- 8. It was agreed that para 2(1) of the recommendations should be inserted in the recommendations of Sub Committee D and removed from the Appendix on Communications.
- With reference to para 2(d)(iii) of the recommendations, Sir Edward Travis said that a signal had been made to Australia asking for approval for Commander Newman to go back to Washington with Captain Wenger in order to investigate the establishment of the Melbourne - Honolulu circuit.
- 10. The Secretaries were instructed to inform Sub Committee E that recommendation 2(n) was not acceptable in its present form. They required rewording in a form which made it clear that microfilm was not the normal method, but should be used on some occasions

## Next Meeting

To be held at 1015 on Friday, March 22nd.

## MINUTES OF THE SIXTH MEETING OF THE EXECUTIVE CONSTITUE, HELD AT 1015, MARCH 2ND, 1946

Captain . E.G. Hastings, R.N., (Chairman)

Brigadier General Corderman

Sir Edward Travis

Captain Wenger

Captain Smedberg

Mr. Huddleson Mr. Cimperman Commander Loebnis 13 St 180 180 (Secretaries) Commander Manson Mr. Hinsley

- The Chairman and members of Sub-Committee 'B' were invited to attend the imeeting to discuss the recommendations of the Sub-Constitute on the subject of the use of microfilm.
- Captain Howeth explained that; in the yiew of his Sub-Committee copies of original documents and papers should be sent whenever possible, but that, when this was not practicable, the use of miorofilm was assential to ensure the best use of facilities. It was therefore necessary that each Centre should be composed to handle microfilm.
- After some discussion, the following rewording of the Sub-Committee's original recommendation was approved:

"All Centres will be equipped to handle microfilm, so that it may be available when it is not practicable to send the original rate. "

. A revised wording of the Recommendations (c) and (b) of this Sub-Committee was also tabled and approved. This reads as follows:

> "That, except for certain D/F requirements, provision be made for explusive tone-type telecommunications between Centres and between Centres and their outlying interest stations. This is considered necessary in order to make possible the rapid flow of ran material from the point of interception to the several Centres and in order to allow efficient control of cover with consequent reduction in wasteful duplication; as well as for rapid exchange of raw material and information between Cantres."

Captain Wenger said that he would like to report on his meeting with D.S.D., Admiralty, while Sub-Committee '3' were present. With reference to the proposal that Admiralty should take over the existing U.S.N. Londonderry station, some okennels of which are desired for Communication Intelligence purposes, D.S.D. had said that he can do nothing until he receives official support from this Conference.

- Sir Edward Travis said this point would be covered if special attention were invited, in the covering remarks of the final recommendations of the Conference, to the importance of Communications for CCNINT purposes. He would see that those remarks were brought before the London SIGIAT Board.
- At this point Sub-Committee E' left the Meeting.

#### KINUTES OF THE POURTH MEETING

- General Cordornan asked for the insortion of an additional phrase in para. 9 and Mr. Hudaleson suggested changes in paras. 15 and 16. These and other small modifications were approved.
- With reference to para. 22(b), some discussion took place as to the categories to be used for indicating the status of tasks.

  The Secretaries reported that Sub-Committee (B', in rewriting its recommendations, had accepted the following categories, note of which would be included against each task in monthly status reports:

  (a) unsolved, not under research

  - (b) unsolved, under research (c) partially solved (d) solved (currently readable).

- These categories were approved.

  The Secretaries were instructed to notify the Chairman of Sub-Committees:
  - (a) that, in future, reference should always be made to COMINT Centres and not to COMINT Agencies.
  - (b) that, in future, reference should always be made to COMITET, and not to C.I.

. (Note: This has been done in S/EC/2 which is attached).

#### MINUTES OF FIFTH LESSTING

- Mr. Huddleson queried his definition of COMINT items given in para. 2, on the grounds that it took no account of Traffic Intelligence. A revised definition was therefore considered and will be included in the final recommendation.
- The Chairman reported that the term SUMLINT was considered unsatisfactory by the Sub-Committee concerned, as it would be easily confused with other expressions in common use, such as COMIM, SIGIM. It was therefore decided to adopt the term COLUMTSUM instead.
- With the above exception, the Minutes of the fifth Meeting were approved.

#### PARA, 12 OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF SUB-COMMITTEE D'

- After considerable discussion, Colonel Heckeneyer was requested to redraft the suggested addition to para. 12 which had been tabled.
  - (Note: At the end of the meeting Colonel Heckemeyer read out a draft which was approved and will be incorporated in the recommendations of Sub-Committee 'D')

#### RECOMMENDATIONS OF SUB-CONDITTEE 'C' ON LIFM 3 OF ITS ACENDA

15. General Corderman, with reference to para. 3 of the paper, considered that the recommendation was inadequate and that standardisation should be effected as soon as possible. It was therefore agreed the following should be substituted:

"To that end, the London Centre will prepare a proposed translation style and layout which will be introduced, with such modifications as are necessary, as soon as it has been considered by the U.S. technical specialists concerned."

- 16. It was also agreed that this proposed style and layout should be drawn up before the departure of the U.S. delegates.
- 17. Other changes in drafting were made to paras, 4, 5 and 6 of the paper, which was otherwise approved.

#### RECCASE IDATICES OF SUB-CONTITUE 'A' ON FIEM 2 OF ITS AGENDA

18. Minor changes in drafting were made and the paper was approved, subject to these changes.

### RECONSENDATIONS OF SUB-COMMITTEE 'A' ON TIEM 4 OF ITS AGENDA

- 19. Sir Edward Travis queried whether it was really impracticable to make a division of interception and other tasks. In his view, the conference was being entirely successful in establishing methods of collaboration, but not of integration. The methods proposed were not such as to reduce the commitment of each Centre, but likely to increase them.
- 20. General Corderman agreed that the arrangements being made were adding to the burdens of each party and said he was disturbed by this. On the other hand, he did not feel that a division of tacks could be attempted until communications were adequate to ensure that each Centre received results as quickly from tasks done at other Centres as it did from its own production countiments.
- 21. Sir Köward Travis agreed to this but said that this point was nowhere clearly stated in the paper under discussion or in the recommendations on Cryptanalysis. These latter had also assumed that the division of tasks was impracticable, without stating the reason for the assumption.
- 22. General Corderman repeated his view that when communications were provided, all possible division of tasks, both in interception and cryptaralysis, should be effected.
- 23. The Chairmen then suggested that preliminary agreement on the division of tasks should be attempted now, in readiness for the time when communications mede the division possible. Some indication of the saving of personnel that would be achieved by division of labour when communications allowed would be a valuable argument in favour of the early provision of the necessary communications.
- 24. Sir Edward Travis strongly supported this point and General Cordennan agreed that the problem of division of tasks should be given some attention during the present conference.
- 25. It was then decided that General Contennen, Sir Körard Travis, Cantain Wenger and the Chairman should hold further discussions on this subject with the necessary technicians on or after Tuesday 26th.

26. In view of the above discussion it was agreed that the first sentence of the paper from Sub-Committee 'A' should be modified to read:

"Specific allocation of interception tasks and of search programmes is impracticable until adequate communications are established."

27. Considerable discussion also took place concerning the exchange of "Intercept Plans", but it was finally agreed to make no change and to approve the paper, subject to the modification in para. 26.

#### RECORDATIONS OF SUB-COMMITTE 'A' ON ITEM 5

23. This recommendation was approved.

#### EXCHANGE OF INFORMATION ON PRIORITIES

- 29. Discussion took place concerning the difficulties met by both parties in establishing priorities in collaboration with user departments.
- 30. It was then agreed that no special procedure could be established for keeping each other informed of the state of priorities.
- 31. General Corderman said that, in his view, the complete understanding which we had reached of each other's situation and capabilities
  was sufficient to ensure mutual assistance on priorities tasks. He
  hoped that London would continue to assist the Washington Centre in
  any particular problem on request, as it had done in the past, and
  that London would similarly call upon Washington in cases of tecesity.

#### DISCUSSION OF TARKS FOR THE PRODUCT AND FUNCTIONS OF COMINT CENTRES

- 32. Captain Wenger enlarged upon his previous remarks concerning the difference between evaluation and interpretation, with special reference to the jurisdictional difficulties associated with this question.
- 33. Captain Smedberg and Mr. Middleson agreed with Captain Manger's point of view but considered that no further discussion at this present conference would be of use.
- 31. Sir Edward Travis soid that, disguised under whatever terms, the produce of Communications Intelligence was Intelligence.
- 35. It was therefore agreed not to pursue this subject.

#### ANY OTHER BUSINESS

36. Captain Smedberg asked for the inclusion on a future agenda of the question of whether might be allowed the use of certain Special Intelligence. It was agreed to take this at the next meeting.

#### OUTSTANDING WORK

37. The Secretary gave a resume of outstanding items to be considered by the Committee.

#### NEXT MEETING

OGA

38. At 1015 on Monday 25th March.

EO 1.4.(b)

22nd, March, 1946.

Chairman Sub-Committee 'A'
" 'B'
" 'C'
" 'D'

The Exective Committee has directed that,

in future, reference should be made to CENTRE instead of to ACENCY when referring to the production establishments and to COMINI instead of to C.I.

#### KARTES OF SEVERTS MEETING OF

ECCURIVE OCCUPIED

HELD AT 1015 ON MONDAY,

25TH MARCH, 1946.

Captain E. Hastings. (Chairman)

Brigadior General W.P. Correspon.

Sir Boward Travis.

Colonel Benkszorer. Captain J. M. Wongor.

Concender C. Lcohnic.

Captain W.N. Smodborg. Mr. Budologon. Mr. Cluperman.

ir Hinaley

Absent for part of the meeting.

Colonel Ecclemayer.

Hr. Enddleson.

Connander Kanson. Wr. Cimpercen.

1. The recommondation in paragraph 3 of the Minites was again , "share of Secretary

> "All Control will be equipped to headle whowith, so that it may be available for use men it is not .. promblachle to soud the original material.

- . Cetagony (a) in paragraph 9 was altered to read "solved (currently ".(olosber
- Paragraph 33 ras altered to read:-

"Captain Sandhorg and Mr. Hoddleson agreed with Oaptain Wonger's Foliat of stan Lut counidated that no further discussion at this present ו שמע לב של הנטטא פאושופלעסס

Subject to the above modifications and a few mirer dunger of wording, the Minutes were approved.

|--|

did not wish w yoursue this The Chalman reported that

EO 1.4. (b)

9 .

SOC CHIEFE CHEAL

#### RECO: LEADATIONS OF SUB-CHARTETES A

6. Mich reference to the exchange of all res material. General Borderman maked whether it was necessary to specify that material mould be vertical, upon netification to the other party, if the subject or chromateurs made it rejudicial to mational interests. He said that he did not wish for this to be atipulated, as it was fully covered by the Book Agregion:

It was desided, therefore, not to include any qualification in

7. A discussion took place at this point concerning the rectrictions hither to the exchange of ferminal traffic.

It was agreed that these could now cease, as they were superseded by the Freeboure causblished in paragraph 3 of the Basic Agreement. It was agreed also that a definite date for ending the present restriction against would be regeriated in the near future, and Sir Edward Travis undertook to telegraph STANCIS on this point after discussing with the Foreign Calife.

8 11th reference to the Corderation out that paragraph of Sub-committee A's Item 10 through that frankryson could sel Velbrune direct for U/r assistance. Sir Environmental survey of the survey of th

- 9. It was agreed that both parties would refer to X. ? 3. (R.E.B.) in future as R.P.P. The abort title R.E.B. will be abordened.
- 10. We item 11 of Sur-Countytes A's recommendations were next considered and it was acreed that the embrace of Traffic Intelligence would be adquately covered by the final papers being prepared by Sub-Countytes C conserming the craining of COUNT items.
- 11. Item 12 from Sch-Chunttee A was considered, but the suggestion contained therein was rejected and the Scampler, was included to art. Sub-Committee a to write a separate paper on the subject of collaboration in Druffic Address.
- 12. Then 8 concerning Standardication of my material furnat was also discussed and approved.

#### LIAISON

- B. With reference to item 9 of the recommendation for Sub-Committee A perograph (a) it was agreed to delete the suggestions concerning direct links on between Maskington and Kelbourn, as General Cardonnan, Captain Wenger and Sir Missaud Travia committee that it would be insufficially for force and continue to take place between Maskington and Butten Commonsalth two versues Jestees excepting All such matters sixual to handled through London.
- 14. General Terderryn deubted wisther Historn office's were messacily specificably for 1 % purposes. He though the one Historn officer might so able to handle ergotamalytheal, T.A. and twanslation matters. He was sure that personnel would not be available in sufficient mumbers to enable many lieuen afficers to be maintained.

OGA (EO 1.4.(b) /35 ....

- 15. The discussion then peased to consideration of the U.S. draft, Appendix G. This was modified to some extent, and it was agreed that the modified version should be used as the final Appendix on the subject of "Idalan and Channels for Exchange". Recommendations from Sub-Committees A and D on this subject were considered to be covered by the U.S. draft imposition G.
- In connection with the U.S. dreft appendix G. Sir Edward Travis pointed out that restrictions on Editish personnel in Washington would probably mean that the London Sight Board would have to establish reciprocal restrictions on U.S. personnel attached to the London Sight Centre. General Cordonnan and Captain Smedherg said that the inevitability of this was fully appreciated.
- 17. With reference to paragraph 4 of the draft appendix, General Conformen explained that in the U.S. view every arrangement should be first said through end by liminon officers but that technical details may thereafter be arranged direct between the operating personnel concerned. Sir Manual Travis agreed with this mathod.
- 18. General Corderman also said that his delegation wished to establish as a general principle the fact that the requesting party would be responsible for providing the means of transport for material supplied by the other Centre.

## FINAL DRAFT OF APPENDICES B. D and C.

- 19. Appendices B and O were considered and it was approved that they could now be issued in final form.
- 20. Appendix D was also considered and it was spread that the final fone could be issued after consideration by General Cordenman, Captain Wanger and Sir Edward Travis. The Secretary was instructed to provide them with copies of the redraft as soon as possible.

#### DIVING COVERING PASER

21. A dreft covering paper was considered and maifted in many respects. The Secretarias were instructed to table the nortised dreft at the next needing.

### AUGINE ALICADUM.

- 22. The Secretaries were also instructed to dreft a covering letter to the Chairman of Standles and the Chairman of the letten Signit Board, to be signed by the their the Conference, General Covernan and Signit Edward Travia.
- 23. It was then agreed that STANCIB and the Lordon Sighat Fouri mould notify each other, by letter, of approval being given to the renouncealations of the Conference.

MINUTES OF EIGHTH AND FINAL MERTINA

OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.

held at 1015 on Wednesday, March 27th, 1946.

#### PRESENT:

Captain E. Fastings

Brigadier General Corderman

Sir Edward Trayis

Captain Wenger Captain Smedburg

Comunder Loshois

Mr. Cimperson

(Secretaries)
Commander Manson
Mr. Hirsley.

## MINUTES OF SEVENTH MEETING.

- asked whether the recommendation should include a stetement on the measurement of the mirrofilm to be used uniformly by both Centres. This point was discussed and it was agreed to make no change in the recommendation. It was agreed, however, that both parties would investigate the equipment at present in use and correspond in the near future with a view to using equipment of uniform aims.
- 2. A few minor alterations were made to the draft Minutes, which were then approved.

#### APPENDIX E

- 3. The Cormittee rest considered the new paragraphs and the additional Exhibit 4 of Appendix E, and Brigadier Tiltman and Colonal Reviett were called in at this point.
- 4. General Corderman expressed the view that a more definite etakement than that given in Emilbit 4 would be advisable. Some injetive had to be taken in effecting a division of tasks and the COVENT Contress themselves were best placed to take the first steps. Sir Edward Travia agreed with this view.
- 5. Brigadier Tiltman said that a more definite statement would necessitate the omission of param. 3 (b) and (c) of Exhibit 4 concerning division of tasks on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Nothing definite could be agreed on these points without further study. Moreover, better fields for the allocation of tasks might be found when this study was carried out.
- 6. General Cordorman and Sir Elwerd Trevis both considered that it was an urgent requirement that the necessary inventigation about the carried out at both Centres, and views exchanged as to tasks which should be left to one or the other Contre,
- 7. Brigadier Tiltman said there were research tasks in which division by allocation was not desirable as two heads were better than one.

  General Corderman however, said that this would not be true in circumstan.

/WILDCO...

EO 1.4.(c) EO 1.4.(d) EO 1.4.(b): NSA25X3

NSA25X6 -

EXECUTIVE CHER.

## MINUTES OF EXECUTA AND FINAL MEETING

#### OF THE EX CUTIVE COMMITTEE.

held at 1015 on Wednesday, March 27th, 1945.

#### PRESENT:

Captain E. Hastings Chairman

Brigadier General Corderman

-Sir Edward Trevis

Captain Wenger Captain Smeaburg Commander Loshnie

Mr. Cimperson

(Secretaries)
Commander Manson
Mr. Hinsley.

#### MINUTES OF SEVENIH MEETING.

- asked whether the recommendation should include a statement on the measurement of the mirrofilm to be used uniformly by both Centres. This point was discussed and it was agreed to make no change in the recommendation. It was agreed, however, that both parties would investigate the equipment at present in use and correspond in the near future with a view to using equipment of uniform size.
- 2, A few minor alterations were made to the draft Minutes, which were then approved.

#### APPRINDIX K

- 3. The Committee next considered the new paragraphs and the additional Exhibit 1 of Appendix B, and Brigadier Tiltman and Colonal Rewlett were called in at this point.
- 4. General Cordannea expressed the view that a more definite etatement than that given in Exhibit 4 would be advisable. Some inletive had to be taken in effecting a division of teaks and the COVERT Contres themselves were best placed to take the first steps. Bir Edward Travia agreed with this view.
- 6. General Corderman and Sir Edward Travis both considered that it was an urgent requirement that the necessary investigation should be carried out at both Centres, and views exchanged as to tasks which should be left to one or the other Centre.
- 70 Brigadier Tiltman said there were research tasks in which division by allocation was not desirable as two heads were better than one.

  Conoral Corderman however, said that this would act be true in circumstan.

/whec. ....

E0 1.4 (c) E0 1.4 (d) E0 1.4 (b) MSAC5X3\*\*\*

when available personnel in either Centre were inadequate to do all the necessary research, although he agreed that the allocation of tasks would have to proceed slowly.

- Colonal Rowlett seld be was sure that by the time 2 monthly status reports had been exchanged, a congliderable economy of personnel would have been effected.
- 9. In view of the above discussion, it was agreed that (a) the two Centreswould undertake the necessary invostigation of tasks which would be undertaken solely by one Centre or the other, effecting division of of labour in this way as early as practicable, but that (b) Exhibit 4 should be omitted from the Appointix.
- A small drafting change was made in para. I of the Appendix, which was then approved.

### COVERING PAPER

- 11. Sir Edward Travis said ha thought that some mention should be made in the covering remarks to the effect that the undertaking to eachange copies of all intercepts could not come into force, at once, but would, in fact, take some time to arrange.
- 142 3 12. General Corderman, however, gave it as his view that most of the arrangements were subject to this reservation and he considered that it was not necessary to refer agacially to the expluingo of raw material in this connection.
- Sir Edward Trevis, in view of this, sgreed to withdraw his suggestion.

14. A draft was discussed and the Secretary was Instructed to have a new version prepared.

#### APPENDIX A

This Appendix on James to be used was accurred and approved, sucject to minor changes.

#### APPENDIX C

16.	Change	en; me	ade 1	to 1	this	pener	al	cerlier	D€¢.	tingwere	rax	t oons	icarod,
Discu	saion -	took	23.00	0 6	espec	lally	C ;;	corning	the	exclante	of	Inter	ception
plans	, 1	٠.			- \		3.00	¥					-

17.						W	E (	milled	in for	th	s question	٠,
After	hearing	his	viers,	1t	ms	aganed	to	altor	para.9	16	the paper.	
to en	sure that	: [										
the o	eginning	OI	GOW SON	in '	3 W	का उस अर	ap	origa.				

- With reference to part. 20 in the paper, conserving the rotal exchange of raw material, it was resent to add that this would be a restain an abon as it could be arranged, in other to asver the point raised by Sir Edward Travis in pera. 11 above.
- After these changes had buen made, the Astendia was approved.

APPIDIDI'S	7
ALTINIUT'S	~

WENNUTY 3	ies:		•	T	
20. This paper,	concerning o	gold milrece	in Traffic	Aralysla and	
was approved.	•		· /.	an suma	
Jago Capps of Cal			Marine 1	·	
		0	GA	/APTE	DIX Y

EO 1.4.(b)

- 24. Appendix F, concerning the Exchange of Communications Intelligence w.C. Ge-ordination in translation, was then considered.
- 22. Streval changes were agreed, including the insertion of a reference to Traffic Intelligence in para. 7, which was necessary in order to cover the point settled in para. 10 of the minutes of the Seventh Mosting.
- 25. The Appendix was then approved.

24. This paper on the exchange of Collateral Material was approved Without further discussion.

25. The draft paper resulting from earlier discussions on the subject of lielson was discussed at length and approved, subject to several minor changes.

It was agreed that the Committee had then completed its proceedings.

TOP SECRET CYPAN

#### CONFIRMED

### MINUTES OF PLENARY MEETING TO CONCLUDE

THE U.S.-BRITISH TECHNICAL CONFERENCE,

HELD AT 1600 ON WEITNESDAY, MARCH 27TH, 1946.

#### PRESERT:

Captain E. Hastings.

Captain J.W. Wenger. Captain W.R. Smedberg. Captain L.S. Howeth. Captain E.S. L. Goodwin. Commander E. Knopper.

Brig.General W.P.Cordermen.

Colonel B.W.Heckemeyer. Colonel B.Rowlett. Captain P.J.Patton. Major L.P.Stone. Captain C.Collins. Lieut. H.Schmidt.

Mr. E.E.Huddleson. Mr. J.A.Cimperman. Mr. E.Christopher. Sir Edward Travis.

Brigadier J.Tilhran. Captein (S) D.A.Wilson.

Mr.	L.J.	COR.	or.	297	1	
	e 15		g die	8.9		

Commander C. Lochnis.

S	coretaries:
Com	mander G.C. Manson
Mr.	F.H. Hinaloy

- 1. The Chairman asked for any remarks on the final draft of the Covering Paper and Appendices prepared by the Conference.
- 2. General Corderman, for the U.S. Delegation, and Captela Mongae, Mr. Huddleson and Mr. Claperman, for their various Departments, expressed their approval of the papers.
- 3. Sir Edward Travis concurred on behalf of the Eritish representatives.
- 4. The Chairman expressed his thanks to the assembled morting, especially to the Chairman of Sub-Consittees, for their particleation in discussions which had led to such important agreements between the two countries.
- 5. Sir Edward Travis thanked his U.S. colleagues for coming to Lendon for the Conference. He felt certain that the Conference had not been held too soon. The highly satisfactory arrangements made at a time when each party's domestic situation was in a state of flux would ensure that steps taken from now on in each country would be in line with the agreements now reached and would further strengthen the collaboration which was so fluxly established.

He wished to express his thanks especially to the Chairman and Members of Sub-Committees and, in conclusion, he wished godspeed and good luck in the future to all members of the U.S. Delegation.

6. General Cordensan said he shared Sir Edwards views concerning the timeliness of the meetings. He fait that a great deal had been accomplished. If only because the CCMMNT representatives of the two countries had got together to settle things which had previously not been fully investigated, a great step forward had been made.

The fruits of the work completed at the Conference might not be seen immediately but no one could doubt that, from a long-term point-of-view, the recent meetings were an important stage in U.S.-British relations in general and in U.S.-British Communications Intelligence po-operation.

He thanked the British delegates for the cordial reception given to every U.S. visitor, the Chairman for the splendid way in which he had hundled the Conference, the Chairman of Sub-Committees for their work, and then the Scoretariat for its consistent hard work and attention to the arrangements.

Finally, he looked forward to a similar conference in Washington and extended a welcome to British delegates in advance.

7. The Chairman then announced that the recommendations of the Conference would be forwarded to the Chairman, STARCIB and to the Chairman, London SIGINT Board for approval, and the meeting adjourned.

----0000000000000000000000