June 3, 2016

Today the National Security Agency (NSA) is making public more than 200 documents it recently released under the Freedom of Information Act. The documents illustrate that, as the Agency reported in May 2014, NSA conducted a thorough search of e-mail and has no records of any e-mail from former NSA contractor Edward Snowden to Agency officials raising concerns about NSA programs.

The documents posted today reveal the details of the Agency's many efforts to locate the alleged e-mail. Despite an exhaustive search that included looking for all of Mr. Snowden's e-mail available on NSA systems and in NSA's email repositories, the Agency has no record that he submitted complaints to senior NSA leadership – including the NSA Director, Deputy Director, and Executive Director. In addition, the Agency does not have any records that he submitted any complaints to the NSA Inspector General or the General Counsel challenging NSA programs.

On May 29, 2014, NSA publicly released one e-mail inquiry from Mr. Snowden, as well as the accompanying response from NSA's Office of General Counsel. The <u>e-mail</u> did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse. It posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was no additional follow-up noted.

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From:	donotreply@nsa.gov
Sent:	Friday, May 23, 2014 3:49 PM
To:	donotreply@nsa.gov
Cc:	matthew.cole@nbcuni.com
Subject:	FOIA Request (Web form submission)

Name: MATTHEW A COLE

Email: matthew.cole@nbcuni.com

Company: NBC NEWS

Postal Address: 30 Rockerfeller Plaza

Postal 2nd Line: Rm 412E1

Postal City: New York

Postal State-prov: New York

Zip Code: 10112

Country: United States of America

Work Phone:

Records Requested: Request any and all emails, documents or any other form of communication sent by EDWARD SNOWDEN to the Office of General Counsel, or equivalent, as well as from SNOWDEN to the office of legal compliance, or equivalent, including but not limited to, the years 2012 and 2013.

(b) (6)

Approved for	Release	by NSA	on	04-27-2015.
FOIA Case #	78137			

	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36	it.
From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	donotreply@nsa.gov Friday, May 23, 2014 4:22 PM donotreply@nsa.gov matthew.cole@nbc.com FOIA Request (Web form submission)	
Name: Matthew	Cole	
Email: <u>matthew</u> .	<u>cole@nbc.com</u>	
Company: NBC Ne	ws	
Postal Address:	30 Rockefeller Plaza	
Postal 2nd Line	2: Rm 412E1	
Postal City: Ne	w York	
Postal State-pr	YOV: NY	
Zip Code: 10112	2	
Country: United	l States of America (b)(6)	
Work Phone:		

Records Requested: Request any and all emails, documents or any other form of communication sent by or from EDWARD SNOWDEN to the Office of General Counsel, or equivalent, or to any lawyer or legal office within the agency during the years 2012 and 2013, as well as any communication in response to Mr. Snowden.

Bauman, Ethan L.		and the second	
From: Sent: To:	Bauman, Ethan L. Thursday, April 10, 2014 4:34 PM Grannis, David: Poindexter, Martha Scott: Dick	Darren	
Cc: Subject: Attachments:	Followup - Media Notification Snowden USSID 18_Redacted.pdf	(b) ((3)-P.L. 86-36
Classification:	UNCLASSIFIED 77 FOUG		

(b) (6)

All:

Last night Val provided a heads-up about an article in Vanity Fair. As you may be aware, excerpts of that article now appear on the web. In them, Edward Snowden is quoted as saying that he contacted "NSA's lawyers" and "oversight and compliance authorities" and "raised complaints" and "specifically expressed concerns " about "interpretation of the law." Against the backdrop of extensive searches, supported by investigative interviews, there was one brief email exchange between Mr. Snowden and the NSA Office of General Counsel in April 2013 seeking generic clarification about the hierarchy of certain legal and policy documents governing NSA's mission activities. The NSA Office of General Counsel responded promptly to Mr. Snowden's inquiry, provided clarification, and proactively offered to discuss further if Mr. Snowden desired. This email was identified in the immediate aftermath of the unauthorized disclosures in June of 2013. A copy is attached. The redactions are the names and identifying information of NSA personnel.

More generally, investigations by NSA and FBI have not yielded any evidence that Mr. Snowden expressed concerns or complaints, in email or any other form, about NSA's intelligence activities to anyone in a position of authority or oversight. We will continue to investigate any credible suggestion that this occurred, and will inform Congress of any additional information we find.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Ethan

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO-

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

-----Original Message-----From: _______ Sent: Monday, April 08, 2013 1:37 PM To: Snowden Edward J NSA-FHV USA CTR Cc: _____

Subject: RE: (U) Question for OGC re OVSC1800 Course Content - (U) FW: Comments from the D2 General Counsel Email Us Form

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED //FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Hello Ed,

Executive Orders (E.O.s) have the "force and effect of law." That said, you are correct that E.O.s cannot override a statute.

In general, DOD and ODNI regulations are afforded similar precedence though subject matter or date could result in one having precedence over another.

Please give me a call if you would like to discuss further.

Regards,

Office of General Counsel/D21 963-3121 NSTS/(301) 688-5015 Commercial OPS 2B, 2B8134, Suite 6250 -----Original Message-----From: ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov [mailto:ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov] Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 4:11 PM To: DL gc_web (ALIAS) D2 Cc: Snowden Edward J NSA-FHV USA CTR Subject: Comments from the D2 General Counsel Email Us Form Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY SID: ejsnowd fullname: Ed Snowden Org: FHV Affiliation: Contractor Secure_Phone: N/A

Message: Hello, I have a question regarding the mandatory USSID 18 training.

I'm not entirely certain, but this does not seem correct, as it seems to imply Executive Orders have the same precedence as law. My understanding is that EOs may be superseded by federal statute, but EOs may not override statute. Am I incorrect in this? Between EOs and laws, which have precedence?

Similarly, between DOD and ODNI regulations, which has greater precedence?

Could you please clarify?

Thank you very much,

Ed

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY-

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc:	donotreply@nsa.gov Tuesday, April 08, 2014 5:40 PM donotreply@nsa.gov
Subject:	FOIA Request (Web form submission)
Name: Jason Leopol	Ld
Email:	0
Company: Investigat	tive Journalist
Postal Address:	
Postal City:	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
Postal State-prov:	
Zip Code:	
Country: United Sta	ates of America
Home Phone:	
Work Phone:	
To: National Securi Attn: FOIA/PA Offic 9800 Savage Road Suite 6248 Ft. George Meade, M This is a request f	The (DJ4) 1D 20755-6248 For records under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552 The considered under both statutes
REQUESTER INFORMATI Name: Jason Leopolo Address:	
Email:	
	re of any and all emails written by former NSA contractor Edward Snowden in contacted agency officials through email to raise concerns about NSA
ADDITIONAL DESCRIPT According to a report he "raised complain	ort published on April 8, 2013 by Vanity Fair, Edward Snowden stated that

"The N.S.A. at this point not only knows I raised complaints, but that there is evidence that I made my concerns known to the N.S.A.'s lawyers, because I did some of it through e-mail," Mr. Snowden said. "I directly challenge the N.S.A. to deny that I contacted N.S.A. oversight and compliance bodies directly via e-mail and that I specifically expressed concerns about their suspect interpretation of the law, and I welcome members of Congress to request a written answer to this question [from the N.S.A.]."

A link to the report in question can be found here: http://www.vanityfair.com/online/daily/2014/04/edward-snowden-interview?mbid=social_twitter

INSTRUCTIONS REGARDING SEARCH

1. Instructions Regarding "Leads":

As required by the relevant case law, the NSA should follow any leads it discovers during the conduct of its searches and perform additional searches when said leads indicate that records may be located in another system. Failure to follow clear leads is a violation of FOIA.

Request for Public Records:
Please search for any records even if they are already publicly available.

3. Request for Electronic and Paper/Manual Searches: I request that searches of all electronic and paper/manual indices, filing systems, and locations for any and all records relating or referring to the subject of my request be conducted.

4. Request for Additional Filing Systems, Indices, and Locations Searches: Please search all of your indices, filing systems, and locations, including those I have not specified by name and those of which I may not be aware.

5. Request regarding Photographs and other Visual Materials:

I request that any photographs or other visual materials responsive to my request be released to me in their original or comparable forms, quality, and resolution. For example, if a photograph was taken digitally, or if the NSA maintains a photograph digitally, I request disclosure of the original digital image file, not a reduced resolution version of that image file nor a printout and scan of that image file. Likewise, if a photograph was originally taken as a color photograph, I request disclosure of that photograph as a color image, not a black and white image. Please contact me for any clarification on this point.

6. Request for Duplicate Pages:

I request disclosure of any and all supposedly "duplicate" pages. Scholars analyze records not only for the information available on any given page, but also for the relationships between that information and information on pages surrounding it. As such, though certain pages may have been previously released to me, the existence of those pages within new context renders them functionally new pages. As such, the only way to properly analyze released information is to analyze that information within its proper context. Therefore, I request disclosure of all "duplicate" pages.

7. Request to Search Emails:

Please search for emails relating to the subject matter of my request.

8. Regarding Destroyed Records:

If any records responsive or potentially responsive to my request have been destroyed, my request include, but is not limited to, any and all records relating or referring to the destruction of those records. This includes, but is not limited to, any and all records relating or referring to the events leading to the destruction of those records.

INSTRUCTIONS REGARDING SCOPE AND BREADTH OF REQUESTS Please interpret the scope of this request broadly. The NSA is instructed to interpret the scope of this request in the most liberal manner possible short of an interpretation that would lead to a conclusion that the request does not reasonably describe the records sought. EXEMPTIONS AND SEGREGABILITY

I call your attention to President Obama's 21 January 2009 Memorandum concerning the Freedom of Information Act, in which he states:

All agencies should adopt a presumption in favor of disclosure, in order to renew their commitment to the principles embodied in FOIA [....] The presumption of disclosure should be applied to all decisions involving FOIA.

In the same Memorandum, President Obama added that government information should not be kept confidential "merely because public officials might be embarrassed by disclosure, because errors and failures might be revealed, or because of speculative or abstract fears." Finally, President Obama ordered that "The Freedom of Information Act should be administered

with a clear presumption: In the case of doubt, openness prevails."

Nonetheless, if any responsive record or portion thereof is claimed to be exempt from production, FOIA/PA statutes provide that even if some of the requested material is properly exempt from mandatory disclosure, all segregable portions must be released. If documents are denied in part or in whole, please specify which exemption(s) is (are) claimed for each passage or whole document denied. Please provide a complete itemized inventory and a detailed factual justification of total or partial denial of documents. Specify the number of pages in each document and the total number of pages pertaining to this request. For "classified" material denied, please include the following information: the classification (confidential, secret or top secret); identity of the classifier; date or event for automatic declassification or classification review or downgrading; if applicable, identity of official authorizing extension of automatic declassification or review past six years; and, if applicable, the reason for extended classification beyond six years.

In excising material, please "black out" the material rather than "white out" or "cut out." I expect, as provided by FOIA, that the remaining non-exempt portions of documents will be released.

Please release all pages regardless of the extent of excising, even if all that remains are the stationery headings or administrative markings.

In addition, I ask that your agency exercise its discretion to release records which may be technically exempt, but where withholding serves no important public interest.

ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONS REGARDING REQUEST Please produce all records with administrative markings and pagination included.

Please send a memo (copy to me) to the appropriate units in your office to assure that no records related to this request are destroyed. Please advise of any destruction of records and include the date of and authority for such destruction.

FORMAT

I request that any releases stemming from this request be provided to me in digital format (soft-copy) on a compact disk or other like media.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions concerning this request. Thank you. I appreciate your time and attention to this matter.

Jason Leopold

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	donotreply@nsa.gov Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:02 PM donotreply@nsa.gov szoldrap@gmail.com FOIA Request (Web form submission)	<u> </u>
Name: Paul S Szoldra		
Email: <u>szoldrap@gmail</u>	. COM	
Company: Business Ins	ider (b) (6)	
Postal Address:		
Postal City:		
Postal State-prov:		
Zip Code:		
Country: United State	s of America	
Home Phone:		

Records Requested: I am seeking any and all emails, letters, records, or other correspondence sent by Edward J. Snowden to the National Security Agency Office of General Counsel. I also request any and all emails, letters, records, or other correspondence sent in response to his queries.

ι.

This is for journalistic research. Thank you.

(h)	131	-P.L.	86-36
121	101		00 50

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	donotreply@nsa.gov Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:59 PM donotreply@nsa.gov myself@davidgarrettjr.com FOIA Request (Web form submission)
Name: David A Garrett	
Email: <u>myself@davidga</u>	irrettjr.com
Company: Examiner.com	1
Postal Address:	(b) (6)
Postal City:	(b) (d)
Postal State-prov:	
Zip Code:	
Country: United State	s of America
Home Phone:	

Records Requested: In a television interview with NBC News on May 28, 2014, Edward Snowden said he had previously emailed concerns to the National Security Agency's Office of the General Counsel. Could you provide me with a copy of that email?

1

			(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	donotreply@nsa.gov Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:00 Pl donotreply@nsa.gov matthew.keys@gmail.com FOIA Request (Web form subm	8	
Name: Matthew Key	s		
Email: <u>matthew.key</u>	s@gmail.com		
Company: The Desk		4	
Postal Address:			(b) (
Postal City:			
Postal State-prov:			
Zip Code:			
Country: United St	ates of America		
Home Phone:			
Work Phone: N/A			
977 G 51. S 107		1	

Records Requested: Seeking to obtain any and all e-mails sent by Edward J Snowden using the e-mail address ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov to any and all National Security Agency officials, including officials at the Office of General Counsel, for the time period between January 1, 2014 and April 1, 2014.

Blacker, Cindy S

From. Sent To Subject Matthew Keys [matthew@sactownmedia com] Friday, June 27, 2014 10 14 PM foiarsc, foiarsc FOIA case 78111 Withdrawal

Hello,

On May 29, 2014, I submitted a request pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act for "any and all e-mails sent by Edward J Snowden using the e-mail address <u>ejsnowd ansa ic gov</u> to any and all National Security Agency officials, including officials at the Office of General Counsel, for the time period between January 1, 2014 and June 1, 2014 "

On June 27, 2014 I received a letter from the National Security Agency's FOIA/Public Affairs Chief Pamela Phillips stating that no records could be located at the agency pursuant to my request because "Mr Snowden ceased to be affiliated with this Agency as a contractor in June 2013" and "e did not have an active NSA email account after that time "

I believe this to be the case, and am hereby withdrawing my FOIA request #78111 This withdrawal applies only to FOIA request #78111 and does not apply to any other FOIA requests I may have that are pending with the agency or may file in the future

Thank you for your help on this matter

Best, Matthew Keys matthew <u>a</u> sactownmedia com

(matthew keys) (<u>twitter_facebook_tumblr_website</u>)

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	donotreply@nsa.gov Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:22 PM donotreply@nsa.gov szoldrap@gmail.com FOIA Request (Web form submission)
Name: Paul S Szoldra	
Email: <u>szoldrap@gmail</u>	<u>. com</u>
Company: Business Ins	ider
Postal Address:	and the second
Postal City:	
Postal State-prov:	(b) (6)
Zip Code:	
Country: United State	s of America
Work Phone:	

Records Requested: Dear FOIA Coordinator:

This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. §552). I request that a copy of the following records be provided to me:

I am requesting any and all email correspondence sent and received by Edward J. Snowden, an intelligence contractor working for the National Security Agency, date range approximately from Jan. 2006 to Mar. 2013. He used the following email address:

ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov

He also may have used these email addresses as well:

<u>ejsnowd@nsa.gov</u> edward.j.snowden@nsa.ic.gov edward.snowden@nsa.ic.gov

This is for journalistic research. Thank you.

In order to help you determine my status for the purpose of assessing fees, you should know that I am: A representative of the news media affiliated with Business Insider, and this request is made as part of newsgathering and not for commercial use.

I am willing to pay fees if my request for a waiver is denied not to exceed \$300.

I request a waiver of fees for this request because disclosure of the requested information to me is in the public interest. It is likely to contribute significantly to public understanding of the operations or activities of the DIA and is not primarily in my commercial interest. This is for newsgathering purposes in the public interest of understanding Edward Snowden.

I include a telephone number at which I can be contacted if necessary to discuss any aspect of my request. You can contact me at Sincerely, Address : Name: Paul Szoldra (b) (6) City, State, Zip Code: Telephone number: Email address: szoldrap@gmail.com

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: donotreply@nsa.gov Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:31 PM donotreply@nsa.gov rosie@buzzfeed.com FOIA Request (Web form submission)

Name: Rosie P Gray

Email: rosie@buzzfeed.com

Company: BuzzFeed

Postal Address: 600 F Street NW

Postal 2nd Line: Ste 200

Postal City: Washington

Postal State-prov: DC

Zip Code: 20004

Country: United States of America

Work Phone: 6463699351

Records Requested: I am a reporter for BuzzFeed seeking the email history of this email address: ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov

Date range of request: February 2009-July 2013

Description of request: Please search NSA records for any and all email communications to or from the email address <u>ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov</u>.

As a requester from a news-gathering organization, I understand that the duplication of the first one hundred pages responsive to my request will be provided without charge. I am willing to pay up to \$300 for any other processing of this request. Please inform me if the estimated fees will exceed this limit before processing my request.

Thank you for your consideration.

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: donotreply@nsa.gov Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:34 PM donotreply@nsa.gov evan.hill@aljazeera.net FOIA Reguest (Web form submission) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

Name: Evan C Hill

Email: evan.hill@aljazeera.net

Company: Al Jazeera America

Postal Address: 435 Hudson Street

Postal 2nd Line: Suite 400

Postal City: New York City

Postal State-prov: NY

Zip Code: 10013

Country: United States of America (b) (6)

Home Phone:

Work Phone: 917-819-8458

Records Requested: I request copies of any and all emails or messages sent to or from the following National Security Agency e-mail address:

ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov

I am not aware of the exact period of time this account was active but believe it to be a short period that does not require an unreasonable response effort on behalf of the Agency.

I am aware that e-mails sent to or from United States government accounts are subject to the Freedom of Information Act.

I request expedited processing of this FOIA records request as the information it seeks is of wide public interest and not large in size or time and would inform the public about an actual or alleged federal government activity.

Please let me know if there is any further information that would assist you in responding to this request.

Best regards, Evan Hill

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: donotreply@nsa.gov Thursday, May 29, 2014 7:51 PM donotreply@nsa.gov ellen.nakashima@washpost.com FOIA Request (Web form submission)

Name: Ellen Nakashima

Email: ellen.nakashima@washpost.com

Company: The Washington Post

Postal Address: 1150 15th St. NW

Postal City: Washington

Postal State-prov: DC

Zip Code: 20071

Country: United States of America

Work Phone: 202 334 4419

Records Requested: The Washington Post hereby submits a request under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 552 et seq., for the following records:

All e-mails to or from Edward Snowden, a former contract employee(Dell, Booz Allen, with the NSA in Japan and Hawaii. He worked in Japan from 2009-2013 and in Hawaii from 2013-2014.

The Washington Post respectfully requests a fee waiver pursuant to 5 U.S.C. § 552(a)(4)(A)(ii)(II), since the records are not being sought for a commercial use, but rather to inform the public on an issue of intense public interest and continuing controversy. At a minimum, as a representative of the news media, the Post is entitled to a limitation of the fees to reasonable standard charges for document duplication. See id. If the fee waiver is denied with respect to search costs, the Post will pay reasonable duplicating fees, but requests to be notified if the cost is expected to exceed \$100.

I would appreciate your communicating with me by telephone or e-mail, rather than mail, if you have questions regarding this request. I look forward to your response within 20 days as the statute requires. Thank you for your attention to this request.

Very truly yours,

Ellen Nakashima The Washington Post

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: donotreply@nsa.gov Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:07 PM donotreply@nsa.gov gkorte@usatoday.com FOIA Request (Web form submission)

Name: Gregory T Korte

Email: gkorte@usatoday.com

Company: USA TODAY

Postal Address: 1575 Eye Street, N.W. Suite 350

1

Postal City: Washington

Postal State-prov: District of Columbia

Zip Code: 20005

Country: United States of America

Work Phone: 7038548907

Records Requested: Any and all communications to and from Edward Snowden to the National Security Agency's Office of Chief Counsel. This request includes all communications, in whatever form or format, and does not have any time limit.

Please provide expedited processing. This request involves a matter of national importance that is the subject of widespread media interest and congressional oversight.

Please waive all fees. I am a journalist engaged full-time in the dissemination of information to the public. I am employed by USA TODAY is the nation's largest newspaper.

(b) (3)-	P.L.	86-36
----------	------	-------

From:	donotreply@nsa.gov
Sent:	Friday, June 27, 2014 10:16 PM
To:	donotreply@nsa.gov
Cc:	matthew@sactownmedia.com
Subject:	FOIA Request (Web form submission)

Name: Matthew Keys

Email: <u>matthew@sactownmedia.com</u>	🕬 (b) (6)
Postal Address:	. (b) (0)
Postal City	
Postal State-prov	Э.
Zip Code:	
Country: United States of America	3
Home Phone:	

Records Requested: Seeking to obtain any and all e-mails sent by Edward J Snowden using the e-mail address <u>ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov</u> to any and all National Security Agency officials, including officials at the Office of General Counsel, for the time period between January 1, 2013 and June 1, 2013.



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 77440 30 April 2014

JASON LEOPOLD

Dear Mr. Leopold:

This is an initial response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request dated 8 April 2014, which was received by this office on 9 April 2014, for "any and all emails written by former NSA contractor Edward Snowden in which Mr. Snowden contacted agency officials through email to raise concerns about NSA programs."

This letter acknowledges that we have received your request and provides some administrative information. Your request has been assigned Case Number 77440. Due to a significant increase in the number of requests being received by this Agency, we are experiencing delays in processing. We will begin to process your request and will respond to you again as soon as we are able.

Correspondence related to your request should include the case number assigned to your request, which is included in the second paragraph of this letter. Your letter should be addressed to National Security Agency, FOIA Office (DJ4), 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Ft. George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248 or may be sent by facsimile to 443-479-3612. If sent by fax, it should be marked for the attention of the FOIA office. The telephone number of the FOIA office is 301-688-6527.

Sincerely,

Detra &

FOIA Customer Representative



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 77440A 23 June 2014

JASON LEOPOLD

Dear Mr. Leopold:

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of 8 April 2014, which was received by this office on 9 April 2014, for "any and all emails written by former NSA contractor Edward Snowden in which Mr. Snowden contacted agency officials through email to raise concerns about NSA programs." As noted in our initial correspondence dated 30 April 2014, your request has been assigned Case Number 77440. For purposes of this request and based on the information you provided in your letter, you are considered a "representative of the media." There are no assessable fees for this request.

Your request has been processed under the provisions of the FOIA. A thorough search of our files was conducted, but there are no documents indicating that Mr. Snowden contacted agency officials to raise concerns about NSA programs.

The Initial Denial Authority for NSA information is the Associate Director for Policy and Records, David J. Sherman. The fact that we were unable to locate records responsive to your request may be considered by you as an adverse determination, and you are hereby advised of this Agency's appeal procedures. Any person notified of an adverse determination may file an appeal to the NSA/CSS Freedom of Information Act Appeal Authority. The appeal must be postmarked no later than 60 calendar days after the date of the initial denial letter. The appeal shall be in writing addressed to the NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority (DJ4), National Security Agency, 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248. To aid in processing the appeal, it should reference the inability of the Agency to locate the records you seek, in sufficient detail and particularity, and the grounds upon which you believe this Agency maintains such records. The NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal

FOIA Case: 77440A

Authority will endeavor to respond to the appeal within 20 working days after receipt, absent unusual circumstances.

As you may be aware, one document was located that shows that Mr. Snowden raised questions about the agency's interpretation of NSA's legal authorities. Although it is not responsive to your request since it does not discuss NSA programs or raise concerns about NSA programs, we are providing the document to you as a courtesy as it was previously released. The document can also be found posted on the IContheRecord. Tumblr.com website, as well as on NSA.gov.

Sincerely,

PAMELA N. PHILLIPS Chief FOIA/PA Office

Encl: a/s



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78071 27 May 2014

MATTHEW A COLE 30 ROCKE FELLER PLAZA RM 412E1 NEW YORK NY 10112

Dear Mr. Cole:

This is an initial response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request dated 23 May 2014, which was received by this office on 27 May 2014, for "any and all emails, documents or any other form of communication sent by or from EDWARD SNOWDEN to the Office of General Counsel, or equivalent, or to any lawyer or legal office within the agency during the years 2012 and 2013, as well as any communication in response to Mr. Snowden." This letter acknowledges that we have received your request and provides some administrative information. Your request has been assigned Case Number 78071. Due to a significant increase in the number of requests being received by this Agency, we are experiencing delays in processing. We will begin to process your request and will respond to you again as soon as we are able.

Correspondence related to your request should include the case number assigned to your request, which is included in the first paragraph of this letter. Your letter should be addressed to National Security Agency, FOIA Office (DJ4), 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Ft. George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248 or may be sent by facsimile to 443-479-3612. If sent by fax, it should be marked for the attention of the FOIA office. The telephone number of the FOIA office is 301-688-6527.

Sincerely,

Chris H.

FOIA Customer Representative



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78111 23 June 2014

> > (b) (6)

MATTHEW KEYS

Dear Mr. Keys:

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of 29 May 2014, which was received by this office on 30 May 2014, for "any and all e-mails sent by Edward J Snowden using the e-mail address <u>eisnowd@nsa.ic.gov</u> to any and all National Security Agency officials, including officials at the Office of General Counsel, for the time period between January 1, 2014 and April 1, 2014." Your request has been assigned Case Number 78111. For the purpose of fee assessment, you have been placed into the "all other" category for this request. As such, you are allowed 2 hours of search and 100 pages of duplication at no cost to you. There are no assessable fees for this request.

Your request has been processed under the provisions of the FOIA. We confirmed with the appropriate offices that since Mr. Snowden ceased to be affiliated with this Agency as a contractor in June 2013, he did not have an active NSA email account after that time. Because no emails were sent from that account after June 2013, no records responsive to your request were located.

The Initial Denial Authority for NSA information is the Associate Director for Policy and Records, David J. Sherman. The fact that we were unable to locate records responsive to your request may be considered by you as an adverse determination, and you are hereby advised of this Agency's appeal procedures. Any person notified of an adverse determination may file an appeal to the NSA/CSS Freedom of Information Act Appeal Authority. The appeal must be postmarked no later than 60 calendar days after the date of the initial denial letter. The appeal shall be in writing addressed to the NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority (DJ4), National Security Agency, 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248. To aid in processing the appeal, it should reference the inability of the Agency to locate the records you

FOIA Case: 78111

seek, in sufficient detail and particularity, and the grounds upon which you believe this Agency maintains such records. The NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority will endeavor to respond to the appeal within 20 working days after receipt, absent unusual circumstances.

Sincerely,

PAMELA N. PHILLIPS Chief FOIA/PA Office



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78113 3 June 2014

> > (b) (6)

PAUL S SZOLDRA

Dear Mr. Szoldra:

This is an initial response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request dated 29 May 2014, which was received by this office on 30 May 2014, for "any and all email correspondence sent and received by Edward J. Snowden, an intelligence contractor working for the National Security Agency, date range approximately from Jan. 2006 to Mar. 2013. He used the following email address: <u>ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov</u>." This letter acknowledges that we have received your request and provides some administrative information. Your request has been assigned Case Number 78113. Due to a significant increase in the number of requests being received by this Agency, we are experiencing delays in processing. We will begin to process your request and will respond to you again as soon as we are able. Until further processing is done, we do not know if there will be assessable fees. Therefore, we have not addressed your request for a fee waiver at this time.

Correspondence related to your request should include the case number assigned to your request, which is included in the first paragraph of this letter. Your letter should be addressed to National Security Agency, FOIA Office (DJ4), 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Ft. George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248 or may be sent by facsimile to 443-479-3612. If sent by fax, it should be marked for the attention of the FOIA office. The telephone number of the FOIA office is 301-688-6527.

Sincerely,

OBLACTOR

FOIA Customer Representative



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78113 26 June 2014

> > (b) (6)

PAUL S SZOLDRA

Dear Mr. Szoldra:

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of 29 May 2014, which was received by this office on 30 May 2014, for "any and all email correspondence sent and received by Edward J. Snowden, an intelligence contractor working for the National Security Agency, date range approximately from Jan. 2006 to Mar. 2013. He used the following email address: <u>ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov</u>. He also may have used these email addresses as well: <u>ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov</u>. He also may have used these email addresses as well: <u>ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov</u>." Your request has been assigned Case Number 78113. For purposes of this request and based on the information you provided in your letter, you are considered an "all other" requester. There are no assessable fees for this request therefore; we did not address your request for a fee waiver.

Your request has been processed under the provisions of the FOIA. One document responsive to your request is enclosed. The names of NSA/CSS employees have been deleted from the enclosure. This information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the third exemption of the FOIA, which provides for the withholding of information specifically protected from disclosure by statute. The specific statute applicable in this case is Section 6, Public Law 86-36 (50 U.S. Code 3605).

The remaining records that this Agency maintains pertaining to Mr. Snowden, including his emails, are exempt from release in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(1), 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(3), 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(6), and 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(7).

Records pertaining to Mr. Snowden are withheld pursuant to the seventh exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(7)), which protects from disclosure records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes. This

FOIA Case: 78113

includes information that, if released, could interfere with enforcement proceedings, could cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, could reveal the identities of confidential sources, or would reveal law enforcement techniques and procedures. All of the information maintained by this Agency relating to Mr. Snowden meets the threshold requirements for withholding under exemption 7 of the FOIA and is withheld under (b)(7)(A), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), and (b)(7)(E) of the FOIA.

The sixth exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(6)) protects from disclosure information which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. In balancing the public interest for the information you request against the privacy interests involved, we have determined that the privacy interests sufficiently satisfy the requirements for the application of the (b)(6) exemption.

In addition, this Agency is authorized by statute to protect certain information concerning its activities, as well as the names of its employees. Such information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the third exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(3)), which provides for the withholding of information specifically protected from disclosure by statute. The specific statute applicable in this case is Section 6, Public Law 86-36 (50 U.S. Code 3605). We have determined that such information exists in these records, and it has been withheld accordingly. Other statutes that apply are Title 18 U.S. Code 798 and Title 50 U.S. Code 3024(i).

Some of the withheld information was also found to be currently and properly classified in accordance with Executive Order 13526. This information meets the criteria for classification as set forth in Subparagraphs (b), (c), (d) and (g) of Section 1.4 and remains classified TOP SECRET, SECRET, and CONFIDENTIAL as provided in Section 1.2 of the Executive Order. The information is classified because its disclosure could reasonably be expected to cause exceptionally grave damage to the national security. Because the information is currently and properly classified, it is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the first exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(1)).

The Initial Denial Authority for NSA information is the Associate Director for Policy and Records, David J. Sherman. Since information was withheld from the enclosed document, and because the rest of your request is being denied, you are hereby advised of this Agency's appeal procedures. Any person denied access to information may file an appeal to the NSA/CSS Freedom of Information Act Appeal Authority. The appeal must be postmarked no later than 60 calendar days of the date of the initial denial letter. The appeal shall

FOIA Case: 78113

be in writing addressed to the NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority (DJ4), National Security Agency, 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248. The appeal shall reference the adverse determination and shall contain, in sufficient detail and particularity, the grounds upon which the requester believes that the determination is unwarranted. The NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority will endeavor to respond to the appeal within 20 working days after receipt, absent any unusual circumstances.

For your information, there are no emails indicating that Mr. Snowden contacted agency officials to raise concerns about NSA programs.

Sincerely,

PAMELA N. PHILLIPS Chief FOIA/PA Office

Encl: a/s



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78114 3 June 2014

ROSIE P GRAY BUZZFEED 600 F STREET NW STE 200 WASHINGTON, DC 20004

Dear Ms. Gray:

This is an initial response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request dated 29 May 2014, which was received by this office on 30 May 2014, for "the email history of this email address: <u>ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov</u>. Date range of request: February 2009-July 2013. Description of request: Please search NSA records for any and all email communications to or from the email address <u>ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov</u>." This letter acknowledges that we have received your request and provides some administrative information. Your request has been assigned Case Number 78114. Due to a significant increase in the number of requests being received by this Agency, we are experiencing delays in processing. We will begin to process your request and will respond to you again as soon as we are able.

Correspondence related to your request should include the case number assigned to your request, which is included in the first paragraph of this letter. Your letter should be addressed to National Security Agency, FOIA Office (DJ4), 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Ft. George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248 or may be sent by facsimile to 443-479-3612. If sent by fax, it should be marked for the attention of the FOIA office. The telephone number of the FOIA office is 301-688-6527.

Sincerely,

OBLACTOR

FOIA Customer Representative



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78114 27 June 2014

ROSIE P GRAY BUZZFEED 600 F STREET NW STE 200 WASHINGTON DC 20004

Dear Ms. Gray:

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of 29 May 2014, which was received by this office on 30 May 2014, for "any and all email communications to our from the email address <u>ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov</u>." Your request has been assigned Case Number 78114. For purposes of this request and based on the information you provided in your letter, you are considered an "all other" requester. There are no assessable fees for this request.

Your request has been processed under the provisions of the FOIA. One document responsive to your request is enclosed. The names of NSA/CSS employees have been deleted from the enclosure. This information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the third exemption of the FOIA, which provides for the withholding of information specifically protected from disclosure by statute. The specific statute applicable in this case is Section 6, Public Law 86-36 (50 U.S. Code 3605).

The remaining records that this Agency maintains pertaining to Mr. Snowden, including his emails, are exempt from release in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(1), 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(3), 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(6), and 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(7).

Records pertaining to Mr. Snowden are withheld pursuant to the seventh exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(7)), which protects from disclosure records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes. This includes information that, if released, could interfere with enforcement proceedings, could cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, could reveal the identities of confidential sources, or would reveal law enforcement techniques and procedures. All of the information maintained by this Agency

FOIA Case: 78114

relating to Mr. Snowden meets the threshold requirements for withholding under exemption 7 of the FOIA and is withheld under (b)(7)(A), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), and (b)(7)(E) of the FOIA.

The sixth exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(6)) protects from disclosure information which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. In balancing the public interest for the information you request against the privacy interests involved, we have determined that the privacy interests sufficiently satisfy the requirements for the application of the (b)(6) exemption.

In addition, this Agency is authorized by statute to protect certain information concerning its activities, as well as the names of its employees. Such information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the third exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(3)), which provides for the withholding of information specifically protected from disclosure by statute. The specific statute applicable in this case is Section 6, Public Law 86-36 (50 U.S. Code 3605). We have determined that such information exists in these records, and it has been withheld accordingly. Other statutes that apply are Title 18 U.S. Code 798 and Title 50 U.S. Code 3024(i).

Some of the withheld information was also found to be currently and properly classified in accordance with Executive Order 13526. This information meets the criteria for classification as set forth in Subparagraphs (b), (c), (d) and (g) of Section 1.4 and remains classified TOP SECRET, SECRET, and CONFIDENTIAL as provided in Section 1.2 of the Executive Order. The information is classified because its disclosure could reasonably be expected to cause exceptionally grave damage to the national security. Because the information is currently and properly classified, it is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the first exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(1)).

The Initial Denial Authority for NSA information is the Associate Director for Policy and Records, David J. Sherman. Since information was withheld from the enclosed document, and because the rest of your request is being denied, you are hereby advised of this Agency's appeal procedures. Any person denied access to information may file an appeal to the NSA/CSS Freedom of Information Act Appeal Authority. The appeal must be postmarked no later than 60 calendar days of the date of the initial denial letter. The appeal shall be in writing addressed to the NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority (DJ4), National Security Agency, 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248. The appeal shall reference the adverse determination and shall contain, in sufficient detail and particularity, the grounds upon which the requester believes that the determination is unwarranted. The NSA/CSS FOIA

FOIA Case: 78114

Appeal Authority will endeavor to respond to the appeal within 20 working days after receipt, absent any unusual circumstances.

For your information, there are no emails indicating that Mr. Snowden contacted agency officials to raise concerns about NSA programs.

Sincerely,

PAMELA N. PHILLIPS Chief FOIA/PA Office

Encl: a/s



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78115A 27 June 014

EVAN C HILL AL JAZEERA AMERICA 435 HUDSON ST STE 400 NEW YORK CITY NY 10013

Dear Mr. Hill:

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of 29 May 2014, which was received by this office on 30 May 2014, for "Copies of any and all emails or messages sent to or from the following National Security Agency e-mail address: ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov." As previously provided, your request has been assigned Case Number 78115.

Your request has been processed under the provisions of the FOIA. One document responsive to your request is enclosed. The names of NSA/CSS employees have been deleted from the enclosure. This information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the third exemption of the FOIA, which provides for the withholding of information specifically protected from disclosure by statute. The specific statute applicable in this case is Section 6, Public Law 86-36 (50 U.S. Code 3605).

The remaining records that this Agency maintains pertaining to Mr. Snowden, including his emails, are exempt from release in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(1), 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(3), 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(6), and 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(7).

Records pertaining to Mr. Snowden are withheld pursuant to the seventh exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(7)), which protects from disclosure records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes. This includes information that, if released, could interfere with enforcement proceedings, could cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, could reveal the identities of confidential sources, or would reveal law enforcement techniques and procedures. All of the information maintained by this Agency relating to Mr. Snowden meets the threshold requirements for withholding

FOIA Case: 78115A

under exemption 7 of the FOIA and is withheld under (b)(7)(A), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), and (b)(7)(E) of the FOIA.

The sixth exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(6))protects from disclosure information which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. In balancing the public interest for the information you request against the privacy interests involved, we have determined that the privacy interests sufficiently satisfy the requirements for the application of the (b)(6) exemption.

In addition, this Agency is authorized by statute to protect certain information concerning its activities, as well as the names of its employees. Such information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the third exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(3)), which provides for the withholding of information specifically protected from disclosure by statute. The specific statute applicable in this case is Section 6, Public Law 86-36 (50 U.S. Code 3605). We have determined that such information exists in these records, and it has been withheld accordingly. Other statutes that apply are Title 18 U.S. Code 798 and Title 50 U.S. Code 3024(i).

Some of the withheld information was also found to be currently and properly classified in accordance with Executive Order 13526. This information meets the criteria for classification as set forth in Subparagraphs (b), (c), (d) and (g) of Section 1.4 and remains classified TOP SECRET, SECRET, and CONFIDENTIAL as provided in Section 1.2 of the Executive Order. The information is classified because its disclosure could reasonably be expected to cause exceptionally grave damage to the national security. Because the information is currently and properly classified, it is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the first exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(1)).

The Initial Denial Authority for NSA information is the Associate Director for Policy and Records, David J. Sherman. Since information was withheld from the enclosed document, and because the rest of your request is being denied, you are hereby advised of this Agency's appeal procedures. Any person denied access to information may file an appeal to the NSA/CSS Freedom of Information Act Appeal Authority. The appeal must be postmarked no later than 60 calendar days of the date of the initial denial letter. The appeal shall be in writing addressed to the NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority (DJ4), National Security Agency, 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248. The appeal shall reference the adverse determination and shall contain, in sufficient detail and particularity, the grounds upon which the requester believes that the determination is unwarranted. The NSA/CSS FOIA
FOIA Case: 78115A

Appeal Authority will endeavor to respond to the appeal within 20 working days after receipt, absent any unusual circumstances.

For your information, there are no emails indicating that Mr. Snowden contacted agency officials to raise concerns about NSA programs.

Sincerely,

PAMELA N. PHILLIPS Chief FOIA/PA Office

Encl: a/s



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78118 10 June 2014

ELLEN NAKASHIMA 1150 15TH ST NW Washington, DC 20071

Dear Ms. Nakashima:

This is an initial response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request dated 29 May 2014, which was received by this office on 30 May 2014, for "All e-mails to or from Edward Snowden, a former contract employee (Dell, Booz Allen, with theNSA in Japan and Hawaii. He worked in Japan from 2009-2013 and in Hawaii from 2013-2014." This letter acknowledges that we have received your request and provides some administrative information. Your request has been assigned Case Number 78118. Due to a significant increase in the number of requests being received by this Agency, we are experiencing delays in processing. We will begin to process your request and will respond to you again as soon as we are able. Until further processing is done, we do not know if there will be assessable fees. Therefore, we have not addressed your request for a fee waiver at this time.

Correspondence related to your request should include the case number assigned to your request, which is included in the first paragraph of this letter. Your letter should be addressed to National Security Agency, FOIA Office (DJ4), 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Ft. George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248 or may be sent by facsimile to 443-479-3612. If sent by fax, it should be marked for the attention of the FOIA office. The telephone number of the FOIA office is 301-688-6527.

Sincerely,

Laura m.

FOIA Customer Representative



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78118 25 June 2014

ELLEN NAKASHIMA THE WASHINGTON POST 1150 15TH ST NW WASHINGTON DC 20071

Dear Ms. Nakashima:

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of 29 May 2014, which was received by this office on 30 May 2014, for "all e-mails to or from Edward Snowden, a former contract employee (Dell, Booz Allen, with the NSA in Japan and Hawaii. He worked in Japan from 2009-2013 and in Hawaii from 2013-2014." Your request has been assigned Case Number 78118. For purposes of this request and based on the information you provided in your letter, you are considered a "representative of the media". There are no assessable fees for this request; therefore, we did not address your request for a fee waiver.

Your request has been processed under the provisions of the FOIA. One document responsive to your request is enclosed. The names of NSA/CSS employees have been deleted from the enclosure. This information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the third exemption of the FOIA, which provides for the withholding of information specifically protected from disclosure by statute. The specific statute applicable in this case is Section 6, Public Law 86-36 (50 U.S. Code 3605).

The remaining records that this Agency maintains pertaining to Mr. Snowden, including his emails, are exempt from release in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(1), 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(3), 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(6), and 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(7).

Records pertaining to Mr. Snowden are withheld pursuant to the seventh exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(7)), which protects from disclosure records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes. This includes information that, if released, could interfere with enforcement proceedings, could cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, could

FOIA Case: 78118

reveal the identities of confidential sources, or would reveal law enforcement techniques and procedures. All of the information maintained by this Agency relating to Mr. Snowden meets the threshold requirements for withholding under exemption 7 of the FOIA and is withheld under (b)(7)(A), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), and (b)(7)(E) of the FOIA.

The sixth exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(6))protects from disclosure information which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. In balancing the public interest for the information you request against the privacy interests involved, we have determined that the privacy interests sufficiently satisfy the requirements for the application of the (b)(6) exemption.

In addition, this Agency is authorized by statute to protect certain information concerning its activities, as well as the names of its employees. Such information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the third exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(3)), which provides for the withholding of information specifically protected from disclosure by statute. The specific statute applicable in this case is Section 6, Public Law 86-36 (50 U.S. Code 3605). We have determined that such information exists in these records, and it has been withheld accordingly. Other statutes that apply are Title 18 U.S. Code 798 and Title 50 U.S. Code 3024(i).

Some of the withheld information was also found to be currently and properly classified in accordance with Executive Order 13526. This information meets the criteria for classification as set forth in Subparagraphs (b), (c), (d) and (g) of Section 1.4 and remains classified TOP SECRET, SECRET, and CONFIDENTIAL as provided in Section 1.2 of the Executive Order. The information is classified because its disclosure could reasonably be expected to cause exceptionally grave damage to the national security. Because the information is currently and properly classified, it is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the first exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(1)).

The Initial Denial Authority for NSA information is the Associate Director for Policy and Records, David J. Sherman. Since information was withheld from the enclosed document, and because the rest of your request is being denied, you are hereby advised of this Agency's appeal procedures. Any person denied access to information may file an appeal to the NSA/CSS Freedom of Information Act Appeal Authority. The appeal must be postmarked no later than 60 calendar days of the date of the initial denial letter. The appeal shall be in writing addressed to the NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority (DJ4), National Security Agency, 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Fort George G. Meade, MD

FOIA Case: 78118

20755-6248. The appeal shall reference the adverse determination and shall contain, in sufficient detail and particularity, the grounds upon which the requester believes that the determination is unwarranted. The NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority will endeavor to respond to the appeal within 20 working days after receipt, absent any unusual circumstances.

For your information, there are no emails indicating that Mr. Snowden contacted agency officials to raise concerns about NSA programs.

Sincerely,

PAMELA N. PHILLIPS Chief FOIA/PA Office

Encl: a/s



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78119 3 June 2014

GREGORY T KORTE 1575 EYE ST NW STE 350 WASHINGTON DC 20005

Dear Mr. Korte:

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of 29 May 2014, which was received by this office on 30 May 2014, for "Any and all communications to and from Edward Snowden to the National Security Agency's Office of General Counsel. This request includes communications, in whatever format, and does not have a time limit." Your request has been assigned Case Number 78119. For purposes of this request and based on the information you provided in your letter, you are considered a "representative of the media." There are no assessable fees for this request; therefore, we did not address your request for a fee waiver. Since this letter fully responds to your FOIA request, we have not addressed your request for expedited processing.

Your request has been processed under the provisions of the FOIA. The document responsive to your request is enclosed. This document was previously released in FOIA Case 78071. Certain information, however, has been deleted from the enclosure. This Agency is authorized by statute to protect the names of its employees. This information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the third exemption of the FOIA, which provides for the withholding of information specifically protected from disclosure by statute. The specific statute applicable in this case is Section 6, Public Law 86-36 (50 U.S. Code 3605).

The Initial Denial Authority for NSA information is the Associate Director for Policy and Records, David J. Sherman. Since these deletions may be construed as a partial denial of your request, you are hereby advised of this Agency's appeal procedures. Any person denied access to information may file an appeal to the NSA/CSS Freedom of Information Act Appeal Authority. The appeal must be postmarked no later than 60 calendar days from the date of the initial denial letter. The appeal shall be in writing addressed to the NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority (DJ4), National Security Agency, 9800 Savage Road STE

FOIA Case: 78119

6248, Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248. The appeal shall reference the initial denial of access and shall contain, in sufficient detail and particularity, the grounds upon which the requester believes release of the information is required. The NSA/CSS Appeal Authority will endeavor to respond to the appeal within 20 working days after receipt, absent any unusual circumstances.

Sincerely,

PAMELA N. PHILLIPS Chief FOIA/PA Office

Encl: a/s



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78274 18 June 2014

ROSIE P GRAY 600 F STREET NW STE 200 WASHINGTON DC 20004

Dear Ms. Gray:

This is an initial response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request dated 29 May 2014, which was received by this office on 13 June 2014, for "...the email history of this email address: ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov Date range of request: February 2009-July 2013 Description of request: Please search NSA records for any and all email communications to or from the email address ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov." This letter acknowledges that we have received your request and provides some administrative information. Your request has been assigned Case Number 78274. Due to a significant increase in the number of requests being received by this Agency, we are experiencing delays in processing. We have begun to process your request and will provide a more substantive response to you as soon as we are able.

Correspondence related to your request should include the case number assigned to your request, which is included in the first paragraph of this letter. Your letter should be addressed to National Security Agency, FOIA Office (DJ4), 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Ft. George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248 or may be sent by facsimile to 443-479-3612. If sent by fax, it should be marked for the attention of the FOIA office. The telephone number of the FOIA office is 301-688-6527.

Sincerely,

Chris H.

FOIA Customer Representative



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78274A 27 June 2014

ROSIE P GRAY BUZZFEED 600 F ST NW STE 200 WASHINGTON DC 20004

Dear Ms. Gray:

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of 29 May 2014, which was received by this office on 13 June 2014, for "any and all email communications to or from the email address ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov." As previously provided, your request has been assigned Case Number 78274. For purposes of this request and based on the information you provided in your letter, you are considered an "all other" requester. There are no assessable fees for this request.

Your request has been processed under the provisions of the FOIA. One document responsive to your request is enclosed. The names of NSA/CSS employees have been deleted from the enclosure. This information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the third exemption of the FOIA, which provides for the withholding of information specifically protected from disclosure by statute. The specific statute applicable in this case is Section 6, Public Law 86-36 (50 U.S. Code 3605).

The remaining records that this Agency maintains pertaining to Mr. Snowden, including his emails, are exempt from release in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(1), 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(3), 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(6), and 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(7).

Records pertaining to Mr. Snowden are withheld pursuant to the seventh exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(7)), which protects from disclosure records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes. This includes information that, if released, could interfere with enforcement proceedings, could cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, could reveal the identities of confidential sources, or would reveal law enforcement techniques and procedures. All of the information maintained by this Agency

FOIA Case: 78274A

relating to Mr. Snowden meets the threshold requirements for withholding under exemption 7 of the FOIA and is withheld under (b)(7)(A), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), and (b)(7)(E) of the FOIA.

The sixth exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(6))protects from disclosure information which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. In balancing the public interest for the information you request against the privacy interests involved, we have determined that the privacy interests sufficiently satisfy the requirements for the application of the (b)(6) exemption.

In addition, this Agency is authorized by statute to protect certain information concerning its activities, as well as the names of its employees. Such information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the third exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(3)), which provides for the withholding of information specifically protected from disclosure by statute. The specific statute applicable in this case is Section 6, Public Law 86-36 (50 U.S. Code 3605). We have determined that such information exists in these records, and it has been withheld accordingly. Other statutes that apply are Title 18 U.S. Code 798 and Title 50 U.S. Code 3024(i).

Some of the withheld information was also found to be currently and properly classified in accordance with Executive Order 13526. This information meets the criteria for classification as set forth in Subparagraphs (b), (c), (d) and (g) of Section 1.4 and remains classified TOP SECRET, SECRET, and CONFIDENTIAL as provided in Section 1.2 of the Executive Order. The information is classified because its disclosure could reasonably be expected to cause exceptionally grave damage to the national security. Because the information is currently and properly classified, it is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the first exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(1)).

The Initial Denial Authority for NSA information is the Associate Director for Policy and Records, David J. Sherman. Since information was withheld from the enclosed document, and because the rest of your request is being denied, you are hereby advised of this Agency's appeal procedures. Any person denied access to information may file an appeal to the NSA/CSS Freedom of Information Act Appeal Authority. The appeal must be postmarked no later than 60 calendar days of the date of the initial denial letter. The appeal shall be in writing addressed to the NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority (DJ4), National Security Agency, 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248. The appeal shall reference the adverse determination and shall contain, in sufficient detail and particularity, the grounds upon which the

FOIA Case: 78274A

requester believes that the determination is unwarranted. The NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority will endeavor to respond to the appeal within 20 working days after receipt, absent any unusual circumstances.

For your information, there are no emails indicating that Mr. Snowden contacted agency officials to raise concerns about NSA programs.

Sincerely,

PAMELA N. PHILLIPS Chief FOIA/PA Office

Encl: a/s



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78399 1 July 2014

> > (b) (6)

MATTHEW KEYS

Dear Mr. Keys:

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of 27 June 2014, which was received by this office on 30 June 2014, for "any and all e-mails sent by Edward Snowden using the e-mail address <u>ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov</u> to any and all NSA officials, including officials at the office of General Counsel, for the time period between January 1, 2013 and June 1, 2013." Your request has been assigned Case Number 78399. For purposes of this request and based on the information you provided in your letter, you are considered an "all other" requester. There are no assessable fees for this request.

Your request has been processed under the provisions of the FOIA. One document responsive to your request is enclosed. The names of NSA/CSS employees have been deleted from the enclosure. This information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the third exemption of the FOIA, which provides for the withholding of information specifically protected from disclosure by statute. The specific statute applicable in this case is Section 6, Public Law 86-36 (50 U.S. Code 3605).

The remaining records that this Agency maintains pertaining to Mr. Snowden, including his emails, are exempt from release in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(1), 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(3), 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(6), and 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(7).

Records pertaining to Mr. Snowden are withheld pursuant to the seventh exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(7)), which protects from disclosure records or information compiled for law enforcement purposes. This includes information that, if released, could interfere with enforcement proceedings, could cause an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, could reveal the identities of confidential sources, or would reveal law enforcement

FOIA Case: 78399

techniques and procedures. All of the information maintained by this Agency relating to Mr. Snowden meets the threshold requirements for withholding under exemption 7 of the FOIA and is withheld under (b)(7)(A), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), and (b)(7)(E) of the FOIA.

The sixth exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(6))protects from disclosure information which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. In balancing the public interest for the information you request against the privacy interests involved, we have determined that the privacy interests sufficiently satisfy the requirements for the application of the (b)(6) exemption.

In addition, this Agency is authorized by statute to protect certain information concerning its activities, as well as the names of its employees. Such information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the third exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(3)), which provides for the withholding of information specifically protected from disclosure by statute. The specific statute applicable in this case is Section 6, Public Law 86-36 (50 U.S. Code 3605). We have determined that such information exists in these records, and it has been withheld accordingly. Other statutes that apply are Title 18 U.S. Code 798 and Title 50 U.S. Code 3024(i).

Some of the withheld information was also found to be currently and properly classified in accordance with Executive Order 13526. This information meets the criteria for classification as set forth in Subparagraphs (b), (c), (d) and (g) of Section 1.4 and remains classified TOP SECRET, SECRET, and CONFIDENTIAL as provided in Section 1.2 of the Executive Order. The information is classified because its disclosure could reasonably be expected to cause exceptionally grave damage to the national security. Because the information is currently and properly classified, it is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the first exemption of the FOIA (5 U.S.C. Section 552(b)(1)).

The Initial Denial Authority for NSA information is the Associate Director for Policy and Records, David J. Sherman. Since information was withheld from the enclosed document, and because the rest of your request is being denied, you are hereby advised of this Agency's appeal procedures. Any person denied access to information may file an appeal to the NSA/CSS Freedom of Information Act Appeal Authority. The appeal must be postmarked no later than 60 calendar days of the date of the initial denial letter. The appeal shall be in writing addressed to the NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority (DJ4), National Security Agency, 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248. The appeal shall reference the adverse determination and shall

FOIA Case: 78399

contain, in sufficient detail and particularity, the grounds upon which the requester believes that the determination is unwarranted. The NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority will endeavor to respond to the appeal within 20 working days after receipt, absent any unusual circumstances.

For your information, there are no emails indicating that Mr. Snowden contacted agency officials to raise concerns about NSA programs.

Sincerely,

PAMELA N. PHILLIPS Chief FOIA/PA Office

Encl: a/s



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78104 23 June 2014

PAUL S SZOLDRA BUSINESS INSIDER

(b) (6)

Dear Mr. Szoldra:

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of 29 May 2014, which was received by this office on 30 May 2014, for "Any and all emails, letters, records, or other correspondence sent by Edward J. Snowden to the National Security Agency Office of General Counsel. I also request any and all emails, letters, records, or other correspondence sent in response to his queries." Your request has been assigned Case Number 78104. For purposes of this request and based on the information you provided in your letter, you are considered a "media" requester. There are no assessable fees for this request.

Your request has been processed under the provisions of the FOIA. One document was found to be responsive to your request and is enclosed. This document was previously released in FOIA Case 78071. Certain information, however, has been deleted from the enclosure. This Agency is authorized by statute to protect the names of its employees. This information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the third exemption of the FOIA, which provides for the withholding of information specifically protected from disclosure by statute. The specific statute applicable in this case is Section 6, Public Law 86-36 (50 U.S. Code 3605).

The Initial Denial Authority for NSA information is the Associate Director for Policy and Records, David J. Sherman. Since these deletions may be construed as a partial denial of your request, you are hereby advised of this Agency's appeal procedures. Any person denied access to information may file an appeal to the NSA/CSS Freedom of Information Act Appeal Authority. The appeal must be postmarked no later than 60 calendar days from the date of the initial denial letter. The appeal shall be in writing addressed to the NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority (DJ4), National Security Agency, 9800 Savage Road STE

FOIA Case: 78104

6248, Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248. The appeal shall reference the initial denial of access and shall contain, in sufficient detail and particularity, the grounds upon which the requester believes release of the information is required. The NSA/CSS Appeal Authority will endeavor to respond to the appeal within 20 working days after receipt, absent any unusual circumstances.

Sincerely,

PAMELA N. PHILLIPS Chief FOIA/PA Office

Encl: a/s



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78107 10 June 2014

DAVID A GARRETT	
	(b) (6)

Dear Mr. Garrett:

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of 29 May 2014, which was received by this office on 30 May 2014, for a copy of an e-mail from Edward Snowden to the NSA's Office of the General Counsel, which has recently been mentioned in the press. Your request has been assigned Case Number 78107. There are no assessable fees for this request; therefore, we have not addressed your fee category.

Your request has been processed under the provisions of the FOIA. The requested record has been made publically available on the NSA.gov web site. Please reference the following web page for the link posted on 5 June 2014:

http://www.nsa.gov/public_info/ whats_new/index .shtml

Since the record responsive to your request is publicly available and we have identified where you can obtain it, we consider your request to be satisfied and, accordingly, your request is being closed at this time.

Correspondence related to your request should include the case number assigned to your request, which is included in the first paragraph of this letter. Your letter should be addressed to National Security Agency, FOIA Office (DJ4), 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Ft. George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248 or may be sent by facsimile to 443-479-3612. If sent by fax, it should be marked for the attention of the FOIA office. The telephone number of the FOIA office is 301-688-6527.

Sincerely,

PAMELA N. PHILLIPS Chief FOIA/PA Office



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78071 29 May 2014

MATTHEW A COLE 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA RM 412E1 NEW YORK NY 10112

Dear Mr. Cole:

This further responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of 23 May 2014 for "Any and all emails, documents or any other form of communication sent by or from EDWARD SNOWDEN to the Office of General Counsel, or equivalent, or to any lawyer or legal office within the agency during the years 2012 and 2013, as well as any communication in response to Mr. Snowden." For purposes of this request and based on the information you provided in your letter, you are considered a representative of the media. Unless you qualify for a fee waiver or reduction, you must pay for duplication in excess of the first 100 pages. There are no assessable fees for this request.

Your request has been processed under the FOIA and the responsive document is enclosed. Certain information, however, has been deleted from the enclosure. The names of NSA/CSS employees have been deleted from the enclosure. This information is exempt from disclosure pursuant to the third exemption of the FOIA, which provides for the withholding of information specifically protected from disclosure by statute. The specific statute applicable in this case is Section 6, Public Law 86-36 (50 U.S. Code 3605).

The Initial Denial Authority for NSA information is the Associate Director for Policy and Records, David J. Sherman. Since these deletions may be construed as a partial denial of your request, you are hereby advised of this Agency's appeal procedures. Any person denied access to information may file an appeal to the NSA/CSS Freedom of Information Act Appeal Authority. The appeal must be postmarked no later than 60 calendar days from the date of the initial denial letter. The appeal shall be in writing addressed to the NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority (DJ4), National Security Agency, 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248. The appeal shall reference the initial denial of access and shall contain, in sufficient detail and particularity,

FOIA Case: 78071

the grounds upon which the requester believes release of the information is required. The NSA/CSS Appeal Authority will endeavor to respond to the appeal within 20 working days after receipt, absent any unusual circumstances.

Sincerely,

Shehowshi

PAMELA N. PHILLIPS Chief FOIA/PA Office

Encl: a/s

(b) (3)-50 USC 3024(i)

(b) (6)

From: Sent: To: Subject: Richard Byrne Reilly [richard@venturebeat.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:58 PM nsapao Edward Snowden Email.

Dear NSA:

My name is Richard Reilly and I'm a reporter with VentureBeat in San Francisco requesting a copy of the email Edward Snowden sent to the NSA and released today by the agency. I'm working on a very tight deadline and appreciate your assistance.

Kind regards!

Richard

1
- 21
- 22

Richard Byrne Reilly writer c: t: @rbyrnereilly

VentureBeat | Events

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Subject: Michael van Poppel (BNO News) [mpoppel@bnonews.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:55 PM nsapao Edward Snowden

Good afternoon,

Do you have any comment regarding Edward Snowden's claim that he sent at least one email to his superiors, notifying them of his concerns?

Thank you.

- -

Best regards,

Michael van Poppel BNO News B.V. Tel: +1 845-796-9291 Fax: +1 347-521-3174 Twitter: @mpoppel mpoppel@bnonews.com www.bnonews.com

The information contained in this message may be confidential and is intended to be exclusively for the addressee. Should you receive this message unintentionally, please do not use the contents herein and notify the sender immediately by return e-mail. BNO News B.V. is registered by the Chamber of Commerce under nr. 17264724

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:02 PM 'Cole, Matthew (NBCUniversal)' nsapao; DL PAOMedia Email claim

Hi. Please see the below.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b) (6)

From: Sent: To: Subject: Matt Sledge [msledge@huffingtonpost.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:53 PM Vines, Vanee M; nsapao Follow-up on Snowden email release

Hi all,

Had a quick follow-up question on the Snowden email release.

Snowden claims he "reported these clearly problematic programs to more than ten distinct officials." Sounds to me like those reports could very likely have been verbal rather than written in an email.

Have you investigated whether he raised concerns *verbally*? Did your investigation include Snowden's contacts with officials at Dell or other contractors?

On a separate note, Snowden's supporters frequently point to the experience of Thomas Drake, William Binney and others to suggest that seeking to raise concerns of wrongdoing within internal channels can backfire in the intelligence world. Do you have any response on that point?

Regards, Matt Sledge

Matt Sledge

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/news/matt-sledge/ https://twitter.com/mgsledge

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

÷ .

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Dana Liebelson [dliebelson@motherjones.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:22 PM Green Miller, Marci L; Vines, Vanee M nsapao; DL PAOMedia Follow-up on WashPo interview

Good evening, I'm following up on claims just published in the <u>Washington Post</u> by Snowden. According to Snowden, "Today's release is incomplete, and does not include my correspondence with the Signals Intelligence Directorate's Office of Compliance, which believed that a classified executive order could take precedence over an act of Congress, contradicting what was just published. It also did not include concerns about how indefensible collection activities - such as breaking into the back-haul communications of major US internet companies - are sometimes concealed under E.O. 12333 to avoid Congressional reporting requirements and regulations."

Does the NSA have comment on the allegation that the email exchange released today is incomplete?

Has the NSA received evidence of the alleged correspondence addressing the other concerns Snowden outlines here? If so, were these disclosures documented verbally or in writing?

Will the NSA release these emails, or other emails from Snowden, if they exist? If so, when?

From: Green Miller, Marci L [mlgree3@nsa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:59 AM
To: Dana Liebelson; Vines, Vanee M
Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia
Subject: RE: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Hi.

We have the below in response to your query.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r
Marci Green Miller
NSA Public and Media Affairs Office
443-634-0721

From: Dana Liebelson [mailto:dliebelson@motherjones.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:54 AM To: Vines, Vanee M

Cc: nsapao

Subject: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Good morning! In the NBC interview last night, Mr. Williams said that the network had confirmed "with multiple sources" that before Snowden went to the press, he reported the surveillance that he believed to be problematic internally with intelligence agency supervisors. In the past, Mr. Clapper has <u>denied</u> this is the case. I haven't heard anyone report the NSA's side on this apparent contradiction, and I'd like to do so.

Does the NSA deny that Snowden filed concerns with intelligence agency supervisors internally, in writing, before going to the press? Why or why not?

2

If not, why did Mr. Clapper say so previously?

Thank you for your time.

Best, Dana

Dana Liebelson Staff Reporter: Mother Jones Freelance: The Week Phone: (202) 347-2397 EX 3007 Twitter: @dliebelson

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

(b) (6)

From: Sent: To: Subject: Vines, Vanee M Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:05 PM nsapao FW: Follow-up on Snowden email release

Nothing else

Vanee' Vines Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW**********Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Matt Sledge [mailto:msledge@huffingtonpost.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:53 PM To: Vines, Vanee M; nsapao Subject: Follow-up on Snowden email release

Hi all,

Had a quick follow-up question on the Snowden email release.

Snowden claims he "reported these clearly problematic programs to more than ten distinct officials." Sounds to me like those reports could very likely have been verbal rather than written in an email.

Have you investigated whether he raised concerns *verbally*? Did your investigation include Snowden's contacts with officials at Dell or other contractors?

On a separate note, Snowden's supporters frequently point to the experience of Thomas Drake, William Binney and others to suggest that seeking to raise concerns of wrongdoing within internal channels can backfire in the intelligence world. Do you have any response on that point?

Regards, Matt Sledge

Matt Sledge

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/news/matt-sledge/ https://twitter.com/mgsledge

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Friday, May 30, 2014 9:58 AM nsapao DL PAOMedia FW: Follow-up on WashPo interview

Not commenting.....

From: Dana Liebelson [mailto:dliebelson@motherjones.com] Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 09:53 AM To: Green Miller, Marci L; Vines, Vanee M Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia Subject: RE: Follow-up on WashPo interview

Good morning, I'm following up on my inquiry below-would be great if I could get a response by noon.

Thanks for your time, Dana

From: Dana Liebelson Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:22 PM To: Green Miller, Marci L; Vines, Vanee M Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia Subject: Follow-up on WashPo interview

Good evening, I'm following up on claims just published in the <u>Washington Post</u> by Snowden. According to Snowden, "Today's release is incomplete, and does not include my correspondence with the Signals Intelligence Directorate's Office of Compliance, which believed that a classified executive order could take precedence over an act of Congress, contradicting what was just published. It also did not include concerns about how indefensible collection activities - such as breaking into the back-haul communications of major US internet companies - are sometimes concealed under E.O. 12333 to avoid Congressional reporting requirements and regulations."

Does the NSA have comment on the allegation that the email exchange released today is incomplete?

Has the NSA received evidence of the alleged correspondence addressing the other concerns Snowden outlines here? If so, were these disclosures documented verbally or in writing?

Will the NSA release these emails, or other emails from Snowden, if they exist? If so, when?

From: Green Miller, Marci L [mlgree3@nsa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:59 AM
To: Dana Liebelson; Vines, Vanee M
Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia
Subject: RE: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Hi.

We have the below in response to your query.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course

1

he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

From: Dana Liebelson [mailto:dliebelson@motherjones.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:54 AM To: Vines, Vanee M Cc: nsapao Subject: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Good morning! In the NBC interview last night, Mr. Williams said that the network had confirmed "with multiple sources" that before Snowden went to the press, he reported the surveillance that he believed to be problematic internally with intelligence agency supervisors. In the past, Mr. Clapper has <u>denied</u> this is the case. I haven't heard anyone report the NSA's side on this apparent contradiction, and I'd like to do so.

Does the NSA deny that Snowden filed concerns with intelligence agency supervisors internally, in writing, before going to the press? Why or why not?

If not, why did Mr. Clapper say so previously?

Thank you for your time.

Best, Dana

Dana Liebelson Staff Reporter: Mother Jones Freelance: The Week Phone: (202) 347-2397 EX 3007 Twitter: @dliebelson

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From:VineSent:FridaTo:DL FCc:nsapSubject:Fw:

Vines, Vanee M Friday, May 30, 2014 6:22 PM DL PAOMedia nsapao Fw: NBC Nightly News - looking for a statement.

NO

From: Cox, Oliver (NBCUniversal) [mailto:Oliver.Cox@nbcuni.com]
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 05:44 PM
To: nsapao
Subject: RE: NBC Nightly News - looking for a statement.

Also, If you had someone who can talk to Andrea Mitchell before 6:30 - she can be reached at 202-885-4835

From: Cox, Oliver (NBCUniversal) Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 5:30 PM To: 'nsapao@nsa.gov' Subject: NBC Nightly News - looking for a statement.

To whom it may concern,

My name is Oliver Cox I am working with Andrea Mitchell on a spot for Nightly News TONIGHT. we are on deadline and we go to air at 6:30pm. NBC obtained a letter from Edward Snowden (Below) and we wanted to get your reaction before Nightly News. Here's Edward Snowden's letter:

"The NSA's new discovery of written contact between me and its lawyers - after more than a year of denying any such contact existed - raises serious concerns. It reveals as false the NSA's claim to Barton Gellman of the Washington Post in December of last year, that " after extensive investigation, including interviews with his former NSA supervisors and co-workers, we have not found any evidence to support Mr. Snowden's contention that he brought these matters to anyone's attention. "

Today's release is incomplete, and does not include my correspondence with the Signals Intelligence Directorate's Office of Compliance, which believed that a classified executive order could take precedence over an act of Congress, contradicting what was just published. It also did not include concerns about how indefensible collection activities - such as breaking into the back-haul communications of major US internet companies - are sometimes concealed under E.O. 12333 to avoid Congressional reporting requirements and regulations.

If the White House is interested in the whole truth, rather than the NSA's clearly tailored and incomplete leak today for a political advantage, it will require the NSA to ask my former colleagues, management, and the senior leadership team about whether I, at any time, raised concerns about the NSA's improper and at times unconstitutional surveillance activities. It will not take long to receive an answer.

Ultimately, whether my disclosures were justified does not depend on whether I raised these concerns previously. That's because the system is designed to ensure that even the most valid concerns are suppressed and ignored, not acted upon. The fact that two powerful Democratic Senators - Ron Wyden and Mark Udall - knew of mass surveillance that they believed was abusive and felt constrained to do anything about it underscores how futile such internal action is -- and will remain -- until these processes are reformed.

Still, the fact is that I did raise such concerns both verbally and in writing, and on multiple, continuing occasions - as I have always said, and as NSA has always denied. Just as when the NSA claimed it followed German laws in Germany only weeks before it was revealed that they did not, or when NSA said they did not engage in economic espionage a few short months before it was revealed they actually did so on a regular and recurring basis, or even when NSA claimed they had "no domestic spying program" right before we learned they collected the phone records of every American they could, so too are today's claims that "this is only evidence we have of him reporting concerns" false.

Now that they have finally begun producing emails, I am confident that truth will become clear rather sooner than later."

(b) (6)

###

Oliver Cox Researcher NBC News O: 202-885-4271 C:

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

g 200

Green Miller, Marci L
Tuesday, April 08, 2014 1:19 PM
nsapao
FW: Press/media inquiry from CBS

Amber – for log and file, please.

From: Vines, Vanee M Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 1:18 PM To: DL PAOMedia Subject: Fw: Press/media inquiry from CBS

Won't comment until/unless we hear from JD/Raj. We don't have any new lines yet.

#

From: Edward Moyer [mailto:edward.moyer@cbsinteractive.com] Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 01:12 PM To: Vines, Vanee M Subject: Press/media inquiry from CBS

Hi, Vanee,

Long time no email. :)

Hey, Edwad Snowden has apparently challenged the NSA to deny that -- before he decided to make off with and leak secret documents -- he "contacted NSA oversight and compliance bodies directly via email" and that he "specifically expressed concerns" about the agency's "suspect interpretation of the law." This is from a Vanity Fair press release about a piece they'll be publishing this week. The magazine says Rick Ledgett told its reporters -- as VF paraphrases -- that "Snowden made no formal complaints" and that "if he complained personally to anyone, he or she has not acknowledged it." The release is here:

http://www.vanityfair.com/online/daily/2014/04/edward-snowden-interview

Snowden also apparently told the magazine that he has "zero" stolen NSA documents in his possession and that numbers that investigators have publicized are wrong -- especially the 1.7 million figure, which, he says, is "simply a scare number based on an intentionally crude metric: everything that I ever digitally interacted with in my career."

Does the NSA have a response? Do the emails Snowden mentions exist? Does the 1.7 million number simply represent everything Snowden interacted with while a contractor? Does the agency care to accept Snowden's challenge and unequivocally deny that Snowden tried legal, internal means before going the route of a leaker?

1

Thanks yet again for your time,

--Edward

Edward Moyer

Associate Editor CBS Interactive — CNET News.com T 415.344.2460 235 Second Street, San Francisco, CA 94105 www.cnet.com/profile/edward_moyer/

×

BNET | CBS Moneywatch | CBS News | CNET | TechRepublic | ZDNet

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:10 PM nsapao FW: warren

Amber - for log and file, please. V closed out by phone

From: Vines, Vanee M Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:04 PM To: DL PAOMedia Subject: warren

Vanee' Vines Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW************Media line: 443-634-0721

From: <u>Warren.Strobel@thomsonreuters.com</u> [mailto:Warren.Strobel@thomsonreuters.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:27 AM To: Vines, Vanee M Subject: G'morning

Vanee: hope you are well. Can you weigh in on this today, or can someone in the administration?

http://www.nbcnews.com/feature/edward-snowden-interview/email-supports-snowden-claim-he-questioned-nsa-practices-n117086

Much thanks Warren

Warren Strobel

Diplomatic Editor, Washington Bureau Reuters

(mobile)

+1 202 310 5660 (work)

(b) (6)

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Subject: Susan Crabtree [scrabtree@washingtonexaminer.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:33 PM nsapao If you have released the snowden email...

Can you please send it along... or direct me to the place on your website where I could find it.

Thanks,

Susan Crabtree White House Correspondent Washington Examiner

I

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:02 PM 'Nakashima, Ellen M' nsapao; DL PAOMedia NBC claims

Hi Ellen,

Understand that you were asking about the email in question from last night's NBC interview. We have the below.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

```
###
```

v/r

Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

Marci Green Miller Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs Media line: 443-634-0721 Direct line: 443-634-0695

Follow @NSA PAO on Twitter

Like the National Cryptologic Museum on Facebook!

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:10 PM 'Lee.H.Ferran@abc.com' nsapao; DL PAOMedia NBC email claim

Hi Lee,

Understand that you were asking about the email in question from last night's NBC interview. We have the below.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137
(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Subject: Cox, Oliver (NBCUniversal) [Oliver.Cox@nbcuni.com] Friday, May 30, 2014 5:30 PM nsapao NBC Nightly News - looking for a statement.

To whom it may concern,

My name is Oliver Cox I am working with Andrea Mitchell on a spot for Nightly News TONIGHT. we are on deadline and we go to air at 6:30pm. NBC obtained a letter from Edward Snowden (Below) and we wanted to get your reaction before Nightly News. Here's Edward Snowden's letter:

"The NSA's new discovery of written contact between me and its lawyers - after more than a year of denying any such contact existed - raises serious concerns. It reveals as false the NSA's claim to Barton Gellman of the Washington Post in December of last year, that " after extensive investigation, including interviews with his former NSA supervisors and co-workers, we have not found any evidence to support Mr. Snowden's contention that he brought these matters to anyone's attention."

Today's release is incomplete, and does not include my correspondence with the Signals Intelligence Directorate's Office of Compliance, which believed that a classified executive order could take precedence over an act of Congress, contradicting what was just published. It also did not include concerns about how indefensible collection activities - such as breaking into the back-haul communications of major US internet companies - are sometimes concealed under E.O. 12333 to avoid Congressional reporting requirements and regulations.

If the White House is interested in the whole truth, rather than the NSA's clearly tailored and incomplete leak today for a political advantage, it will require the NSA to ask my former colleagues, management, and the senior leadership team about whether I, at any time, raised concerns about the NSA's improper and at times unconstitutional surveillance activities. It will not take long to receive an answer.

Ultimately, whether my disclosures were justified does not depend on whether I raised these concerns previously. That's because the system is designed to ensure that even the most valid concerns are suppressed and ignored, not acted upon. The fact that two powerful Democratic Senators - Ron Wyden and Mark Udall - knew of mass surveillance that they believed was abusive and felt constrained to do anything about it underscores how futile such internal action is -- and will remain -- until these processes are reformed.

Still, the fact is that I did raise such concerns both verbally and in writing, and on multiple, continuing occasions - as I have always said, and as NSA has always denied. Just as when the NSA claimed it followed German laws in Germany only weeks before it was revealed that they did not, or when NSA said they did not engage in economic espionage a few short months before it was revealed they actually did so on a regular and recurring basis, or even when NSA claimed they had " no domestic spying program" right before we learned they collected the phone records of every American they could, so too are today's claims that " this is only evidence we have of him reporting concerns" false.

Now that they have finally begun producing emails, I am confident that truth will become clear rather sooner than later."

###

Oliver Cox

Researcher

C:

NBC News

0: 202-885-4271

8

(b) (6)

(b)	(3)	-P.L.	86-36
1 1	1 - 1		1. C. M. C. C. HORSEN

(b) (6)

From	1:
Sent	
To:	
Subj	ect:

Brett LoGiurato [blogiurato@businessinsider.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:13 PM nsapao Quick guestion

Hi --

My name is Brett, and I'm a reporter with Business Insider. Could I get your statement re: Mr. Snowden's email he discussed last night on NBC? Also wanted to be sure I was on your list to receive the actual email when it is released.

Thanks!

Brett LoGiurato Political Reporter | Business Insider

www.businessinsider.com/politics @BrettLoGiurato

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Dustin Volz [dvolz@nationaljournal.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:49 PM Vines, Vanee M nsapao Re: Any response to Snowden interview?

Thanks, Vanee. Do you have a approximate time for release?

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 1:32 PM, Vines, Vanee M <<u>vmvine3@nsa.gov</u>> wrote:

Thanks!

Please see the item below. Also, we'll post something else soon. Please stand by.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

1

###

Vanee' Vines

Public Affairs Specialist

NSA Public & Media Affairs

NEW********Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Dustin Volz [mailto:<u>dvolz@nationaljournal.com]</u> Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:16 PM To: Vines, Vanee M Subject: Re: Any response to Snowden interview?

Hi Vanee,

Hearing that the NSA may release the letter Snowden sent up the chain of command today. Can you tell me more?

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 10:22 AM, Dustin Volz <dvolz@nationaljournal.com> wrote:

Sorry, an edit: asking whether the NSA has a statement on this. Thanks!

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 10:22 AM, Dustin Volz <<u>dvolz@nationaljournal.com</u>> wrote:

Hi Vanee,

Wondering if there's any response from Intel or Chairman Rogers to last night's interview, particularly Snowden's claim that he communicated concerns to officials within the NSA before his leaks (which NBC says it has verified as accurate). He's often been criticized for not pursuing internal means before disclosing classified material. Any statement on this?

Thanks, Dustin

Dustin Volz Tech Policy Correspondent

National Je	nunal
O: 202-266	-7186
C:	
dvolz@nat	ionaljournal.com
@dnvolz	

Follow tech news? Sign up for *National Journal*'s Tech Edge, a free morning tipsheet with the news you need in tech policy, featuring a round-up of the best coverage and exclusive tips for the day ahead. In your inbox every day at 6 a.m. Subscribe at <u>http://www.nationaljournal.com/tech-edge</u>

Dustin Volz Tech Policy Correspondent

National Journal O: 202-266-7186

C: <u>dvolz@nationaljournal.com</u> @dnvolz

Follow tech news? Sign up for *National Journal*'s Tech Edge, a free morning tipsheet with the news you need in tech policy, featuring a round-up of the best coverage and exclusive tips for the day ahead. In your inbox every day at 6 a.m. Subscribe at http://www.nationaljournal.com/tech-edge

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

Dustin Volz

Tech Policy Correspondent

National Journal O: <u>202-266-7186</u> C:

dvolz@nationaljournal.com @dnvolz

Follow tech news? Sign up for *National Journal*'s Tech Edge, a free morning tipsheet with the news you need in tech policy, featuring a round-up of the best coverage and exclusive tips for the day ahead. In your inbox every day at 6 a.m. Subscribe at <u>http://www.nationaljournal.com/tech-edge</u>

Dustin Volz Tech Policy Correspondent National Journal O: 202-266-7186 C: dvolz@nationaljournal.com @dnvolz

Follow tech news? Sign up for *National Journal*'s Tech Edge, a free morning tipsheet with the news you need in tech policy, featuring a round-up of the best coverage and exclusive tips for the day ahead. In your inbox every

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

day at 6 a.m. Subscribe at <u>http://www.nationaljournal.com/tech-edge</u>

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Vines, Vanee M Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:33 PM 'Dustin Volz' nsapao RE: Any response to Snowden interview?

Thanks!

Please see the item below. Also, we'll post something else soon. Please stand by.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

Vanee' Vines Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW************Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Dustin Volz [mailto:dvolz@nationaljournal.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:16 PM To: Vines, Vanee M Subject: Re: Any response to Snowden interview?

Hi Vanee,

Hearing that the NSA may release the letter Snowden sent up the chain of command today. Can you tell me more?

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 10:22 AM, Dustin Volz <<u>dvolz@nationaljournal.com</u>> wrote: Sorry, an edit: asking whether the NSA has a statement on this. Thanks!

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 10:22 AM, Dustin Volz <<u>dvolz@nationaljournal.com</u>> wrote:

1

Hi Vanee,

Wondering if there's any response from Intel or Chairman Rogers to last night's interview, particularly Snowden's claim that he communicated concerns to officials within the NSA before his leaks (which NBC says it has verified as accurate). He's often been criticized for not pursuing internal means before disclosing classified material. Any statement on this?

Thanks, Dustin	17	98) (*			

Dustin Volz				
Tech Policy Correspondent			(1 1)	
National Journal				
O: <u>202-266-7186</u>				
C	3		•	(b) (6)
dvolz@nationaljournal.com		Q		
@dnvolz			3 8	

Follow tech news? Sign up for *National Journal*'s Tech Edge, a free morning tipsheet with the news you need in tech policy, featuring a round-up of the best coverage and exclusive tips for the day ahead. In your inbox every day at 6 a.m. Subscribe at <u>http://www.nationaljournal.com/tech-edge</u>

Dustin Volz		
Tech Policy Correspondent	×.	
National Journal		
O: <u>202-266-7186</u>		~ .
C:		(b) (6)
dvolz@nationaljournal.com	59 59	
@dnvolz /		*

Follow tech news? Sign up for *National Journal*'s Tech Edge, a free morning tipsheet with the news you need in tech policy, featuring a round-up of the best coverage and exclusive tips for the day ahead. In your inbox every day at 6 a.m. Subscribe at <u>http://www.nationaljournal.com/tech-edge</u>

2

Dustin Volz Tech Policy Correspondent National Journal O: 202-266-7186 C:

(b) (6)

dvolz@nationaljournal.com @dnvolz

Follow tech news? Sign up for *National Journal*'s Tech Edge, a free morning tipsheet with the news you need in tech policy, featuring a round-up of the best coverage and exclusive tips for the day ahead. In your inbox every day at 6 a.m. Subscribe at <u>http://www.nationaljournal.com/tech-edge</u>

21

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:21 PM 'Dustin Volz'; Vines, Vanee M nsapao RE: Any response to Snowden interview? (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

The email is on the below website now -

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on www.nsa.gov shortly.

From: Dustin Volz [mailto:dvolz@nationaljournal.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:49 PM To: Vines, Vanee M Cc: nsapao Subject: Re: Any response to Snowden interview?

Thanks, Vanee. Do you have a approximate time for release?

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 1:32 PM, Vines, Vanee M <<u>vmvine3@nsa.gov</u>> wrote:

Thanks!

Please see the item below. Also, we'll post something else soon. Please stand by.

. .

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The email did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

Vanee' Vines

Public Affairs Specialist

NSA Public & Media Affairs

NEW*********Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Dustin Volz [mailto:<u>dvolz@nationaljournal.com]</u> Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:16 PM To: Vines, Vanee M Subject: Re: Any response to Snowden interview?

Hi Vanee,

Hearing that the NSA may release the letter Snowden sent up the chain of command today. Can you tell me more?

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 10:22 AM, Dustin Volz <<u>dvolz@nationaljournal.com</u>> wrote:

Sorry, an edit: asking whether the NSA has a statement on this. Thanks!

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 10:22 AM, Dustin Volz <<u>dvolz@nationaljournal.com</u>> wrote:

Hi Vanee,

Wondering if there's any response from Intel or Chairman Rogers to last night's interview, particularly Snowden's claim that he communicated concerns to officials within the NSA before his leaks (which NBC says it has verified as accurate). He's often been criticized for not pursuing internal means before disclosing classified material. Any statement on this?

Thanks, Dustin

Dustin Volz Tech Policy Correspondent

National Journal O: 202-266-7186 C: _______ dvolz@nationaljournal.com @dnvolz

Follow tech news? Sign up for *National Journal*'s Tech Edge, a free morning tipsheet with the news you need in tech policy, featuring a round-up of the best coverage and exclusive tips for the day ahead. In your inbox every day at 6 a.m. Subscribe at <u>http://www.nationaljournal.com/tech-edge</u>

3

Dustin Volz Tech Policy Correspondent

National Journal O: <u>202-266-7186</u> C:

dvolz@nationaljournal.com @dnvolz (b) (6)

(b) (6)

Follow tech news? Sign up for *National Journal*'s Tech Edge, a free morning tipsheet with the news you need in tech policy, featuring a round-up of the best coverage and exclusive tips for the day ahead. In your inbox every day at 6 a.m. Subscribe at <u>http://www.nationaljournal.com/tech-edge</u>

Dustin Volz Tech Policy Correspondent

National Journal O: 202-266-7186 C: dvolz@nationaljournal.com @dnvolz

Follow tech news? Sign up for *National Journal*'s Tech Edge, a free morning tipsheet with the news you need in tech policy, featuring a round-up of the best coverage and exclusive tips for the day ahead. In your inbox every day at 6 a.m. Subscribe at <u>http://www.nationaljournal.com/tech-edge</u>

(b) (6)

Dustin Volz Tech Policy Correspondent National Journal O: 202-266-7186 C:_______(b) (6) dvolz@nationaljournal.com @dnvolz

Follow tech news? Sign up for *National Journal*'s Tech Edge, a free morning tipsheet with the news you need in tech policy, featuring a round-up of the best coverage and exclusive tips for the day ahead. In your inbox every day at 6 a.m. Subscribe at <u>http://www.nationaljournal.com/tech-edge</u>

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From:Green Miller, Marci LSent:Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:00 PMTo:'Jill Aitoro'Cc:nsapao; DL PAOMediaSubject:RE: Comment on security

Hi.

We have the below in response to your query.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r
Marci Green Miller
NSA Public and Media Affairs Office
443-634-0721

-----Original Message-----From: Jill Aitoro [mailto:jaitoro@bizjournals.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:24 AM To: nsapao Subject: Comment on security

Good morning. Any comment from NSA on this claim by Snowden about NSA:

"Their auditing was so poor, so negligent that any private contractor - not even an employee of the government- can walk into the NSA building, take whatever they wanted and walk out with it and they would never know."

Jill R. Aitoro Senior Reporter Washington Business Journal 703-258-0898 Follow me on Twitter: @FedBiz_JAitoro

Sign up for FedBiz Daily and get news, data and analysis on how contractors can grow their businesses and enhance their partnerships, all delivered to your inbox: http://www.bizjournals.com/wbj fedbiz signup.html

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

(b) (6)

From: Sent: To: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:25 PM 'Josh Gerstein'; nsapao RE: Disclosure of Snowden e-mail to OGC

The email is on the below website now -

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on www.nsa.gov shortly.

From: Josh Gerstein [mailto:jgerstein@politico.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:05 PM To: nsapao Subject: Disclosure of Snowden e-mail to OGC

White House just indicated that NSA plans to release a Snowden e-mail today.

Wondering how, when and where ...

thanks

Hi

Josh Gerstein Reporter POLITICO 703-647-7684 (o) (c)

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Subject: Vines, Vanee M Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:56 PM 'Michael van Poppel (BNO News)'; nsapao RE: Edward Snowden

Hi. The item is below. We'll post more soon. Please stand by.

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

Vanee' Vines Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW*********Media line: 443-634-0721

-----Original Message-----From: Michael van Poppel (BNO News) [mailto:mpoppel@bnonews.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:55 PM To: nsapao Subject: Edward Snowden

Good afternoon,

Do you have any comment regarding Edward Snowden's claim that he sent at least one email to his superiors, notifying them of his concerns?

Thank you.

--Best regards,

Michael van Poppel BNO News B.V. Tel: +1 845-796-9291 Fax: +1 347-521-3174 Twitter: @mpoppel mpoppel@bnonews.com www.bnonews.com

....

The information contained in this message may be confidential and is intended to be exclusively for the addressee. Should you receive this message unintentionally, please do not use the contents herein and notify the sender immediately by return e-mail. BNO News B.V. is registered by the Chamber of Commerce under nr. 17264724

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

(b) (6)

From: Sent: To: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:03 PM 'Richard Byrne Reilly'; nsapao RE: Edward Snowden Email.

The e-mail and statement are on IC on the Record and will soon be posted to nsa.gov. Here:

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

From: Richard Byrne Reilly [mailto:richard@venturebeat.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:58 PM To: nsapao Subject: Edward Snowden Email.

Dear NSA:

My name is Richard Reilly and I'm a reporter with VentureBeat in San Francisco requesting a copy of the email Edward Snowden sent to the NSA and released today by the agency. I'm working on a very tight deadline and appreciate your assistance.

Kind regards!

Richard

Richard Byrne Reilly writer c: t: @rbyrnereilly VentureBeat | Events

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:21 PM Vines, Vanee M; 'Michael van Poppel (BNO News)'; nsapao RE: Edward Snowden

The email is on the below website now -

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on www.nsa.gov shortly.

-----Original Message-----From: Vines, Vanee M Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:56 PM To: 'Michael van Poppel (BNO News)'; nsapao Subject: RE: Edward Snowden

Hi. The item is below. We'll post more soon. Please stand by.

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

Vanee' Vines Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW*********Media line: 443-634-0721

----Original Message----From: Michael van Poppel (BNO News) [mailto:mpoppel@bnonews.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:55 PM To: nsapao Subject: Edward Snowden

Good afternoon,

Do you have any comment regarding Edward Snowden's claim that he sent at least one email to his superiors, notifying them of his concerns?

Thank you.

--Best regards,

Michael van Poppel BNO News B.V. Tel: +1 845-796-9291 Fax: +1 347-521-3174 Twitter: @mpoppel mpoppel@bnonews.com www.bnonews.com

The information contained in this message may be confidential and is intended to be exclusively for the addressee. Should you receive this message unintentionally, please do not use the contents herein and notify the sender immediately by return e-mail. BNO News B.V. is registered by the Chamber of Commerce under nr. 17264724

(h)	(3)	-P T.	86-36	
121	101	T . Th .	00 00	

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Cole, Matthew (NBCUniversal) [Matthew.Cole@nbcuni.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:27 PM Green Miller, Marci L nsapao; DL PAOMedia Re: Email claim

What time will it be released?

Best,

Matthew Cole

(b) (6)

On May 29, 2014, at 1:02 PM, "Green Miller, Marci L" <mlgree3@nsa.gov> wrote:

Hi. Please see the below.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The email will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:21 PM 'Cole, Matthew (NBCUniversal)' nsapao; DL PAOMedia RE: Email claim

The email is on the below website now -

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on www.nsa.gov shortly.

From: Cole, Matthew (NBCUniversal) [mailto:Matthew.Cole@nbcuni.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:27 PM To: Green Miller, Marci L Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia Subject: Re: Email claim

What time will it be released?

Best,

Matthew Cole

(b) (6)

On May 29, 2014, at 1:02 PM, "Green Miller, Marci L" <mlgree3@nsa.gov> wrote:

Hi. Please see the below.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The email will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Subject: Vines, Vanee M Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:50 PM 'Matt Sledge'; nsapao RE: Follow-up on Snowden email release

Re: Your story today

Hi. The December statement was provided to the Washington Post in response to the writer's questions. As today's statement says: The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted.

Excerpt from the story:

By last December, Snowden was contacting reporters, although he had not yet passed along any classified information. He continued to give his colleagues the "front-page test," he said, until April.

Asked about those conversations, NSA spokeswoman Vanee Vines sent a prepared statement to The Post: "After extensive investigation, including interviews with his former NSA supervisors and co-workers, we have not found any evidence to support Mr. Snowden's contention that he brought these matters to anyone's attention."

Snowden recounted another set of conversations that he said took place three years earlier, when he was sent by the NSA's Technology Directorate to support operations at a listening post in Japan.

###

From: Matt Sledge [mailto:msledge@huffingtonpost.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:53 PM To: Vines, Vanee M; nsapao Subject: Follow-up on Snowden email release

Hi all,

Had a quick follow-up question on the Snowden email release.

Snowden claims he "reported these clearly problematic programs to more than ten distinct officials." Sounds to me like those reports could very likely have been verbal rather than written in an email.

Have you investigated whether he raised concerns *verbally*? Did your investigation include Snowden's contacts with officials at Dell or other contractors?

On a separate note, Snowden's supporters frequently point to the experience of Thomas Drake, William Binney and others to suggest that seeking to raise concerns of wrongdoing within internal channels can backfire in the intelligence world. Do you have any response on that point?

2

Regards, Matt Sledge

Matt Sledge

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/news/matt-sledge/ https://twitter.com/mgsledge (b) (6)

POCID: 42	28688
-----------	-------

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Dana Liebelson [dliebelson@motherjones.com] Friday, May 30, 2014 9:54 AM Green Miller, Marci L; Vines, Vanee M nsapao; DL PAOMedia RE: Follow-up on WashPo interview

Good morning, I'm following up on my inquiry below—would be great if I could get a response by noon.

Thanks for your time, Dana

From: Dana Liebelson Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:22 PM To: Green Miller, Marci L; Vines, Vanee M Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia Subject: Follow-up on WashPo interview

Good evening, I'm following up on claims just published in the <u>Washington Post</u> by Snowden. According to Snowden, "Today's release is incomplete, and does not include my correspondence with the Signals Intelligence Directorate's Office of Compliance, which believed that a classified executive order could take precedence over an act of Congress, contradicting what was just published. It also did not include concerns about how indefensible collection activities - such as breaking into the back-haul communications of major US internet companies - are sometimes concealed under E.O. 12333 to avoid Congressional reporting requirements and regulations."

Does the NSA have comment on the allegation that the email exchange released today is incomplete?

Has the NSA received evidence of the alleged correspondence addressing the other concerns Snowden outlines here? If so, were these disclosures documented verbally or in writing?

Will the NSA release these emails, or other emails from Snowden, if they exist? If so, when?

From: Green Miller, Marci L [mlgree3@nsa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:59 AM
To: Dana Liebelson; Vines, Vanee M
Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia
Subject: RE: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Hi.

We have the below in response to your query.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

,###

1

v/r Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

From: Dana Liebelson [mailto:dliebelson@motherjones.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:54 AM
To: Vines, Vanee M
Cc: nsapao
Subject: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Good morning! In the NBC interview last night, Mr. Williams said that the network had confirmed "with multiple sources" that before Snowden went to the press, he reported the surveillance that he believed to be problematic internally with intelligence agency supervisors. In the past, Mr. Clapper has <u>denied</u> this is the case. I haven't heard anyone report the NSA's side on this apparent contradiction, and I'd like to do so.

Does the NSA deny that Snowden filed concerns with intelligence agency supervisors internally, in writing, before going to the press? Why or why not?

If not, why did Mr. Clapper say so previously?

Thank you for your time.

Best, Dana

Dana Liebelson Staff Reporter: Mother Jones Freelance: The Week Phone: (202) 347-2397 EX 3007 Twitter: @dliebelson

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:34 PM 'Susan Crabtree' nsapao RE: If you have released the snowden email...

The e-mail and statement are on IC on the Record and will soon be posted to nsa.gov. Here:

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

v/r Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

From: Susan Crabtree [mailto:scrabtree@washingtonexaminer.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:33 PM To: nsapao Subject: If you have released the snowden email...

Can you please send it along... or direct me to the place on your website where I could find it.

Thanks,

Susan Crabtree White House Correspondent Washington Examiner

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:50 PM 'Nakashima, Ellen M' nsapao; DL PAOMedia RE: NBC claims

Ellen –

Attribution - Statement from the NSA Public Affairs Office. If you need a name, feel free to use mine.

Off-the-record - the statement covers those issues. Yes.

From: Nakashima, Ellen M [mailto:Ellen.Nakashima@washpost.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:46 PM To: Green Miller, Marci L Subject: RE: NBC claims

Is this attributable to you by name? And are you saying you have found nothing to indicate he went to the Office of Compliance?

Also, have you spoken to all his superiors about concerns he says he raised verbally?

Thanks, Ellen

From: Green Miller, Marci L <<u>mlgree3@nsa.gov</u>> Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:01 PM To: Nakashima, Ellen M Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia Subject: NBC claims

Hi Ellen,

Understand that you were asking about the email in question from last night's NBC interview. We have the below.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r

Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

Marci Green Miller Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs Media line: 443-634-0721 Direct line: 443-634-0695

Follow @NSA PAO on Twitter

Like the National Cryptologic Museum on Facebook!

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:21 PM 'Nakashima, Ellen M' nsapao; DL PAOMedia RE: NBC claims

The email is on the below website now -

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on www.nsa.gov shortly.

From: Nakashima, Ellen M [mailto:Ellen.Nakashima@washpost.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:46 PM To: Green Miller, Marci L Subject: RE: NBC claims

Is this attributable to you by name? And are you saying you have found nothing to indicate he went to the Office of Compliance?

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

Also, have you spoken to all his superiors about concerns he says he raised verbally?

Thanks, Ellen

From: Green Miller, Marci L <<u>mlgree3@nsa.gov</u>> Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:01 PM To: Nakashima, Ellen M Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia Subject: NBC claims

Hi Ellen,

Understand that you were asking about the email in question from last night's NBC interview. We have the below.

······

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r *Marci Green Miller* NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

Marci Green Miller Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs Media line: 443-634-0721 Direct line: 443-634-0695

Follow **@NSA PAO** on Twitter

Like the National Cryptologic Museum on Facebook!

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Ferran, Lee H. [Lee.H.Ferran@abc.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:16 PM Green Miller, Marci L nsapao; DL PAOMedia RE: NBC email claim

Great, thanks Marci – Were there any emails to the office of oversight and compliance, as Snowden stated? Or to anyone else in the NSA from Snowden raising concerns?

From: Green Miller, Marci L [mailto:mlgree3@nsa.gov] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:10 PM To: Ferran, Lee H. Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia Subject: NBC email claim

Hi Lee.

Understand that you were asking about the email in question from last night's NBC interview. We have the below.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r

Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:21 PM 'Ferran, Lee H.' nsapao; DL PAOMedia RE: NBC email claim

The email is on the below website now -

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on www.nsa.gov shortly.

From: Ferran, Lee H. [mailto:Lee.H.Ferran@abc.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:16 PM To: Green Miller, Marci L Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia Subject: RE: NBC email claim

Great, thanks Marci – Were there any emails to the office of oversight and compliance, as Snowden stated? Or to anyone else in the NSA from Snowden raising concerns?

From: Green Miller, Marci L [mailto:mlgree3@nsa.gov] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:10 PM To: Ferran, Lee H. Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia Subject: NBC email claim

Hi Lee,

Understand that you were asking about the email in question from last night's NBC interview. We have the below.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

Approved for R	elease	by N	ISA
on 07-28-2015.	FOIA	Case	e #
78137			

	(b) (3)-P	
From:	Vines, Vanee M	
Sent:	Friday, May 23, 2014 9:17 AM	
To:	'Matthew.Cole@nbcuni.com'; 'Shawn.Turne	
Cc:	DL PAOMedia; 'DNI-Media@dni.gov'; nsapao Re: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY	
Subject:	RE: NBC NEVVS/ EDVVARD SNOV/DEN INQUIRY	
		<i>د</i>
Nhat do you mear	in? An email about *what*? Have you seen Mr Gellman's story from December? This is wha	t we said
hen in response t	to the allegations that Mr Gellman reported on:	
'After extensive in	nvestigation, including interviews with his former NSA supervisors and co-workers, we have	not found
	upport Mr. Snowden's contention that he brought these matters to anyone's attention."	
'll call soon. Thx!		
	FOIA(b)3 - 50 USC 403g Section 6 of the CIA Act of 1949	•)
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	OGA	
		08
~		
o: Vines, Vanee N c: DL PAOMedia;	/ 23, 2014 09:07 AM M; 'Shawn,Turne ; 'DNI-Media@dni.gov' < <u>DNI-Media@dni.gov</u> >; nsapao C NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY	
an the NSA and/c egal compliance b IBC News is aware	e that in the past NSA has denied that they can find any such emails.	al/agency
Can the NSA and/c egal compliance b NBC News is aware From: Vines, Vane Sent: Friday, May To: Cole, Matthew Sc: DL PAOMedia;	or DNI confirm or deny that Mr. Snowden sent emails to the NSA's OGC or any other internated body?	al/agency
Can the NSA and/c egal compliance b NBC News is aware From: Vines, Vane Sent: Friday, May To: Cole, Matthew Cc: DL PAOMedia; Subject: Re: NBC	or DNI confirm or deny that Mr. Snowden sent emails to the NSA's OGC or any other internated body? The that in the past NSA has denied that they can find any such emails. ee M [<u>mailto:vmvine3@nsa.gov]</u> 23, 2014 8:52 AM v (NBCUniversal); 'Shawn.Turner; 'DNI-Media@dni.gov'; nsapao	al/agency
Can the NSA and/c egal compliance b NBC News is aware From: Vines, Vane Sent: Friday, May Fo: Cole, Matthew Cc: DL PAOMedia; Subject: Re: NBC Will call. But can yo	or DNI confirm or deny that Mr. Snowden sent emails to the NSA's OGC or any other internated body? The that in the past NSA has denied that they can find any such emails. ee M [mailto:vmvine3@nsa.gov] 23, 2014 8:52 AM v (NBCUniversal); 'Shawn.Turner ; 'DNI-Media@dni.gov'; nsapao C NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY	al/agency
Can the NSA and/c egal compliance b NBC News is aware From: Vines, Vane Sent: Friday, May To: Cole, Matthew Cc: DL PAOMedia; Subject: Re: NBC Vill call. But can yo	or DNI confirm or deny that Mr. Snowden sent emails to the NSA's OGC or any other internated body? The that in the past NSA has denied that they can find any such emails. ee M [mailto:vmvine3@nsa.gov] 23, 2014 8:52 AM v (NBCUniversal); 'Shawn.Turner ; 'DNI-Media@dni.gov'; nsapao C NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY	al/agency
Can the NSA and/c egal compliance b NBC News is aware From: Vines, Vane Sent: Friday, May To: Cole, Matthew Cc: DL PAOMedia; Subject: Re: NBC Vill call. But can yo	or DNI confirm or deny that Mr. Snowden sent emails to the NSA's OGC or any other internated body? The that in the past NSA has denied that they can find any such emails. ee M [<u>mailto:vmvine3@nsa.gov]</u> 23, 2014 8:52 AM v (NBCUniversal); 'Shawn.Turner ; 'DNI-Media@dni.gov'; nsapao ; NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY you also put it in writing now so that we can work it faster? Writing is easier. Thx.	al/agency
Can the NSA and/c egal compliance b NBC News is aware From: Vines, Vane Sent: Friday, May fo: Cole, Matthew Cc: DL PAOMedia; Subject: Re: NBC Vill call. But can you	or DNI confirm or deny that Mr. Snowden sent emails to the NSA's OGC or any other internated body? The that in the past NSA has denied that they can find any such emails. ee M [mailto:vmvine3@nsa.gov] 23, 2014 8:52 AM v (NBCUniversal); 'Shawn.Turner ; 'DNI-Media@dni.gov'; nsapao C NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY	al/agency
Can the NSA and/c egal compliance b NBC News is aware From: Vines, Vane Sent: Friday, May Fo: Cole, Matthew Cc: DL PAOMedia; Subject: Re: NBC Vill call. But can you	or DNI confirm or deny that Mr. Snowden sent emails to the NSA's OGC or any other internated body? The that in the past NSA has denied that they can find any such emails. ee M [mailto:vmvine3@nsa.gov] 23, 2014 8:52 AM v (NBCUniversal); 'Shawn.Turner ; 'DNI-Media@dni.gov'; nsapao C NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY rou also put it in writing now so that we can work it faster? Writing is easier. Thx. new (NBCUniversal) [mailto:Matthew.Cole@nbcuni.com] 23, 2014 08:42 AM	al/agency
Can the NSA and/c egal compliance b NBC News is aware From: Vines, Vane Sent: Friday, May To: Cole, Matthew Cc: DL PAOMedia; Subject: Re: NBC Vill call. But can yo From: Cole, Matthe Sent: Friday, May To: Shawn.Turner	or DNI confirm or deny that Mr. Snowden sent emails to the NSA's OGC or any other internated body? The that in the past NSA has denied that they can find any such emails. ee M [mailto:vmvine3@nsa.gov] 23, 2014 8:52 AM v (NBCUniversal); 'Shawn.Turner ; 'DNI-Media@dni.gov'; nsapao : NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY rou also put it in writing now so that we can work it faster? Writing is easier. Thx. new (NBCUniversal) [mailto:Matthew.Cole@nbcuni.com] 23, 2014 08:42 AM	al/agency
Can the NSA and/c egal compliance b NBC News is aware From: Vines, Vane Gent: Friday, May To: Cole, Matthew Cc: DL PAOMedia; Subject: Re: NBC Vill call. But can you From: Cole, Matthe Ent: Friday, May To: Shawn.Turnet Subject: NBC NEV	or DNI confirm or deny that Mr. Snowden sent emails to the NSA's OGC or any other internationally? The that in the past NSA has denied that they can find any such emails. ee M [mailto:vmvine3@nsa.gov] 23, 2014 8:52 AM v (NBCUniversal); 'Shawn.Turner ; 'DNI-Media@dni.gov'; nsapao C NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY rou also put it in writing now so that we can work it faster? Writing is easier. Thx. new (NBCUniversal) [mailto:Matthew.Cole@nbcuni.com] 23, 2014 08:42 AM Shawn.Turner; ; Vines, Vanee M WS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY	al/agency
an the NSA and/c egal compliance b IBC News is aware from: Vines, Vane ent: Friday, May o: Cole, Matthew ic: DL PAOMedia; iubject: Re: NBC Vill call. But can yo rom: Cole, Matthe ent: Friday, May o: Shawn.Turner	or DNI confirm or deny that Mr. Snowden sent emails to the NSA's OGC or any other internationally? The that in the past NSA has denied that they can find any such emails. ee M [mailto:vmvine3@nsa.gov] 23, 2014 8:52 AM v (NBCUniversal); 'Shawn.Turner ; 'DNI-Media@dni.gov'; nsapao C NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY rou also put it in writing now so that we can work it faster? Writing is easier. Thx. new (NBCUniversal) [mailto:Matthew.Cole@nbcuni.com] 23, 2014 08:42 AM Shawn.Turner; ; Vines, Vanee M WS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY	

1
As you may have seen, NBC News will be airing a long interview with Edward Snowden. Given that he makes plenty of claims in the interview, I have the enviable job of checking the veracity of said claims. Is it possible to discuss by phone at your earliest convenience. I have initial inquiry, then, by mid day, I should have the final 3 or 4.

Regards,

Matthew Cole Investigative Producer NBC News 30 Rockefeller Plza New York, NY 10112

0:212-664-2047 C

(b) (6)

DCID: 42	28695	(b)(3)-P.L. 86	5-36
		U NURSE STORE STORED IN 1993	
From:		ersal) [Matthew.Cole@nbcuni.com]	
Sent: To:	Friday, May 23, 2014 9:08 Vines, Vanee M; 'Shawn.'		
Cc:	DL PAOMedia; 'DNI-Med	a@dni.gov'; nsapao	
Subject:	RE: NBC NEWS/ EDWA	RD SNOWDEN INQUIRY	Ω.
Let's start with this or	ne, but I will still need to have a	follow up phone conversation.	
Can the NSA and/or D legal compliance body	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	owden sent emails to the NSA's OGC	Cor any other internal/agency
NBC News is aware th	at in the past NSA has denied th	nat they can find any such emails.	
Sent: Friday, May 23, To: Cole, Matthew (N Cc: DL PAOMedia; 'DI	BCUniversal); 'Shawn.Turner NI-Media@dni.gov'; nsapao		*
2	WS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQU		
Will call. But can you	also put it in writing now so tha	t we can work it faster? Writing is ea	
		FOIA(b)3 - 50 USC 403g Sec OGA	ction 6 of the CIA Act of 1949
v			
Sent: Friday, May 23, To: Shawn.Turner	(NBCUniversal) [mailto:Matthew , 2014 08:42 AM < <u>Shawn.Turner</u> EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY	v <u>.Cole@nbcuni.com]</u> Vines, Vanee M	
Shawn and Vanee,		<u>8</u>	
As you may have seen	NBC News will be airing a long	; interview with Edward Snowden. G	liven that he makes plenty of
claims in the interview	w, I have the enviable job of che	cking the veracity of said claims. Is i en, by mid day, I should have the fin	t possible to discuss by phone
Regards,		×	N
Matthew Cole		16	
Investigative Produce	r		
Investigative Produce NBC News	r e		
30 Rockefeller Plza		(b) (6)
New York, NY 10112	÷	1 · 2	2
new ron, nr ioiie	5. 	an and a second se	Σ.
0:212-664-2047	and the second		
C:	and the second	2	
		3	
	tş.	Approved f	or Release by NSA
8			
÷		bn 07-28-2 1. 78137	015. FOIA Case #

(b)	(3)	-P.L.	86	36

From:	Cox, Oliver (NBCUniversal) [Oliver.Cox@nbcuni.com]
Sent:	Friday, May 30, 2014 5:44 PM
To:	nsapao
Subject:	RE: NBC Nightly News - looking for a statement.

Also, If you had someone who can talk to Andrea Mitchell before 6:30 - she can be reached at 202-885-4835

From: Cox, Oliver (NBCUniversal) Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 5:30 PM To: 'nsapao@nsa.gov' Subject: NBC Nightly News - looking for a statement.

To whom it may concern,

My name is Oliver Cox I am working with Andrea Mitchell on a spot for Nightly News TONIGHT. we are on deadline and we go to air at 6:30pm. NBC obtained a letter from Edward Snowden (Below) and we wanted to get your reaction before Nightly News. Here's Edward Snowden's letter:

"The NSA's new discovery of written contact between me and its lawyers - after more than a year of denying any such contact existed - raises serious concerns. It reveals as false the NSA's claim to Barton Gellman of the Washington Post in December of last year, that " after extensive investigation, including interviews with his former NSA supervisors and co-workers, we have not found any evidence to support Mr. Snowden's contention that he brought these matters to anyone's attention."

Today's release is incomplete, and does not include my correspondence with the Signals Intelligence Directorate's Office of Compliance, which believed that a classified executive order could take precedence over an act of Congress, contradicting what was just published. It also did not include concerns about how indefensible collection activities - such as breaking into the back-haul communications of major US internet companies - are sometimes concealed under E.O. 12333 to avoid Congressional reporting requirements and regulations.

If the White House is interested in the whole truth, rather than the NSA's clearly tailored and incomplete leak today for a political advantage, it will require the NSA to ask my former colleagues, management, and the senior leadership team about whether I, at any time, raised concerns about the NSA's improper and at times unconstitutional surveillance activities. It will not take long to receive an answer.

Ultimately, whether my disclosures were justified does not depend on whether I raised these concerns previously. That's because the system is designed to ensure that even the most valid concerns are suppressed and ignored, not acted upon. The fact that two powerful Democratic Senators - Ron Wyden and Mark Udall - knew of mass surveillance that they believed was abusive and felt constrained to do anything about it underscores how futile such internal action is -- and will remain -- until these processes are reformed.

Still, the fact is that I did raise such concerns both verbally and in writing, and on multiple, continuing occasions - as I have always said, and as NSA has always denied. Just as when the NSA claimed it followed German laws in Germany only weeks before it was revealed that they did not, or when NSA said they did not engage in economic espionage a few short months before it was revealed they actually did so on a regular and recurring basis, or even when NSA claimed they had "no domestic spying program" right before we learned they collected the phone records of every American they could, so too are today's claims that "this is only evidence we have of him reporting concerns" false.

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

Now that they have finally begun producing emails, I am confident that truth will become clear rather sooner than later."

###

Oliver Cox		
Researcher	8.	
NBC News	3	
0: 202-885-4271	_	
C:		 (b) (6)

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

Approved for Release by NSA on

7-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:22 PM Vines, Vanee M; 'Sullivan, Eileen' 'kdilanian@ap.org'; nsapao RE: NSA/today's release

The email is on the below website now -

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on www.nsa.gov shortly.

From: Vines, Vanee M Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:47 PM To: 'Sullivan, Eileen' Cc: 'kdilanian@ap.org'; nsapao Subject: NSA/today's release

Please see the item below. Also, we'll post more soon. Please stand by.

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

1

###

Vanee' Vines Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW**********Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:25 PM 'Brett LoGiurato'; Vines, Vanee M nsapao RE: Quick question

The email is on the below website now -

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on www.nsa.gov shortly.

From: Brett LoGiurato [mailto:blogiurato@businessinsider.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:22 PM To: Vines, Vanee M Cc: nsapao Subject: Re: Quick guestion

Thanks.

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 2:15 PM, Vines, Vanee M <<u>vmvine3@nsa.gov</u>> wrote:

Start:

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

Vanee' Vines

Public Affairs Specialist

NSA Public & Media Affairs

NEW********Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Brett LoGiurato [mailto:<u>blogiurato@businessinsider.com]</u> Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:13 PM To: nsapao Subject: Quick question

Hi ---

My name is Brett, and I'm a reporter with Business Insider. Could I get your statement re: Mr. Snowden's email he discussed last night on NBC? Also wanted to be sure I was on your list to receive the actual email when it is released.

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

Thanks!

Brett LoGiurato

Political Reporter | Business Insider

www.businessinsider.com/politics @BrettLoGiurato

Brett LoGiurato Political Reporter | Business Insider

www.businessinsider.com/politics

,

ч.

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Brett LoGiurato [blogiurato@businessinsider.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:22 PM Vines, Vanee M nsapao Re: Quick question

Thanks.

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 2:15 PM, Vines, Vanee M <<u>vmvine3@nsa.gov</u>> wrote:

Start:

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

1

###

Vanee' Vines

Public Affairs Specialist

NSA Public & Media Affairs

NEW********Media line: 443-634-0721

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Brett LoGiurato [mailto:<u>blogiurato@businessinsider.com]</u> Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:13 PM To: nsapao Subject: Quick question

Hi --

My name is Brett, and I'm a reporter with Business Insider. Could I get your statement re: Mr. Snowden's email he discussed last night on NBC? Also wanted to be sure I was on your list to receive the actual email when it is released.

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

Thanks!

Brett LoGiurato

Political Reporter | Business Insider

www.businessinsider.com/politics

Brett LoGiurato Political Reporter | Business Insider

www.businessinsider.com/politics

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Subject: Vines, Vanee M Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:16 PM 'Brett LoGiurato'; nsapao RE: Quick question

Start:

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

Vanee' Vines Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW***********Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Brett LoGiurato [mailto:blogiurato@businessinsider.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:13 PM To: nsapao Subject: Quick question

Hi --

My name is Brett, and I'm a reporter with Business Insider. Could I get your statement re: Mr. Snowden's email he discussed last night on NBC? Also wanted to be sure I was on your list to receive the actual email when it is released.

Thanks!

Brett LoGiurato Political Reporter | Business Insider

Approved for	^r Relea	se by I	VSA on
07-28-2015.	FOIA (Case #	78137

www.businessinsider.com/politics

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From:	Dana Liebelson [dliebelson@motherjones.com]
No. A second and a s	
Sent:	Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:50 PM
To:	Green Miller, Marci L; Vines, Vanee M
Cc:	nsapao; DL PAOMedia
Subject:	RE: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Additionally, could I receive a copy of that email when it is released, or be notified? What time do you expect it to be released?

Thanks, Dana

From: Dana Liebelson
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:03 AM
To: Green Miller, Marci L; Vines, Vanee M
Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia
Subject: RE: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Thank you Marci!

From: Green Miller, Marci L [mlgree3@nsa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:59 AM
To: Dana Liebelson; Vines, Vanee M
Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia
Subject: RE: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Hi.

We have the below in response to your query.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

1

###

v/r Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

From: Dana Liebelson [mailto:dliebelson@motherjones.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:54 AM
To: Vines, Vanee M
Cc: nsapao
Subject: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

Good morning! In the NBC interview last night, Mr. Williams said that the network had confirmed "with multiple sources" that before Snowden went to the press, he reported the surveillance that he believed to be problematic internally with intelligence agency supervisors. In the past, Mr. Clapper has <u>denied</u> this is the case. I haven't heard anyone report the NSA's side on this apparent contradiction, and I'd like to do so.

Does the NSA deny that Snowden filed concerns with intelligence agency supervisors internally, in writing, before going to the press? Why or why not?

2

If not, why did Mr. Clapper say so previously?

Thank you for your time.

Best, Dana

Dana Liebelson Staff Reporter: Mother Jones Freelance: The Week Phone: (202) 347-2397 EX 3007 Twitter: @dliebelson

From:	Dana Liebelson [dliebelson@motherjones.com]
Sent:	Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:03 PM
To:	Green Miller, Marci L; Vines, Vanee M
Cc:	nsapao; DL PAOMedia
Subject:	RE: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

Thank you Marci!

From: Green Miller, Marci L [mlgree3@nsa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:59 AM
To: Dana Liebelson; Vines, Vanee M
Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia
Subject: RE: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Hi.

We have the below in response to your query.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

From: Dana Liebelson [mailto:dliebelson@motherjones.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:54 AM To: Vines, Vanee M Cc: nsapao Subject: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Good morning! In the NBC interview last night, Mr. Williams said that the network had confirmed "with multiple sources" that before Snowden went to the press, he reported the surveillance that he believed to be problematic internally with intelligence agency supervisors. In the past, Mr. Clapper has <u>denied</u> this is the case. I haven't heard anyone report the NSA's side on this apparent contradiction, and I'd like to do so.

Does the NSA deny that Snowden filed concerns with intelligence agency supervisors internally, in writing, before going to the press? Why or why not?

If not, why did Mr. Clapper say so previously?

Thank you for your time.

Best, Dana

Dana Liebelson Staff Reporter: Mother Jones Freelance: The Week Phone: (202) 347-2397 EX 3007 Twitter: @dliebelson

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:59 PM 'Dana Liebelson'; Vines, Vanee M nsapao; DL PAOMedia RE: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Hi.

We have the below in response to your query.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

From: Dana Liebelson [mailto:dliebelson@motherjones.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:54 AM To: Vines, Vanee M Cc: nsapao Subject: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Good morning! In the NBC interview last night, Mr. Williams said that the network had confirmed "with multiple sources" that before Snowden went to the press, he reported the surveillance that he believed to be problematic internally with intelligence agency supervisors. In the past, Mr. Clapper has <u>denied</u> this is the case. I haven't heard anyone report the NSA's side on this apparent contradiction, and I'd like to do so.

Does the NSA deny that Snowden filed concerns with intelligence agency supervisors internally, in writing, before going to the press? Why or why not?

1

If not, why did Mr. Clapper say so previously?

Thank you for your time.

Best, Dana

Dana Liebelson

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

Staff Reporter: Mother Jones Freelance: The Week Phone: (202) 347-2397 EX 3007 Twitter: @dliebelson

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:21 PM 'Dana Liebelson'; Vines, Vanee M nsapao; DL PAOMedia RE: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

The email is on the below website now -

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on www.nsa.gov shortly.

From: Dana Liebelson [mailto:dliebelson@motherjones.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:50 PM
To: Green Miller, Marci L; Vines, Vanee M
Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia
Subject: RE: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Additionally, could I receive a copy of that email when it is released, or be notified? What time do you expect it to be released?

Thanks, Dana

From: Dana Liebelson
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:03 AM
To: Green Miller, Marci L; Vines, Vanee M
Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia
Subject: RE: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Thank you Marci!

From: Green Miller, Marci L [mlgree3@nsa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:59 AM
To: Dana Liebelson; Vines, Vanee M
Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia
Subject: RE: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Hi.

We have the below in response to your query.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

Approved for Release by NSA on 28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

###

v/r
Marci Green Miller
NSA Public and Media Affairs Office
443-634-0721

From: Dana Liebelson [mailto:dliebelson@motherjones.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:54 AM
To: Vines, Vanee M
Cc: nsapao
Subject: Quick question about NBC interview last night (Deadline: 2 PM EDT)

Good morning! In the NBC interview last night, Mr. Williams said that the network had confirmed "with multiple sources" that before Snowden went to the press, he reported the surveillance that he believed to be problematic internally with intelligence agency supervisors. In the past, Mr. Clapper has <u>denied</u> this is the case. I haven't heard anyone report the NSA's side on this apparent contradiction, and I'd like to do so.

Does the NSA deny that Snowden filed concerns with intelligence agency supervisors internally, in writing, before going to the press? Why or why not?

If not, why did Mr. Clapper say so previously?

Thank you for your time.

Best, Dana

Dana Liebelson Staff Reporter: Mother Jones Freelance: The Week Phone: (202) 347-2397 EX 3007 Twitter: @dliebelson

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Brett LoGiurato [blogiurato@businessinsider.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:37 PM Green Miller, Marci L Vines, Vanee M; nsapao Re: Quick question

Got it. Thanks!

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 2:25 PM, Green Miller, Marci L <<u>mlgree3@nsa.gov</u>> wrote:

The email is on the below website now –

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on <u>www.nsa.gov</u> shortly.

From: Brett LoGiurato [mailto:blogiurato@businessinsider.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:22 PM To: Vines, Vanee M Cc: nsapao Subject: Re: Quick question

Thanks.

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 2:15 PM, Vines, Vanee M < <u>vmvine3@nsa.gov</u>> wrote:

Start:

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

Vanee' Vines

Public Affairs Specialist

NSA Public & Media Affairs

NEW********Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Brett LoGiurato [mailto:blogiurato@businessinsider.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:13 PM To: nsapao Subject: Quick question

Hi ---

My name is Brett, and I'm a reporter with Business Insider. Could I get your statement re: Mr. Snowden's email he discussed last night on NBC? Also wanted to be sure I was on your list to receive the actual email when it is released.

Thanks!

Brett LoGiurato

Political Reporter | Business Insider

www.businessinsider.com/politics @BrettLoGiurato

1

--Brett LoGiurato

Political Reporter | Business Insider

. (b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6).

www.businessinsider.com/politics

Brett LoGiurato Political Reporter | Business Insider

www.businessinsider.com/politics

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b) (6)

From:	Green Miller, Marci L
Sent:	Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:12 PM
To:	'Marie Tanao'
Cc:	nsapao
Subject:	RE: Request for Snowden Email to Office of General Council

The e-mail and statement are on IC on the Record and will soon be posted to nsa.gov. Here:

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

From: Marie Tanao [mailto Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:11 PM To: nsapao Subject: Request for Snowden Email to Office of General Council

Dear Sir or Madam,

I hope this message finds you well. I am writing on behalf of Japan's daily "The Mainichi Newspapers" (Mainichi Shimbun).

Press Secretary Jay Carney confirmed today at the White House press briefing that the email of Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel will be released today. We would very much appreciate it if you could share a copy of the email with us upon its release.

1

Please let me know if you require further information for this request.

Thank you very much for your attention to this matter. I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Best Regards, Marie Archer

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

		(D) (3)-F.L. 86	-30
From: Sent: To: Cc:	Marie Tanac Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:17 PM Green Miller, Marci L nsapao]	(b) (6)
Subject:	Re: Request for Snowden Email to Offic	ce of General Council	×
Ms. Miller,			
Thank you very muc	h for your prompt response. I will direct n	ny correspondent to the link.	
Best Regards, Marie Archer	00 *		£
On Thu, May 29, 20	14 at 3:12 PM, Green Miller, Marci L < <u>m</u> l	lgree3@nsa.gov> wrote:	
The e-mail and state	ment are on IC on the Record and will soo	on be posted to <u>nsa.gov</u> .	
Here:		2	
indic.		a.	教
		8	· ·
http://icontherecord.t	tumblr.com/		9) *
	×	1. 12	
From: Marie Tanao [m Sent: Thursday, May 2		· .	(b) (6)
To: nsapao	Snowden Email to Office of General Council	ä	5
Subject: Request for	showden Email to Office of General Council		
		2 %	
Dear Sir or Madam,	8		
		2	2
I hope this message finds	s you well. I am writing on behalf of Japan's daily '	"The Mainichi Newspapers" (Mainichi S	himbun).
Press Secretary Jay Ca	rney confirmed today at the White House press sel will be released today. We would very much		
Please let me know	if you require further information for t	his request.	
	e .01		

Thank you very much for your attention to this matter. I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Best Regards, Marie Archer

Marie Tanao-Archer Correspondent Assistant Washington D.C. General Bureau THE MAINICHI NEWSPAPERS #340 National Press Building 529 14th St. N.W. Washington D.C. 20045 <u>Tel:202(737)2817</u> Fax:202(549)2309 Cell

FYI: The Mainichi Newspapers was founded in 1872 as the oldest national daily newspaper company in Japan. Mainichi is currently recognized in Japan as one of the leading national daily newspapers, and has garnered a reputation as a provider of unbiased reporting, poignant editorials and other information vital to a modern society. Mainichi has a nationwide circulation of 3.5 million copies.

. 2

(b) (6)

DOCID: 4228708

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From:Vines, Vanee MSent:Thursday, August 07, 2014 11:14 AMTo:'Ed'; nsapaoSubject:RE: Request from a writer from the Wall Street Journal

Hi. Given the ongoing federal investigation, we cannot comment on many of the issues that you raised. Below are some of your questions and a few citations/responses that you and your research assistant may want to review. Thanks!

-vanee'

Q: Snowden claimed in his June 9 video for the Guardian that he could do the following: " "sitting at my desk I certainly had the authority to wiretap anyone, from you or your accountant to a Federal judge to even the President if I had a personal e-mail. Question: Did he have the authority to tap the a) the President of the US b) a Federal judge 3) anyone at all?

Mr. Ledgett responded to this allegation in the May 2014 Vanity Fair story.

Q: In same video, Snowden says "I witnessed systemic violations of law by my government that created a moral duty to act." Question: Did Snowden ever report a violation of the law to the IG or anyone else?

In May we released the statement below; the email can be found on nsa.gov.

"NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of the General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of the General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

"There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims."

###

--YOU MAY WANT TO CLOSELY REVIEW THE "TED TALK" TRANSCRIPT:

http://www.ted.com/talks/richard_ledgett_the_nsa_responds_to_edward_snowden_s_ted_talk

1

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

Vanee' Vines Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW*********Media line: 443-634-0721

-----Original Message-----From: Ed [mailto:eje@nyc.rr.com] Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2014 10:13 AM To: nsapao Subject: Request from a writer from the Wall Street Journal

N.S.A Office of Public Affairs RE: Request from a writer from the Wall Street Journal

Dear Public Affairs Officer

I am writing an article for the Wall Street Journal on the creditability of Edward Snowden. My deadline is August 21, 2013 It was be the third of a series.. My last two pieces in WSJ can be found:

(b) (6)

June 30, 2014

http://online.wsj.com/articles/edward-jay-epstein-revisiting-snowdens-hong-kong-getaway-1404075875?KEYWORDS=Edward+Jay+Epstein

May 14, 2014

http://online.wsj.com/news/articles/SB10001424052702304831304579542402390653932?KEYWORDS=Edwa
rd+Jay+Epstein&mg=reno64-wsj

What I am trying to clarify is the various claims made by Snowden. I realize the NSA has already addressed some of my questions in the past, but as over a year has gone by, I would like to answer then anew. It might also be helpful to speak on the telephone. My phone number is ______ Any such conversation, if you prefer, can be off-the record. Below are my questions. I tried to be as specific as possible. Please feel free to point out anything I may have missed, SPECIFIC QUESTIONS

1. Snowden claimed in his June 9 video for the Guardian that he could do the following: " "sitting at my desk I certainly had the authority to wiretap anyone, from you or your accountant to a Federal judge to even the President if I had a personal e-mail.

Question: Did he have the authority to tap the a) the President of the US b) a Federal judge 3) anyone at all?

2. If he, or anyone else, did not have such authority, would it be a crime at the NSA to hack into the phone of the President or a Federal Judge.

3. In same video, Snowden claims he worked under "cover" for NSA.

Question: Was he ever directly employed by the NSA as opposed to an outside contractor? Does working for an outside contractor such as Dell or Booz Allen Hamilton [BAH], constitute working under "cover."

4. In same video, Snowden says he was a " senior adviser for the Central Intelligence Agency." Was he a senior advisor to the CIA?

5. In same video, Snowden says "I witnessed systemic violations of law by my government that created a moral duty to act."

Question: Did Snowden ever report a violation of the law to the IG or anyone else?

. 2

6. Snowden signed Standard Form 312, the ?classified-information non-disclosure agreementwith BAH. Ouestion: Did he sign a similar classified-information non-disclosure agreement with the NSA? 7. Snowden said in an interview with Barton Gellman in the Washington Post on 12/23/13 that he brought his misgivings to two superiors in the NSA's Technology Directorate and two more in the NSA Threat Operations Center's regional base in Hawaii in Oct 2012. Question: Is this true, according to your investigation? Question: Did Snowden work for the Technology Directorate in 2012? Question Did Snowden work for NTOC in 2012? 8. In same Gellman interview, he Snowden says opened a data query tool called BOUNDLESS INFORMANT, to show extent of NSA interceptions, for the 2 employees at the NTOC, his 2 superiors at the Technology Directorate, and 15 other people at the NSA in 2012. Question: Were any of these putative 19 people found by the NSA? Question: Was Snowden in a position to open this file in 2012? 9. In same Gellman interview, Snowden says in 2009 he was sent by the NSA's Technology Directorate to support operations at a listening post in Japan as a system administrator and pointed out serious flaws with information security first to his supervisor in Japan and then to the directorate's chief of operations in the Pacific. Question: was Snowden sent to Japan by the NSA's Technological Division in 2009- or, if he was sent by Dell, did he work for that Division in 2009? Question" What he working at NSA as a system administrator in 2009? Question: Is there any record of Snowden pointing out flaws to his supervisor or the directorate's chief of operations? 10. Snowden claims in an interview with James Risen in the New York Times on 10/18/13 that he decided to act when he discovered a copy of a classified 2009 inspector general's report on the N.S.A.'s warrantless wiretapping program through a "dirty word search," which he described as an effort by a systems administrator to check a computer system for things that should not be there in order to delete them and sanitize the system. Question: Did Snowden in his positions at Dell have the authority to perform such a search? Question: If Dell found a document as he described. Did he have the authority (or even the ability) to open it in as an unencrypted document? 11. Snowden, after he switched from Dell to BAH in March, took an orientation course in Maryland in April 2013. Question. Did Snowden sign a non-disclosure agreement with the NSA? Did Snowden provide any untrue information in order to complete his training? 12. Snowden reportedly informed BAH in late April that he needed to take a medical leave in May.

Question: Did Snowden also report to the NSA he would be taking a medical leave? If so, did he specify either where he was going for treatment or when he would be returning to Hawaii?

<u>Thank vou so much for kindly considering this request as ever Edward Jay Epstein</u>

430 East 86 Street New York, NY 10028 www.edwardjayepstein.com

(b) (6)

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From:	Vines, Vanee M
Sent:	Thursday, August 07, 2014 12:03 PM
To:	'Ed'
Cc:	nsapao
Subject:	RE: Request from a writer from the Wall Street Journal

I'm not sure how you're conducting your research, but B. Wittes at Brookings has written about many of the related issues.

ADM Rogers was also interviewed at length by Reuters (in May).

And you may want to review the interviews that GEN Alexander granted to FOX News' Bret B. in March/April.

Best,

Vanee' Vines Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW********Media line: 443-634-0721

-----Original Message-----From: Vines, Vanee M Sent: Thursday, August 07, 2014 11:14 AM To: 'Ed'; nsapao Subject: RE: Request from a writer from the Wall Street Journal

Hi. Given the ongoing federal investigation, we cannot comment on many of the issues that you raised. Below are some of your questions and a few citations/responses that you and your research assistant may want to review. Thanks!

-vanee'

Q: Snowden claimed in his June 9 video for the Guardian that he could do the following: " "sitting at my desk I certainly had the authority to wiretap anyone, from you or your accountant to a Federal judge to even the President if I had a personal e-mail. Question: Did he have the authority to tap the a) the President of the US b) a Federal judge 3) anyone at all?

Mr. Ledgett responded to this allegation in the May 2014 Vanity Fair story.

Approved for Release by NS.	A
on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case ≠	ŧ
78137	

Q: In same video, Snowden says "I witnessed systemic violations of law by my government that created a moral duty to act." Question: Did Snowden ever report a violation of the law to the IG or anyone else?

In May we released the statement below; the email can be found on nsa.gov.

"NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of the General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of the General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

"There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims."

###

--YOU MAY WANT TO CLOSELY REVIEW THE "TED TALK" TRANSCRIPT:

http://www.ted.com/talks/richard_ledgett_the_nsa_responds_to_edward_snowden_s_ted_talk

Best,

Vanee' Vines Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW**********Media line: 443-634-0721

-----Original Message-----From: Ed [mailto:eje@nyc.rr.com] Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2014 10:13 AM To: nsapao Subject: Request from a writer from the Wall Street Journal

N.S.A Office of Public Affairs RE: Request from a writer from the Wall Street Journal

Dear Public Affairs Officer

I am writing an article for the Wall Street Journal on the creditability of Edward Snowden. My deadline is August 21, 2013 It was be the third of a series.. My last two pieces in WSJ can be found:

June 30, 2014

http://online.wsj.com/articles/edward-jay-epstein-revisiting-snowdens-hong-kong-getaway-1404075875?KEYWORDS=Edward+Jay+Epstein (b) (6)

May 14, 2014

http://online.wsj.com/news/articles/SB10001424052702304831304579542402390653932?KEYWORDS=Edwa
rd+Jay+Epstein&mg=reno64-wsj

What I am trying to clarify is the various claims made by Snowden. I realize the NSA has already addressed some of my questions in the past, but as over a year has gone by, I would like to answer then anew. It might also be helpful to speak on the telephone. My phone number is Any such conversation, if you prefer, can be off-the record. Below are my questions. I tried to be as specific as possible. Please feel free to point out anything I may have missed, SPECIFIC QUESTIONS

1. Snowden claimed in his June 9 video for the Guardian that he could do the following: " "sitting at my desk I certainly had the authority to wiretap anyone, from you or your accountant to a Federal judge to even the President if I had a personal e-mail. Question: Did he have the authority to tap the a) the President of the US b) a Federal judge 3) anyone at all?

2. If he, or anyone else, did not have such authority, would it be a crime at the NSA to hack into the phone of the President or a Federal Judge.

3. In same video, Snowden claims he worked under "cover" for NSA.

Question: Was he ever directly employed by the NSA as opposed to an outside contractor? Does working for an outside contractor such as Dell or Booz Allen Hamilton [BAH], constitute working under "cover."

4. In same video, Snowden says he was a " senior adviser for the Central Intelligence Agency." Was he a senior advisor to the CIA?

5. In same video, Snowden says "I witnessed systemic violations of law by my government that created a moral duty to act."

Question: Did Snowden ever report a violation of the law to the IG or anyone else? 6. Snowden signed Standard Form 312, the ?classified-information non-disclosure agreementwith BAH.

Question: Did he sign a similar classified-information non-disclosure agreement with the NSA? 7. Snowden said in an interview with Barton Gellman in the Washington Post on 12/23/13 that he brought his misgivings to two superiors in the NSA's Technology Directorate and two more in the NSA Threat Operations Center's regional base in Hawaii in Oct 2012.

Question: Is this true, according to your investigation?

Question: Did Snowden work for the Technology Directorate in 2012?

Question Did Snowden work for NTOC in 2012?

8. In same Gellman interview, he Snowden says opened a data query tool called BOUNDLESS INFORMANT, to show extent of NSA interceptions, for the 2 employees at the NTOC, his 2 superiors at the Technology Directorate, and 15 other people at the NSA in 2012.

Question: Were any of these putative 19 people found by the NSA?

Question: Was Snowden in a position to open this file in 2012?

9. In same Gellman interview, Snowden says in 2009 he was sent by the NSA's Technology Directorate to support operations at a listening post in Japan as a system administrator and pointed out serious flaws with information security first to his supervisor in Japan and then to the directorate's chief of operations in the Pacific.

Question: was Snowden sent to Japan by the NSA's Technological Division in 2009- or, if he was sent by Dell, did he work for that Division in 2009?

Question" What he working at NSA as a system administrator in 2009?

Question: Is there any record of Snowden pointing out flaws to his supervisor or the directorate's chief of operations?

10. Snowden claims in an interview with James Risen in the New York Times on 10/18/13 that he decided to act when he discovered a copy of a classified 2009 inspector general's report on the N.S.A.'s warrantless wiretapping program through a "dirty word search," which he

described as an effort by a systems administrator to check a computer system for things that should not be there in order to delete them and sanitize the system.

Question: Did Snowden in his positions at Dell have the authority to perform such a search? Question: If Dell found a document as he described. Did he have the authority (or even the ability) to open it in as an unencrypted document?

11. Snowden, after he switched from Dell to BAH in March, took an orientation course in Maryland in April 2013.

Question. Did Snowden sign a non-disclosure agreement with the NSA?

Did Snowden provide any untrue information in order to complete his training? 12. Snowden reportedly informed BAH in late April that he needed to take a medical leave in May.

Question: Did Snowden also report to the NSA he would be taking a medical leave? If so, did he specify either where he was going for treatment or when he would be returning to Hawaii?

4

Thank you so much for kindly considering this request as ever Edward Jay Epstein

430 East 86 Street New York, NY 10028 www.edwardjayepstein.com

(b) (6)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Julian Hattem [jhattem@thehill.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:20 PM Green Miller, Marci L nsapao; DL PAOMedia Re: Snowden complaint?

Thank you very much

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 12:57 PM, Green Miller, Marci L <<u>mlgree3@nsa.gov</u>> wrote:

Hi.

We have the below in response to your query.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

1

###

v/r

Marci Greén Miller

NSA Public and Media Affairs Office

443-634-0721

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

From: Julian Hattem [mailto:jhattem@thehill.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:31 AM To: nsapao Subject: Snowden complaint?

Good morning

In the interview with NBC last night, Edward Snowden said that he had filed formal complaints about his work up the chain of the agency, and NBC claims that there is at least one email that seems to confirm that. According to their <u>reporting</u>, he asked a question about how the agency had interpreted the law. Is that correct? Does that disprove the NSA's earlier claim that he had never brought the matters to anyone's attention?

Thank you

Julian Hattem

Staff Writer

The Hill

@jmhattem

Office: 202.628.8568

Cell:

Julian Hattem Staff Writer The Hill @jmhattem Office: 202.628.8568 Cell:

2

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:58 PM 'Julian Hattem' nsapao; DL PAOMedia RE: Snowden complaint?

Hi.

We have the below in response to your query.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

From: Julian Hattem [mailto:jhattem@thehill.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:31 AM To: nsapao Subject: Snowden complaint?

Good morning

In the interview with NBC last night, Edward Snowden said that he had filed formal complaints about his work up the chain of the agency, and NBC claims that there is at least one email that seems to confirm that. According to their <u>reporting</u>, he asked a question about how the agency had interpreted the law. Is that correct? Does that disprove the NSA's earlier claim that he had never brought the matters to anyone's attention?

Thank you

Julian Hattem Staff Writer The Hill @jmhattem Office: 202.628.8568

> Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137
| | 228711 | | (b) (|
|----------|------------------|------------------|-------|
| Cell: | | 2 | |
| | | | |
| | × . | 38. | |
| | | 8 K | |
| | 540 ⁶ | | |
| | | * | |
| | | | |
| | | 28
18 | |
| 12
12 | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | • | |
| | | | |
| | 7 e | | |
| * | | | |
| | | н
К | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 88 (f. | | | |
| | | | |
| | *, | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | ÷ | |
| | | | |
| | ā. | R
M
N
N | |
| | | | |
| | | | × |
| | | 2 | |
| | | | |

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:21 PM 'Julian Hattem' nsapao; DL PAOMedia RE: Snowden complaint?

The email is on the below website now -

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on www.nsa.gov shortly.

From: Julian Hattem [mailto:jhattem@thehill.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:20 PM To: Green Miller, Marci L Cc: nsapao; DL PAOMedia Subject: Re: Snowden complaint?

Thank you very much

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 12:57 PM, Green Miller, Marci L <<u>mlgree3@nsa.gov</u>> wrote:

Hi.

We have the below in response to your query.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The email did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

v/r

Marci Green Miller

NSA Public and Media Affairs Office

443-634-0721

From: Julian Hattem [mailto:jhattem@thehill.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:31 AM To: nsapao Subject: Snowden complaint?

Good morning

In the interview with NBC last night, Edward Snowden said that he had filed formal complaints about his work up the chain of the agency, and NBC claims that there is at least one email that seems to confirm that. According to their <u>reporting</u>, he asked a question about how the agency had interpreted the law. Is that correct? Does that disprove the NSA's earlier claim that he had never brought the matters to anyone's attention?

2

(b) (6)

Thank you

Julian Hattem

Staff Writer

The Hill

@jmhattem

Office: 202.628.8568

Cell:

Julian Hattem Staff Writer The Hill @jmhattem Office: 202.628.8568 Cell:

(b) (6)

(b)	(3)	-P.L.	86-36

From:	Vines, Vanee M
Sent:	Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:55 PM
To:	'Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai'; Green Miller, Marci L
Cc:	nsapao
Subject:	RE: Snowden email

Hi. The statement was provided to the Washington Post in response to the writer's questions.

Excerpt from the story:

By last December, Snowden was contacting reporters, although he had not yet passed along any classified information. He continued to give his colleagues the "front-page test," he said, until April.

Asked about those conversations, NSA spokeswoman Vanee Vines sent a prepared statement to The Post: "After extensive investigation, including interviews with his former NSA supervisors and co-workers, we have not found any evidence to support Mr. Snowden's contention that he brought these matters to anyone's attention."

Snowden recounted another set of conversations that he said took place three years earlier, when he was sent by the NSA's Technology Directorate to support operations at a listening post in Japan.

###

Vanee' Vines **Public Affairs Specialist** NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW********Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai [mailto:lorenzo@mashable.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:30 PM To: Green Miller, Marci L Cc: Vines, Vanee M; nsapao Subject: Re: Snowden email

Thanks!

Any comment on the NSA's previous statement from December? The one sent to Washington Post: "After extensive investigation, including interviews with his former NSA supervisors and co-workers, we have not found any evidence to support Mr. Snowden's contention that he brought these matters to anyone's attention."

Did you not find the email then? If so, why?

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 2:21 PM, Green Miller, Marci L <<u>mlgree3@nsa.gov</u>> wrote:

The email is on the below website now -

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015, FOIA Case # 78137

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on <u>www.nsa.gov</u> shortly.

From: Vines, Vanee M Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:56 PM To: 'Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai'; nsapao Subject: RE: Snowden email

Hi. The item is below. We'll post more soon. Please stand by.

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

Vanee' Vines

Public Affairs Specialist

NSA Public & Media Affairs

NEW**********Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai [mailto:lorenzo@mashable.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:55 PM To: Vines, Vanee M; nsapao Subject: Snowden email

Hey Vanee,

I saw someone tweeting an alleged statement from the NSA regarding an email Snowden sent to the agency's General Counsel. Could you send me the statement as well? Just checking if the one being circulated is true.

Will you release the email?

Thanks!

Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai

Mashable US & World Reporter

lorenzo@mashable.com | lorenzofb8@gmail.com

	(b) (6)
Twitter: @lorenzoFB Skype:	ž
Encrypted Phone:	
OTR: lorenzofb@jabber.ccc.de	
Wickr:	
www.lorenzofb.com	

Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai

Mashable US & World Reporter

lorenzo@mashable.com | lorenzofb8@gmail.com

	(0) (0)
Twitter: @lorenzoFB	
Skype:	
Encrypted Phone:	
OTR: lorenzofh@iabber.ccc.de	
Wickr:	
www.lorenzofb.com	

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai [lorenzo@mashable.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:30 PM Green Miller, Marci L Vines, Vanee M; nsapao Re: Snowden email

Thanks!

Any comment on the NSA's previous statement from December? The one sent to Washington Post: "After extensive investigation, including interviews with his former NSA supervisors and co-workers, we have not found any evidence to support Mr. Snowden's contention that he brought these matters to anyone's attention."

Did you not find the email then? If so, why?

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 2:21 PM, Green Miller, Marci L <<u>mlgree3@nsa.gov</u>> wrote:

The email is on the below website now -

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on <u>www.nsa.gov</u> shortly.

From: Vines, Vanee M Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:56 PM To: 'Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai'; nsapao Subject: RE: Snowden email

Hi. The item is below. We'll post more soon. Please stand by.

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise

Approved for Release by NSA on 7-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

Vanee' Vines

Public Affairs Specialist

NSA Public & Media Affairs

NEW********Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai [mailto:lorenzo@mashable.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:55 PM To: Vines, Vanee M; nsapao Subject: Snowden email

Hey Vanee,

I saw someone tweeting an alleged statement from the NSA regarding an email Snowden sent to the agency's General Counsel. Could you send me the statement as well? Just checking if the one being circulated is true.

Will you release the email?

Thanks!

۰.

Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai

<u>Mashable</u> US & World Reporter <u>lorenzo@mashable.com</u> | <u>lorenzofb8@gmail.com</u>

	(b) (6)
Twitter: @lorenzoFB Skype:	
Encrypted Phone:	
OTR: lorenzotb@jabber.ccc.de	3
Wickr:	
www.lorenzofb.com	
	e H
Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai Mashable US & World Reporter	
lorenzo@mashable.com lorenzofb8@gmail.com	
Twitter: @lorenzoFB Skype:	
Encrypted Phone: OTR: lorenzofb@jabber.ccc.de	
Wickr: www.lorenzofb.com	

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:22 PM Vines, Vanee M; 'Capra, Tony (NBCUniversal)'; nsapao RE: Snowden Email

The email is on the below website now -

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on www.nsa.gov shortly.

From: Vines, Vanee M Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:06 PM To: 'Capra, Tony (NBCUniversal)'; nsapao Subject: RE: Snowden Email

Hi. The item is below. We'll post more soon. Please stand by.

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

Vanee' Vines Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW***********Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Capra, Tony (NBCUniversal) [mailto:Tony.Capra@nbcuni.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:03 PM To: nsapao Subject: Snowden Email

Hi,

Can you please send me anything you have on the release of an Edward Snowden related email.

Thanks,

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

Tony

Tony Capra Senior Assignment Manager NBC News Washington (202) 885-4200 Tony.Capra@nbcuni.com

From: Sent: To: Subject:

Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:22 PM Vines, Vanee M; 'Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai'; nsapao RE: Snowden email (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

The email is on the below website now -

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on www.nsa.gov shortly.

From: Vines, Vanee M Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:56 PM To: 'Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai'; nsapao Subject: RE: Snowden email

Hi. The item is below. We'll post more soon. Please stand by.

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

Vanee' Vines Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW***********Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai [mailto:lorenzo@mashable.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:55 PM To: Vines, Vanee M; nsapao Subject: Snowden email

Hey Vanee,

I saw someone tweeting an alleged statement from the NSA regarding an email Snowden sent to the agency's General Counsel. Could you send me the statement as well? Just checking if the one being circulated is true.

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

Will you release the email?

Thanks!

Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai

Mashable US & World Reporter

lorenzo@mashable.com | lorenzofb8@gmail.com

	(0) (d
Twitter: @lorenzoFB	
Skype:	
Encrypted Phone:	
OTR: lorenzofb@jabber.ccc.de	
Wickr:	
www.lorenzofb.com	

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Subject: Vines, Vanee M Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:18 PM 'Capra, Tony (NBCUniversal)'; nsapao RE: Snowden Email

Hi. The item is below.

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW***********Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Capra, Tony (NBCUniversal) [mailto:Tony.Capra@nbcuni.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:03 PM To: nsapao Subject: Snowden Email

Hi,

Can you please send me anything you have on the release of an Edward Snowden related email.

1

Thanks,

Tony

Tony Capra Senior Assignment Manager NBC News Washington (202) 885-4200 Tony Capra@nbcuni.com

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Subject: Vines, Vanee M Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:06 PM 'Capra, Tony (NBCUniversal)'; nsapao RE: Snowden Email

Hi. The item is below. We'll post more soon. Please stand by.

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

From: Capra, Tony (NBCUniversal) [mailto:Tony.Capra@nbcuni.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:03 PM To: nsapao Subject: Snowden Email

Hi,

Can you please send me anything you have on the release of an Edward Snowden related email.

Thanks,

Tony

Tony Capra Senior Assignment Manager NBC News Washington (202) 885-4200 Tony.Capra@nbcuni.com

> Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Subject: Vines, Vanee M Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:56 PM 'Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai'; nsapao RE: Snowden email

Hi. The item is below. We'll post more soon. Please stand by.

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

Vanee' Vines Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW***********Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai [mailto:lorenzo@mashable.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:55 PM To: Vines, Vanee M; nsapao Subject: Snowden email

Hey Vanee,

I saw someone tweeting an alleged statement from the NSA regarding an email Snowden sent to the agency's General Counsel. Could you send me the statement as well? Just checking if the one being circulated is true.

Will you release the email?

Thanks!

(b) (6)

Approved for Release by NSA on

7-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai

Mashable US & World Reporter

lorenzo@mashable.com | lorenzofb8@gmail.com -

Twitter: (a)lorenzoFB	
Skype:	 (b) (6)
Encrypted Phone:	
OTR: lorenzofb@jabber.ccc.de	
Wickr:	
www.lorenzofb.com	

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Vines, Vanee M Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:49 PM 'Sam Stein' DL PAOMedia; nsapao RE: snowden email

Hi. The item is below. We'll post more soon. Please stand by.

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

Vanee' Vines Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW************Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Sam Stein [mailto:samstein@huffingtonpost.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:35 PM To: Hayden, Caitlin Cc: DL PAOMedia Subject: Re: snowden email

thanks Caitlin!

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 1:31 PM, Hayden, Caitlin <

Sam: I've plussed in NSA for you.

From: Sam Stein [mailto:<u>samstein@huffingtonpost.com</u>] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:24 PM To: Hayden, Caitlin Subject: Re: snowden email

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

wrote:

(b) (6)

On Thu, May 29, 2014 at 1:22 PM, Hayden, Caitlin < (b) (6)

(b) (6)

NSA is. Refer you there.

From: Sam Stein [mailto:<u>samstein@huffingtonpost.com]</u> Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:21 PM To: Hayden, Caitlin Subject: snowden email

you're releasing the one he sent to the counsel? i read that on the twitters

Sam Stein Senior Politics Editor Huffington Post

Subscribe to HuffPost Hill: http://huff.to/db6n10

Sam Stein Senior Politics Editor Huffington Post

Subscribe to HuffPost Hill: http://huff.to/db6n10

Sam Stein Senior Politics Editor Huffington Post

Subscribe to HuffPost Hill: http://huff.to/db6n1O

Sam Stein Senior Politics Editor <u>Huffington Post</u>

Subscribe to HuffPost Hill: http://huff.to/db6n10

3

(b) (6)

	10	(b) (5)-F.L. 00-50	
From:	Green Miller, Marci L	£	53
Sent:	<u>Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:18 PM</u>		
To:		(b)(6)
Cc:	nsapao		
Subject:	RE: Snowden email to NSA		
	eventer ver liver gesterninge eine automatication in eine tat, im		

Hi. The item is below.

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

Original Message	
From: [mailto:	
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:09 PM	
To: nsapao	(b) (6)
Subject: Snowden email to NSA	

I understand that the NSA is planning to release today the email that Edward Snowden says he sent to the NSA Office of Legal Counsel raising concerns about its legal interpretations for NSA spy programs.

I'd like to receive the email and speak with someone there to get more information about it, when someone is available.

Kim Zetter Senior Reporter Wired

Sent from my iPhone

Approved t	for Relea	ase by I	NSA or
07-28-201	5. FOIA	Case #	178137

(b) (6)

06 26

(b) (2) D T

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:44 PM 'kcollier@dailydot.com' nsapao RE: Snowden email today

The e-mail and statement are on IC on the Record and will soon be posted to nsa.gov. Here:

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

v/r
Marci Green Miller
NSA Public and Media Affairs Office
443-634-0721

From: <u>kcollier@dailydot.com</u> [mailto:kcollier@dailydot.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:44 PM To: nsapao Subject: Snowden email today

Hi. Seen <u>reports</u> that WH will today release Snowden's email to NSA. If so, can I be on whatever list it'll go out to? Thanks!

1

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015, FOIA Case # 78137

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Vines, Vanee M Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:27 PM 'Nakashima, Ellen M' nsapao RE: Snowden emails

I'll be right there.

Jay's 12:30 gaggle, too.

Vanee' Vines Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Affairs NEW***********Media line: 443-634-0721

From: Nakashima, Ellen M [mailto:Ellen.Nakashima@washpost.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:53 AM To: Vines, Vanee M Subject: Snowden emails

Hi Vanee,

Just called. Several US officials have described the April 2013 email that Snowden sent to OGC after he took his USSID 18 training and inquiring about whether exec orders trump statutes.

They described the reply from the attorney as no, EOs do not trump statutes.

Can you release the emails? And were there any other emails from Snowden to compliance officials or anyone else raising questions? Can you call back as soon as you're able?

I'm on my cell phone (b) (6)

Thanks, Ellen

Approved fo	or Rele	ase by l	NSA on
07-28-2015	. FOIA	Case #	78137

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Gorman, Siobhan [Siobhan:Gorman@wsj.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:50 PM Green Miller, Marci L Vines, Vanee M; nsapao; DL PAOMedia Re: Snowden interview

Thanks very much.

Siobhan Gorman		
Intelligence Correspondent	ē	a.
The Wall Street Journal.		
(202) 862-9234 (w)	(c)	(b) (6)

On May 29, 2014, at 1:06 PM, "Green Miller, Marci L" <<u>mlgree3@nsa.gov</u>> wrote:

Hi Siobhan,

Separate from your questions but see the below regarding the email claim.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The email will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r
Marci Green Miller
NSA Public and Media Affairs Office
443-634-0721

From: Vines, Vanee M Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 11:57 AM To: 'Siobhan.Gorman@wsj.com'; nsapao Subject: Re: Snowden interview

I don't have anything for you at this point; 'will keep you posted.

From: Gorman, Siobhan [mailto:Siobhan.Gorman@wsj.com] Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 11:51 AM To: Vines, Vanee M; nsapao Subject: Snowden interview

Hi Vanee,

I realize it's a bit difficult to gauge because only bits of this NBC interview with Snowden have been released, but seeking any reax you have to his discussion about his role working as a contractor for NSA? He's pushing back on what he sees as a government effort to downplay his responsibilities. I understand DIA is issuing a denial, so we wanted to check in with the other agencies he's said he's worked for/with.

Thanks, Siobhan

=======================================	=====
Siobhan Gorman	
Intelligence Correspondent	
The Wall Street Journal.	3
1025 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 800	
Washington, DC 20036	
(202) 862-9234 (w) (c)	
Twitter: @gorman_stobhan	44.00

(b) (6)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:21 PM 'Gorman, Siobhan' Vines, Vanee M; nsapao; DL PAOMedia RE: Snowden interview

The email is on the below website now -

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on www.nsa.gov shortly.

From: Gorman, Siobhan [mailto:Siobhan.Gorman@wsj.com]
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:50 PM
To: Green Miller, Marci L
Cc: Vines, Vanee M; nsapao; DL PAOMedia
Subject: Re: Snowden interview

Thanks very much.

Siobhan Gorman Intelligence Correspondent The Wall Street Journal. (202) 862-9234 (w)

On May 29, 2014, at 1:06 PM, "Green Miller, Marci L" <mlgree3@nsa.gov> wrote:

Hi Siobhan,

8

Separate from your questions but see the below regarding the email claim.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The email will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r
Marci Green Miller
NSA Public and Media Affairs Office
443-634-0721

Approved f	or Relea	ase by I	NSA on
7-28-201	5. FOIA	Case #	78137

(b) (6)

From: Vines, Vanee M Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 11:57 AM To: 'Siobhan.Gorman@wsj.com'; nsapao Subject: Re: Snowden interview

I don't have anything for you at this point; 'will keep you posted.

From: Gorman, Siobhan [mailto:Siobhan.Gorman@wsj.com]
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 11:51 AM
To: Vines, Vanee M; nsapao
Subject: Snowden interview

Hi Vanee,

I realize it's a bit difficult to gauge because only bits of this NBC interview with Snowden have been released, but seeking any reax you have to his discussion about his role working as a contractor for NSA? He's pushing back on what he sees as a government effort to downplay his responsibilities. I understand DIA is issuing a denial, so we wanted to check in with the other agencies he's said he's worked for/with.

(b) (6)

Thanks, Siobhan

Siobhan Gorman Intelligence Correspondent The Wall Street Journal. 1025 Connecticut Avc., NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 862-9234 (w) Twitter: @gorman_stobhan

2 .

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:06 PM Vines, Vanee M; 'Siobhan Gorman@wsj.com'; nsapao DL PAOMedia RE: Snowden interview

Hi Siobhan,

Separate from your questions but see the below regarding the email claim.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r
Marci Green Miller
NSA Public and Media Affairs Office
443-634-0721

From: Vines, Vanee M Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 11:57 AM To: 'Siobhan.Gorman@wsj.com'; nsapao Subject: Re: Snowden interview

I don't have anything for you at this point; 'will keep you posted.

From: Gorman, Siobhan [mailto:Siobhan.Gorman@wsj.com] Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 11:51 AM To: Vines, Vanee M; nsapao Subject: Snowden interview

Hi Vanee,

I realize it's a bit difficult to gauge because only bits of this NBC interview with Snowden have been released, but seeking any reax you have to his discussion about his role working as a contractor for NSA? He's pushing back on what he sees as a government effort to downplay his responsibilities. I understand DIA is issuing a denial, so we wanted to check in with the other agencies he's said he's worked for/with.

Thanks,

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

Siobhan

Siobhan Gorman Intelligence Correspondent The Wall Street Journal. 1025 Connecticut Ave., NW, Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 862-9234 (w) Twitter: @gorman_stobhan

2

(b) (6)

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:22 PM 'Jackson, David M'; nsapao RE: USA Today

Hi. Statement below.

The email is on the below website now -

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on www.nsa.gov shortly.

NSA has now explained that they have found one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

1

###

From: Jackson, David M [<u>mailto:dmjackso@usatoday.com</u>] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:19 PM To: nsapao Subject: USA Today

This is David Jackson with USA Today

Can I get a copy of the Snowden e-mail it is released?

Thank you

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:01 PM Vines, Vanee M DL PAOMedia; nsapao RE: warren

Hi, Warren. Vanee is in a meeting and asked that I forward you the below.

We have the below in response to your query.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

From: <u>Warren.Strobel@thomsonreuters.com</u> [mailto:Warren.Strobel@thomsonreuters.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:27 AM To: Vines, Vanee M Subject: G'morning

Vanee: hope you are well. Can you weigh in on this today, or can someone in the administration?

http://www.nbcnews.com/feature/edward-snowden-interview/email-supports-snowden-claim-he-questioned-nsapractices-n117086

Much thanks Warren

Warren Strobel

Diplomatic Editor, Washington Bureau Reuters

+1 202 310 5660 (work)

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137



(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:26 PM Green Miller, Marci L; Vines, Vanee M DL PAOMedia; nsapao RE: warren

The email is on the below website now -

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

And will be available on www.nsa.gov shortly.

From: Green Miller, Marci L Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:01 PM To: Vines, Vanee M Cc: DL PAOMedia; nsapao Subject: RE: warren

Hi, Warren. Vanee is in a meeting and asked that I forward you the below.

We have the below in response to your query.

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

v/r Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

From: <u>Warren.Strobel@thomsonreuters.com</u> [mailto:Warren.Strobel@thomsonreuters.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:27 AM To: Vines, Vanee M Subject: G'morning

Vanee: hope you are well. Can you weigh in on this today, or can someone in the administration?

Approved	for	Relea	ase	by N	VSA	on
07-28-201	5. F	FOIA	Cas	se #	781	37

http://www.nbcnews.com/feature/edward-snowden-interview/email-supports-snowden-claim-he-questioned-nsapractices-n117086

Much thanks Warren

Warren Strobel

Diplomatic Editor, Washington Bureau Reuters

(mobile)

s

+1 202 310 5660 (work)

(b) (6)
(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Green Miller, Marci L Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:43 PM 'Sparshott, Jeffrey' nsapao RE: WSJ re Snowden email

The e-mail and statement are on IC on the Record and will soon be posted to nsa.gov. Here:

http://icontherecord.tumblr.com/

v/r Marci Green Miller NSA Public and Media Affairs Office 443-634-0721

From: Sparshott, Jeffrey [mailto:Jeffrey.Sparshott@wsj.com] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:42 PM To: nsapao Subject: WSJ re Snowden email

Hello: The White House today said a Snowsen email(s) would be released today. Are you able to send to me? Thank you,

Jeffrey Sparshott Wall Street Journal

> Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

(b) (6)

From: Sent: To: Subject: Julian Hattem [jhattem@thehill.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:31 AM nsapao Snowden complaint?

Good morning

In the interview with NBC last night, Edward Snowden said that he had filed formal complaints about his work up the chain of the agency, and NBC claims that there is at least one email that seems to confirm that. According to their <u>reporting</u>, he asked a question about how the agency had interpreted the law. Is that correct? Does that disprove the NSA's earlier claim that he had never brought the matters to anyone's attention?

1

Thank you

Julian Hattem	
Staff Writer	
The Hill	
ajmhattem	
Office: 202.628.8568	
Cell:	

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Subject: Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai [lorenzo@mashable.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:55 PM Vines, Vanee M; nsapao Snowden email

Hey Vanee,

I saw someone tweeting an alleged statement from the NSA regarding an email Snowden sent to the agency's General Counsel. Could you send me the statement as well? Just checking if the one being circulated is true.

Will you release the email?

Thanks!

Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchierai

<u>Mashable</u> US & World Reporter lorenzo@mashable.com | lorenzofb8@gmail.com

	b) (6)
Twitter: @lorenzoFB	
Skype:	
Encrypted Phone:	
OTR: lorenzofb@jabber.ccc.de	
Wickr:	
www.lorenzofb.com	

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

₽ 0СID: 4	22 8733	(b) (3),-P.L. 86-36	
From: Sent: To:	Thursday, Ma	y 29, 2014 2:09 PM	(b) (6)

Sent: To: Subject: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:09 I nsapao Snowden email to NSA

I understand that the NSA is planning to release today the email that Edward Snowden says he sent to the NSA Office of Legal Counsel raising concerns about its legal interpretations for NSA spy programs.

I'd like to receive the email and speak with someone there to get more information about it, when someone is available.

1

Kim Zetter Senior Reporter Wired

(b) (6)

Sent from my iPhone

Approved for Release by NSA	on
07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 781	

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Subject: Capra, Tony (NBCUniversal) [Tony Capra@nbcuni.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:03 PM nsapao Snowden Email

1

Hi,

Can you please send me anything you have on the release of an Edward Snowden related email.

Thanks,

Tony

Tony Capra Senior Assignment Manager NBC News Washington (202) 885-4200 Tony.Capra@nbcuni.com

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

DOCID: 4228735

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

1

From:kccSent:TheTo:nsaSubject:Sno

kcollier@dailydot.com Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:44 PM nsapao Snowden email today

Hi. Seen <u>reports</u> that WH will today release Snowden's email to NSA. If so, can I be on whatever list it'll go out to? Thanks!

1

Approved for Release by NSA on 07-28-2015. FOIA Case # 78137

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

From: Sent: To: Subject: Sparshott, Jeffrey [Jeffrey.Sparshott@wsj.com] Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:42 PM nsapao WSJ re Snowden email

Hello: The White House today said a Snowsen email(s) would be released today. Are you able to send to me? Thank you,

Jeffrey Sparshott Wall Street Journal

NSA-	DJ09 USA CTR	
From: Sent: To: Cc:	NSA-D28 USA CIV Tuesday, May 27, 2014 12:29 PM Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV (ALIAS) DN1 NSA-Q31 USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D	NSA-D USA CIV; DL PAOMedia
Subject:	NSA-D21 USA CIV; RE: (U) FYSA: (U) NBC's note today/le	NSA-D28 USA CIV eaker
Classification:	UNCLASSIFIED/ /FOUO- ====================================	
Deputy General Counsel Litigation and Managemer NSA Office of General Cou 963-5548 (secure) (unclassified	insel	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)
,	ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLI PRIVILEGED COMMUNICAT Not to be released without OGC	TION
		CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; De Rajes
NSA-D2 USA CIV;	NSA-D28 USA CIV;	NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D21 USA
Subject: RE: (U) FYSA: (I) Classification: SEC	J) NBC's note today/leaker	
	RET/INOPORIN	
Thanks, sir.		
Thanks, sir. From: Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2 To: DL PAOMedia (ALIAS)		CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; De Rajes

1 Approved for Release by NSA on 11-13-2015, FOIA Case #78137

Subject: (U) FYSA: (U) NBC's note today/leaker Importance: High

Classification: SECRET//NOFORN

Vanee,

(U//FOUO). Here are some of the facts for background if we end up actually engaging NBC.

Regards,	
Media Leaks Task Force National Security Agency/Central Security Service OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICS UNCL NSTS 969-5011 BLACK	
From: NSA-Q31 USA CIV Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 12:20 PM To: NSA-D USA CIV Cc: NSA-Q31 USA CIV Subject: RE: (U) NBC's note today/leaker Importance: High	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Classification: SECRET//NOFORN	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Chief, Q31

CI Investigations Division

968-4802(NSTS)
unclass) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
This email contains information subject to the Privacy Act
From: Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 12:08 PM To: NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-Q31 USA CIV; DL medialeaks core (ALIAS) D; Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1: De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV; M NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D21 USA CIV Subject: (U) NBC's note today/leaker NSA-D21 USA CIV
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED / FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
ALCON: NBC's latest note is below. We have previously avoided talking about the leaker's specific assignments/duties.

From: Cole, Matthew (NBCUniversal) [mailto Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 11:27 AM To: Vines, Vanee M Subject: More Requests for Information
Vanee,

Per our conversation last Friday, I have further requests for comment and/or response from the NSA.

What units/groups/directorates did Mr. Snowden serve in during his various time employed with NSA (via Dell, BAH or any other capacity)?

Did Mr. Snowden ever attend a meeting or meetings with the Director of Technology Directorate (or where dir/ Tech was present)?

Did the NSA adopt a systems information program to protect NSA facilities (the data and systems) in the event of a disaster?

Was Mr. Snowden assigned to do work on identifying China's cyber espionage efforts?

Was Mr. Snowden asked or tasked to help identify areas in NSA's network infrastructure that were vulnerable to hacking?

Did Mr. Snowden keep his CIA PSEUDO while employed with NSA?

Generally speaking, does someone coming over from CIA keep their PSEUDO, or does NSA give them a new one?

Thank you in advance for your attention to these questions.

Respectfully,

Matthew Cole Investigative Producer NBC News 30 Rockefeller Plza New York, NY 10112 O: 212-664-2047	(b)(6)
C.	
(U//FOUO) Vanee' Vines, NSA Public Affairs Office Personal PAO Office: B / 969-7509 S (NEW) PAO Office: B / 969-7499 S (NEW) Media Line: 301-688-6524 Unclass email:	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED #FOR OFFICIAL USE	
	Classified By Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390501
Classification: SECRET//NOFORN	
	Classified By: Classified By: Classified By: Classified By: Classified By: Classify Control Co
Classification: SECRET//NOFORN	
	Classified By: Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390501

Classification: SECRET//NOFORN

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/7FOU0

	9177	ANK		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From:	Hayden, Caitlin		~~~////	117 .
Sent:		28 2014 11:42 PM		
Го:	Vines. Vanee M:	De; R		
(b)(3)	international data and a		Evans Stuart:	Beadhle
OGA	Sina; Richards, Rebecca	DNI-Media	@ani.gov;	
C: ODNI		DL PAQMedia; Egan, Bri	an J.: Heinzelman, Kate:	Fonzone, Christopher
		Ensor, Leoinel K;		
Subject:	RE: NBC/email	× / 1	π / / /	
	- January -	X	$X \neq I$	
Ne're not going to get	the FOIA or release que	estion resolved tonight, b	ut please send a possible	e O&A we can conside
				e dan we can conside
Anvone else getting au	eries? None here so far	. Relatively little Twitter	discussion on the intervi	ew
/		A VA		
From: Vines, Vanee M			~/~~/~	
Sent: Wednesday, May			(/ /)	1
o: Havden, Caitlin		Raiesh;		1
	Evans, Stuart;	Beaghley	Sinal	'DNI-
/ledia@dni.gov';		Richards, Rebecca J	/ ///	
	DL PAOMedia; Egan, Bri	an J.; Heinzelman, Kate;	Fonzone, Christopher	
Ensor, Leoinel K;				
Subject: Re: NBC/ema	ail			,
A leuters is now poundi		he email issue. Williams o	clearly said multiple sour	ces confirmed at least
5A Reuters is now poundir email. (6)(3)		he email issue. Williams o	clearly said multiple sour	
GA Reuters is now poundin email. (b)(3) (GA		he email issue. Williams o	clearly said multiple sour	ces confirmed at least (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
GA Reuters is now poundir email. (b)(3) OGA ODNI		he email issue. Williams o	clearly said multiple sour	
GA Reuters is now poundir mail. (b)(3) OGA ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin	ng the pavement over th	he email issue. Williams o	clearly said multiple sour	
GA Reuters is now poundir mail. (b)(3) OGA ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Gent: Wednesday, Ma	ng the pavement over th			
GA Reuters is now poundir mail. (b)(3) OGA ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Gent: Wednesday, Ma	ng the pavement over th	he email issue. Williams o	clearly said multiple sour	"(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
GA Reuters is now poundir mail. (b)(3) OGA ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Gent: Wednesday, Ma	ng the pavement over th] De, Rajesh;		
GA Reuters is now poundin mail. (b)(3) OGA ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, Ma Fo:	ng the pavement over th	De, Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart
GA Reuters is now poundir email. (b)(3) OGA ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May To: Richard	ng the pavement over th	De, Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gu	Vines, Vanee M; ov' < <u>DNI-media@dni.gov</u>	"(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart ⊻>;
GA Reuters is now poundir email. (b)(3) OGA ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May To: Richard	ng the pavement over the pavem	De, Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gu an J,	Vines, Vanee M;	"(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart ⊻>;
GA Reuters is now poundir email. (b)(3) OGA ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May To: Richard	ng the pavement over the pavem	De, Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gu an J. e, Christopher	Vines, Vanee M; ov' < <u>DNI-media@dni.gov</u>	"(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart ⊻>;
GA Reuters is now poundir email. (b)(3) OGA ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May To: Richard	ng the pavement over the pavem	De, Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gu an J,	Vines, Vanee M; ov' < <u>DNI-media@dni.gov</u>	"(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart ⊻>;
SA Reuters is now poundir mail. (b)(3) oGA ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May Fo: Richard Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; I	ng the pavement over the pavem	De, Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gu an J. e, Christopher	Vines, Vanee M; ov' < <u>DNI-media@dni.gov</u>	"(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart ⊻>;
GA Reuters is now poundir email. (b)(3) OGA ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May To: Richard	ng the pavement over the pavem	De, Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gu an J. e, Christopher	Vines, Vanee M; ov' < <u>DNI-media@dni.gov</u>	"(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart ⊻>;
GA Reuters is now poundir email. (b)(3) OGA ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May fo: Richard Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; I Subject: Re: NBC/ema	ng the pavement over the pavem	De, Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gu an J. e, Christopher Ensor, Leoinel K;	Vines, Vanee M; ov' < <u>DNI-media@dni.gov</u>	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart v>;
GA Reuters is now poundir email. (b)(3) OGA ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May fo: Richard Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; I Subject: Re: NBC/ema	ng the pavement over the pavem	De, Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gu an J. e, Christopher Ensor, Leoinel K;	Vines, Vanee M; ov' < <u>DNI-media@dni.gov</u>	"(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart ⊻>;
GA Reuters is now poundir email. (b)(3) OGA ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May Fo: Richard Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; I Subject: Re: NBC/ema ike I said, happy to dis	ng the pavement over the pavem	De, Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gu an J. e, Christopher Ensor, Leoinel K;	Vines, Vanee M; ov' < <u>DNI-media@dni.gov</u>	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart v>;
GA Reuters is now poundir email. (b)(3) OGA ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May Fo: Richard Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; I Subject: Re: NBC/ema ike I said, happy to dis	ng the pavement over the pavem	De, Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gu an J. e, Christopher Ensor, Leoinel K;	Vines, Vanee M; ov' < <u>DNI-media@dni.gov</u>	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart v>;
A Reuters is now poundinemail. (b)(3) ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May To: Richard Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; I Subject: Re: NBC/ema ike I said, happy to dis From: Robert Litt Sent: Wednesday, May	s, Rebecca J DL PAOMedia; Egan, Bria I Fonzone Sourcess. First need a DOJ v 28, 2014 10:56 PM	De, Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina J'DNI-media@dni.gu an J. e, Christopher Ensor, Leoinel K; iew.	Vines, Vanee M; ov' < <u>DNI-media@dni.gov</u>	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart v>;
GA Reuters is now poundir email. (b)(3) OGA ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May Fo: Richard Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; I Subject: Re: NBC/ema ike I said, happy to dis	ng the pavement over the pavem	De, Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina JDNI-media@dni.gu an J. e, Christopher Ensor, Leoinel K; iew.	Vines, Vanee M; ov' < <u>DNI-media@dni.gov</u>	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart v>;
A Reuters is now poundir mail. (b)(3) ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May To: Richard Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; I Subject: Re: NBC/ema ike I said, happy to dis From: Robert Litt Sent: Wednesday, May	s, Rebecca J DL PAOMedia; Egan, Bria I Fonzone Sourcess. First need a DOJ v 28, 2014 10:56 PM	De, Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina JDNI-media@dni.gu an J. e, Christopher Ensor, Leoinel K; Ensor, Leoinel K; Caitlin;	vines, Vanee M; ov' < <u>DNI-media@dni.gov</u> Heinzelmar	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart v>;
A Reuters is now poundir mail. (b)(3) ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May To: Richard Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; I Subject: Re: NBC/ema ike I said, happy to dis From: Robert Litt Sent: Wednesday, May	s, Rebecca J DL PAOMedia; Egan, Bria I Fonzone Sourcess. First need a DOJ v 28, 2014 10:56 PM	De, Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina JDNI-media@dni.gu an J. e, Christopher Ensor, Leoinel K; iew.	vines, Vanee M; ov' < <u>DNI-media@dni.gov</u> Heinzelmar	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart v>;

CID: 42493			and a second		$V \sim >$
			4447	ł.	
		/		Egan, Brian J.	: Heinzelman.
te; Fonzone, Christopher;					
		-		. 77 1	1 11 1
IDJect: Re: NBC/email			- Anna farana anna an a	S/I = 1	
	(b)(5)		/	(b)(6)	
	OGA	ODNI	1 - 1		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u>12</u>	<u>_/II</u>	
om: De, Rajesh		1		$/ \parallel \setminus \parallel$	
nt: Wednesday, May 28,	2014 10:43 PM Eastern	Standard Time			
nes, Vanee M					
	and a strend from the second from the second s	1			
			1		
	rds, Rebecca J		/		
: Grimes, Arlene U	1,			1	
	//				
	Ensor, Leoinel K			and a second sec	
	1 1 manual manual	Lun			
	filmin_film				(b)(5)
ibject: Re: NBC/email	$H \neq I$	A	(b)(6)		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
		111	$ \langle N \rangle_{\infty}$		N
		11 × 11	112		\mathbf{A}
	$H \downarrow I$	1/11	1 1 2		
		× / II	1 1 2		
			a sure afreces a construction of	and a second second second second	
om: Hayden, Caitlin	0011 10 20 DW			S. Stranger	(b)(3)
ent: Wednesday, May 28, b: De, Rajesh;	Vines, Vanee M:	Communication for an and the second s	and the second s	A contraction of the second second	OGA
				Evar	is, Stuart ODN
		Beaghley, Sina	4	1	
-1 $M/$		'DNI-media@dni.	gov' < <u>DNI-media</u>	@dni.gov>;	
Richards, Re	ebecca J		<u>\</u>	. < 5 -	
:: Grimes, Arlene U; DL P/	AOMedia; Egan, Brian J. Fonzone, Ch	rictophor	He	inzelman, Kate	
	Folizone, Ch	Ensor, Leoinel K;		- 1 - 1 	T
		<u>, Elisoly Econici</u> lity			
ibject: Re: NBC/email		1	and the second se		
		- all all all all all all all all all al			
					and the second
But can we please work	a Q and A to respond to	o this issue?			and the second se
<u> [] </u>	and a second	Land and the second			(b)(5)
om: De, Rajesh					(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
ent: Wednesday, May 28,	2014 10:34 PM				
		Vines, V	Vanee M		
: Hayden, Caitlin;					
: Hayden, Cáitlin;		Evans, Stu	art	N/	; Beaghley
				modia adai ante	
: Hayden, Cáitlin; iina:		DNI-medi	a@dni.gov' <dni< td=""><td>-media@dni.gov>:</td><td>I</td></dni<>	-media@dni.gov>:	I
ina:		IDNI-medi	a@dni.gov' < <u>DNI</u> hards, Rebecca J		an Kate
	DL PAO	IDNI-medi	a@dni.gov' < <u>DNI</u> hards, Rebecca J	n, Bri <u>an J.; Heinzeln</u>	han, Kate;

OGA DDNI

<u>CID: 424</u>		1 and the second se	Ø/III	OGA
Subject: Re: NBC/email			"LHK	ODNI
	and the second se			
Now that the accusation	has been made and aired,	and there is apparently a pe	nding FOIA request	
	S	/ // ````		
rom: Hayden, Caitlin	and a second		┉┉┼┉┼┈┼┈┼╌╲	
Sent: Wednesday, May	28 2014 02:14 PM			(0)(0)-F.E.
	(ines, Vanee M; De, Rajesh;			i
			Ev	ans, Stuart
		Beaghley , Sina		ans, seaare
		DNI-media@dni.gov' <dn< td=""><td>-media@dni.gov>;</td><td>1</td></dn<>	-media@dni.gov>;	1
	, Rebecca J			/
Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; DI	PAOMedia; Egan, Brian J.		Heinzelman, Kate	/
	; Fonzone, Ch			/
		Ensor, Leoinel K;		1
		a second a s		1.2
ubject: RE: NBC/email				$\sim 1 \mathcal{I}$
	1			
Sotcha. Thanks!	State of the second sec		and a second	(b)(3)-P.L. 8
	rannon ranasarrangi sasar sa waxaa aaraa waxaa		and a second	Lumma a sur .
rom:	/			
ent: Wednesday, May				
o: Havden, Caitlin: Vine	s. Vanee M; De, Rajesh;			
	Evans, Stuart;	Beaghley , Sina;		DNI-
nedia@dni.gov';		Richards, Rebecca J		1
nsor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email		: Heinzelman, Kate; Fonzone	, Christopher;	
nsor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email		(b)(3) OGA	, Christopher;	
nsor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email		(b)(3)	, Christopher;	ľ
nsor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email		(b)(3) OGA	, Christopher;	р:
insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r		(b)(3) OGA	, Christopher;	, L. 86-36
insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r	registry wise was checked.	(b)(3) OGA	•	L. 86-36
insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r enior Advisor & ublic Affairs Technical E	registry wise was checked.	(b)(3) OGA	•	, L. 86-36
insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r enior Advisor & ublic Affairs Technical E ISTS: 969-7504	registry wise was checked.	(b)(3) OGA	•	, L. 86-36
nsor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r enior Advisor & ublic Affairs Technical E ISTS: 969-7504 omm:	registry wise was checked.	(b)(3) OGA	•	, L. 86-36
nsor, Leoinel K; ubject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r enior Advisor & ublic Affairs Technical E STS: 969-7504 omm:	registry wise was checked.	(b)(3) OGA	•	, L. 86-36
insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r enior Advisor & ublic Affairs Technical E ISTS: 969-7504 omm	registry wise was checked.	(b)(3) OGA	•	r L. 86-36
insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r enior Advisor & ublic Affairs Technical E ISTS: 969-7504 omm IIPRnet rom: Hayden, Caitlin	registry wise was checked. Director	(b)(3) OGA	•	L. 86-36
Insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r enior Advisor & ublic Affairs Technical E ISTS: 969-7504 omm: IIPRnet rom: Hayden, Caitlin ent: Wednesday, May 2	Pegistry wise was checked.	(b)(3) OGA	•	L. 86-36
insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r enior Advisor & ublic Affairs Technical E ISTS: 969-7504 omm IIPRnet from: Hayden, Caitlin from: Hayden, Caitlin	egistry wise was checked.	(b)(3) OGA ODNI	(b)(3)-P.	L. 86-36
insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r enior Advisor & ublic Affairs Technical E ISTS: 969-7504 comm IIPRnet fom: Hayden, Caitlin form: Hayden, Caitlin for: Vines, Vanee M; De, vans, Stuart:	Pegistry wise was checked.	(b)(3) OGA ODNI	•	, L. 86-36
insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r enior Advisor & ublic Affairs Technical E ISTS: 969-7504 comm IIPRnet From: Hayden, Caitlin Form: Hayden, Caitlin	Peigistry wise was checked.		(b)(3)-P.	, L. 86-36
insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email EVERYTHING email and r Evenior Advisor & Public Affairs Technical E ISTS: 969-7504 Comm IPRnet From: Hayden, Caitlin From: Hayden, Caitlin From: Hayden, Caitlin From: Hayden, Caitlin From: Hayden, Caitlin Richards, C: Grimes, Arlen <u>e U; DL</u>	Peigistry wise was checked.	(b)(3) OGA ODNI	(b)(3)-P.	L. 86-36
insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r enior Advisor & Public Affairs Technical E ISTS: 969-7504 commi IIPRnet From: Hayden, Caitlin From: Hayden, Cai	egistry wise was checked. Director 28, 2014 1:33 PM Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina; Rebecca J PAOMedia; Egan, Brian J.;		(b)(3)-P.	L. 86-36
Ensor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email EVERYTHING email and r EVERYTHING email and r Senior Advisor & Public Affairs Technical E NSTS: 969-7504 Comm NIPRnet From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May 2 Fo: Vines, Vanee M; De, Vans, Stuart: Richards,	egistry wise was checked. Director 28, 2014 1:33 PM Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina; Rebecca J PAOMedia; Egan, Brian J.;		(b)(3)-P.	L. 86-36
insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email EVERYTHING email and r Evenior Advisor & Public Affairs Technical D ISTS: 969-7504 Comm IPRnet From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May 2 To: Vines, Vanee M; De, Vans, Stuart: Richards, Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; DL Subject: RE: NBC/email	Pegistry wise was checked.	(b)(3) OGA ODNI I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	media@dni.gov';	
Insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r enior Advisor & ublic Affairs Technical D ISTS: 969-7504 omm IIPRnet rom: Hayden, Caitlin rent: Wednesday, May 2 o: Vines, Vanee M; De, vans. Stuart: Richards, c: Grimes, Arlene U; DL nsor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email	Pegistry wise was checked.		media@dni.gov';	
insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r enior Advisor & ublic Affairs Technical E ISTS: 969-7504 comm IPRnet From: Hayden, Caitlin From: Hayden, Caitlin Fron: Hayden, Caitlin Fron: Hayden, Caitlin Fro	Pegistry wise was checked.	(b)(3) OGA ODNI I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	media@dni.gov';]
insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r enior Advisor & ublic Affairs Technical D ISTS: 969-7504 omm IPRnet From: Hayden, Caitlin From: Hayden, Caitlin From: Hayden, Caitlin From: Hayden, Caitlin From: Hayden, Caitlin Ent: Wednesday, May 2 Fo: Vines, Vanee M; De, vans. Stuart: Richards, C: Grimes, Arlene U; DL nsor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email IBC is asking whether o From: Vines, Vanee M	registry wise was checked. Director 28, 2014 1:33 PM Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina; Rebecca J PAOMedia; Egan, Brian J.;	(b)(3) OGA ODNI I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	media@dni.gov';]
Insor, Leoinel K; Subject: RE: NBC/email VERYTHING email and r enior Advisor & ublic Affairs Technical E ISTS: 969-7504 omm: IIPRnet rom: Hayden, Caitlin rent: Wednesday, May 2 o: Vines, Vanee M; De, vans. Stuart: Richards, c: Grimes, Arlene U; DL nsor, Leoinel K; ubject: RE: NBC/email IBC is asking whether o	registry wise was checked. Director 28, 2014 1:33 PM Rajesh; Beaghley , Sina; Rebecca J PAOMedia; Egan, Brian J.; ur search was just of e-mail 28, 2014 10:52 AM	(b)(3) OGA ODNI I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	media@dni.gov';]

Richards, Rebecca J c: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; Egan, Br nsor, Leoinel K; ubject: RE: NBC/email oger that. Thanks, CH. (b)(3) OGA ODNI anee' Vines ublic Affairs Specialist SA Public & Media Affairs EW************************************		ate: Fonzone, Christopher;	
nsor, Leoinel K; ubject: RE: NBC/email oger that. Thanks, CH. (b)(3) OGA ODNI anee' Vines ublic Affairs Specialist SA Public & Media Affairs		ate; Fonzone, Christopher;	
ubject: RE: NBC/email oger that. Thanks, CH. (b)(3) OGA ODNI ublic Affairs Specialist SA Public & Media Affairs			
oger that. Thanks, CH. (b)(3) OGA ODNI ublic Affairs Specialist SA Public & Media Affairs			
(b)(3) OGA ODNI ublic Affairs Specialist SA Public & Media Affairs			
(b)(3) OGA ODNI ublic Affairs Specialist SA Public & Media Affairs		$\not \rightarrow$	
OGA ODNI ublic Affairs Specialist SA Public & Media Affairs			< /
OGA ODNI ublic Affairs Specialist SA Public & Media Affairs			s /
anee' Vines ublic Affairs Specialist SA Public & Media Affairs	haven		
anee' Vines ublic Affairs Specialist SA Public & Media Affairs	TLV(P)		
ublic Affairs Specialist SA Public & Media Affairs	THICH		
SA Public & Media Affairs	(b)(6)		(b)(3)-P.L. 8
	11		
		8	M
			\mathcal{A}
	$ \rangle$		$// = \chi$
	in the second		$\langle \cdot \rangle$
	and a second	$\lambda \longrightarrow \lambda \longrightarrow A$	\ \ \ \ \ \ _
rom: Hayden, Caitlin		$\wedge \wedge \wedge / / /$	
ent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 10:51 AM	Second and the second		
o: Vines, Vanee M; De, Rajesh;	<i>Y</i> .		
vans, Stuart; Beaghley , Sil	na;	'DNI-media@dni.gov';	
Richards, Rebecca J	·		
c: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; Egan, Br	ian J.; Heinzelman, Ka	ate; Fonzone, Christopher;	5)
nsor, Leoinel K;			
ubject: RE: NBC/email		201 201	
	and the second		
r om: Vines, Vanee M		1999 - Y. C. Martin M. M. Strandon (1990) - Salatin Martin Songar (1990) - Martin Martin (1990) - Salatin (19	
ent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 10:50 AM			and the second
o: Hayden, Caitlin; De, Rajesh;	1		
vans, Stuart Beaghley , Sir	na;	'DNI-media@dni.gov';	1
Richards, Rebecca J			/
c: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; Egan, Br	ian J.; Heinzelman, Ka	ite; Fonzone, Christopher;	
nsor, Leoinel K;	and a state of the		1
ubject: RE: NBC/email	The second se		
i, CH. Matthew Cole, the "investigative pro-			to about the e-ma
day. I'm guessing that execs above him hav	e not filled him in (he	s in NY).	//
· · · · ·	and a start of the		$\Lambda A M$
and the second se	and the second se		$SM \parallel$
	and a second and a second s	$\langle \cdot \rangle$	(b)(2) D I 06 26
est, (b)(5)			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	P.L. 86-36	(b)(3)	* //
anee' Vines		· OGA	1 //
ublic Affairs Specialist	and the second	ODNI	1
SA Public & Media Affairs	and the second		Print,
EW********Media line:	and the second		1
			(b)(6)
		Sec. Sec.	4520077 ADA AND A
		and the second	

AAAA477	(b)(3) OGA ODNI (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
OCID: 4249177		
To: Vines, Vanee M: De. Raiesh: Evans, Stuart; Beaghley , Sina	a; [DNI-media@dni.gov';]	r
Richards, Rebecca J		
Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; Egan, Bria	an J.; Heinzelman, Kate; Fonzone, Christopher;	
Ensor, Leoinel K;		
Subject: RE: NBC/email		<u></u>
		de la constante
From: Hayden, Caitlin	Land for the former of the for	farmer
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 7:51 AM	//X	(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
То:	$1/7$ Σ] (D)(3)-F.L. 80-3
Evans, Stuart;	Beaghley , Sina;	DNI-
media@dni.gov';		
Cci	Egan, Brian J.; Heinzelman, Kate; Fonzone, Christopher;	1
		and the second sec
Subject: RE: NBC/email		
	(b)(6)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
and and an effect of the state	$\langle N \rangle$	
Thanks! -Caitlin	(b)(3) OGA	96.36
		20
From: Hayden, Caitlin	- ODNI	(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
Sent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 11:02 AM		
То:	\sim	7
; Evans, Stuart;	Beachley , Sina;	'DNI-
media@dni.gov'; Cc:	Egan, Brian J.; Heinzelman, Kate; Fonzone, Christopher;	and the second se
		J · \
Subject: Re: NBC/email	ZZAN TON	(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
		1 1 ~
/		11 1
		
From: Vines, Vanee M Sent: Sunday, <u>May 25, 2014 10:31 AM</u>		
To: De, Rajesh		
Hay	den, Caitlin;	1 1
Evans, Stuart	Beachlev . Sina:	$\langle \rangle$
Dicharde Dohoos		X
Richards, Rebecca	PAOMedia; Egan, Bri <u>an J.; Heinz</u>	elman. Kate
Fonzone, Christopher	Ensor, Leoinel K	
/		<u> </u>
l'		
	5	(b)(3) OGA

Subject: Re: NBC/email

Roger that, sir. The producer asked NSA PAO to call him on Friday morning. When I asked him whether he could show us something or give me a clue about the fresh claims, he said he couldn't do so at that point. He didn't say NO.

vanee'	(b)(3) OGA	(b)(6)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: De, Rajesh		-+	<u> </u>
Sent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 10:20 AM			
То:			- \\
Į			\sim
Vance Mil			Vines,
Vanee M;	dni.gov' < <u>DNI-Media@dni.gov</u> >;		Richards,
Rebecca J		<u> </u>	Kichards,
Cc: Grimes, Arlene U: DL PAOMedia:			
		<u>\</u>	3N
		; Er	nsor, Leoinel K;
Subject: Do: NBC/ompil			
Subject: Re: NBC/email			
			1
			State of the second
	1 1 1		
		an chana a shi a sa sana sa	men men en frans en ser
From:			(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Sent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 10:12 AM To: De, Rajesh; Robert Litt			(D)(J)-P.L. 80-30
IC. De, Rajesii, Robert Litt	1		
	Â	Vines, Vanee M	
		/	DNI-
Media < <u>DNI-Media@dni.gov</u> >;	Richards, R	Rebecca J	-
Cc: Grimes, Arlene U: DL PAOMedia:		1	
/			
/		Er	nsor, Leoinel K;
Subject: Re: NBC/email	—— <i>H</i> ——— <i>H</i> ——— <i>I</i> —— /	e.	and the second
Subject: Re: NBC/email		and the second second second	
[and the second sec	
1	11 1 .	and the second s	
		and the second	
/		and the second	
1 4	7 / / _		
1 ///			/
1 11	/ / / /		Sec. Contraction
			and the second se
1 11 1			and the second
	<u></u>		
Vr			and the second sec
vi /////	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36		1 Alexandre
111			A/6)
La faithean and the second		(i O)(5) GA
(b)(6)			
		e:	ODNI

	(b)(6) (D)(3) OGA	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: De, Rajesh		
ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 09:4	O AM	~ 10 IN
o: Robert Litt;		
and the second se		Vanee M
		DNI-Media
c: Grimes, Arlene U	DL PAOMedia	Richards, Rebecca J
/		
Ensor,	, Leoinel K	
ubject: Re: NBC/email	J = J = J	
		(b)(3) (b)(5)
rom: Robert Litt		OGA (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 09:2	5 AM	ODNI (b)(3)-P.L. 86
De, Rajest		
Ľ	Vines, Vanee M;	
P	>;	DNI-Media < <u>DNI-Media@dni.gov</u> >;
c: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMed	Richards, Rebecca J	
e. Griffes, Anene G, DE FAGNed		
		Ensor, Leoinel K;
ubject: Re: NBC/email		
rom:		
rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5	3 AM Eastern Standard Time	
rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5	3 AM Eastern Standard Time	
rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5	and an and a second	(b)(3)-P.L. 86
rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5	and an and a second	bert Litt; Vines, Vanee M
rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5 b: De, Raiest	Rö	bert Litt; Vines, Vanee M
rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5 b: De, Raiest	and an and a second	(b)(3)-P.L. 86 Dert Litt; Vines, Vanee M DNI-Media
rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5 p: De, Raiest	Rö	(b)(3)-P.L. 86 Dert Litt; Vines, Vanee M DNI-Media
rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5 b: De. Raiest c: Grimes, Arlene U	PAOMedia	(b)(3)-P.L. 86 Dert Litt; Vines, Vanee M DNI-Media
rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5 b: De, Raiest c: Grimes, Arlene U	Rö	(b)(3)-P.L. 86 Dert Litt; Vines, Vanee M DNI-Media
rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5 o: De. Raiest c: Grimes, Arlene U	PAOMedia	DNI-Media Richards, Rebecca J
rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5 o: De. Raiest c: Grimes, Arlene U	PAOMedia	(b)(3)-P.L. 86 Dert Litt; Vines, Vanee M DNI-Media
rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5 o: De. Raiest c: Grimes, Arlene U Ensor, ubject: Re: NBC/email	PAOMedia	DNI-Media Richards, Rebecca J
ubject: Re: NBC/email rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5. o: De. Raiest c: Grimes, Arlene U Ensor, ubject: Re: NBC/email aj,	PAOMedia	bert Litt; Vines, Vanee M DNI-Media Richards, Rebecca J (b)(3) OGA
rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5 o: De. Raiest c: Grimes, Arlene U Ensor, ubject: Re: NBC/email	PAOMedia	bert Litt; Vines, Vanee M DNI-Media Richards, Rebecca J (b)(3) OGA
rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5 b: De. Raiest c: Grimes, Arlene U Ensor, ubject: Re: NBC/email	PAOMedia	bert Litt; Vines, Vanee M DNI-Media Richards, Rebecca J (b)(3) OGA
rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5 b: De. Raiest c: Grimes, Arlene U Ensor, ubject: Re: NBC/email	DL PAOMedia	bert Litt; Vines, Vanee M DNI-Media Richards, Rebecca J (b)(3) OGA
rom: ent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:5 b: De. Raiest c: Grimes, Arlene U Ensor, ubject: Re: NBC/email	PAOMedia	bert Litt; Vines, Vanee M DNI-Media Richards, Rebecca J (b)(3) OGA

<u> DCID: 4249177</u>	(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
~~~	
	(b)(3)
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	/ OGA
	ODNI
From: De, Rajesh	
Sent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:15 AM	
То:	
1	Mines, Vanee M:
	DNI-media@dni.gov' < <u>DNI-</u>
media@dni.gov>; Cc: Grimes. Arlene U: DL PAOMedia:	Richards, Rebecca J
<b></b>	(b)(6)
Adding who is the task force lead.	(b)(6) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Adding who is the task force lead.  From: Hayden, Caitlin	(b)(6) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Adding who is the task force lead. From: Hayden, Caitlin Sept: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:11 AM	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Adding who is the task force lead. From: Hayden, Caitlin Sept: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:11 AM	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart [De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina
Adding who is the task force lead.  From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 08:11 AM To: media@dni.gov>	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina /DNI-media@dni.gov' < <u>DNI-</u> Richards, Rebecca J
Adding who is the task force lead.  From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Sunday. May 25, 2014 08:11 AM To media@dni.gov>; Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia: Egan,	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gov' < <u>DNI-</u> Richards, Rebecca J Brian J.
Adding who is the task force lead.  From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Sunday. May 25, 2014 08:11 AM To: media@dni.gov>; Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia: Egan, Fon:	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina /DNI-media@dni.gov' < <u>DNI-</u> Richards, Rebecca J
Adding who is the task force lead.  From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Sunday. May 25, 2014 08:11 AM To: media@dni.gov>; Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia: Egan, Fon:	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart IDe, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gov' < <u>DNI-</u> Richards, Rebecca J Brian J. Zone, Christopher
Adding who is the task force lead.  From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Sunday. May 25. 2014 08:11 AM To: media@dni.gov>; Cc: Grimes, Arlene U: DL PAOMedia: Egan, i Fon: Subject: Re: NBC/email	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gov' < <u>DNI-</u> Richards, Rebecca J Brian J. Brian J. Heinzelman, Kate zone, Christopher (b)(3) GA
Adding who is the task force lead.  From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Sunday. May 25. 2014 08:11 AM To: media@dni.gov>; Cc: Grimes, Arlene U: DL PAOMedia: Egan, i Fon: Subject: Re: NBC/email	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart [De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina [DNI-media@dni.gov' < <u>DNI-</u> Richards, Rebecca J Brian J. Brian J. [b)(3)
Adding who is the task force lead.  From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Sunday. May 25. 2014 08:11 AM To: media@dni.gov>; Cc: Grimes, Arlene U: DL PAOMedia: Egan, i Fon: Subject: Re: NBC/email	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart [De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gov' < <u>DNI-</u> Richards, Rebecca J Brian J. Brian J. Heinzelman, Kate zone, Christopher (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (c)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (c)(3)-26 (c)(3)-26 (c)(3)-26 (c)(3)-26 (c)(3)-26
Adding who is the task force lead.  From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Sunday. May 25. 2014 08:11 AM To media@dni.gov>; Cc: Grimes, Arlene U: DL PAOMedia: Egan, i Fon: Subject: Re: NBC/email	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart [De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gov' < <u>DNI-</u> Richards, Rebecca J Brian J. Brian J. Heinzelman, Kate zone, Christopher (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (c)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (c)(3)-26 (c)(3)-26 (c)(3)-26 (c)(3)-26 (c)(3)-26
Adding who is the task force lead.  From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Sunday. May 25. 2014 08:11 AM To: media@dni.gov>; Cc: Grimes, Arlene U: DL PAOMedia: Egan, Fon: Subject: Re: NBC/email Thanks very much,	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gov' < <u>DNI-</u> Richards, Rebecca J Brian J. Brian J. Heinzelman, Kate zone, Christopher (b)(3) OGA
Adding who is the task force lead.  From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Sunday. May 25. 2014 08:11 AM To:  media@dni.gov>; Cc: Grimes, Arlene U: DL PAOMedia: Egan, From: From: Subject: Re: NBC/email Thanks very much, From: Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 05:13 PM	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gov' < <u>DNI-</u> Richards, Rebecca J Brian J. Brian J. Heinzelman, Kate zone, Christopher (b)(3) GA
From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Sunday. May 25. 2014 08:11 AM To: media@dni.gov>; Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia: Egan,	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina 'DNI-media@dni.gov' < <u>DNI-</u> Richards, Rebecca J Brian J. Zone, Christopher (b)(3) OGA ODNI
Adding who is the task force lead.  From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Sunday. May 25. 2014 08:11 AM To media@dni.gov>; Cc: Grimes. Arlene U: DL PAOMedia: Egan, From: Subject: Re: NBC/email Thanks very much, From: Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 05:13 PM To: Evans, Stuart;	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Evans, Stuart De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina DNI-media@dni.gov' < <u>DNI-</u> Richards, Rebecca J Brian J. Brian J. Christopher (b)(3) OGA ODNI (b)(3) OGA ODNI
Adding who is the task force lead.  From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Sunday. May 25. 2014 08:11 AM To: media@dni.gov>; Cc: Grimes, Arlene U: DL PAOMedia: Egan, From: Subject: Re: NBC/email Thanks very much, From: Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 05:13 PM To: Evans, Stuart; [DNI-Media@dr	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Adding who is the task force lead.  From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Sunday. May 25. 2014 08:11 AM To: media@dni.gov>; Cc: Grimes, Arlene U: DL PAOMedia: Egan, From: Subject: Re: NBC/email Thanks very much, From: Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 05:13 PM To: Evans, Stuart; IDNI-Media@dr Cc:	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Adding who is the task force lead.  From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Sunday. May 25. 2014 08:11 AM To media@dni.gov>; Cc: Grimes. Arlene U: DL PAOMedia: Egan, From: Subject: Re: NBC/email Thanks very much, From: Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 05:13 PM To: Evans, Stuart;	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

OCID: 4249177		(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	c.
Subject: Re: NBC/email	b)(6)		
Spokesman, National Security		(b)(3)-P	P.L. 86-36
From: Evans, Stuart		<i>777////</i> //////////////////////////////	-
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 03:57 PM		$ \rightarrow H \oplus $	<u></u>
		en, Çaitl <u>in / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /</u>	AND
Beaghley	, Sina		
'DNI-Media@dni.c	aov' <dni-media@dni.gov>:</dni-media@dni.gov>		
Cc:		Egan, Brian J.	/ //
Heinzelman,	Kate	Fonzone, Christop	her
Subject: Re: NBC/email + Brian, Kate, and Chris (with them actually ad	dded this time).		
From: Evans, Stuart Sent: Saturdav. May 24, 2014 03:56 PM To:			<u> </u>
Hayden, Caitlin; Beaghley , Sina;		'DNI-Media@dni.gov' <	
Media@dni.gov>;			
Cc:			Lawrence of the second
Subject: Re: NBC/email	11 2		
+ Brian, Kate, and Chris. (b)(6)		. / / ·	
Erom	<u>//</u>	· /	
From: Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 12:46 PM	- 2009 		an and a starting
То:			
Hay	yden, Caitlin; Beaghley , Sina; Evans	s, Stuart;	
IDNI-Media@dni.d	10v' <dni-media@dni.gov>:</dni-media@dni.gov>		

CID: 4249177		(b)(3) OGA	
OUJ's response is being formulated Spokesman, Natio	and I hope to have it shortly.		
and the second se			(b)(3)-P.L.
rom: Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 12:2	26 PM		4.
o:	De, Raiesh	Vines, Vanee M	1
	and the second	> < < < >	/
000	DNI-Media@dni.g	ov' <dni-media@dni.gov>;</dni-media@dni.gov>	
OPA);	Summer Summer Summer		
c: Grimes, Arlene U Cubject: Re: NBC/email	DL PAOMedia	(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	(
	]		
15 0.11 (Support of the second sec		(b)(	3)
rom: Robert Litt		OG	A
ent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 12:0 o: De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M;	00 PM Eastern Standard Time		DNI
		DNI-Media < <u>DNI-Media@dni.</u>	<u>gov</u> >; Richards,
ebecca J			
c: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia			
ubject: Re: NBC/email	(b)(5) OGA	(b)(6)	
and a second s	ODNI		"(b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
			<u> </u>
rom: De, Rajesh ent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 11:1	AM Eastorn Chandard Time		$\  \setminus$
o: Vines, Vanee M	Robert Litt;		1
		DNI-Media;	
Richards, R			
c: Grimes, Arlene U ubject: Re: NBC/email	DL PAOMedia		
and the second se			
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(b)(5)		$+ \langle \cdot \rangle$
rom: Vines, Vanee M ent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 10:5	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36		
o:[			
	/	DNI-Media@dni.gov'	-DNI-
edia@dni.gov>;		De, Rajesh;	
; Richards, R			
c: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia ubject: Re: NBC/email	a		
est,			

vanee'	77	(b)(3) OGA ODNI
From: Robert Litt Sent: Saturdav, Mav 24, 201 To:	4 10:20 AM	Vines_Vanee_M· DNI-Media < <u>DNI-Media@dni.gov</u> >;
Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAG Subject: Re: NBC/email		o)(5) )GA ODNI (b)(3)-P.L. 8/
From: Hayden, Caitlir Sent: Saturday, May 24, 201 To: 'Vines, Vanee M	4 09:20 AM Eastern Standard Time Beaghley , Sina Robert Litt; DNI-Media	Evans, Stuart
Cc: 'Grimes, Arlene U' Subject: RE: NBC/email	'DL PAOMedia'	(b)(6)
		- ///
	(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	. / //
From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 ( To: 'Vines, Vanee M'; Beaghl Cc: 'Grimes, Arlene U'; 'DL P/ Subject: RE: NBC/email	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 5:10 PM ey , Sina; Evans, Stuart; 'Robert Litt';	'DNI-Media';
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 6 To: 'Vines, Vanee M'; Beaghl Cc: 'Grimes, Arlene U'; 'DL P/	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 5:10 PM ey , Sina; Evans, Stuart; 'Robert Litt';	'DNI-Media';
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 ( To: 'Vines, Vanee M'; Beaghl Cc: 'Grimes, Arlene U'; 'DL P/ Subject: RE: NBC/email From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 4	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 5:10 PM ey , Sina; Evans, Stuart; 'Robert Litt'; AOMedia' 4:20 PM ey , Sina; Evans, Stuart; 'Robert Litt';	DNI-Media;
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 6 To: 'Vines, Vanee M'; Beaghle Cc: 'Grimes, Arlene U'; 'DL P/ Subject: RE: NBC/email From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 4 To: 'Vines, Vanee M'; Beaghle Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAC Subject: RE: NBC/email From: Vines, Vanee M Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 3	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 5:10 PM ey , Sina; Evans, Stuart; 'Robert Litt'; AOMedia' 4:20 PM ey , Sina; Evans, Stuart; 'Robert Litt'; DMedia	(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia Subject: NBC/email

Hi.

If you're still in, please see my High Side note about a proposal to release the leaker's ONE e-mail to NSA OGC (and OGC's response to his very benign question).

Stu: It's my understanding that you've had conversations about this with Raj and others. The leaker's ONE e-mail (that we're aware of) is on the High Side, too.

.

NBC has yet to shed more light on the current claims. Note: Many news outlets have asked for a copy of the e-mail in recent months. We'd have to release it to all.

Best,

Vanee' Vines	
Public Affairs Specialist	
NSA Public & Media Affairs	
NEW********Media line	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: Sent: To:	De, Rajesh Friday, May 23, 2014 6:44 PM Vines, Vanee M; Bauman, Ethan;	Delong, John M;	
Subject:	Grimes, Ar Re: REPLY: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDE	iene U; DL MLTF_staff; E EN INQUIRY	DL PAOMedia
From: Vines, Vanee	> M	u t <del>an</del> an kara manan kuma	
Sent: Friday, May 2 To: Bauman, Ethan, Grimes, Arlene U; D	23, 2014 06:39 PM		
SA .			
his news outlet has nonths.	s an "agreement"/relationship with Mr GlennG. It has l	been working w/ him on	stories in recent
anee'		(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
	23, 2014 06:30 PM Delong, John M; De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; L MLTF_staff; DL PAOMedia		
Subject: Re: REPLY	Y: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY		<u> </u>
		~~~~	
		The second second	
Director, NSA Legisl			(b)(3)-P.L
			(b)(3)-P.L
Director. NSA Legisl (ofc) (mob From: Sent: Friday, May 2 To: Delong, John M	bile) 23, 2014 06:12 PM ; De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M;		
Director. NSA Legisl (ofc) (mob From: Sent: Friday, May 2 To: Delong, John M DL MLTF_staff; DL F	pile) 23, 2014 06:12 PM		
(mob From: Sent: Friday, May 2 To: Delong, John M DL MLTF_staff; DL F	23, 2014 06:12 PM ; De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan		(b)(3)-P.L

Approved for Release by NSA on 11-13-2015, FOIA Case #78137

(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

L MLTF_staff; DL PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan	
ubject: RE: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY	(b)(5)
П,	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	\sim
hn	
(b)(2) D L DC 20	
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
ohn DeLong, CCEP irector of Compliance	
ational Security Agency	(b)(5)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
rom: De, Rajesh	
ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 10:18 AM	
: Vines, Vanee M; TF_staff; DL PAOMedia; Delong, John M; Bauman, Ethan	Grimes, Arlene U; DL
ibject: Re: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY	
bjeet he. Hoe herof EDWARD Showben ingoint	
en de la companya de la construcción de la	
e've had discussions with WH about this	
e've had discussions with WH about this	This is the same topic I
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit	
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit	
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit in confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan.	
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit in confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. rom: Vines, Vanee M ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM	ty as to what they are referring to so that
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit n confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. rom: Vines, Vanee M ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM o: De, Rajesh;	ty as to what they are referring to so that
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit n confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. om: Vines, Vanee M ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM b: De, Rajesh; _ PAOMedia; Delong, John M	ty as to what they are referring to so that
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit n confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. om: Vines, Vanee M ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM b: De, Rajesh; _ PAOMedia; Delong, John M	ty as to what they are referring to so that
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit n confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. om: Vines, Vanee M ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM o: De, Rajesh; 	ty as to what they are referring to so that
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit in confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. Fom: Vines, Vanee M ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM o: De, Rajesh; 	Ty as to what they are referring to so that Grimes, Arlene U; DL MLTF_sta
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit in confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. rom: Vines, Vanee M ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM o: De, Rajesh; 	Ty as to what they are referring to so that Grimes, Arlene U; DL MLTF_stant t more info soon from the producer. In the sent to OGC or a compliance official. Plea
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit an confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. rom: Vines, Vanee M ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM p: De, Rajesh; L PAOMedia; Delong, John M ubject: Fw: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY Ir. Raj, w/ its story done, NBC is asking us to fact-check. Incredible. We'll ge eantime, there's apparently a fresh claim about email the leaker allegedly	Ty as to what they are referring to so that Grimes, Arlene U; DL MLTF_sta
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit n confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. rom : Vines, Vanee M ent : Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM D: De, Rajesh; - PAOMedia; Delong, John M abject : Fw: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY r. Raj, w/ its story done, NBC is asking us to fact-check. Incredible. We'll ge eantime, there's apparently a fresh claim about email the leaker allegedly e the producer's note below. Our December statement aboutno evidence	Ty as to what they are referring to so that Grimes, Arlene U; DL MLTF_sta
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit n confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. om: Vines, Vanee M ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM o: De, Rajesh; PAOMedia; Delong, John M abject: Fw: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY r. Raj, w/ its story done, NBC is asking us to fact-check. Incredible. We'll ge eantime, there's apparently a fresh claim about email the leaker allegedly e the producer's note below. Our December statement aboutno evidence	Ty as to what they are referring to so that Grimes, Arlene U; DL MLTF_sta
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit n confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. om: Vines, Vanee M ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM o: De, Rajesh; PAOMedia; Delong, John M ibject: Fw: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY r. Raj, w/ its story done, NBC is asking us to fact-check. Incredible. We'll ge eantime, there's apparently a fresh claim about email the leaker allegedly s e the producer's note below. Our December statement aboutno evidence nee'	Ty as to what they are referring to so that Grimes, Arlene U; DL MLTF_sta
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit n confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. om: Vines, Vanee M ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM o: De, Rajesh; 	Ty as to what they are referring to so that Grimes, Arlene U; DL MLTF_stant t more info soon from the producer. In the sent to OGC or a compliance official. Plea ce is what PAO has.
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit n confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. om: Vines, Vanee M ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM o: De, Rajesh; PAOMedia; Delong, John M ibject: Fw: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY r. Raj, w/ its story done, NBC is asking us to fact-check. Incredible. We'll ge eantime, there's apparently a fresh claim about email the leaker allegedly se the producer's note below. Our December statement aboutno evidence nee'	Ty as to what they are referring to so that Grimes, Arlene U; DL MLTF_stant t more info soon from the producer. In the sent to OGC or a compliance official. Plea ce is what PAO has.
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit n confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. om: Vines, Vanee M ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM o: De, Rajesh; . PAOMedia; Delong, John M ibject: Fw: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY r. Raj, w/ its story done, NBC is asking us to fact-check. Incredible. We'll ge eantime, there's apparently a fresh claim about email the leaker allegedly e the producer's note below. Our December statement aboutno evidence nee' is:	Ty as to what they are referring to so that Grimes, Arlene U; DL MLTF_stant t more info soon from the producer. In the sent to OGC or a compliance official. Plea ce is what PAO has.
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit n confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. om: Vines, Vanee M ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM o: De, Rajesh; PAOMedia; Delong, John M ibject: Fw: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY r. Raj, w/ its story done, NBC is asking us to fact-check. Incredible. We'll ge eantime, there's apparently a fresh claim about email the leaker allegedly e the producer's note below. Our December statement aboutno evidence nee' is:	Ty as to what they are referring to so that Grimes, Arlene U; DL MLTF_stant t more info soon from the producer. In the sent to OGC or a compliance official. Plea ce is what PAO has.
scussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit in confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. rom: Vines, Vanee M ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM o: De, Rajesh; 	Grimes, Arlene U; DL MLTF_sta Grimes, Arlene U; DL MLTF_sta t more info soon from the producer. In th sent to OGC or a compliance official. Plea ce is what PAO has. (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
/e've had discussions with WH about this iscussed with media roundtable this week. Can NBC provide any more clarit an confirm if it is the same thing? Adding Ethan. rom: Vines, Vanee M ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:31 AM o: De, Rajesh; L PAOMedia; Delong, John M ubject: Fw: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY Ar. Raj, w/ its story done, NBC is asking us to fact-check. Incredible. We'll ge neentime, there's apparently a fresh claim about email the leaker allegedly set the producer's note below. Our December statement aboutno evidend anee' his: (b)(6) rom: Cole, Matthew (NBCUniversal) [mailto] ent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:21 AM o: Vines, Vanee M; c: DL PAOMedia; 'DNI-Media@dni.gov' < <u>DNI-Media@dni.gov</u> >; nsapao	Grimes, Arlene U; DL MLTF_sta Grimes, Arlene U; DL MLTF_sta t more info soon from the producer. In th sent to OGC or a compliance official. Plea ce is what PAO has. (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Subject: RE: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY

Yes, have read that story closely, and noted NSA's response. Am asking again, based on more detailed claims in our interview, whether Mr. Snowden sent any emails to the OGC or any other compliance office within the agency regard his concerns about any of the NSA's programs. Further, were any emails sent by Mr. Snowden specifically in 2013 to anyone in the NSA that displayed or suggested Mr. Snowden's concerns or objections to NSA programs.

I'm at my desk,	(b)(6)
From: Vines, Vanee M		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 9:17 AM	~	
To: Cole, Matthew (NBCUniversal)	N	
Cc: DL PAOMedia; 'DNI-Media@dni.gov'; nsapao		
Subject: Re: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUI	RK	
What do you mean? An email about *what*? Have you	I seen Mr Gellman's story from December	? This is what we said
then in response to the allegations that Mr Gellman re		: This is what we salu
then in response to the anegations that will deliman re	ported on.	
"After extensive investigation, including interviews wit any evidence to support Mr. Snowden's contention the		
I'll call soon. Thx!		
V		(b)(3)
	(b)(6)	OGA
	(0)0	
From: Cole, Matthew (NBCUniversal) [mailto Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 09:07 AM To: Vines, Vanee M Cc: DL PAOMedia; 'DNI-Media@dni.gov' < <u>DNI-Media@</u> Subject: RE: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIN Let's start with this one, but I will still need to have a fet	RY /	
Can the NSA and/or DNI confirm or deny that Mr. Snow	wden sent emails to the NSA's OGC or any	other internal/agency
legal compliance body?	f -	
NBC News is aware that in the past NSA has denied that	at they can find any such emails.	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	1	
From: Vines, Vanee M		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 8:52 AM	/	
To: Cole, Matthew (NBCUniversal);		
Cc: DL PAOMedia; 'DNI-Media@dni.gov'; nsapao		
Subject: Re: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUI	RY	
Will call. But can you also put it in writing now so that	we can work it faster? Writing is easier. Th	NV.
Will call. But can you also put it in writing now so that	we can work it iaster? writing is easier. If	IA.

v

.

From: Cole, Matthew (NBCUniversal) [mailto:			
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 08:42 AM To:	Vines, Vanee M		(b)(3) OGA
Subject: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY	-		ODNI
Shawn and Vanee,		(b)(6)	

As you may have seen, NBC News will be airing a long interview with Edward Snowden. Given that he makes plenty of claims in the interview, I have the enviable job of checking the veracity of said claims. Is it possible to discuss by phone at your earliest convenience. I have initial inquiry, then, by mid day, I should have the final 3 or 4.

Regards,

Matthew Cole	
Investigative Producer	
NBC News	(b)(6)
30 Rockefeller Plza	and a second
New York, NY 10112	
0: <u>212-664-2047</u> C:	

. .

NSA-D4 USA CIV	
From: NSA-D4 USA CIV Sent: Monday, June 09, 2014 10:23 AM To: NSA-S3M2 USA CIV Cc: DL OGC MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ads_registry (ALIAS) CIV NSA-D USA CIV; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4; DL ssct (ALIAS)	
Subject: DL NTOC_ML (ALIAS) V2 RE: (U) Final "reference" document: Q&A for Snowden's Concerns and Notification	
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
Attached is ODOC feedback on the revised document. Mostly small tweaks.	
With regard to your response to (below)	مىر مەرى
Thank you for the opportunity to review, (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)	2
FINAL QA_archive doc_20140406	
(U/ /FOUO)	and the second
CCEP Training Program Manager Office of the Director of Compliance, D4 OPS 2: 2B8054 Personal: 963-2510 (s), (b) Office: 963-1705 (s), (b)	"(b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
DropBox: <u>http://urn.nsa.ic.gov/dropbox</u> (U/ /FOUQ)_	
From: NSA-S3M2 USA CIV Sent: Friday, June 06, 2014 4:43 PM To:NSA-Q USA CIV Cc: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ads_registry (ALIAS) Q09;NSA USA CIV; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL NTOC_ML (ALIAS) V2 Subject: RE: (U) Final "reference" document: Q&A for Snowden's Concerns and Notification	A-D

2 8

Approved for Release by NSA on 11-13-2015, FOIA Case #78137

	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)		
hanks for the suggestions!	and an and a second		
ust to let you knowI also received the			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
esponse before deciding.	tional narrative in that contextand the	n take another holistic look a	it the enti
hanks again!			
Na -		<	
gds,		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
Media Leaks Task Force			
0PS 2B, 2B8090 <u>69-5009 (s)</u>			
u)			
-/			3
romNSA-Q USA			
ent: Friday, June 06, 2014 3:49 PM			
ent: Friday, June 06, 2014 3:49 PM o: NSA-S3M2 USA C c: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; D	CIV DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ads_registr		NS
ient: Friday, June 06, 2014 3:49 PM io:NSA-S3M2 USA C ic: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; D ISA CIV; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS)	VIV	LIAS) V2	NS
ient: Friday, June 06, 2014 3:49 PM io:NSA-S3M2 USA C ic: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; D ISA CIV; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS)	CIV DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ads_registr D4; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL NTOC_ML (A	LIAS) V2	NS
ent: Friday, June 06, 2014 3:49 PM o:NSA-S3M2 USA C c: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; D ISA CIV; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS)	CIV DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ads_registr D4; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL NTOC_ML (A	(b)(3)-P.L.	
ent: Friday, June 06, 2014 3:49 PM o:NSA-S3M2 USA C c: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; D ISA CIV; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS)	CIV DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ads_registr D4; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL NTOC_ML (A nt: Q&A for Snowden's Concerns and Not	ILIAS) V2	
Sent: Friday, June 06, 2014 3:49 PM To: NSA-S3M2 USA C SC: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; D USA CIV; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) Subject: (U) Final "reference" document	CIV DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ads_registr D4; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL NTOC_ML (A nt: Q&A for Snowden's Concerns and Not	(b)(3)-P.L.	
Sent: Friday, June 06, 2014 3:49 PM To: NSA-S3M2 USA C SC: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; D USA CIV; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) Subject: (U) Final "reference" document	CIV DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ads_registr D4; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL NTOC_ML (A nt: Q&A for Snowden's Concerns and Not AL//NOFORN	(b)(3)-P.L.	
Classification: CONFIDENTI,	CIV DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ads_registr D4; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL NTOC_ML (A nt: Q&A for Snowden's Concerns and Not AL//NOFORN	(b)(3)-P.L.	
Classification: CONFIDENTI, Just a couple of suggested twea	CIV DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ads_registr D4; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL NTOC_ML (A nt: Q&A for Snowden's Concerns and Not AL//NOFORN	(b)(3)-P.L.	
Classification: CONFIDENTI, Just a couple of suggested twea	CIV DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ads_registr D4; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL NTOC_ML (A nt: Q&A for Snowden's Concerns and Not AL//NOFORN	(b)(3)-P.L.	
Gent: Friday, June 06, 2014 3:49 PM So:NSA-S3M2 USA C Sc: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; D SA CIV; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) Subject: (U) Final "reference" documen Classification: CONFIDENTI, Just a couple of suggested twea	CIV DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ads_registr D4; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL NTOC_ML (A nt: Q&A for Snowden's Concerns and Not AL//NOFORN	(b)(3)-P.L.	
Classification: CONFIDENTI, Just a couple of suggested twea	CIV DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ads_registr D4; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL NTOC_ML (A nt: Q&A for Snowden's Concerns and Not AL//NOFORN	(b)(3)-P.L.	

.

÷

DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS	. 79 IAS) D23; DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL Q_RE 5) D4	
Cc: DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL N	TOC_ML (ALIAS) V2	\sim
Subject: (U) Final "referenc	e" document: Q&A for Snowden's Concerns and	d Notification
		· /
Classification: CONF	IDENTIAL//NOFORN	
		(b)(3)-P.L
	elease of that single email from Snowden to OC se and others to help them navigate thru the r hat!	
recommend respond quickly to any furth queries on the release or an	atively quiet, but could still bubble up if the me ed that we change the Q&A paper to an archiv er issues on this releaseand to create a living y potential discoveries of similar evidence as th TF action folder (MLTF 1602) as well as the talk gency employees.	e or "reference" document so that we can document should there be any further ne investigation continues. We will post this
	e "reference" document for your review. It inc structure for readability for anyone who might	
<< File: FINAL Q&A_archive	doc_20140406.docx >>	5 ž
(U) Would appreciate one la	ast look by your offices before we post this on t	he MLTF web site.
	······	3
Thank you again!		
ĸ		
Rgds,		
Rgds,	(b)(3)	-P.L. 86-36
	(b)(3)	-P.L. 86-36
Media Leaks Task Force	(b)(3)	-P.L. 86-36
Media Leaks Task Force OPS 2B, 2B8090 969-5009 (s)		-P.L. 86-36
Media Leaks Task Force OPS 2B, 2B8090	(b)(3)	-P.L. 86-36
Media Leaks Task Force OPS 2B, 2B8090 969-5009 (s)	(b)(3)	-P.L. 86-36
Media Leaks Task Force OPS 2B, 2B8090 969-5009 (s)		Classified By
Media Leaks Task Force OPS 2B, 2B8090 969-5009 (s)	(b)(3)	Classified By Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
Media Leaks Task Force OPS 2B, 2B8090 969-5009 (s)	e 	Classified By Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108
Media Leaks Task Force OPS 2B, 2B8090 969-5009 (s)	(b)(3)	Classified By Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
Media Leaks Task Force OPS 2B, 2B8090 969-5009 (s) (u)		Classified By Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108
Media Leaks Task Force OPS 2B, 2B8090 969-5009 (s) (u)	-idential//NOFORN	Classified By Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108
Media Leaks Task Force OPS 2B, 2B8090 969-5009 (s) (u)		Classified By Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390601
Media Leaks Task Force OPS 2B, 2B8090 969-5009 (s) (u)		Classified By Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108

-Dated: 20070108 -Declassify On: 20390601

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

4

-Classified By: Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 -Dated: 20070108 -Declassify On: 20390601

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

-Classified By: -Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 -Dated: 20130930 -Declassify On: 20390601-

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN



National Security Agency Media Leaks Task Force

Date: 06 June, 2014 Re: (U//FOUO) Reference Document: Q&A Prep for Snowden's Claim of Concerns and Notification

(U) Executive Summary

(U//FOUO)_Following the NBC interview with Edward Snowden broadcast on 28 MAY 14, the Intelligence Community decided to release the only known email exchange (known to exist at the time) between Edward Snowden and the NSA Office of the General Counsel in which a basic question of legal precedence was asked and answered by an OGC lawyer. A Question & Answer paper was developed for US Government officials to provide background and unclassified talking points to support the release at news conferences and any potential follow-up questions. Selected portions of that paper were actually used by the public affairs offices at the White House and ODNI level.

(U/FOUO) As the event progressed the Q&A package was modified to become the paper that follows below. This new paper is intended to be a reference source for the event, or as a starting point should any further evidence come to light in the continuing investigation.

(U) **Bolding** and *emphasis* in this paper is primarily for the benefit of the reader, and is not required to be included in any formal responses to queries on these topics.

(U) The Trigger Event

(U) Edward Snowden has repeated claimed to have made attempts to express his concerns about the lawfulness of certain NSA activities to NSA colleagues, leadership, and through official channels. The following serves as an authoritative accounting of facts as relates to this claim and should be used as the basis for considering any responses related to these issues.

Classified By Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52-Dated: 20070108-Declassify On: 20190601 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

-CONFIDENTIAL/NOFORN-

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

(U) Framing Questions

(U#FOUO

A. Did Snowden raise concerns with NSA as he said he did?

(U) We have found a single instance of one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The question was received and an answer was provided to Mr. Snowden. There was not additional follow-up noted. There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for evidence of outreach from him in those areas and have not discovered any engagements related to his claims. ((Note: This paragraph was publicly released via the White House Press office on 29 MAY 14))

B. How did NSA respond to the NBC FOIA request?

(U) NBC issued a FOIA request for any and all evidence that Edward Snowden had formally filed his concerns with Agency authorities. The FOIA request was being handled according to the normal process when it was overtaken by a decision on the part of the NSA Director to release the text of the one e-mail referenced above.

(U//FOUO) Shortly after noon on 28 May 2014, NSA provided the response to Question A. above, along with a redacted version of the one email inquiry. A copy of that redacted version is stored in MLTF Action folder 1602.

(U//FOUO) NSA contacted NBC the following week to close out the request and informed them that the one email that responded to their inquiry was now public record for all.

2

Classified By Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390601

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN____

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

NSA Background to Potential Q&A Responses:

1. What is the training and awareness provided to government and contractor employees about reporting activities they perceive to be inconsistent with law and policies?

Training

(U) NSA/CSS provides training to all employees in order to maintain a high degree of sensitivity to, and understanding of the intelligence-related laws, regulations and policies associated with the protection of privacy rights during mission operations. Throughout the curriculum, the instruction repeatedly emphasizes the employee's responsibility to report questionable activity and the mechanisms to do so.

(U//FOUO) Selected courses are mandatory before an employee (civilian, military or contractor) can gain access to certain SIGINT operational systems. These specific training courses discuss the limitations of SIGINT authorities and mission operations to include reminders and guidance about who to contact with questions about scope of authorities, and who to contact if there are known or even potential compliance concerns.

The Basics -- OVSC1000

(U) Below are examples from NSA's core Intelligence Oversight (IO) course "NSA/CSS Intelligence Oversight Training" (OVSC1000). This course provides training to all employees in order to maintain a high degree of sensitivity to, and understanding of the intelligence-related laws, regulations, and policies associated with the protection of U.S. person privacy rights during mission operations.

(U) Most contractors are required to take this course. However, it is not mandatory for all contractors and will depend on their assignment, duties, and the stipulations of their controlling contract. Snowden's role as a systems administrator supporting SIGINT operations, and later as an analyst-in-training in APR –MAY 2014 would clearly place him in this the category of those required to complete OVSC1000.

<u>(C/NF)</u> N.B. Snowden's training record is considered Personally Identifiable Information, and the protection or dissemination of that information is governed by U.S. law and Agency policy, and is not part of this Q&A paper. For more information about Snowden's database accesses, skill set, and training record, see action for more information.

(U) In OVSC1000, sStudents are familiarized with the major tenets of core IO documents, including Directive Type Memorandum (DTM) 08-052: "DoD Guidance for Reporting Questionable Intelligence Activities and Significant or Highly Sensitive Matters." The following are examples of guidance provided within OVSC1000-the course:

Classified By Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390601

-CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

3

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

CONFIDENTIAL/NOFORN

(U) If you become aware of a violation of the authorities, you should report it immediately. If you are unsure if a violation has been committed, consult with your manager, your organization's IO representative, or Compliance organization. You may also contact the NSA OGC or the NSA/CSS Office of the Inspector General or OIG for assistance.

(U) It is important for personnel to understand that for purposes of intelligence activities governed by E.O. 12333, DoD Regulation 5240.1-R contains the approved procedures for use within DoD. These procedures also reflect DoD policies for employee conduct that require you to be familiar with restrictions on the collection, retention and dissemination of U.S. person information and the responsibility to report questionable intelligence activities to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS IG.

(U) Employees shall report any questionable activity to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS OIG. A questionable activity is defined as any conduct that constitutes, or is related to, an intelligence activity that maybe unlawful or contrary to executive order, presidential directive, or applicable DoD policy. Employees may also report questionable activities directly to the DoD General Counsel or Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Oversight, ATSD(IO).

(U) DIRNSA is also responsible for ensuring that no adverse action is taken against an employee because the employee reports a questionable intelligence activity pursuant to the established procedures. In addition, DTM 08-052 contains specific requirements for **reporting to the President's Intelligence Advisory Board** questionable intelligence activities and significant or highly sensitive matters that could potentially impugn the reputation or integrity of the DoD Intelligence Community or otherwise call into questions the propriety of an intelligence activity. Should you need clarification or have questions about the reporting requirements for possible significant or highly sensitive matters, you should consult with your manager, your compliance organization, the NSA OGC or the NSA/CSS OIG.

(U) Report questionable or significant and highly sensitive intelligence activities to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS OIG. You can find contact information can be found in the Resources section.

Awareness

(U/FOUO) NSA employees are provided information on how to report concerns regarding their work, or their work environment in a number of different venues to include formal training, briefings to large and small audiences, Agency static and electronic billboards and wall displays, and Agency-wide emails and announcements. All employees are made aware of how they may report concerns, even anonymously, within or outside their supervisory management chain. The relevant processes are reinforced in recurrent, mandatory training. Depending on the particular issue and individual circumstances, NSA employees may report concerns through many different avenues inside NSA, across the Executive Branch, and to Congress. The NSA OIG website

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFOR

Classified By: Derived From: NSA/CSJow 1-52 Dated: 20070108-Declassify On: 20390601(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

provides information about submitting hotline complaints and addresses anonymity and confidentiality concerns.

(U) **Prior to the Snowden incident (pre-MAY 2013)**, the OIG issued a number of agency-all messages summarizing investigation results of illegal activity and messages covering policies and avenues for reporting suspected mismanagement and violations of law, policy, and regulations. While not every IG report during this time frame dealt with intelligence matters, they all contained the following statement about the mission of the OIG and how concerns can be reported:

The NSA Office of the Inspector General actively investigates allegations of fraud against the Agency. The OIG is committed to eliminating fraud, waste, mismanagement of Agency resources, and abuse of authority. If you are aware of potential fraud, waste, or abuse, please report your concern to the OIG by visiting the Hotline office in 2B7088, calling 963-5023, or visiting the OIG Hotline website. You may remain anonymous when submitting concerns to the OIG Hotline. If you choose not to remain anonymous, your identity will be protected.

(U) After MAY 2013 and the issuance of NSA/CSS Policy 1-60, the IG issued a specific message addressing reporting policies and how to submit concerns in JUN 2013 and repeated this message in 2014.

(U) Within the Agency and the Federal Government, there are several avenues for reporting suspected mismanagement and violations of law, policy, and regulations. We recommend that you first try to resolve problems by bringing them to the attention of your leadership; managers are often in the best position to assess concerns, recognize process shortfalls, and take corrective action.

(U/FOUO)⁻¹If you believe that management has not been able to resolve your concerns, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) can assist. NSA/CSS Policy 1-60 requires that NSA/CSS personnel report to the OIG possible violations of law, rules, or regulations; mismanagement; gross waste of funds; misconduct by senior officials; or abuse of authority. A complainant's identity is protected by law, and NSA/CSS Policy 1-62 protects employees from reprisal for communications with the OIG. You can contact the OIG by telephone (963-5023), in person (OPS 2B7088), or anonymously through our Web site ("go IG").

(U) The Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Act (ICWPA) allows employees to report "urgent concerns" to the intelligence committees of Congress. A complaint made in accordance with the ICWPA ensures that your concerns are addressed and that classified information is protected. ICWPA complaints are made through the NSA/CSS OIG or the Department of Defense IG.

(U) If you have questions about how to report a concern, go to the IG Web site ("go IG") or contact the <u>IG Hotline (ighotline@nsa.ic.gov)</u> on NSANet. Contact the U.S. Cyber Command IC about problems involving that organization.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

-Classified By Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1 52 Dated: 20070108--Declassify On: 20390601(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

-CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN-

5

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

2. What are the mechanisms by which that reporting can occur?

(U//FOUO) There are various mechanisms in <u>by</u> which reporting can occur. Employees and contractors may provide information to the DoD OIG, IC OIG, NSA OIG anonymously, the OIG website via phone, in writing or to various offices within NSA such as:

- the Office of Equal Employment Opportunity & Diversity,
- the Office of the Director of Compliance,
- the Office of the General Counsel, and
- the Privacy and Civil Liberties Office.
- the Associate Directorate for Security & Counterintelligence

(U) The IG Act of 1978, as amended by the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Act (ICWPA), also provides contractors and employees of intelligence agencies a mechanism for reporting to Congress classified information alleging wrongdoing. Employees may contact the intelligence committees directly only if the employee –

- a) before making such a contact, furnishes to the head of the establishment, through the Inspector General, a statement of the employee's complaint or information and notice of the employee's intent to contact the intelligence committees directly; and
- b) obtains and follows from the head of the establishment, through the Inspector General, direction on how to contact the intelligence committees in accordance with appropriate security practices.

3. What is the process, accountability for addressing such reports?

(U/FOUO) When an allegation is presented to the <u>NSA</u> OIG or referred to them by an internal agency element, the OIG Investigations Division reviews it to determine the appropriate action. The NSA OIG also responds to referrals from external agencies when appropriate. Complaints regarding systemic process problems may be referred to the OIG Audit or Intelligence Oversight Divisions. Concerns involving violations of law or policy are pursued via a preliminary inquiry, and if warranted, via an investigation, by the Investigations Division. All complaints and their outcomes are tracked through the Inspector General case management system.

(U) If an inquiry is reported directly to Congress and a copy is not provided to Agency authorities (see Question 2 above), then the NSA OIG may not have knowledge of such a request.

6

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORM

-Classified By Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390601

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

4. Is there a record of these kinds of inquiries and responses?

(U/FOUO) The NSA OIG keeps a record of all inquiries and actions taken.

5. Do we [NSA] have any examples of reported activity which resulted in corrective measures?

(U//FOUO) Activity that is found to be a violation of law, directive, or policy is thoroughly reviewed to determine corrective action. NSA's incidents are most often tied to human error or technical malfunction that is corrected by local management within the capabilities of the existing systems in consultation with the NSA OIG and senior leadership. As of JUN 2014 and as previously reported to the intelligence committees, the OIG is aware of thirteen instances of intentional misuse of the SIGINT system by individuals over the last 10 years. Most were referred to the Department of Justice, and eventually ended with the departure of the employee involved. Auditing and monitoring processes in the operational areas where many of these violations took place were significantly modified or improved.

(U//FOUO) Other than violations reported by <u>NSA</u> OGC to the Department of Justice, we are not aware of any SIGINT programs or activities that are inconsistent with the law. Each quarter, the OIG and OGC review reported instances of non-compliance with SIGINT and Information Assurance authorities that are typically the result of human error or technical malfunction. These matters are addressed by local management or through other corrective actions taken-both directed by, and in concert with, by NSA's compliance organizations.

- 6. (OGC) Did we receive correspondence from Edward Snowden about his concerns?
- 7. (OGC) If so, in what form, on what dates and with what specificity as to content?
- 8. (OGC) Were his inquiries responded to? If so, how and when?

(U) No such correspondence has been discovered.

(U) NSA is unaware of any correspondence Edward Snowden had with the <u>NSA</u> Inspector General, the Office of General Counsel, or his supervisors wherein he expressed constitutional concerns about NSA's intelligence operations or authorities. We have found one instance of an e-mail inquiry to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of the content of some training material.

(U) On Friday 5 April 2013 at about 4PM, Edward Snowden sent an email to the Office of General Counsel questioning the hierarchy of several NSA authorities identified in a training course.

7

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFOR

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

(U) The inquiry did not raise any concerns with the substance of any particular statute, Executive Order, policy, or regulation.

(U) The inquiry did not suggest that NSA was violating any legal authority; nor did the inquiry question whether NSA had authority to conduct specific operations.

(U) On Monday 8 April 2013, the next business day, the Office of General Counsel responded to his question and the attorney offered to discuss the issue further if he desired to do so. There is no evidence that any additional correspondence or contact occurred on this matter.

9. (Q/TD) How was our search for any correspondence from him conducted?

(U//FOUO) As of JUN 2014, the investigation was still ongoing. We have reviewed all of the email and NSANet social media posts authored by Edward Snowden which we have been able to obtain. This review has included manual reviews of his email and posts, as well as searches of that email tailored to identify any responsive correspondence.

(U/TOUO) We have located additional email between Snowden and members of Office of General Counsel and Oversight and Compliance relating to his job duties and work on troubleshooting IT issues, but these emails do not contain any questions or concerns about the legal authorities under which NSA operates.

10. (Q/TD) Was it exhaustive and authoritative?

(U/FOUO) Given the importance of this issue and the repeated claims by Mr. Snowden, the effort to uncover any written or recollected evidence of these engagements has been thorough and involved cognizant authorities in each area of review.

11. (Q/TD) Is it possible there is correspondence we overlooked, didn't record?

(U//FOUO) We cannot completely rule out the possibility that something may have been overlooked, but we have high confidence that the searches and interviews for relevant information we undertook would have uncovered evidence of assertions that rise to the level of outreach and protestation claimed by Mr. Snowden. They have not.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

(U//FOUO)

8

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORM

-Classified By: From: NSA/CSSM-L-SZ - Dated: 20070108-Declassify On: 20390601-

•



-Classified-By: Perived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52--Dated, 20070108--Declassify On: 20390601-

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

ī

-CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN-

9

с. – С.

t

	From: NSA-D1 USA CIV To: NSA-D1 USA CIV Cc: NSA-D14 USA CIV Subject: FW: (U) Q&A: Snowden Concerns FW: (U) Q&A: Snowden Concerns Date: Wednesday, June 04, 2014 12:14:12 PM Attachments: FINAL Snowden concerns QA Ver3 odoc-comments.docx
pj(3)-P.L. 86-36	Attachments: FINAL_Snowden_concerns_QA_Ver3 odoc-comments_docx Classification: UNCLASSIFIED///FOR_OFFICIAL_USE_ONLY Please review and I had this last week while you were out, but it's not final so there is new opportunity today. Deputy. Inspector General, NSA/CSS 963-3544 secure general cmcl 288076 OPS 2B Suite 6247 "Tell me what you know. Tell me what you don't know. Tell me what you think. Always distinguish which is which." - Colin Powell From: NSA-D4 USA CIV: NSA-D14 USA CIV: NSA-D23 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV: NSA-D24 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV: NSA-D4 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV: NSA-D23 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV: NSA-D24 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV: NSA-D24 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV: NSA-D23 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV; NSA-D4 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV; NSA-D4 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV; NSA-D4 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV;
	Subject: RE: (U) Q&A: Snowden Concerns Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Thank you for the opportunity to review. ODOC comments are incorporated into the attached document. Best regards,

(U//FOUO)

CCEP
Training Program Manager
Office of the Director of Compliance, D4
OPS 2: 2B8054
Personal: 963-2510 (s), (b)
Office: 963-1705 (s), (b)
DropBox: http://urn.nsa.ic.gov/dropbox
(U/ /FOUO)_
From NSA-S3M2 USA CIV
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2014 5:59 PM To: NSA-D23 USA CIV; NSA-D4 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV;
M NSA-Q_USA CIV Cc: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4; DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; DL Q_REGISTRY
Subject: (U) Q&A: Snowden Concerns (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 (b)(5)
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR-OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Thank you for all your input and commentary for the Q&A package supporting the release of
the Snowden-OGC email. When we first assembled this package,

...so we thank you for that!

We have not fielded any follow-on questions about Snowden's claim, or his reaction to the release...so far. But, we will continue to develop this package for the factual history and as a hedge against any future questions.

Now that the email has been release and the media has completed at least one swirl on Snowden's response thought we should revisit this package and place it into the MLTF library as a "source document" for future related releases, and to continue serving as the authoritative statement about the Agency's effort to find and report on any efforts by Snowden to communicate his concerns to NSA management or authorities.

Attached is mark up, to include some new verbiage to show that the initial event has

passed. I have already accepted all of his "cosmetic" narrative changes to make it a little easier to read; however, I retained a few of his "commentary" boxes and at least one markup that I will need your help to resolve before going final.



And...I have not forgotten that some of you made similar comments about the purpose of this package, and if some of the Q&A's might be more appropriate for another paper...or even as a classified addendum to this package. We can still consider those here in this version.

Would welcome your thoughts and advice!

I would like to go final on this by COB TUE, or WED at the latest. Please let me know if that's not possible.

Thank you!

Rgds,	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-	36
Media Leaks Task Fo	rce	
OPS 2B, 2B8090		
969-5009 (s)		
-(u)		
Classification: U	NCLASSIFIED // FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	
Classification: U	NCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR-OFFICIAL-USE ONLY-

(U) Edward Snowden has repeated claimed to have made attempts to express his concerns about the lawfulness of certain NSA activities to NSA colleagues, leadership, and through official channels. The following serves as an authoritative accounting of facts as relates to this claim and should be used as the basis for considering any responses related to these issues.

Framing questions

Framing questions

A. Did Snowden raise concerns with NSA as he said he did?

(U) We have found a single instance of one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The question was received and an answer was provided to Mr. Snowden. There was not additional follow-up noted. There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for evidence of outreach from him in those areas and have not discovered any engagements related to his claims. ((Note: This paragraph was publicly released via the White House Press office on 29 MAY 14))

B. How did NSA respond to the NBC FOIA request?

(U) The FOIA request was being handled according to the normal process when it was overtaken by a decision on the part of the NSA Director to release the text of the one e-mail referenced above.

(U//FOUO) Shortly after noon on 28 May 2014, NSA provided the paragraph in response A, above along with a redacted version of the one email inquiry. The copy of that redacted version is stored in MLTF Action folder 1602.

(U//FOUO) NSA contacted the FOIA originator NBC to close out the request.

NSA Background to Potential Q&A Responses:

1. What is the training and awareness provided to government and contractor employees about reporting activities they perceive to be inconsistent with law and policies?

(U) The-NSA/CSS Comprehensive Mission Compliance Program-provides training to all employees in order to maintain a high degree of sensitivity to, and understanding of the intelligence-related laws, regulations and policies associated with the protection of privacy rights during mission operations. Throughout the curriculum, the instruction repeatedly emphasizes the employee's responsibility to

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

report questionable activity and the mechanisms to do so.

(U//FOUO) NSA encourages employees to report concerns regarding their work or work environment in a number of different venues to include formal training, briefings to large and small audiences, Agency static and electronic billboards and wall displays, and Agency-wide emails and announcements . All employees are made aware of how they may report concerns, even anonymously, within or outside their supervisory management chain. The relevant processes are reinforced in recurrent, mandatory training. Depending on the particular issue and individual circumstances, NSA employees may report concerns through many different avenues inside NSA, across the Executive Branch, and to Congress. The NSA OIG website provides information about submitting hotline complaints and addresses anonymity and confidentiality concerns.

(U//FOUO) The NSA OIG also provides briefings on how to report concerns to various NSA training classes, including the new hire orientation class, mid-level leadership classes, and other classes specific to skill communities, such as contracting.

(U//FOUO) Selected courses are mandatory before an employee (civilian, military or contractor) can gain access to certain SIGINT operational systems. These specific training courses discuss the limitations of SIGINT authorities and mission operations to include reminders and guidance about who to contact with questions about scope of authorities and who to contact if there are known or even potential compliance concerns.

(U) Below are examples from NSA's core Intelligence Oversight (IO) course "NSA/CSS Intelligence Oversight Training" (OVSC1000). This course provides training to all employees in order to maintain a high degree of sensitivity to, and understanding of the intelligence-related laws, regulations, and policies associated with the protection of U.S. person privacy rights during mission operations.

(U) <u>Many Most</u> contractors are required to take this course. However, it is not mandatory for all contractors and will depend on their assignment, duties, and <u>the requirements of r</u> the stipulations of their controlling contract.

(U) Students are familiarized with the major tenets of <u>core_IO</u> documents, including <u>Directive_Type</u> <u>Memorandum (DTM) 08-052</u>: "DoD Guidance for Reporting Questionable Intelligence Activities and Significant or Highly Sensitive Matters." The following are examples of guidance provided within <u>the</u> OVSC1000 course:

(U) If you become aware of a violation of the authorities, you should report it immediately. If you are unsure if a violation has been committed, consult with your manager, your organization's IO representative, or Compliance organization. You may also contact the NSA OGC or the NSA/CSS Office of the Inspector General or OIG for assistance.

(U) It is important for personnel to understand that for purposes of intelligence activities governed by E.O. 12333, DoD Regulation 5240.1-R contains the approved procedures for use within DoD. These procedures also reflect DoD policies for employee conduct that require you to be familiar with restrictions on the collection, retention and dissemination of U.S. person information and the responsibility to report questionable intelligence activities to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS IG.

(U) Employees shall report any questionable activity to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS OIG. A UNCLASSIFIED//FOR_OFFICIAL_USE_ONLY

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold
 Formatted: Font: Not Bold
 Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

UNCLASSIFIED //FOR-OFFICIAL-USE ONLY-

questionable activity is defined as any conduct that constitutes, or is related to, an intelligence activity that maybe unlawful or contrary to executive order, presidential directive, or applicable DoD policy. Employees may also report questionable activities directly to the DoD General Counsel or Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Oversight, ATSD(I O).

(U) DIRNSA is also responsible for ensuring that no adverse action is taken against an employee because the employee reports a questionable intelligence activity pursuant to the established procedures. In addition, DTM 08-052 contains specific requirements for reporting to the President's Intelligence Advisory Board questionable intelligence activities and significant or highly sensitive matters that could potentially impugn the reputation or integrity of the DoD Intelligence Community or otherwise call into question the propriety of an intelligence activity. Should you need clarification or have questions about the reporting requirements for possible significant or highly sensitive matters, you should consult with your manager, your compliance organization, the NSA OGC or the NSA/CSS OIG.

(U) Report questionable or significant and highly sensitive intelligence activities to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS OIG. You can find contact information can be found in the Resources section.

(U) The OIG also issues agency-all messages covering policies and avenues for reporting suspected mismanagement and violations of law, policy, and regulations. The following is a recent example of a Senior Executive Message from Dr. George Ellard, NSA/CSS Inspector General:

(U) Within the Agency and the Federal Government, there are several avenues for reporting suspected mismanagement and violations of law, policy, and regulations. We recommend that you first try to resolve problems by bringing them to the attention of your leadership; managers are often in the best position to assess concerns, recognize process shortfalls, and take corrective action.

(U//FOUO)-If you believe that management has not been able to resolve your concerns, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) can assist. NSA/CSS Policy 1-60 requires that NSA/CSS personnel report to the OIG possible violations of law, rules, or regulations; mismanagement; gross waste of funds; misconduct by senior officials; or abuse of authority. A complainant's identity is protected by law, and NSA/CSS Policy 1-62 protects employees from reprisal for communications with the OIG. You can contact the OIG by telephone (963-5023), in person (OPS 2B7088), or anonymously through our Web site ("go IG").

(U) The Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Act (ICWPA) allows employees to report "urgent concerns" to the intelligence committees of Congress. A complaint made in accordance with the ICWPA ensures that your concerns are addressed and that classified information is protected. ICWPA complaints are made through the NSA/CSS OIG or the Department of Defense IG.

(U) If you have questions about how to report a concern, go to the IG Web site ("go IG") or contact the IG Hotline (ighotline@nsa.ic.gov) on NSANet. Contact the U.S. Cyber Command IG about problems involving that organization.

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold Formatted: Font: Not Bold

> (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

UNCLASSIFIED //FOR-OFFICIAL USE ONLY-

2. What are the mechanisms by which that reporting can occur?

(U//FOUO) The IG Act of 1978, as amended by the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Act (ICWPA), also provides contractors and employees of intelligence agencies a mechanism for reporting to Congress classified information alleging wrongdoing. An employee or contractor may provide information to the OIG anonymously, via phone, the OIG website, or in writing. Within NSA, employees and contractors may also raise concerns with offices as diverse as:

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b)(5)

- the Associate Directorate for Security & Counterintelligence,
- the Office of Equal Employment Opportunity & Diversity,
- the Office of the Director of Compliance,
- the Office of the General Counsel, and
- the Privacy and Civil Liberties Office.

3. What is the process, accountability for addressing such reports?

(U//FOUO) When an allegation is presented to the OIG or referred to them by another agency element, the OIG Investigations Division reviews it to determine the appropriate action. Complaints regarding systemic process problems may be referred to the OIG Audit or Intelligence Oversight Divisions. Concerns involving violations of law or policy are pursued via a preliminary inquiry, and if warranted, via an investigation, by the Investigations Division. All complaints and their outcomes are tracked through the Inspector General case management system.

If an inquiry is reported directly to Congress, the NSA OIG may not have knowledge of such a request.

4. Is there a record of these kinds of inquiries and responses?

(U//FOUO) The OIG keeps a record of all inquiries and actions taken.

5. Do we have any examples of reported activity which resulted in corrective measures?

(U//FOUO) Activity that is found to be a violation of law, directive, or policy requires some corrective action. NSA's incidents are most often tied to human error or technical malfunction that is corrected by local management within the capabilities of the existing systems in consultation with the OIG and senior leadership. As previously reported to the intelligence committees, the OIG is aware of thirteen instances of intentional misuse of the SIGINT system by individuals over the last 10 years. Most were referred to the Department of Justice, and eventually ended with the departure of the employee involved. Auditing and monitoring processes in the operational areas where many of these violations took place were significantly modified or improved.

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(U//FOUO)- Other than violations reported by OGC to the Department of Justice, we are not aware of any SIGINT programs or activities that are inconsistent with the law. Each quarter, the OIG and OGC review reported instances of non-compliance with SIGINT and Information Assurance authorities that are typically the result of human error or technical malfunction. These matters are addressed by local management or through other corrective actions taken by NSA's compliance organizations.

- 6. (OGC) Did we receive correspondence from Edward Snowden about his concerns?
- 7. (OGC) If so, in what form, on what dates and with what specificity as to content?
- 8. (OGC) Were his inquiries responded to? If so, how and when?

(U) No such correspondence has been discovered.

(U) NSA is unaware of any correspondence Edward Snowden had with the Inspector General, the Office of General Counsel, or his supervisors wherein he expressed constitutional concerns about NSA's intelligence operations or authorities. We have found one instance of an e-mail inquiry to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of the content of some training material.

(U) On Friday 5 April 2013 at about 4PM, Edward Snowden sent an email to the Office of General Counsel questioning the hierarchy of several NSA authorities identified in a training course.

(U) The inquiry did not raise any concerns with the substance of any particular statute, Executive Order, policy, or regulation.

(U) The inquiry did not suggest that NSA was violating any legal authority; nor did the inquiry question whether NSA had authority to conduct specific operations.

(U) On Monday 8 April 2013, the next business day, the Office of General Counsel responded to his question and the attorney offered to discuss the issue further if he desired to do so There is no evidence that any additional correspondence or contact occurred on this matter.

9. (Q/TD) How was our search for any correspondence from him conducted?

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

(U//FOUO) We have located additional email between Snowden and members of Office of General Counsel and Oversight and Compliance relating to work on troubleshooting IT issues, but these emails do not contain any questions or concerns about the legal authorities under which NSA operates.

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

10. (Q/TD) Was it exhaustive and authoritative?	
(U//FOUO) .Given the importance of this issue and the repeated claims by Mr. Snowden, the effort to uncover any written or recollected evidence of these engagements has been thorough and involved cognizant authorities in each area of review.	
11. (Q/TD) Is it possible there is correspondence we overlooked, didn't record?	
(U//FOUQ) We cannot completely rule out the possibility that something may have been overlooked, but we have high confidence that the searches and interviews for relevant information we undertook would have uncovered evidence of assertions that rise to the level of outreach and protestation claimed by Mr. Snowden. They have not.	Formatted: Font: 11 pt
	{Comment [.9]: Why?
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)	

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR-OFFICIAL USE ONLY_

6 From: To: Subject: Date:	NSA-D1 USA CIV NSA-D1 USA CIV RE: (U) ACTION: Q&A: Snowden Concerns Wednesday, June 04, 2014 7:34:14 AM	
Attachments:	FINAL Snowden concerns QA Ver3 docx	HAL USE ONLY
See attached	I – I have a few more comments about	hings that need some
	ion in addition to what you mention be porting mechanisms on all messages that	
they aren't as little.	focused as the two you mention, and v	ve might need to massage the words
	Inspector General rity Agency/Central Security Service	
963-0946 (s) <<>>		
To:	NSA-D1 USA CIV June 03, 2014 3:51 PM NSA-D1 USA CIV (U) ACTION: Q&A: Snowden Concerns	Remaining text is dup in DOCID 4249180

٦

9	(b)	(3)	-P.L.	86-36	
---	-----	-----	-------	-------	--

 a second restriction and the second s		
 NSA-DJ09		CTD
 INDA-DJU9	USA	LIK

From:	Green Miller Marci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV
Sent:	Tuesday, May 27, 2014 8:27 AM
To:	NSA-D USA CIV
Cc:	DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1
Subject:	RE: (U) REPLY: (U) FORWARDED FOR FOLLOW-UP: (U) REPLY: SSCI Expectations Regarding NBC Snowden Story

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN-

Marci U) Marci L. Green Miller NSA Public Affairs Office Personal: B / 969-7503 S PAO Office: B / 969-7499 S Media Line: 301-688-6524 Unclass email: From NSA-D USA CIV Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 8:25 AM Cc: Cl: Dmedialeaks, core (ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 Subject: (U) REPLY: (U) FORWARDED FOR FOLLOW-UP: (U) REPLY: SSCI Expectations Regarding NBC Snowden Story Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN- (U/froug) Thanks Marci. Lassume Thanks, Thanks, BLACK From: Green Miller Marci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 8:21 AM	Yes, Sir.	
(U) Marci L. Green Miller NSA Public Affairs Office Personal: B / 969-7503 S PAO Office: B / 969-7303 S PAO Office: B / 969-7499 S Media Line: 301-688-6524 B / 969-7499 S BackBerry: Unclass email: To: Green Miller Marci L NSA-DNI USA CIV Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 8:25 AM To: Green Miller Marci L NSA-DNI USA CIV Cc: CD unedialeaks, core (ALIAS) D; D: DPAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 Subject: (U) REPLY: (U) FORWARDED FOR FOLLOW-UP: (U) REPLY: SSCI Expectations Regarding NBC Snowden Story Classification: CONFTIDENTIAL//NOFORN [U//rcuoth Thanks Marci. Lassume] Thanks, [Nistional Security Monty/Central Security Service OFS 28 280000 [Pi(8)-PL 88-38 Thanks, [Pi(8)-PL 88-38 [Pi(8)-PL 88-38 From: Green Miller Marci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV [Pi(8)-PL 88-38 INICIS [Picated Lines 21 AM] [Pi(8)-PL 88-38 From: Green Miller Marci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV [Picated Lines 21 AM] From: Green Miller Marci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV [Picated Lines 21 AM] Cir: Instandow 27, 2014 8:21 AM [Picated Lines 21 AM] [Picated Lines 21 AM] Co: </th <th></th> <th></th>		
NSA Public Affairs Office Personal: B / 969-7503 S PAO Office: B / 969-7503 S Media Line: 301-688-6524 BlackBerry: Unclass email: From NSA-D USA CIV Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 8:25 AM To: Green Miller Marci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV Cc: DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 Subject: (U) REPLY: (U) FORWARDED FOR FOLLOW-UP: (U) REPLY: SSCI Expectations Regarding NBC Snowden Story Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN (U//F0U0) Thanks Marci. L assume Thanks, Media Leaks Task Force NSA-D USA CIV Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 8:21 AM From: Green Miller Marci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 8:21 AM To: Set: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 8:21 AM To: C: Fleisch Frances INSA-D USA CIV; Sone Corin R USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; MSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-D2 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV;	Marci	
Media Line: 301-688-6524 BlackBerry: Unclass email: From NSA-D USA CIV Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 8:25 AM Cc: DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) DX CIV Cc: DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 Subject: (U) REPLY: (U) FORWARDED FOR FOLLOW-UP: (U) REPLY: SSCI Expectations Regarding NBC Snowden Story Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN (U/#FOUO) Thanks Marci. Lassume Thanks, Than	and a second	
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 8:25 AM To: Green Miller Marci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV Cc: DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 Subject: (U) REPLY: (U) FORWARDED FOR FOLLOW-UP: (U) REPLY: SSCI Expectations Regarding NBC Snowden Story Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN (U//FOUO) Thanks Marci. Lassume Thanks,	PAO Office: B / 969-7499 S Media Line: 301-688-6524 BlackBerry: Unclass email:	(b)(5)
(U//FOUO) Thanks Marci. Lassume Thanks, Thanks, Image: Stask Force National Security Agency/Central Security Service OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICS UNCL INICS INSA-DI USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 Cc: Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV; INSA-D28 USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV;	Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 8:25 AM To: Green Miller Marci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV Cc: DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1	ctations Regarding NBC Snowden Story
Thanks, Media Leaks Task Force National Security Agency/Central Security Service OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICL UNCL UNCL UNCL WSTS 969-5011 BLACK From: Green Miller Marci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV Sent: Tuesdav. May 27, 2014 8:21 AM To: NSA-D USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 Cc: Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV; USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV;	Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	
Media Leaks Task Force National Security Agency/Central Security Service OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICS UNCL NSTS 969-5011 BLACK From: Green Miller Marci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV Sent: Tuesdav, May 27, 2014 8:21 AM To: NSA-D USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 Cc: Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV; USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV;	(U/ /FOUO) Thanks Marci. Lassume	/
Media Leaks Task Force National Security Agency/Central Security Service OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICS UNCL NSTS 969-5011 BLACK From: Green Miller Marci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV Sent: Tuesdav, May 27, 2014 8:21 AM To: NSA-D USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 Cc: Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV; USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV;		
Media Leaks Task Force National Security Agency/Central Security Service OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICS JWICS UNCL NSTS 969-5011 BLACK From: Green Miller Marci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV Sent: Tuesday. May 27, 2014 8:21 AM To: NSA-D USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 Cc: Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; MSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV;	Thanks,	
Sent: Tuesdav. May 27, 2014 8:21 AM To: NSA-D USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 Cc: Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV;	NSTS 969-5011	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	Cc: Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2	전 가슴은 작 것을 알 것을 가지 않기?

Approved for Release by NSA on 11-13-2015, FOIA Case #78137

OCID: 4249194 NSA-Q3 USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-I A NSA-DL USA CIV; NSA-F32 USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D Subject: RE: (U) FORWARDED FOR FOLLOW-UP: (U) REPLY: SSCI Expectations Regarding NBC Importance: High Classification:	NŠA-D USA
Sir,	(-1(-))
	/
Please let me know if you have any questions/concerns.	1
Fhanks,	
Varci	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)
(U) Marci L. Green Miller NSA Public Affairs Office Personal:	
NSA Public Affairs Office	
NSA Public Affairs Office Personal: PAO Office: B / 969-7503 S PAO Office: B / 969-7499 S Media Line: 301-688-6524 BlackBerry: Jnclass email: Trom: NSA-D USA CIV Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 8:01 AM	
NSA Public Affairs Office Personal: B / 969-7503 S PAO Office B / 969-7499 S Media Line: 301-688-6524 BlackBerry: Jnclass email: To: DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 Cc: Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV;	NSA-D2
NSA Public Affairs Office Personal: PAO Office: B / 969-7503 S PAO Office: B / 969-7499 S Media Line: 301-688-6524 BlackBerry: Jnclass email: Toriclass email: Toriclass May 27, 2014 8:01 AM Fo: DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1	nel K NSA-Q USA CIV;
NSA Public Affairs Office Personal: PAO Office B / 969-7503 S PAO Office B / 969-7499 S Media Line: 301-688-6524 BlackBerry: Jnclass email: To: DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 To: DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 Cc: Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; JSA CIV: NSA-D28 USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; Ensor Leoi	nel K NSA-Q USA CIV;

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN-

TO: ML PAO

-(C//NE) Barring further direction or insight that would cause us to revisit, please take Admiral Rogers' guidance as the official NSA position in your approach to interagency and Washington Post engagement on this topic going forward.

Thanks,

/ledia Leaks Task Force lational Security Agency/Central Security Service	
DPS 2B 2B8090	
WICS	and the second
INCL	
	(b)(3)-P.
rom: Rogers Michael S ADM NSA-unknown USA USN	
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 6:16 AM	/ \
o: Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV	/
Cc: NSA-D28 USA CIV: Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA	-DC USA CIV; Grimes
rlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV;	NSA-D2
ISA CIV; Stone C <u>orin R USA CIV; V</u> ines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA- <u>O USA CI</u>	
ISA-Q3 USA CIV;NSA-Q31 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV;	NSA-DL USA
IV	
ubject: RE: (U) REPLY: SSCI Expectations Regarding NBC Snowden Story	
mportance: High	
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	
like Option 1.	1
	/
r,	
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
MSR	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)
MSR DM Michael S. Rogers, USN	
MSR DM Michael S. Rogers, USN ommander, U.S. Cyber Command	
MSR DM Michael S. Rogers, USN ommander, U.S. Cyber Command birector, NSA / Chief, CSS	
MSR DM Michael S. Rogers, USN ommander, U.S. Cyber Command Virector, NSA / Chief, CSS T George G. Meade, MD	
MSR DM Michael S. Rogers, USN ommander, U.S. Cyber Command ^b irector, NSA / Chief, CSS T George G. Meade, MD ISA NET:	
MSR DM Michael S. Rogers, USN ommander, U.S. Cyber Command birector, NSA / Chief, CSS T George G. Meade, MD ISA NET:	(b)(5)
MSR DM Michael S. Rogers, USN ommander, U.S. Cyber Command birector, NSA / Chief, CSS T George G. Meade, MD ISA NET:	(b)(5)
MSR DM Michael S. Rogers, USN ommander, U.S. Cyber Command birector, NSA / Chief, CSS T George G. Meade, MD ISA NET:	(b)(5)
MSR ADM Michael S. Rogers, USN formmander, U.S. Cyber Command Director, NSA / Chief, CSS T George G. Meade, MD ISA NET: IPR: formm	(b)(5)
MSR MDM Michael S. Rogers, USN ommander, U.S. Cyber Command birector, NSA / Chief, CSS T George G. Meade, MD ISA NET: IPR: omm Tomm Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV	(b)(5)
MSR ADM Michael S. Rogers, USN ommander, U.S. Cyber Command birector, NSA / Chief, CSS T George G. Meade, MD ISA NET: IPR: omm omm rom: Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV ent: Monday, May 26, 2014 12:28 PM	(b)(5)
MSR MDM Michael S. Rogers, USN ommander, U.S. Cyber Command birector, NSA / Chief, CSS T George G. Meade, MD ISA NET: IPR: omm omm rom: Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV ent: Monday, May 26, 2014 12:28 PM o: NSA-D USA CIV	(b)(5) `(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 <u>DC_USA</u> CIV; Grimes
MSR MDM Michael S. Rogers, USN ommander, U.S. Cyber Command birector, NSA / Chief, CSS T George G. Meade, MD ISA NET: IPR: omm rom: Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV ent: Monday, May 26, 2014 12:28 PM o: NSA-D USA CIV c: NSA-D USA CIV; Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; Brooks Elizabet <u>h R NSA</u>	(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
MSR	(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 -DC USA CIV; Grimes M NSA-D2
MSR	(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 -DC USA CIV; Grimes M NSA-D2
MSR DM Michael S. Rogers, USN commander, U.S. Cyber Command Director, NSA / Chief, CSS T George G. Meade, MD ISA NET: IPR: IP	(b)(5) ^(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 <u>-DC USA CIV; Grimes</u> <u>M NSA-D2</u> V;
MSR DM Michael S. Rogers, USN commander, U.S. Cyber Command Director, NSA / Chief, CSS T George G. Meade, MD ISA NET: IPR: IP	(b)(5) ^(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 <u>-DC USA CIV; Grimes</u> <u>M NSA-D2</u> V;
NSA-D28 USA CIV; Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA Irlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; ISA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CI ISA-Q3 USA CIV; NSA-Q31 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; ISA-Q3 USA CIV; NSA-Q31 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; IV; Rogers Michael S ADM NSA-unknown USA USN Subject: RE: (U) REPLY: SSCI Expectations Regarding NBC Snowden Story	(b)(5) ^(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 <u>-DC USA CIV; Grimes</u> <u>M NSA-D2</u> V;
MSR ADM Michael S. Rogers, USN commander, U.S. Cyber Command Director, NSA / Chief, CSS T George G. Meade, MD ISA NET: IPR: IPR: Comm From: Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV Sert: Monday, May 26, 2014 12:28 PM To: NSA-D USA CIV Sect. NSA-D USA CIV: SA-D USA CIV: SC: NSA-D USA CIV: SA-D USA CIV; ISA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CI ISA-Q31 USA CIV; IV; Rogers Michael S ADM NSA-unknown USA USN	(b)(5) ^(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 <u>-DC USA CIV; Grimes</u> <u>M NSA-D2</u> V;
MSR ADM Michael S. Rogers, USN formander, U.S. Cyber Command Director, NSA / Chief, CSS T George G. Meade, MD ISA NET: IPR: IPR: Comm Grom: Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV fent: Monday, May 26, 2014 12:28 PM OC: NSA-D USA CIV Cc: NSA-D USA CIV Cc: NSA-D28 USA CIV; Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA ISA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; The Stander M NSA-DN1 USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA ISA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CI ISA-Q3 USA CIV; IV; Rogers Michael S ADM NSA-unknown USA USN Subject: RE: (U) REPLY: SSCI Expectations Regarding NBC Snowden Story	(b)(5) ^(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 <u>-DC USA CIV; Grimes</u> <u>M NSA-D2</u> V;

v/r,

DOCID: 42	49194			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
Rick					
Rick Ledgett Deputy Director	(and the second s				
From: Sent: Monday, May To: Ledgett Richarc Cc:	<u>I H</u> Jr NSA-D USA CIV	eisch Frances J NSA-D	USA CIV: Brooks Elizab	eth R NSA-DC USA CIV;	: Grimes
Arlene U NSA-DN U		NSA-D USA CIV; De	Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV	V; MNS	and the second
NSA-Q3 USA CIV;		USA CIV; Bauman Eth	nan L NSA-DL USA CIV;		USA
Importance: High					
Classification:	CONFIDENTIAL/	NOFORN-			
		045 F			

+ Arlene Grimes/Vanee Vines/ Q, LAO

Rick,

- (C//NF) There has been extensive dialog on this on the low side. I'll try to capture the varied positions below, welcome corrections from others if they feel I have not accurately captured positions as extracted from the e-mail train. Point of clarification in the thread below, the outreach by Snowden was to an OGC e-mail contact vice the IG.

-(C//NF)-Context:

- NBC did not contact USG about this story until they started promoting it publicly (Friday 23 May) and as of today have not shared any specifics beyond the one question for which they are seeking comment (per NBC to DNI PAO Turner and NSA PAO Vines 23 May 8:42 AM low side)
- NBC has a working relationship with Glenn Greenwald (per Vines 23 May 6:40 PM lowside)
- Cognizent authorities have undertaken searches and analysis and have uncovered no additional contacts or evidence of contacts from Snowden in which he expresses concerns about the lawfulness or appropriateness of NSA activities.

<u>Option 1</u> - Engage NBC in dialog before their program airs about our factual understanding (a single outreach noted, barely relevant to his claims),



DOCID: 4249194	
Media Leaks Task Force National Security Agency/Central Security Service OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICS UNCL NSTS 969-5011 BLACK	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)
From: Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV Sent: Sunday, May 25, 2014 10:51 AM ToISA-D USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV CcNSA-D28 USA CIV; Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC US Subject: FW: (U) REPLY: SSCI Expectations Regarding NBC Snowden Story Importance: High	sa civ
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	
Thoughts on this?	
Rick Ledgett Deputy Director	
From: Rogers Michael S ADM NSA-unknown USA USN Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 5:46 PM To: Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV Cc: Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; DL ddir_staff (ALIAS) S Subject: RE: (U) REPLY: SSCI Expectations Regarding NBC Snowden Story	
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	

I'd love to share the specifics of the only e-mail we have that he sent the IG which asked a very broad question on the hierarchy of law vs the direction in regulation and other publications and which never mentioned privacy concerns once.

/r, MSR

ADM Michael S. Rogers,	USN	
Commander, U.S. Cyber Co	mmand	
Director, NSA / Chief, CSS		
FT George G. Meade, MD	(b	o)(3)-P.L. 86-36
NSA NET:	and the second	
SIPR:	and an and a state of the state	
Comm		

From: Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 4:50 PM
To: Rogers Michael S ADM NSA-unknown USA USN
Cc: Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; DL ddir_staff (ALIAS) S
Subject: FW: (U) REPLY: SSCI Expectations Regarding NBC Snowden Story

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

Sir,

(U//FOUO) Further details on the NBC interview of Snowden due to air next week.

Fran

Frances J. Fleisch Executive Director OPS2B 2B8036 Suite 6242 963-6513 http://urn.www.nsa/dropbox?
From: NSA-D USA CIV Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 4:49 PM To: Eleisch Frances 1 NSA-D USA CIV Cc NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; Subject: FW: (U) REPLY: SSCI Expectations Regarding NBC Snowden Story
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN
Fran,
The Edward Snowden interview by Brian Williams will be on Wednesday, May 28, at 10 PM. Williams went to Russia to conduct the interview. Laura Poitras, Glenn Greenwald, and David Miranda may also be involved in the broadcast.

P.S. NBC contacted NSAPAO today with a question about the veracity of Snowden's claim to have contacted NSA OGC or some other compliancy body about this concerns.

1010104 -Г

OCID: 4249194	
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	(ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; Ledgett Ric <u>hard H Jr NSA-D</u> USA CIV; Fleisch Frances J NSA-D
USA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; NSA- NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-F32 USA CIV	D USA CIV;NSA-D USA CIV;
Subject: (U) REPLY: SSCI Expectations Regarding NBC Sn	owden Story
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	_
week. Thanks for the heads up. You can help temper experience it was publicly advertised and until yesterday had rebeen and don't expect to be given much if any detail beyo	ons for a "forceful" NSA response to the NBC broadcast next ectations by making clear that we were not aware of this story not been contacted to respond to any issues. We have not nd the public "teaser." We can only crystal ball so much, he truth. Our intent will be to be as aggressive and responsive may have their own views about how much we'll be
Regards,	(b)(5)
11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Media Leaks Task Force National Security Agency/Central Security Service	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
OPS 2B 2B8090	
JWICS UNCL	
NSTS <u>969-5011</u> BLACK	
	- Classified By:
	-Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
	Dated: 20070108
	Declassify On: 20390501
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	$\langle \rangle$
Classification. CONFIDENTAL/NOFURN	_ \
	Classified By:

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390501

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

Classified By: fjfleis — Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 — Dated: 20070108 — Declassify On: 20390501

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

Classified By: Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390501

Classified By: Derived From, NSA/CSSM

Dated: 20070108

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

Classified By: mlgree3 Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390501

Declassify On: 2039050

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

Classified By: Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390501

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

Classified By: mlgree3 Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390501

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN-

	NSA-DJ09 USA CTR
From: Sent: To: Cc:	Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV Tuesday, May 27, 2014 12:29 PM NSA-D USA CIV NSA-Q31 USA CIV; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV: NSA-D28 USA CIV NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA- D21 USA CIV
Subject:	RE: (U) REPLY: (U) NBC's note today/leaker
Classification:	UNCLASSIFIED //FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
No, sir. NBC hasn't	said anything else about the claim.
vanee'	
To: Vines Vanee M Cc: (ALIAS) DN1: De Ra NSA-E	NSA-D USA CIV y 27, 2014 12:18 PM NSA-DN1-USA CIV NSA-Q31 USA CIV; DL medialeaks core (ALIAS) D; Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; DL PAOMedia ajesh NSA-Q31 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; Y: (U) NBC's note today/leaker
Classification:	
	UNCLASSIFIED #FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Vanee, (U/ /FOUO) I agre	
Vanee,	ee with you. Did we get nothing further with respect to Snowden's claim of having raised his concerns on
Vanee, (U/ /FOUO)- Iagre	ee with you. Did we get nothing further with respect to Snowden's claim of having raised his concerns on

Approved for Release by NSA on 11-13-2015, FOIA Case #78137

Subject: (U) NBC's note today/leaker Importance: High

OCID: 424	9196	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	540 5	
	/			
From: Sent: To: Subject:	Green Miller, Marci I Wednesday, May 28 DL PAOMedia Fw: NBC/email		(b)(6)	(b)(3) OGA ODNI
Pretty sure this is yes. N	May want to check with e	than a on high side.	MHN.	• \
From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Wednesday, May	28 2014 01:32 PM	2		
To: Vines, Vanee M; De				<u>/</u>
		Beaghley , Sina	Evans, Stuart	
	/		gov' <dni-media@dni.gov></dni-media@dni.gov>	
	s, Rebecca J DL PAOMedia; Egan, Briar		Heinzelman,	Kate
Ce. Onnes, Adene 0, E		Christopher	nemzennan,	
		Ensor, Leoinel K;		
Subject: RE: NBC/ema	,ii			42 s
Subject. RE. NDC/ellia	<u>un</u> .			
NBC is asking whether	our search was just of e-	mails to OGC or also t	to the Compliance Office. Ca	an folks confirm?
	17. 			and a far and a
From: Vines, Vanee M		1		
Sent: Wednesday, May		/		
To: Hayden, Caitlin; De Evans, Stuart;	Beaghley, Sina;	•	DNI-media@dni.gov';	
	s, Rebecca J			
	DL PAOMedia; Egan, Briar	n J.; Heinzelman, Kate	; Fonzone, Christopher;	
Ensor, Leoinel K;			and the second	
Subject: RE: NBC/ema		and the second se		
Roger that. Thanks, CH	\sim			
	Martin		1	(6)(2)
а.	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	»	(b)(6)	(b)(3) OGA
Vanee' Vines Public Affairs Specialist NSA Public & Media Aff NEW***********Media lir	fai <u>rs / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /</u>	·		DO
		ND		
From: Hayden, Caitlin		\sim	\sim	
Sent: Wednesday, May		Sec. Sec.		
To: Vines, Vanee M; De	2, Rajesh;			
Evans, Stuart	Beaghley , Sina;	;	'DNI-media@dni.gov';	<u> </u>
	s, Rebecca J DL PAOMedia; Egan, Briar).: Heinzelman, Kate	: Fonzone, Christopher:	
Ensor, Leoinel K;				
Subject: RE: NBC/ema	il			

Approved for Release by NSA on 11-13-2015, FOIA Case #78137

1
	north	4249196	68: ₁₉	
	DOCID.	4249190		
8				
8				
84				
5				
8				
0.202				
2				
n R				
8				

.



	DOCID: 4249196
34	



OCID: 42	(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: Sent: To:	Hayden, Caitlin Wednesday, <u>May 28, 2014 10 47 PM</u> De. Raiesh: Vines, Vanee M;
(b)(3) OGA	Sina; DNI-media@dni.gov'; Beagnley Richards, Rebecca J
Cc: ODNI	Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; Egan, Brian J. Heinzelman Kate: Fonzone Christopher;
Subječt:	Re: NBC/email
From: De, Rajesh Sent: Wednesday, N To: Havden, Caitlin:	1ay 28, 2014/10:43 PM
	Evans, Stuart; Beagh
, Sina : Cc : Grimes, Arlene L	
	Ensor, Leoinel K
Subject: Re: NBC/e	Remaining text is duplicated in DOCID 424917

Approved for Release by NSA on 11-13-2015, FOIA Case #78137

DOCID :-	4249198

DOCID :	4249198
----------------	---------



DOCID:-	-4249198

OCID: 4	249199	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
From: Sent: To:	Vines, Vanee M Wednesday, <u>May 28, 2014 10:38 PM</u> De. Raiesh:		
	DNI-Media@	Ddni.gov';	S,
Cc:	Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia;		
	Ensor, Leoin	iel K	
Subject:	Re: NBC/email		(b)(3 OGA
	(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	(b)(6)	0
Strobel of Reuters	just said he may now report on NBC's claim abo	out the 1 email.	
		(b)(3)-F	P.L. 86-
From: De, Rajesh	May 28, 2014 10:34 PM		8 a
To:	Huy 20, 201110.51111	; Vines, Vanee M;	
			L
	L'DNI-media@	dni.gov' <dni-media@dni.gov>;</dni-media@dni.gov>	÷
	hards, Rebecca J]
Cc: Grimes. Arlene	U; DL PAOMedia:		
	<u>8</u>	Ensor, Leoinel K;	-
Subject: Re: NBC	/email]
		Remaining text is duplicated in DOCID 4249177	a

Approved for Release by NSA on 11-13-2015, FOIA Case #78137

DOCTD:	4249199
POOTD.	12 10 100

DOCID: 4249199

b1

į

	DOGTD . 4040100	
63 1.	DOCID: 4249199	
8		
E.		
5		
22		
N.		
8		
10		

OCID: 42	49200 (b)(3)-P.L.8	6-36	_	18	b)(3) DGA ODNI
From: Sent: To:	Green Miller, Marci L Tuesday, May 27, 201 'Havden, Caitlin', Vine	s, Vanee M; De, Rajes	s, Stuart		eachley , Sina; Richards,
Cc:	Rebecca J Grimes, Arlene U; DL	PAOMedia; Egan, Bria		h, Kate: Fonzo	
Subject:	RE: NBC/email		\mathbb{R}^{1}) .)9	
ˈhanks, Caitlin. NSA ⁄larci	supports this plan.				
From: Hayden, Cait	/		\downarrow		1. 808-95-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05-05
ent: Tuesday, May o: Vines, Vanee M;	27, 2014 7:51 AM De, Rajesh;		$A \neq \gamma$		
	Beaghley, Sina; irds, Rebecca J ; DL PAOMedia; Egan, Brian .	I.; Heinzelman, Kate; F	DNI-media@	\	
Subject: RE: NBC/e	mail	Remaini	ing text is dupli	cated in DOC	DID 4249177
	by NSA on 11-13-2015		·		

Approved for Release by NSA on 11-13-2015, FOIA Case #78137

15	DOCID: 4249200
2	
10 	
i M	
6	
j.	
8	
6	
8	
ų.	
2	
1	
ŝ	




1

			<u> </u>	
From:	Robert Litt			
Sent: To:	Monday, May 26, 2014 'Hayden, Caitlin': Vines	2:09 PM <u>s. Vane</u> e M; De, Raje <u>sh;</u>	Survey State	
	Thatach, Calant, Vines	Evans. Stuart:	Beaghley , Sina;	
Cc:	Grimos Arlono II: DL		ichards, Rebecca J	hristanhari
U U.	Griffies, Arierie U, DL P	PAOMedia; Egan, Bri <u>an J.; Heinz</u> Ensor, Leoinel K;	elman, Kale, Fonzone, C	nnstopner,
Subject				×.
Subject:	RE: NBC/email	in the second		1
From: Hayden, Caitl	lin	······································		
Sent: Sunday, May	25, 2014 11:02 AM		and a second	and a stand of the st
To:		Robert Litt;	an an a fair ann an Anna an Anna an Anna an Anna an Anna Anna Anna an Anna Anna	(b)(3)-
	Evans, Stuart;	Beaghley , Sina;	and the second	and the second sec
Cc:	IE car	n, Brian J.; Heinzelman, Kate; Fo	nzone Christonher	£
		n, bhan 5., rieinzeinan, Rate, re	nizone, enriscoprier,	
Subject: Re: NBC/e	mail		Remaining text is duplicated	in DOCID 424

POCID: 4249201

а.

POCID: 4249201

Sent: Frid	es, Vanee M ay, May 23, 2014 6:04 PM	
	ong, John M; De, Rajesh;	
Subject: Re:	Grimes, Arlene U; DL MLTF_staff; DL I NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIR)	PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan
		·
Jpdate		
puble		
		The producer has yet to call me again.
'm sure this will "evolve" over t	he weekend.	
lappy Friday,	And and a second s	
anee'	(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	(5)(5)-12.00-00	*
		1
From: Delong, John M Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 01:5	56 PM	X
o: De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M;		Grimes, Arlene U
DL MLTF_staff; DL PAOMedia; B		
Subject: RE: NBC NEWS/ EDWA	Remain Rema	aining text is duplicated in DOCID 4249

Approved for Release by NSA on 11-13-2015, FOIA Case #78137

POCID: 4	249202
----------	--------

From: Sent:	Vines, Vanee M Friday, May 23, 2014 6:28 PM	
Го:	Delong, John M; De, Rajesh; Grimes, Arlene U; DL MLTF_staff; DL PAOMedia; Ba	uman, Ethan
Subject:	Re: REPLY: NBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY	
This just in from C H	Hayden, NSC:	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Start -		
		A.
##	AVE	and a second
	(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
From		
		No. 12
Sent: Friday, May 2 To: Delong, John M	23, 2014 06:12 PM 4; De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M;	Grimes, Arlene U;
Sent: Friday, May 2 To: Delong, John M DL MLTF_staff; DL I		Grimes, Arlene U;
Sent: Friday, May 2 To: Delong, John M DL MLTF_staff; DL I Subject: REPLY: N From: Delong, John	1; De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan IBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY	Grimes, Arlene U;
Sent: Friday, May 2 To: Delong, John M DL MLTF_staff; DL I Subject: REPLY: N From: Delong, John Sent: Friday, May 2 To: De, Rajesh; Vin	4; De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan IBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY IN M 23, 2014 1:56 PM hes, Vanee M;	Grimes, Arlene U;
Sent: Friday, May 2 To: Delong, John M DL MLTF_staff; DL I Subject: REPLY: N From: Delong, John Sent: Friday, May 2 To: De, Rajesh; Vin DL MLTF_staff; DL I	1; De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan IBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY In M 23, 2014 1:56 PM nes, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan	
Sent: Friday, May 2 To: Delong, John M DL MLTF_staff; DL I Subject: REPLY: N From: Delong, John Sent: Friday, May 2 To: De, Rajesh; Vin DL MLTF_staff; DL I	1; De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan IBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY In M 23, 2014 1:56 PM nes, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan	Grimes, Arlene U
Sent: Friday, May 2 To: Delong, John M DL MLTF_staff; DL I Subject: REPLY: N From: Delong, John Sent: Friday, May 2 To: De, Rajesh; Vin DL MLTF_staff; DL I	1; De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan IBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY In M 23, 2014 1:56 PM nes, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan	Grimes, Arlene U
Sent: Friday, May 2 To: Delong, John M DL MLTF_staff; DL I Subject: REPLY: N From: Delong, John Sent: Friday, May 2 To: De, Rajesh; Vin DL MLTF_staff; DL I	1; De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan IBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY In M 23, 2014 1:56 PM nes, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan	Grimes, Arlene U
Sent: Friday, May 2 To: Delong, John M DL MLTF_staff; DL I Subject: REPLY: N From: Delong, John Sent: Friday, May 2 To: De, Rajesh; Vin DL MLTF_staff; DL I	1; De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan IBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY In M 23, 2014 1:56 PM nes, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan	Grimes, Arlene U
Sent: Friday, May 2 To: Delong, John M DL MLTF_staff; DL I Subject: REPLY: N From: Delong, John Sent: Friday, May 2 To: De, Rajesh; Vin DL MLTF_staff; DL I	1; De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan IBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY In M 23, 2014 1:56 PM nes, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan	Grimes, Arlene U
Sent: Friday, May 2 To: Delong, John M DL MLTF_staff; DL I Subject: REPLY: N From: Delong, John Sent: Friday, May 2 To: De, Rajesh; Vin DL MLTF_staff; DL I	1; De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan IBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY In M 23, 2014 1:56 PM nes, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan	Grimes, Arlene U
Sent: Friday, May 2 To: Delong, John M DL MLTF_staff; DL I Subject: REPLY: N From: Delong, John Sent: Friday, May 2 To: De, Rajesh; Vin DL MLTF_staff; DL I	1; De, Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan IBC NEWS/ EDWARD SNOWDEN INQUIRY In M 23, 2014 1:56 PM nes, Vanee M; PAOMedia; Bauman, Ethan	Grimes, Arlene U

DO	CTL	• •	424	a	2	n	2
pe	OTE		12 1		-	υ	-

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

NSA-S3M2 USA CIV
DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; DL ssct (ALIAS) S0; DL OGC MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; DL d iq tasker (ALIAS) D; DL LAO Media Leaks (ALIAS) DL; DL medialeaks staff (ALIAS) K1; DL ODOC Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4
(U) Archive Document: Q&A Prep for Snowden''s Claim of Concerns and Notification
Wednesday, June 11, 2014 6:15:27 PM
FINAL Q&A archive doc 20140409.docx

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

All,

(U//FOUO) Attached is the final version of the Question and Answer (Q&A) prep that was developed to support the release of the single Snowden-OGC email. Most of the material contained in this document was passed to public affairs offices and the White House Spokesperson for delivery to the press in the immediate hours after the email was released by NSA.

<<....>>

(U//FOUO) Later, in an effort to archive and retain this information for future use, the Q&A was modified to reflect more of the background, facts, and procedures that implement the notification process at NSA that an employee is informed of, and expected to apply when reporting a potential problem or violation.

(U) This is a living document that can and should be modified as similar events warrant. For this initial document, the date/time stamp is 9 JUN 2014.

(U//FOUO) This document will be filed on the MLTF Sharepoint website under MLTF 1602. It will also be added to the "classified talking points" tab on the left side of the screen. While the majority of the material is written to an UNCLASS or U//FOUO level, there are selected items that push the document to CONFIDENTIAL/NOFORN.

(U) Attached is a copy for your records.

Rgds,	
Media Leaks Task Force	
OPS 2B, 2B8090	(U)(3)-F.L. 80-30
969-5009 (s)	

Approved for Release by NSA on 11-13-2015, FOIA Case #78137

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Classified By.

-Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52

-Dated: 20070108-

Declassify On. 20390601

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN



National Security Agency Media Leaks Task Force

Date: 09 June, 2014 Re: (U//FOUO) Reference Document: Q&A Prep for Snowden's Claim of Concerns and Notification

(U) Executive Summary

(U/FOUO) Following the NBC interview with Edward Snowden broadcast on 28 MAY 14, the Intelligence Community decided to release the only email exchange known to exist at the time between Edward Snowden and the NSA Office of the General Counsel in which a basic question of legal precedence was asked and answered by an OGC lawyer. A Question & Answer paper was developed for US Government officials to provide background and unclassified talking points to support the release at news conferences and any potential follow-up questions. Selected portions of that paper were actually used by the public affairs offices at the White House and ODNI level.

(U/FOUO) As the event progressed the Q&A package was modified to become the paper that follows below. This new paper is intended to be a reference source for the event, or as a starting point should any further evidence come to light in the continuing investigation .

(U) **Bolding** and *emphasis* in this paper is primarily for the benefit of the reader, and is not required to be included in any formal responses to queries on these topics.

(U) The Trigger Event

(U) Edward Snowden has repeatedly claimed to have made attempts to express his concerns about the lawfulness of certain NSA activities to NSA colleagues, leadership, and through official channels. The following serves as an authoritative accounting of facts as relates to this claim and should be used as the basis for considering any responses related to these issues.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

(U) Framing Questions

A. Did Snowden raise concerns with NSA as he said he did?

(U) We have found a single instance of one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The question was received and an answer was provided to Mr. Snowden. There was not additional follow-up noted. There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for evidence of outreach from him in those areas and have not discovered any engagements related to his claims. ((Note: This paragraph was publicly released via the White House Press office on 29 MAY 14))

B. How did NSA respond to the NBC FOIA request?

(U) NBC issued a FOIA request for any and all evidence that Edward Snowden had formally filed his concerns with Agency authorities. The FOIA request was being handled according to the normal process when it was overtaken by a decision on the part of the NSA Director to release the text of the one e-mail referenced above.

(U'/FOUO) Shortly after noon on 28 May 2014, NSA provided the response to Question A. above, along with a redacted version of the one email inquiry. A copy of that redacted version is stored in MLTF Action folder 1602.

(U//FOUO) NSA contacted NBC the following week to close out the request and informed them that the one email that responded to their inquiry was now public record for all.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Classified By:
Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1 52
Dated: 20070108
-D. J
Declassify Oil. 20390001

2

NSA Background to Potential Q&A Responses:

1. What is the training and awareness provided to government and contractor employees about reporting activities they perceive to be inconsistent with law and policies?

Training

(U) NSA/CSS provides training to all employees in order to maintain a high degree of sensitivity to, and understanding of the intelligence-related laws, regulations and policies associated with the protection of privacy rights during mission operations. Throughout the curriculum, the instruction repeatedly emphasizes the employee's responsibility to report questionable activity and the mechanisms to do so.

(U//FOUO) Selected courses are mandatory before an employee (civilian, military or contractor) can gain access to certain SIGINT operational systems. These specific training courses discuss the limitations of SIGINT authorities and mission operations to include reminders and guidance about who to contact with questions about scope of authorities, and who to contact if there are known or even potential compliance concerns.

The Basics -- OVSC1000

(U) Below are examples from NSA's core Intelligence Oversight (IO) course "NSA/CSS Intelligence Oversight Training" (OVSC1000). This course provides training to all employees in order to maintain a high degree of sensitivity to, and understanding of the intelligence -related laws, regulations, and policies associated with the protection of U.S. person privacy rights during mission operations.

(U) Most contractors are required to take this course. However, it is not mandatory for all contractors and will depend on their assignment, duties, and the stipulations of their controlling contract. Snowden's role as a systems administrator supporting SIGINT operations, and later as an analyst-in-training in APR –MAY 2013 would clearly place him in the category of those required to complete OVSC1000.

(C//NF)-N.B. Snowden's training record is considered Personally Identifiable Information, and the protection or dissemination of that information is governed by U.S. law and Agency policy, and is not part of this Q&A paper. For more information about Snowden's database accesses, skill set, and training record, see action

for more information.

(U) In OVSC100, students are familiarized with the major tenets of core IO documents, including Directive Type Memorandum (<u>DTM</u>) 08-052: "DoD Guidance for Reporting **Questionable Intelligence Activities and Significant or Highly Sensitive Matters**." The following are examples of guidance provided within the course:

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

and a start and a start and a start a st	£.	and a start	
a sea a s	1 20		
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	ant and a second		

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

(U) If you become aware of a violation of the authorities, you should report it immediately. If you are unsure if a violation has been committed, consult with your manager, your organization's IO representative, or Compliance organization. You may also contact the NSA OGC or the NSA/CSS Office of the Inspector General for assistance.

(U) It is important for personnel to understand that for purposes of intelligence activities governed by E.O. 12333, DoD Regulation 5240.1-R contains the approved procedures for use within DoD. These procedures also reflect DoD policies for employee conduct that require you to be familiar with restrictions on the collection, retention and dissemination of U.S. person information and the responsibility to report questionable intelligence activities to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS IG.

(U) Employees shall report any questionable activity to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS OIG. A questionable activity is defined as any conduct that constitutes, or is related to, an intelligence activity that maybe unlawful or contrary to executive order, presidential directive, or applicable DoD policy. Employees may also report questionable activities directly to the DoD General Counsel or Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Oversight, ATSD(IO).

(U) DIRNSA is also responsible for ensuring that no adverse action is taken against an employee because the employee reports a questionable intelligence activity pursuant to the established procedures. In addition, DTM 08-052 contains specific requirements for **reporting to the President's Intelligence Advisory Board** questionable intelligence activities and significant or highly sensitive matters that could potentially impugn the reputation or integrity of the DoD Intelligence Community or otherwise call into question the propriety of an intelligence activity. Should you need clarification or have questions about the reporting requirements for possible significant or highly sensitive matters, you should consult with your manager, your compliance organization, the NSA OGC or the NSA/CSS OIG.

(U) Report questionable or significant and highly sensitive intelligence activities to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS OIG. You can find contact information can be found in the Resources section.

Awareness

(U//FOUO) NSA employees are provided information on how to report concerns regarding their work, or their work environment in a number of different venues to include formal training, briefings to large and small audiences, Agency static and electronic billboards and wall displays, and Agency-wide emails and announcements. All employees are made aware of how they may report concerns, even anonymously, within or outside their supervisory management chain. The relevant processes are reinforced in recurrent, mandatory training. Depending on the particular issue and individual circumstances, NSA employees may report concerns through many different avenues inside NSA, across the Executive Branch, and to Congress. The NSA OIG website provides information about submitting hotline complaints and addresses anonymity and (b) confidentiality concerns.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

4

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

(U) **Prior to the Snowden incident (pre-MAY 2013)**, the NSA OIG issued a number of agency-all messages summarizing investigation results of illegal activity and messages covering policies and avenues for reporting suspected mismanagement and violations of law, policy, and regulations. While not every IG report during this time frame dealt with intelligence matters, they all contained the following statement about the mission of the NSA OIG and how concerns can be reported:

The NSA Office of the Inspector General actively investigates allegations of fraud against the Agency. The OIG is committed to eliminating fraud, waste, mismanagement of Agency resources, and abuse of authority. If you are aware of potential fraud, waste, or abuse, please report your concern to the OIG by visiting the Hotline office in 2B7088, calling 963-5023, or visiting the OIG Hotline website. You may remain anonymous when submitting concerns to the OIG Hotline. If you choose not to remain anonymous, your identity will be protected.

(U) After MAY 2013 and the issuance of NSA/CSS Policy 1-60, the IG issued a specific message addressing reporting policies and how to submit concerns in JUN 2013 and repeated this message in 2014.

(U) Within the Agency and the Federal Government, there are several avenues for reporting suspected mismanagement and violations of law, policy, and regulations. We recommend that you first try to resolve problems by bringing them to the attention of your leadership; managers are often in the best position to assess concerns, recognize process shortfalls, and take corrective action.

(U//FOUO) If you believe that management has not been able to resolve your concerns, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) can assist. NSA/CSS Policy 1-60 requires that NSA/CSS personnel report to the OIG possible violations of law, rules, or regulations; mismanagement; gross waste of funds; misconduct by senior officials; or abuse of authority. A complainant's identity is protected by law, and NSA/CSS Policy 1-62 protects employees from reprisal for communications with the OIG. You can contact the OIG by telephone (963-5023), in person (OPS 2B7088), or anonymously through our Web site ("go IG").

(U) The Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Act (ICWPA) allows employees to report "urgent concerns" to the intelligence committees of Congress. A complaint made in accordance with the ICWPA ensures that your concerns are addressed and that classified information is protected. ICWPA complaints are made through the NSA/CSS OIG or the Department of Defense IG.

(U) If you have questions about how to report a concern, go to the IG Web site ("go IG") or contact the <u>IG Hotline (ighotline@nsa.ic.gov)</u> on NSANet. Contact the U.S. Cyber Command IG about problems involving that organization.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

-Classified By Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 - Dated: 20070108 - Declassify On: 20390601

5

2. What are the mechanisms by which that reporting can occur?

(U//FOUO) There are various mechanisms by which reporting can occur. Employees and contractors may provide information to the DoD OIG, IC OIG, NSA OIG anonymously, the OIG website via phone, in writing or to various offices within NSA such as:

- the Office of Equal Employment Opportunity & Diversity,
- · the Office of the Director of Compliance,
- · the Office of the General Counsel, and
- · the Privacy and Civil Liberties Office.
- · the Associate Directorate for Security & Counterintelligence

(U) The IG Act of 1978, as amended by the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Act (ICWPA), also provides contractors and employees of intelligence agencies a mechanism for reporting to Congress classified information alleging wrongdoing. Employees may contact the intelligence committees directly only if the employee -

- a) before making such a contact, furnishes to the head of the establishment, through the Inspector General, a statement of the employee's complaint or information and notice of the employee's intent to contact the intelligence committees directly; and
- b) obtains and follows from the head of the establishment, through the Inspector General, direction on how to contact the intelligence committees in accordance with appropriate security practices.

3. What is the process, accountability for addressing such reports?

(U//FOUO) When an allegation is presented to the NSA OIG or referred to them by an internal agency element, the OIG Investigations Division reviews it to determine the appropriate action. The NSA OIG also responds to referrals from external agencies when appropriate. Complaints regarding systemic process problems may be referred to the OIG Audit or Intelligence Oversight Divisions. Concerns involving violations of law or policy are pursued via a preliminary inquiry, and if warranted, via an investigation, by the Investigations Division. All complaints and their outcomes are tracked through the Inspector General case management system.

(U) If an inquiry is reported directly to Congress and a copy is not provided to Agency authorities (see Question 2 above), then the NSA OIG may not have knowledge of such a request.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

4. Is there a record of these kinds of inquiries and responses?

(U//FOUO) The NSA OIG keeps a record of all inquiries and actions taken.

5. Do we [NSA] have any examples of reported activity which resulted in corrective measures?

(U//FOUO) Activity that is found to be a violation of law, directive, or policy is thoroughly reviewed to determine corrective action. NSA's incidents are most often tied to human error or technical malfunction that is corrected by local management within the capabilities of the existing systems in consultation with the NSA OIG and senior leadership. As of JUN 2014 and as previously reported to the intelligence committees, the OIG is aware of thirteen instances of intentional misuse of the SIGINT system by individuals over the last 10 years. Most were referred to the Department of Justice, and eventually ended with the departure of the employee involved. Auditing and monitoring processes in the operational areas where many of these violations took place were significantly modified or improved.

(U//FOUO) Other than violations reported by NSA OGC to the Department of Justice, we are not aware of any SIGINT programs or activities that are inconsistent with the law. Each quarter, the NSA OIG and OGC review reported instances of non-compliance with SIGINT and Information Assurance authorities that are typically the result of human error or technical malfunction. These matters are addressed by local management or through other corrective actions both directed by, and in concert with NSA's compliance organizations.

- 6. Did we receive correspondence from Edward Snowden about his concerns?
- 7. If so, in what form, on what dates and with what specificity as to content?
- 8. Were his inquiries responded to? If so, how and when?

(U) No such correspondence has been discovered.

(U) NSA is unaware of any correspondence Edward Snowden had with the NSA Inspector General, the Office of General Counsel, or his supervisors wherein he expressed constitutional concerns about NSA's intelligence operations or authorities. We have found one instance of an e-mail inquiry to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of the content of some training material.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Classi

(U) On Friday 5 April 2013 at about 4PM, Edward Snowden sent an email to the Office of General Counsel questioning the hierarchy of several NSA authorities identified in a training course.

7

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

(U) The inquiry did not raise any concerns with the substance of any particular statute, Executive Order, policy, or regulation.

(U) The inquiry did not suggest that NSA was violating any legal authority; nor did the inquiry question whether NSA had authority to conduct specific operations.

(U) On Monday 8 April 2013, the next business day, the Office of General Counsel responded to his question and the attorney offered to discuss the issue further if he desired to do so There is no evidence that any additional correspondence or contact occurred on this matter.

9. How was our search for any correspondence from him conducted?

(U/FOUO)- As of JUN 2014, the investigation was still ongoing. We have reviewed all of the email and NSANet social media posts authored by Edward Snowden which we have been able to obtain. This review has included manual reviews of his email and posts, as well as searches of that email tailored to identify any responsive correspondence.

(U//FOUO) We have located additional email between Snowden and members of Office of General Counsel and Oversight and Compliance relating to his job duties and work on troubleshooting IT issues, but these emails do not contain any questions or concerns about the legal authorities under which NSA operates.

10. Was it exhaustive and authoritative?

(U//FOUO). Given the importance of this issue and the repeated claims by Mr. Snowden, the effort to uncover any written or recollected evidence of these engagements has been thorough and involved cognizant authorities in each area of review.

11. Is it possible there is correspondence we overlooked, didn't record?

(U//FOUO) We cannot completely rule out the possibility that something may have been overlooked, but we have high confidence that the searches and interviews for relevant information we undertook would have uncovered evidence of assertions that rise to the level of outreach and protestation claimed by Mr. Snowden. They have not.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

 $\Theta_{\rm H}$: 2039060

CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

8

DOCID: 424	9205 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: To:	NSA-S3M2-USA CIV DL OGC MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4; DL d ig tasker (ALIAS) D;
Cc:	NSA-O_USA_CIV; NSA-D14_USA_CIV; NSA-D4_USA_CIV; NSA-T_USA_CIV; NSA-D4_USA_CIV; NSA-T_USA_CIV; DL ssct (ALIAS) S0; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; DL O_REGISTRY; NSA-031_USA_CIV; NSA-O3_USA_CIV; NSA-O_USA_CIV; NSA-O3_USA_CIV; NSA-O_USA_CIV;
Subject: Date: Attachments:	DL medialeaks core (ALIAS) D (U) Draft Q&A to support Snowden''s Claims of Voicing Concerns Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:34:42 PM FINAL Snowden concerns Q&A.docx
Attachments:	FINAL Showden concerns Qaa.docx

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED#FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Thank you for your input. Great stuff!

et al.

I have attempted to place it all under the right questions/themes, and will admit that it's a lot of material and there may be some redundancies. To some extent, this may be OK in the sense that more than one particular question may invoke a portion of more than one response. As a reference guide to the reader or the speaker....a little repetition may be helpful.

I have added a few of my own sentences based on some pointers from some of you, as well as my own experiences. (In yellow hilite.) I'm not wedded to these items, and consider everything in this draft open to comment and correction!

Please take a look tonight if possible so that we can support any last minute calls for background from downtown (always a possibility!). If not...first thing in the morning please, as has an 0900 THUR with ADM Rogers on this NBC interview ...and this information will be germane.

The second se	
Rgds,	
(b)(3)-P.L.	86-36
Media Leaks Task Force	
OPS 2B, 2B8090	
969-5009 (s)	

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED#FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Approved for Release by NSA on 11-13-2015, FOIA Case #78137

(U) Edward Snowden, in his own words, claims to have made several attempts to express his concernation NSA colleagues, leadership, and official channels regarding NSA's authority and legal basis for conducting certain SIGKNT activities. The following serves as potential responses to anticipated questions from Congress, the press or the public as it relates to those claims.

Framing questions: Responses provided to the White House PAO the morning of 28 MAY 14

A. Did Snowden raise concerns with NSA as he said he did?

(U) We have found a single instance of one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The question was received and an answer was provided to Mr. Snowden. There was not additional follow-up noted. There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for evidence of outreach from him in those areas and have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

B. How do you plan to respond to the FOIA request?

(U) We received the request from NBC this week and are following normal procedures for processing the request.

(U) Shortly after Noon on 28 MAY, NSA released the paragraph in A. above and a redacted version of the one email inquiry. In the afternoon, several news services ran stories on this email release and its relation to the NBC interview; many posing questions about the validity of Snowden's claims.

(U//FOUO) NSA will contact NBC to inform them that the release of the email constitutes the Agency's response to their FOIA request.

Potential Q&A Responses:

1. What is the training and awareness provided to gov't and contractor employees about reporting activities they perceive to be inconsistent with law or ethics?

(U//FOUO)_NSA encourages employees to report concerns regarding their work or work environment in a number of different venues to include formal training, briefings to large and small audiences, Agency static and electronic billboards and wall displays, and Agency-wide emails and announcements. All employees are made aware of how they may report concerns, even anonymously, within or outside their supervisory management chain. The relevant processes are reinforced in recurrent, mandatory training. Depending on the particular issue and individual circumstances, NSA employees may report concerns through many different avenues inside NSA, across the Executive Branch, and to Congress. The NSA OIG website provides information about submitting hotline complaints and addresses anonymity

and confidentiality concerns.

(U//FOUO) The NSA OIG also provides briefings to various NSA training classes, including the new hire orientation class, mid-level leadership classes, and other classes specific to skill communities, such as contracting. Selected courses are mandatory before an employee (civilian, military or contractor) can gain access to raw intercept or partially processed SIGINT material.

(U/FOUO) Specific training courses on SIGINT authorities and mission operations delves deeper into the handling of SIGINT material and offers reminders and guidance for anyone who might observe or fall victim to the mishandling of these materials.

(U) The NSA/CSS Comprehensive Mission Compliance Program provides training to all employees in order to maintain a high degree of sensitivity to, and understanding of the intelligence -related laws, regulations and policies associated with the protection of privacy rights during mission operations. Throughout the curriculum, the instruction repeatedly **emphasizes the employee's responsibility to report questionable activity and the mechanisms to do so.**

(U) Below are examples from NSA's core Intelligence Oversight (IO) course "NSA/CSS Intelligence Oversight Training" (OVSC1000). This course provides training to all employees in order to maintain a high degree of sensitivity to, and understanding of the intelligence -related laws, regulations, and policies associated with the protection of U.S. person privacy rights during mission operations.

(U) Many contractors are required to take this course. However, it is not mandatory for all contractors and will depend on their assignment, duties, and stipulations in their contract.

(U) Students are familiarized with the major tenets of IO documents, including <u>DTM 08-052</u>: "DoD Guidance for Reporting Questionable Intelligence Activities and Significant or Highly Sensitive Matters." The following are examples of guidance provided within OVSC1000 course:

(U) If you become aware of a violation of the authorities, you should report it immediately . If you are unsure if a violation has been committed, consult with your manager, your organization's IO representative, or Compliance organization. You may also contact the NSA OGC or the NSA/CSS Office of the Inspector General or OIG for assistance.

(U) It is important for personnel to understand that for purposes of intelligence activities governed by E.O. 12333, DoD Regulation 5240.1-R contains the approved procedures for use within DoD. These procedures also reflect DoD policies for employee conduct that require you to be familiar with restrictions on the collection, retention and dissemination of U.S. person information and the **responsibility to report questionable intelligence activities to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS IG**.

(U) Employees shall **report any questionable activity to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS OIG**. A questionable activity is defined as any conduct that constitutes, or is related to, an intelligence activity that maybe unlawful or contrary to executive order, presidential directive, or applicable DoD policy. Employees may also report questionable activities directly to the DoD General Counsel or Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Oversight, ATSD(I O).

(U) DIRNSA is also responsible for ensuring that no adverse action is taken against an employee because the employee reports a questionable intelligence activity pursuant to the established

UNCLASSIFIE D//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

procedures. In addition, DTM 08-052 contains specific requirements for **reporting to the President's Intelligence Advisory Board** questionable intelligence activities and significant or highly sensitive matters that could potentially impugn the reputation or integrity of the DoD Intelligence Community or otherwise call into question the propriety of an intelligence activity. Should you need clarification or have questions about the reporting requirements for possible significant or highly sensitive matters, you should consult with your manager, your compliance organization, the NSA OGC or the NSA/CSS OIG.

(U) Report questionable or significant and highly sensitive intelligence activities to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS OIG. You can find contact information can be found in the Resources section.

(U) The OIG also issues agency-all messages covering policies and avenues for reporting suspected mismanagement and violations of law, policy, and regulations. The following is a recent example of a Senior Executive Message from Dr. George Ellard, NSA/CSS Inspector General:

(U) Within the Agency and the Federal Government, there are several avenues for reporting suspected mismanagement and violations of law, policy, and regulations. We recommend that you first try to resolve problems by bringing them to the attention of your leadership; managers are often in the best position to assess concerns, recognize process shortfalls, and take corrective action.

(U//FOUO)⁻If you believe that management has not been able to resolve your concerns, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) can assist. NSA/CSS Policy 1-60 requires that NSA/CSS personnel report to the OIG possible violations of law, rules, or regulations; mismanagement; gross waste of funds; misconduct by senior officials; or abuse of authority. A complainant's identity is protected by law, and NSA/CSS Policy 1-62 protects employees from reprisal for communications with the OIG. You can contact the OIG by telephone (963-5023), in person (OPS 2B7088), or anonymously through our Web site ("go IG").

(U) The Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Act (ICWPA) allows employees to report "urgent concerns" to the intelligence committees of Congress. A complaint made in accordance with the ICWPA ensures that your concerns are addressed and that classified information is protected. ICWPA complaints are made through the NSA/CSS OIG or the Department of Defense IG.

(U) If you have questions about how to report a concern, go to the IG Web site ("go IG") or contact the IG Hotline (ighotline@nsa.ic.gov) on NSANet. Contact the U.S. Cyber Command IG about problems involving that organization.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

2. What are the mechanisms by which that reporting can occur?

(U//FOUO) The IG Act of 1978, as amended by the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Act (ICWPA), also provides contractors and employees of intelligence agencies a mechanism for reporting to Congress classified information alleging wrongdoing. An employee or contractor may provide information to the OIG anonymously, via phone, the OIG website, or in writing. Within NSA, employees and contractors may also raise concerns with offices as diverse as:

UNCLASSIFIED // FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

the Associate Directorate for Security & Counterintelligence, the Office of Equal Employment Opportunity & Diversity, the Office of the Director of Compliance, the Office of the General Counsel, and the Privacy and Civil Liberties Office.

3. What is the process, accountability for addressing such reports?

(U//FOUO) When an allegation is received by the OIG, the OIG Investigations Division reviews it to determine the appropriate action. Complaints regarding systemic process problems may be referred to the OIG Audit or Intelligence Oversight Divisions. Concerns involving violations of law or policy are pursued via a preliminary inquiry, and if warranted, via an investigation, by the Investigations Division. All complaints and their outcomes are tracked through the Inspector General case management system. If an inquiry is reported directly to Congress, the NSA OIG may not have knowledge of such a request.

4. Is there a record of these kinds of inquiries and responses?

(U//FOUO) The OIG keeps a record of all inquiries and actions taken.

5. Do we have any examples of reported activity which resulted in corrective measures?

(U//FOUO) Technically speaking, all reported activity that is found to be a violation of law, directive, or policy requires some corrective action. Most of NSA's issues are often tied to human error or technical malfunction that is corrected by local management within the capabilities of the existing systems in consultation with the OIG and senior leadership. One particular category of violations which required a corrective measure to be put in place is publically known as LoveINT. As previously reported to the intelligence committees, the OIG is aware of thirteen instances of intentional misuse of the SIGINT system by individuals over the last 10 years. Most were referred to the Department of Justice, and eventually ended with the departure of the employee involved. Auditing and monitoring processes in the operational areas where many of these violations took place were significantly modified or improved.

(U//FOUO) Other than violations reported by OGC to the Department of Justice, we are not aware of any SIGINT programs or activities that are inconsistent with the law. Additionally, each quarter, the OIG and OGC review reported instances of non-compliance with SIGINT and Information Assurance authorities that are typically the result of human error or technical malfunction. These matters are addressed by local management or through other corrective actions taken by NSA's compliance organizations.

- 6. (OGC) Did we receive correspondence from Edward Snowden about his concerns?
- 7. (OGC) If so, in what form, on what dates and with what specificity as to content?

8. (OGC) Were his inquiries responded to? If so, how and when?

(U) No such correspondence has been discovered.

(U) NSA is unaware of any correspondence Edward Snowden had with the Inspector General, the Office of General Counsel, or his supervisors wherein he expressed constitutional concerns about NSA's intelligence operations or authorities. We have found one instance of an e-mail inquiry to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed.

(U) On Friday 5 April 2013 at about 4PM, Edward Snowden sent an email to the Office of General Counsel questioning the hierarchy of several NSA authorities identified in a training course.

(U) The inquiry did not raise any concerns with the substance of any particular statute, Executive Order, policy, or regulation.

(U) The inquiry did not suggest that NSA was violating any legal authority; nor did the inquiry question whether NSA had authority to conduct specific operations.

(U) On Monday 8 April 2013, the next business day, the Office of General Counsel responded to his question and the attorney offered to discuss the issue further if he desired to do so There is no evidence that any additional correspondence or contact occurred.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

9. (Q/TD) How was our search for any correspondence from him conducted?

(U//FOUO) We have located additional email between Snowden and members of OGC and Oversight and Compliance relating to work on troubleshooting IT issues, but these emails do not contain any questions or concerns about the legal authorities under which NSA operates.

10. (Q/TD) Was it exhaustive and authoritative?

(U//FOUO) It has been as exhaustive and authoritative as possible given the evidence we have been able to discover after a comprehensive investigation into Snowden's activities at NSA. Due to the ongoing criminal investigation, we are unable to provide details.

UNCLASSIFIE D//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY-

11. (Q/TD) Is it possible there is correspondence we overlooked, didn't record?



UNCLASSIFIED //FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(U) Edward Snowden has repeated claimed to have made attempts to express his concerns about the lawfulness of certain NSA activities to NSA colleagues, leadership, and through official channels. The following serves as an authoritative accounting of facts as relates to this claim and should be used as the basis for considering any responses related to these issues.

Framing questions

Framing questions

A. Did Snowden raise concerns with NSA as he said he did?

(U) We have found a single instance of one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The question was received and an answer was provided to Mr. Snowden. There was not additional follow-up noted. There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for evidence of outreach from him in those areas and have not discovered any engagements related to his claims. ((Note: This paragraph was publicly released via the White House Press office on 29 MAY 14))

B. How did NSA respond to the NBC FOIA request?

(U) The FOIA request was being handled according to the normal process when it was overtaken by a decision on the part of the NSA Director to release the text of the one e-mail referenced above.

(U//FOUO) Shortly after noon on 28 May 2014, NSA provided the paragraph in response A, above along with a redacted version of the one email inquiry. The copy of that redacted version is stored in MLTF Action folder 1602.

(U//FOUO)-NSA contacted the FOIA originator NBC to close out the request.

NSA Background to Potential Q&A Responses:

1. What is the training and awareness provided to government and contractor employees about reporting activities they perceive to be inconsistent with law and policies?

(U) The NSA/CSS Comprehensive Mission Compliance Program provides training to all employees in order to maintain a high degree of sensitivity to, and understanding of the intelligence-related laws, regulations and policies associated with the protection of privacy rights during mission operations. Throughout the curriculum, the instruction repeatedly **emphasizes the employee's responsibility to**

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

Approved for Release by NSA on 11-13-2015, FOIA Case #78137

UNCLASSIFIED //FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

report questionable activity and the mechanisms to do so.

(U//FOUO) NSA encourages employees to report concerns regarding their work or work environment in a number of different venues to include formal training, briefings to large and small audiences, Agency static and electronic billboards and wall displays, and Agency-wide emails and announcements . All employees are made aware of how they may report concerns, even anonymously, within or outside their supervisory management chain. The relevant processes are reinforced in recurrent, mandatory training. Depending on the particular issue and individual circumstances, NSA employees may report concerns through many different avenues inside NSA, across the Executive Branch, and to Congress. The NSA OIG website provides information about submitting hotline complaints and addresses anonymity and confidentiality concerns.

(U//FOUO) The NSA OIG also provides briefings to various NSA training classes, including the new hire orientation class, mid-level leadership classes, and other classes specific to skill communities, such as contracting.

(U//FOUO)-Selected courses are mandatory before an employee (civilian, military or contractor) can gain access to certain SIGINT operational systems. These specific training courses discuss the limitations of SIGINT authorities and mission operations to include reminders and guidance about who to contact with questions about scope of authorities and who to contact if there are known or even potential compliance concerns.

(U) Below are examples from NSA's core Intelligence Oversight (IO) course "NSA/CSS Intelligence Oversight Training" (OVSC1000). This course provides training to all employees in order to maintain a high degree of sensitivity to, and understanding of the intelligence-related laws, regulations, and policies associated with the protection of U.S. person privacy rights during mission operations.

(U) Many contractors are required to take this course. However, it is not mandatory for all contractors and will depend on their assignment, duties, and the requirements of the stipulations of their controlling contract.

(U) Students are familiarized with the major tenets of IO documents, including <u>DTM 08-052</u>: "DoD Guidance for Reporting Questionable Intelligence Activities and Significant or Highly Sensitive Matters." The following are examples of guidance provided within OVSC1000 course:

(U) If you become aware of a violation of the authorities, you should report it immediately . If you are unsure if a violation has been committed, consult with your manager, your organization's IO representative, or Compliance organization . You may also contact the NSA OGC or the NSA/CSS Office of the Inspector General or OIG for assistance.

(U) It is important for personnel to understand that for purposes of intelligence activities governed by E.O. 12333, DoD Regulation 5240.1-R contains the approved procedures for use within DoD. These procedures also reflect DoD policies for employee conduct that require you to be familiar with restrictions on the collection, retention and dissemination of U.S. person information and the **responsibility to report questionable intelligence activities to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS IG**.

(U) Employees shall **report any questionable activity to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS OIG**. A questionable activity is defined as any conduct that constitutes, or is related to, an intelligence UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



UNCLASSIFIED //FOR-OFFICIAL USE ONLY

activity that maybe unlawful or contrary to executive order, presidential directive, or applicable DoD policy. Employees may also report questionable activities directly to the DoD General Counsel or Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Oversight, ATSD(I O).

(U) DIRNSA is also responsible for ensuring that no adverse action is taken against an employee because the employee reports a questionable intelligence activity pursuant to the established procedures. In addition, DTM 08-052 contains specific requirements for **reporting to the President's Intelligence Advisory Board** questionable intelligence activities and significant or highly sensitive matters that could potentially impugn the reputation or integrity of the DoD Intelligence Community or otherwise call into question the propriety of an intelligence activity. Should you need clarification or have questions about the reporting requirements for possible significant or highly sensitive matters, you should **consult with your manager, your compliance organization, the NSA OGC or the NSA/CSS OIG**.

(U) Report questionable or significant and highly sensitive intelligence activities to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS OIG. You can find contact information can be found in the Resources section.

(U) The OIG also issues agency-all messages covering policies and avenues for reporting suspected mismanagem ent and violations of law, policy, and regulations. The following is a recent example of a Senior Executive Message from Dr. George Ellard, NSA/CSS Inspector General:

(U) Within the Agency and the Federal Government, there are several avenues for reporting suspected mismanagement and violations of law, policy, and regulations. We recommend that you first try to resolve problems by bringing them to the attention of your leadership; managers are often in the best position to assess concerns, recognize process shortfalls, and take corrective action.

(U//FOUO) If you believe that management has not been able to resolve your concerns, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) can assist. NSA/CSS Policy 1-60 requires that NSA/CSS personnel report to the OIG possible violations of law, rules, or regulations; mismanagement; gross waste of funds; misconduct by senior officials; or abuse of authority. A complainant's identity is protected by law, and NSA/CSS Policy 1-62 protects employees from reprisal for communications with the OIG. You can contact the OIG by telephone (963-5023), in person (OPS 2B7088), or anonymously through our Web site ("go IG").

(U) The Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Act (ICWPA) allows employees to report "urgent concerns" to the intelligence committees of Congress. A complaint made in accordance with the ICWPA ensures that your concerns are addressed and that classified information is protected. ICWPA complaints are made through the NSA/CSS OIG or the Department of Defense IG.

(U) If you have questions about how to report a concern, go to the IG Web site ("go IG") or <u>contact the IG Hotline (ighotline@nsa.ic.gov)</u> on NSANet. Contact the U.S. Cyber Command IG about problems involving that organization.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

UNCLASSIFIED //FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)
UNCLASSIFIED//FOR-OFFICIAL-USE ONLY

2. What are the mechanisms by which that reporting can occur?

(U//FOUO) The IG Act of 1978, as amended by the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Act (ICWPA), also provides contractors and employees of intelligence agencies a mechanism for reporting to Congress classified information alleging wrongdoing. An employee or contractor may provide information to the OIG anonymously, via phone, the OIG website, or in writing. Within NSA, employees and contractors may also raise concerns with offices as diverse as:

- the Associate Directorate for Security & Counterintelligence,
- the Office of Equal Employment Opportunity & Diversity,
- the Office of the Director of Compliance,
- the Office of the General Counsel, and
- the Privacy and Civil Liberties Office.

3. What is the process, accountability for addressing such reports?

(U//FOUO) When an allegation is presented to the OIG or referred to them by another agency element, the OIG Investigations Division reviews it to determine the appropriate action. Complaints regarding systemic process problems may be referred to the OIG Audit or Intelligence Oversight Divisions. Concerns involving violations of law or policy are pursued via a preliminary inquiry, and if warranted, via an investigation, by the Investigations Division. All complaints and their outcomes are tracked through the Inspector General case management system.

If an inquiry is reported directly to Congress, the NSA OIG may not have knowledge of such a request.

4. Is there a record of these kinds of inquiries and responses?

(U//FOUO)-The OIG keeps a record of all inquiries and actions taken.

5. Do we have any examples of reported activity which resulted in corrective measures?

(U//FOUO) Activity that is found to be a violation of law, directive, or policy requires some corrective action. NSA's incidents are most often tied to human error or technical malfunction that is corrected by local management within the capabilities of the existing systems in consultation with the OIG and senior leadership. As previously reported to the intelligence committees, the OIG is aware of thirteen instances of intentional misuse of the SIGINT system by individuals over the last 10 years. Most were referred to the Department of Justice, and eventually ended with the departure of the employee involved. Auditing and monitoring processes in the operational areas where many of these violations took place were significantly modified or improved.

(U//FOUO) Other than violations reported by OGC to the Department of Justice, we are not aware of

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

any SIGINT programs or activities that are inconsistent with the law. Each quarter, the OIG and OGC review reported instances of non-compliance with SIGINT and Information Assurance authorities that are typically the result of human error or technical malfunction. These matters are addressed by local management or through other corrective actions taken by NSA's compliance organizations.

- 6. (OGC) Did we receive correspondence from Edward Snowden about his concerns?
- 7. (OGC) If so, in what form, on what dates and with what specificity as to content?
- 8. (OGC) Were his inquiries responded to? If so, how and when?

(U) No such correspondence has been discovered.

(U) NSA is unaware of any correspondence Edward Snowden had with the Inspector General, the Office of General Counsel, or his supervisors wherein he expressed constitutional concerns about NSA's intelligence operations or authorities. We have found one instance of an e-mail inquiry to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of the content of some training material.

(U) On Friday 5 April 2013 at about 4PM, Edward Snowden sent an email to the Office of General Counsel questioning the hierarchy of several NSA authorities identified in a training course.

(U) The inquiry did not raise any concerns with the substance of any particular statute, Executive Order, policy, or regulation.

(U) The inquiry did not suggest that NSA was violating any legal authority; nor did the inquiry question whether NSA had authority to conduct specific operations.

(U) On Monday 8 April 2013, the next business day, the Office of General Counsel responded to his question and the attorney offered to discuss the issue further if he desired to do so There is no evidence that any additional correspondence or contact occurred on this matter.

9. (Q/TD) How was our search for any correspondence from him conducted?

(U//FOUO)

(U//FOUO) We have located additional email between Snowden and members of Office of General Counsel and Oversight and Compliance relating to work on troubleshooting IT issues, but these emails do not contain any questions or concerns about the legal authorities under which NSA operates.

UNCLASSIFIED //FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

10. (Q/TD) Was it exhaustive and authoritative?

(U//FOUO). Given the importance of this issue and the repeated claims by Mr. Snowden, the effort to uncover any written or recollected evidence of these engagements has been thorough and involved cognizant authorities in each area of review.

11. (Q/TD) Is it possible there is correspondence we overlooked, didn't record?

(U//FOUO) We cannot completely rule out the possibility that something may have been overlooked, Formatted: Font: 11 pt but we have high confidence that the searches and interviews for relevant information we undertook would have uncovered evidence of assertions that rise to the level of outreach and protestation claimed by Mr. Snowden. They have not. (U//FOUO) (U//FOUO) Comment [.6]: Why? (U//FOUO) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

UNCLASSIFIED //FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

attached is the OIG response approved by Dr. Ellard. Thank you





Executive Officer

Office of the Inspector General, D1

OPS2B8076, suite 6247

963-2978 (S)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Ма	
<u></u>	From: NSA-S3M2 USA CIV
N	Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:25 AM
$\langle \mathcal{N} \rangle$	To: NSA-Q USA CIV; DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4;
1	NSA-T USA CIV
Ż	Cc: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23;NSA-D23 USA CIV; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL
1	PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; DL Q_REGISTRY; NSA-Q31 USA CIV
	Subject: (U) ACTION: Snowden's Claims of Voicing Concerns
	Importance: High
	Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR_OFFICIAL_USE_ONLY
	Good morning,
	Good morning,
and the second second	
- and the second se	DDIR andare following up on Edward Snowden's claims that he contacted Agency
and the second se	authorities regarding his concerns about the legality of NSA SIGINT activities. This is not new
and the second	
and the second	turf, of coursebut the White House, NSC and ODNI are preparing for the obvious questions
Concession of the Owner of the	and follow-up queries that are sure to follow last night's NBC broadcast.
	and Raj had an email exchange on the low side this morning and they decided to pose
	several questions internally to gather the right information, fidelity and confidence in order
	to prepare the PAO offices at the IC and WH levels. Those questions (also attached) are a

guideline for the information we intend to pass...and it is not necessarily an exam where each question must be answered separately.

REQUEST: that you identify your POC for this action, so that we can collaborate and craft the basic information that responds to the DDIR's task.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

MLTF has nominally assigned a lead organization to each of those questions to help us pull the final response together...but this will clearly be a team effort, and everything is negotiable at this point.

has been tagged as the OGC lead, and he is looking into the questions about Snowden's contact with their office and how it was adjudicated. (1 email known to date)

There are also a number of questions at the top regarding the process and mechanisms for how an Agency affiliate might voice a concern. MLTF is pulling the standing responses to those items from our library...but we may need further insight and assistance from the OIG, to include:

n Questions 3 & 4: We will clearly need OIG help in determining how many cases have been brought to the attention of Agency officials, and how those cases were closed out (i.e. a characterization of what the Agency deals with on a regular basis, and how we handle them).

n

Question 5: We will also need to discuss the

The last three questions (9-11) are probably best suited to Q Group, or perhaps TD. We have some standard language that has been used publically, but we believe

Below is the statement that NSA made in DEC 2013, and has been the standard ever since:

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5) "After extensive investigation, including interviews with his former NSA supervisors and co-workers, we have not found any evidence to support Mr. Snowden's contention that he brought these matters to anyone's attention."

We continue to search for other supporting data and statements, and will share that with you as we pull it from our records.

<<...>>> <<...>>>

MLTF POC is	Please use the <i>Medialeaks_core</i> alias to keep our entire team
in the loop.	and the second

Thank you!

Rgds,	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Media Leaks Task	Force
OPS 2B, 2B8090	
969-5009 (s)	
Classification:	UNCLASSIFIED#FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED#FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

- 1. (IG/ODOC) What is the training and awareness provided to gov't and contractor employees about reporting activities they perceive to be inconsistent with law or ethics?
- 2. (IG/ODOC) What are the mechanisms by which that reporting can occur?
- 3. (IG/ODOC) What is the process, accountability for addressing such reports?
- 4. (IG/ODOC) Is there a record of these kinds of inquiries and responses?
- 5. (IG/ODOC) Do we have any examples of reported activity which resulted in corrective measures?
- 6. (OGC) Did we receive corresponded from Edward Snowden about his concerns?
- 7. (OGC) If so, in what form, on what dates and with what specificity as to content?
- 8. (OGC) Were his inquiries responded to? If so, how and when?
- 9. (Q/TD) How was our search for any correspondence from him conducted?
- 10. (Q/TD) Was it exhaustive and authoritative?
- 11. (Q/TD) Is it possible there is correspondence we overlooked, didn't record?

1) What is the training and awareness provided to gov't and contractor employees about reporting activities they perceive to be inconsistent with law or ethics?

(U//FOUO) NSA encourages employees to report concerns regarding their work or work environment. All employees are made aware of how they may report concerns, even anonymously, within or outside their supervisory management chain. The relevant processes are reinforced in recurrent, mandatory training. Depending on the particular issue and individual circumstances, NSA employees may report concerns through many different avenues inside NSA, across the Executive Branch, and to Congress. The NSA OIG website provides information about submitting hotline complaints and addresses anonymity and confidentiality concerns.

(U//FOUO) The NSA OIG also provides briefings to various NSA training classes, including the new hire orientation class, mid-level leadership classes, and other classes specific to skill communities, such as contracting. The OIG issues agency-all messages covering policies and avenues for reporting suspected mismanagement and violations of law, policy, and regulations.

(U//FOUO) The following is a recent Senior Executive Message from Dr. George Ellard, NSA/CSS Inspector General

(U) Within the Agency and the Federal Government, there are several avenues for reporting suspected mismanagement and violations of law, policy, and regulations. We recommend that you first try to resolve problems by bringing them to the attention of your leadership; managers are often in the best position to assess concerns, recognize process shortfalls, and take corrective action.

(U//FOUQ) If you believe that management has not been able to resolve your concerns, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) can assist. NSA/CSS Policy 1-60 requires that NSA/CSS personnel report to the OIG possible violations of law, rules, or regulations; mismanagement; gross waste of funds; misconduct by senior officials; or abuse of authority. A complainant's identity is protected by law, and NSA/CSS Policy 1-62 protects employees from reprisal for communications with the OIG. You can contact the OIG by telephone (963-5023), in person (OPS 2B7088), or anonymously through our Web site ("go IG").

(U) The Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Act (ICWPA) allows employees to report "urgent concerns" to the intelligence committees of Congress. A complaint made in accordance with the ICWPA ensures that your concerns are addressed and that classified information is protected. ICWPA complaints are made through the NSA/CSS OIG or the Department of Defense IG.

(U) If you have questions about how to report a concern, go to the IG Web site ("go IG") or <u>contact the IG Hotline (ighotline@nsa.ic.gov)</u> on NSANet. Contact the U.S. Cyber Command IG about problems involving that organization.

Dr. George Ellard NSA/CSS Inspector General

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

2) What are the mechanisms by which that reporting can occur?

(U//FOUO) The IG Act of 1978, as amended by the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Act (ICWPA), also provides contractors and employees of intelligence agencies a mechanism for reporting to Congress classified information alleging wrongdoing. An employee or contractor may provide information to the OIG anonymously, via phone, the OIG website, or in writing. Within NSA, employees and contractors may also raise concerns with offices as diverse as the Associate Directorate for Security & Counterintelligence, the Office of Equal Employment Opportunity & Diversity, the Office of the Director of Compliance, the Office of the General Counsel, and the Privacy and Civil Liberties Office.

3) What is the process, accountability for addressing such reports? (U//FOUO) When an allegation is received by the OIG, the OIG Investigations Division reviews it to determine the appropriate action. Complaints regarding systemic process problems may be referred to the OIG Audit or Intelligence Oversight Divisions. Concerns involving violations of law or policy are pursued via a preliminary inquiry, and if warranted, via an investigation, by the Investigations Division. All complaints and their outcomes are tracked through the Inspector General case management system. If an inquiry is reported directly to Congress, the NSA OIG may not have knowledge of such a request.

4) Is there a record of these kinds of inquiries and responses?

(U//FOUO) The OIG keeps a record of all inquiries and actions taken.

5) Do we have any examples of reported activity which resulted in corrective measures?

(U//FOUO) As previously reported to the intelligence committees, the OIG is aware of thirteen instances of intentional misuse of the SIGINT system by individuals over the last 10 years. Other than violations reported by OGC to the Department of Justice, we are not aware of any SIGINT programs or activities that are inconsistent with the law. Additionally, each quarter, the OIG and OGC review reported instances of non-compliance with SIGINT and Information Assurance authorities that are typically the result of human error or technical malfunction. These matters are addressed by local management or through other corrective actions taken by NSA's compliance organizations.

6) Did we receive corresponded from Edward Snowden about his concerns?

(U//FOUO) The NSA/CSS Office of the Inspector General has no evidence that Edward Snowden filed a complaint with this office.

7) If so, in what form, on what dates and with what specificity as to content?

na

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

8) Were his inquiries responded to? If so, how and when?	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)
Per MLTF POC: The last three questions (9-11) are probably best suited to Q Gro have some standard language that has been used publically, but we believe	up, or perhaps TD. We
the statement that NSA made in DEC 2013, and has been the standard ever since	Below is

"After extensive investigation, including interviews with his former NSA supervisors and coworkers, we have not found any evidence to support Mr. Snowden's contention that he brought these matters to anyone's attention."

- 9) How was our search for any correspondence from him conducted?
- 10) Was it exhaustive and authoritative?
- 11) Is it possible there is correspondence we overlooked, didn't record?

From: NSA-D1 USA CIV To: NSA-D1 USA CIV Subject: FW: (U) question - ACTION: Q&A: Snowden Concerns Date: Wednesday, June 04, 2014 9:56:51 AM Attachments: FINAL Snowden concerns QA Ver3
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
(U/ /FOUO) Executive Officer Office of the Inspector General, D1 OPS2B8076, suite 6247 963-2978 (S)
From: NSA-D1 USA CIV Sent: Wednesday, June 04, 2014 7:34 AM To: NSA-D1 USA CIV Subject: RE: (U) ACTION: Q&A: Snowden Concerns
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ //FOR-OFFICIAL-USE-ONLY
See attached – I have a few more comments about things that need some work/clarification in addition to what you mention below. Regarding the agency alls, we talk about reporting mechanisms on all messages that we send out – for example:
they aren't as focused as the two you mention, and we might need to massage the words a little.
Counsel to the Inspector General
National Security Agency/Central Security Service
963-0946 (s)
<<>> //
From NSA-D1 USA CIV Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2014 3:51 PM To: NSA-D1 USA CIV Subject: FW: (U) ACTION: Q&A: Snowden Concerns
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

welcome back – missed you!!
We worked on this last week when you were gone and I really could use your expertise to
correct a few things so we can submit on Wedand can also weigh in again
- Technically we are not quite briefing NEO yet –still trying to get OIG on schedule
- did state D14 provides some briefings and video to some LEAD and COR classes –
he was going to find out what classes so I'll ask him again
5 5
 I can't find any agency-all or exec messages prior to Snowden about reporting
mechanism #835 – 18 June 2013; #423 – April 25, 2014
(U/ /FOUD)
Executive Officer
Office of the Inspector General, D1
OPS2B8076, suite 6247 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
963-2978 (S)
From: NSA-S3M2_USA_CIV Sent: Monday, June 02, 2014 5:59 PM
To: NSA-D23 USA CIV; NSA-D4 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV;
Cc: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4; DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D;
Subject: (U) Q&A: Snowden Concerns
Below text is duplicated in DOCID 4249192

Remaining text is duplicated in DOCID 4249192

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR-OFFICIAL USE ONLY-

(U) Edward Snowden has repeated claimed to have made attempts to express his concerns about the lawfulness of certain NSA activities to NSA colleagues, leadership, and through official channels. The following serves as an authoritative accounting of facts as relates to this claim and should be used as the basis for considering any responses related to these issues.

Framing questions

Framing questions

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b)(5)

A. Did Snowden raise concerns with NSA as he said he did?

(U) We have found a single instance of one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The question was received and an answer was provided to Mr. Snowden. There was not additional follow-up noted. There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for evidence of outreach from him in those areas and have not discovered any engagements related to his claims. ((Note: This paragraph was publicly released via the White House Press office on 29 MAY 14))

B. How did NSA respond to the NBC FOIA request?

(U) The FOIA request was being handled according to the normal process when it was overtaken by a decision on the part of the NSA Director to release the text of the one e-mail referenced above.

(U//FOUO) Shortly after noon on 28 May 2014, NSA provided the paragraph in response A, above along with a redacted version of the one email inquiry. The copy of that redacted version is stored in MLTF Action folder 1602.

(U//FOUO) NSA contacted the FOIA originator NBC to close out the request.

NSA Background to Potential Q&A Responses:

1. What is the training and awareness provided to government and contractor employees about reporting activities they perceive to be inconsistent with law and policies?

(U) The NSA/CSS Comprehensive Mission Compliance Program provides training to all employees in order to maintain a high degree of sensitivity to, and understanding of the intelligence-related laws, regulations and policies associated with the protection of privacy rights during mission operations. Throughout the curriculum, the instruction repeatedly **emphasizes the employee's responsibility to**

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

report questionable activity and the mechanisms to do so.

(U//FOUO) NSA encourages employees are provided information on how to report concerns regarding their work or work environment in a number of different venues to include formal training, briefings to large and small audiences, Agency static and electronic billboards and wall displays, and Agency-wide emails and announcements. All employees are made aware of how they may report concerns, even anonymously, within or outside their supervisory management chain. The relevant processes are reinforced in recurrent, mandatory training. Depending on the particular issue and individual circumstances, NSA employees may report concerns through many different avenues inside NSA, across the Executive Branch, and to Congress. The NSA OIG website provides information about submitting hotline complaints and addresses anonymity and confidentiality concerns.

(U//FOUQ) The NSA OIG also provides briefings to various NSA training classes, including the new hireorientation class, mid-level-leadership classes, and other classes specific to skill communities, such as contracting.

(U//FOUO) Selected courses are mandatory before an employee (civilian, military or contractor) can gain access to certain SIGINT operational systems. These specific training courses discuss the limitations of SIGINT authorities and mission operations to include reminders and guidance about who to contact with questions about scope of authorities and who to contact if there are known or even potential compliance concerns.

(U) Below are examples from NSA's core Intelligence Oversight (IO) course "NSA/CSS Intelligence Oversight Training" (OVSC1000). This course provides training to all employees in order to maintain a high degree of sensitivity to, and understanding of the intelligence-related laws, regulations, and policies associated with the protection of U.S. person privacy rights during mission operations.

(U) Many contractors are required to take this course. However, it is not mandatory for all contractors and will depend on their assignment, duties, and the requirements of the stipulations of their controlling contract.

(U) Students are familiarized with the major tenets of IO documents, including <u>DTM 08-052</u>: "DoD Guidance for Reporting Questionable Intelligence Activities and Significant or Highly Sensitive Matters." The following are examples of guidance provided within OVSC1000 course:

(U) If you become aware of a violation of the authorities, you should report it immediately . If you are unsure if a violation has been committed, consult with your manager, your organization's IO representative, or Compliance organization . You may also contact the NSA OGC or the NSA/CSS Office of the Inspector General or OIG for assistance.

(U) It is important for personnel to understand that for purposes of intelligence activities governed by E.O. 12333, DoD Regulation 5240.1-R contains the approved procedures for use within DoD. These procedures also reflect DoD policies for employee conduct that require you to be familiar with restrictions on the collection, retention and dissemination of U.S. person information and the **responsibility to report questionable intelligence activities to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS IG**.

(U) Employees shall **report any questionable activity to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS OIG**. A questionable activity is defined as any conduct that constitutes, or is related to, an intelligence UNCLASSIFIED//FOR-OFFICIAL USE ONLY-

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL-USE-ONLY-

activity that maybe unlawful or contrary to executive order, presidential directive, or applicable DoD policy. Employees may also report questionable activities directly to the DoD General Counsel or Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Oversight, ATSD(I O).

(U) DIRNSA is also responsible for ensuring that no adverse action is taken against an employee because the employee reports a questionable intelligence activity pursuant to the established procedures. In addition, DTM 08-052 contains specific requirements for **reporting to the President's Intelligence Advisory Board** questionable intelligence activities and significant or highly sensitive matters that could potentially impugn the reputation or integrity of the DoD Intelligence Community or otherwise call into question the propriety of an intelligence activity. Should you need clarification or have questions about the reporting requirements for possible significant or highly sensitive matters, you should **consult with your manager, your compliance organization, the NSA OGC or the NSA/CSS OIG**.

(U) Report questionable or significant and highly sensitive intelligence activities to the NSA OGC or NSA/CSS OIG. You can find contact information can be found in the Resources section.

(U) The OIG also issues agency-all messages <u>summarizing investigation results of illegal activity and also</u> <u>messages</u> covering policies and avenues for reporting suspected mismanagement and violations of law, policy, and regulations. <u>All messages contain similar statements about the mission of the OIG and how</u> <u>concerns can be reported</u>. <u>One example, Former NSA Employee Sentenced to 18 Months in Prison In</u> <u>Corruption Scheme, posted on 21 June 2011 describes the results of the investigation and includes the</u> <u>following statement:</u>

(U) The NSA Office of the Inspector General actively investigates allegations of fraud against the Agency. The OIG is committed to eliminating fraud, waste, mismanagement of Agency resources, and abuse of authority. If you are aware of potential fraud, waste, or abuse, please report your concern to the OIG by visiting the Hotline office in 2B7088, calling 963-5023, or visiting the OIG Hotline website. You may remain anonymous when submitting concerns to the OIG Hotline. If you choose not to remain anonymous, your identity will be protected.

(U) The following is a recent example of a Senior Executive Message from Dr. George Ellard, NSA/CSS Inspector General specifically addressing the policies and how to submit concernst

(U) Within the Agency and the Federal Government, there are several avenues for reporting suspected mismanagement and violations of law, policy, and regulations. We recommend that you first try to resolve problems by bringing them to the attention of your leadership; managers are often in the best position to assess concerns, recognize process shortfalls, and take corrective action.

(U//FOUQ) If you believe that management has not been able to resolve your concerns, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) can assist. NSA/CSS Policy 1-60 requires that NSA/CSS personnel report to the OIG possible violations of law, rules, or regulations; mismanagement; gross waste of funds; misconduct by senior officials; or abuse of authority. A complainant's identity is protected by law, and NSA/CSS Policy 1-62 protects employees from reprisal for communications with the OIG. You can contact the OIG by telephone (963-5023), in person (OPS 2B7088), or anonymously through our Web site ("go IG").

(U) The Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Act (ICWPA) allows employees to report "urgent concerns" to the intelligence committees of Congress. A complaint made in

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY -

accordance with the ICWPA ensures that your concerns are addressed and that classified information is protected. ICWPA complaints are made through the NSA/CSS OIG or the Department of Defense IG.

(U) If you have questions about how to report a concern, go to the IG Web site ("go IG") or contact the IG Hotline (ighotline@nsa.ic.gov) on NSANet. Contact the U.S. Cyber Command IG about problems involving that organization.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

2. What are the mechanisms by which that reporting can occur?

(U//FOUO) The IG Act of 1978, as amended by the Intelligence Community Whistleblower Protection Act (ICWPA), also provides contractors and employees of intelligence agencies a mechanism for reporting to Congress classified information alleging wrongdoing. An employee or contractor may provide information to the OIG anonymously, via phone, the OIG website, or in writing or directly to the <u>Congressional intelligence committees</u>. Within NSA, employees and contractors may also raise concerns with offices as diverse as:

- the Associate Directorate for Security & Counterintelligence,
- the Office of Equal Employment Opportunity & Diversity,
- the Office of the Director of Compliance,
- the Office of the General Counsel, and
- the Privacy and Civil Liberties Office.

3. What is the process, accountability for addressing such reports?

(U//FOUO) When an allegation is presented to the OIG or referred to them by another agency element, the OIG Investigations Division reviews it to determine the appropriate action. Complaints regarding systemic process problems may be referred to the OIG Audit or Intelligence Oversight Divisions. Concerns involving violations of law or policy are pursued via a preliminary inquiry, and if warranted, via an investigation, by the Investigations Division. All complaints and their outcomes are tracked through the Inspector General case management system.

If an inquiry is reported directly to Congress, the NSA OIG may not have knowledge of such a request.

4. Is there a record of these kinds of inquiries and responses?

(U//FOUO) The OIG keeps a record of all inquiries and actions taken.

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR-OFFICIAL USE ONLY

5. Do we have any examples of reported activity which resulted in corrective measures?

(U//FGUO) Activity that is found to be a violation of law, directive, or policy requires some corrective action. NSA's incidents are most often tied to human error or technical malfunction that is corrected by local management within the capabilities of the existing systems in consultation with the OIG and senior leadership. As previously reported to the intelligence committees, the OIG is aware of thirteen instances of intentional misuse of the SIGINT system by individuals over the last 10 years. Most were referred to the Department of Justice, and eventually ended with the departure of the employee involved. Auditing and monitoring processes in the operational areas where many of these violations took place were significantly modified or improved.

(U//FOUO) Other than violations reported by OGC to the Department of Justice, we are not aware of any SIGINT programs or activities that are inconsistent with the law. Each quarter, the OIG and OGC review reported instances of non-compliance with SIGINT and Information Assurance authorities that are typically the result of human error or technical malfunction. These matters are addressed by local management or through other corrective actions taken by NSA's compliance organizations.

- 6. (OGC) Did we receive correspondence from Edward Snowden about his concerns?
- 7. (OGC) If so, in what form, on what dates and with what specificity as to content?
- 8. (OGC) Were his inquiries responded to? If so, how and when?

(U) No such correspondence has been discovered.

(U) NSA is unaware of any correspondence Edward Snowden had with the Inspector General, the Office of General Counsel, or his supervisors wherein he expressed constitutional concerns about NSA's intelligence operations or authorities. We have found one instance of an e-mail inquiry to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of the content of some training material.

(U) On Friday 5 April 2013 at about 4PM, Edward Snowden sent an email to the Office of General Counsel questioning the hierarchy of several NSA authorities identified in a training course.

(U) The inquiry did not raise any concerns with the substance of any particular statute, Executive Order, policy, or regulation.

(U) The inquiry did not suggest that NSA was violating any legal authority; nor did the inquiry question whether NSA had authority to conduct specific operations.

(U) On Monday 8 April 2013, the next business day, the Office of General Counsel responded to his question and the attorney offered to discuss the issue further if he desired to do so There is no evidence that any additional correspondence or contact occurred on this matter.

9. (Q/TD) How was our search for any correspondence from him conducted?

(U//FOUO)

UNCLASSIFIED //FOR-OFFICIAL USE ONLY-

UNCLASSIFIED/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(U//FOUO) We have located additional email between Snowden and members of Office of General Counsel and Oversight and Compliance relating to work on troubleshooting IT issues, but these emails do not contain any questions or concerns about the legal authorities under which NSA operates.

10. (Q/TD) Was it exhaustive and authoritative?

(U//FOUO) .Given the importance of this issue and the repeated claims by Mr. Snowden, the effort to uncover any written or recollected evidence of these engagements has been thorough and involved cognizant authorities in each area of review.

11. (Q/TD) is it possible there is correspondence we overlooked, didn't record?

(U//FOUO)-We cannot completely rule out the possibility that something may have been overlooked, but we have high confidence that the searches and interviews for relevant information we undertook would have uncovered evidence of assertions that rise to the level of outreach and protestation claimed by Mr. Snowden. They have not.

(U///FOUO)

(U//FOUO)

(U///FOUO)

Formatted: Font: 11 pt

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

Comment [.9]: Why?

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Classifica	tion: CONFIDE				
Classifica	IIII. CONTIDET				
Thanks! Gro	eat job.				
1444	and And American Control of Contr				
Counsel to the	e Inspector General				
National Se	curity Agency/Centra	al Security Service			
	C C N		an a		
963-0946 (5)			and a set of the set o	
	NOADATION	00			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: Sent: Friday	NSA-D1 USA June 06, 2014 1:54 F				
То:	NSA-D1 USA CI	IV	Convertionale Convertion	and Net Carling	
Subject: FW	: (U) Final "reference"	document: Q&A for	Snowden's Concerns	and Notification	
Classifica	tion: CONFIDEN	TIAL//NOFOR	¥		
fyi		and the second se			
.,.					
(U/ /FOUO)					
Executive O	fficer				
Executive o					
Office of th	e Inspector General,	, D1			
OPS2B8076	suite 6247				
963-2978 (5)	1			
	and the second				
					5
From:		2 USA CIV			Rema
	June 06, 2014 1:44 F MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D		(ALIAS) D: DL O RE	GISTRY:	text is
NSA-D USA (CIV; DL ODOC_Mediale	aks (ALIAS) D4			duplic
Subject: (U)	ALIAS) S1; DL NTOC_I Final "reference" doc	ML (ALIAS) V2 ument: O&A for Snov	vden's Concerns and	Notification	in DO 42491
		•••••••			<u>4249</u>

		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From:	NSA-D1 USA CIV	
To:	<u>VSA-D1 USA CIV</u>	
Cc:	ISA-DI4 USA CIV	
Subject:	RE: (U) Q&A: Snowden Concerns	
Date:	Wednesday, June 04, 2014 12:15:18 PM	
Classifica	ation: UNCLASSIFIED/ /FOR C	FFICIAL USE ONLY
My favorite	onswon	
iviy luvolite		
_		
Deputy Insp 963-3544 se	ector General, NSA/CSS	
703-3044 56	cmcl ///	
2B8076 OPS	5 2B Suite 6247	
	a s an Illester	La Ila mana ana m
"Tell me who which is which		. Tell me what you think. Always distinguish
- Colin Powel		
- CONT FOWER	• /// / / /	11
From:	NSA-D1 USA CIV	-1/
	esday, June 04, 2014 12:15 PM	
To:	NSA-D1 USA CIV	//
Cc:	NSA-D14 USA CIV	1
Subject: RE	: (U) Q&A: Snowden Concerns	
Classifica	ition: UNCLASSIFIED/ /FOR/OF	FICIAL USE ONLY
olacomoa		
l've been w	orking with on this today – I have	e provided her a number of comments
	vorking with the document.	n na
and she is v	vorking with the document.	
1	/ / /	
Counsel to th	ne Inspector General	
National Se	curity Agency/Central Security Service	
000 0040 /		
963-0946 (s	5) / /	
From:	NSA-D1 USA CIV	
	esdav. June 04, 2014 12:14 PM	
To:	NSA-D1 USA CIV	
O	NSA-D14 USA CIV Remain	hing text is duplicated in DOCID 424918
Cc:	/: (U) Q&A: Snowden Concerns	

	NSA-D28 USA CIV
From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	NSA-D23 USA CIV Thursday May 29 2014 10:38 AM NSA-S3M2 USA CIV NSA-D USA CIV (U) Q&A processing. Email O and A deex
Attachments:	Email Q and A.docx (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Classification: U	NCLASSIFIED #FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY VE
After hearing from different "products":	this AM and then speaking with at his suggestion, it appears we are really working a few
1 What does N	know about all these questions. This is a factual document that is being prepared so that NSA

- What does NSA know about all these questions. This is a factual document that is being prepared so that NSA has verification about what we know/don't know and what we did to get the answers.
- The next product is a draft set of UNCLASSIFIED questions and answers that can be developed for Public Affairs
 to use to address inquiries about the Snowden's claims that he contacted various people within NSA to complain
 about specific issues. Obviously per the call this AM—the attached will serve as a starting point, but we may
 need to modify these a bit.
- 3. Finally, then, we might have additional Q and A that are more specific to the release of the email itself. These may be a subset of #2.

As I understand now, you have already reached out to the IG and Q. 1 am curious as it relates to Question #1---is this something that MLTF already has assembled or is this something that should be staffed to SV and or ADET?

Thanks, (U//FOUO) Deputy Associate GC Administrative Law and Ethics NBP1, Rm 3A-67 Phone--NSTS: 992-3930 Outside:

> ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION Not to be released without OGC approval

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY -----

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

- 1. What is the training and awareness provided to gov't and contractor employees about reporting activities they perceive to be inconsistent with law or ethics?
- 2. What are the mechanisms by which that reporting can occur?
- 3. What is the process, accountability for addressing such reports?
- 4. Is there a record of these kinds of inquiries and responses?
- 5. Do we have any examples of reported activity which resulted in corrective measures?
- 6. Did we receive corresponded from Edward Snowden about his concerns?
- 7. If so, in what form, on what dates and with what specificity as to content?
- 8. Were his inquiries responded to? If so, how and when?
- 9. How was our search for any correspondence from him conducted?
- 10. Was it exhaustive and authoritative?
- 11. Is it possible there is correspondence we overlooked, didn't record?

- (IG/ODOC) What is the training and awareness provided to gov't and contractor employees about reporting activities they perceive to be inconsistent with law or ethics?
- 2. (IG/ODOC) What are the mechanisms by which that reporting can occur?
- 3. (IG/ODOC) What is the process, accountability for addressing such reports?
- 4. (IG/ODOC) Is there a record of these kinds of inquiries and responses?
- (IG/ODOC) Do we have any examples of reported activity which resulted in corrective measures?
- 6. (OGC)Did we receive correspondenceed from Edward Snowden about his concerns?
 - A. NSA is unaware of any correspondence Edward Snowden has had with the inspector General, the Office of General Counsel, or his supervisors where he expressed constitutional concerns about intelligence operations or NSA authorities. NSA has found a single instance of one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed.

On Friday 5 April 2013 at about 4PM in the afternoon, it appears Mr. Snowden emailed questions to the Office of General Counsel about the precedence between federal statutes and an Executive Order, as well as the interplay of DoD and ODNI regulations.

The inquiry did not raise any concerns with the substance of any particular statute and Executive Order or DoD and DNI regulations. Nor did the inquiry question whether NSA had authority to conduct specific operations or raise concerns that NSA might be violating the constitution or any other law, regulation, or policy.

On Monday 8 April 2013, the next business day, the Office of General Counsel provided specific answers to the questions and the responding attorney offered to discuss the questions further. No additional correspondence has been identified.

- 7. (OGC) If so, in what form, on what dates and with what specificity as to content?
 - A. N/A
- 8. (OGC) Were his inquiries responded to? If so, how and when?
 - A. N/A
- 9. (Q/TD) How was our search for any correspondence from him conducted?
- 10. (Q/TD) Was it exhaustive and authoritative?
- 11. (Q/TD) Is it possible there is correspondence we overlooked, didn't record?



- 1. (IG/ODOC) What is the training and awareness provided to gov't and contractor employees about reporting activities they perceive to be inconsistent with law or ethics?
- 2. (IG/ODOC) What are the mechanisms by which that reporting can occur?
- 3. (IG/ODOC) What is the process, accountability for addressing such reports?
- 4. (IG/ODOC) Is there a record of these kinds of inquiries and responses?
- 5. (IG/ODOC) Do we have any examples of reported activity which resulted in corrective measures?
- 6. (OGC)Did we receive correspondenceed from Edward Snowden about his concerns?
 - A. NSA is unaware of any correspondence Edward Snowden has had with the Inspector General, the Office of General Counsel, or his supervisors where he expressed constitutional concerns about intelligence operations or NSA authorities.

On Friday 5 April 2013 at about 4PM in the afternoon, it appears Mr. Snowden emailed questions to the office of general counsel about the precedence of an Executive Order, as well as the interplay of DoD and ODNI regulations.

The inquiry did not raise any concerns with the substance of any particular Executive Orders or DoD and DNI regulations. Nor did the inquiry question whether NSA had authority to conduct specific operations.

On Monday 8 April 2013, the next business day, the Office of General Counsel provided specific answers to the questions and the responding attorney offered to discuss the questions further. No additional correspondence occurred.

7. (OGC) If so, in what form, on what dates and with what specificity as to content?

A. N/A

8. (OGC) Were his inquiries responded to? If so, how and when?

A. N/A

- 9. (Q/TD) How was our search for any correspondence from him conducted?
- 10. (Q/TD) Was it exhaustive and authoritative?
- 11. (Q/TD) Is it possible there is correspondence we overlooked, didn't record?

NSA-D28 USA CIV	
From: NSA-Q311 USA CIV Sent: Thursdav. May 29, 2014 12:10 PM To: NSA-D23 USA CIV Cc: NSA-D23 USA CIV Subject: RE: (U) 2014_05_29 - ACTION: Snowden's Claims of	Voicing Concerns
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	-
S/A CFE, CISSP Q311 Counterintelligence Investigations Assigned to Q3 - TIKICUBE FANX 2, Room A2A048, Suite 6832 Office: 968-3373(s), b)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5) (b)(7)(E)
From: NSA-Q311 USA CIV Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:07 PM To: NSA-D23 USA CIV Cc: NSA-D23 USA CIV Subject: RE: (U) 2014_05_29 - ACTION: Snowden's Claims of Voicing Concerns	Remaining text is duplicated in DOCID 4249182
- 1. (IG/ODOC) What is the training and awareness provided to gov't and contractor employees about reporting activities they perceive to be inconsistent with law or ethics?
- 2. (IG/ODOC) What are the mechanisms by which that reporting can occur?
- 3. (IG/ODOC) What is the process, accountability for addressing such reports?
- 4. (IG/ODOC) Is there a record of these kinds of inquiries and responses?
- 5. (IG/ODOC) Do we have any examples of reported activity which resulted in corrective measures?
- 6. (OGC)Did we receive correspondenceed from Edward Snowden about his concerns?
 - A. NSA is unaware of any correspondence Edward Snowden has had with the Inspector General, the Office of General Counsel, or his supervisors where<u>in</u> he expressed constitutional concerns about <u>NSA's</u> intelligence operations or NSA-authorities.

On Friday 5 April 2013 at about 4PM in the afternoon, it appears Mr. Snowden <u>sent an</u> <u>email emailed questions</u> to the <u>O</u>office of <u>gG</u>eneral <u>C</u>eounsel<u>questioning the hierarchy of</u> <u>several NSA authorities identified in a training course.</u> <u>about the precedence of an</u> <u>Executive Order, as well as the interplay of DoD and ODNI regulations.</u>

The inquiry did not raise any concerns with the substance of any particular Executive Order, s or DoD<u>or</u> and DNI regulations. Nor did the inquiry question whether NSA had <u>the</u> authority to conduct specific operations.

On Monday 8 April 2013, the next business day, the Office of General Counsel <u>responded to</u> <u>his question provided specific answers to the questions</u> and the responding attorney offered to discuss the <u>issue further if he desired to do so.questions further</u>. <u>There is no</u> <u>evidence that any No</u>-additional correspondence <u>or contact occurred</u>.

7. (OGC) If so, in what form, on what dates and with what specificity as to content?

A. N/A

8. (OGC) Were his inquiries responded to? If so, how and when?

A. N/A

- 9. (Q/TD) How was our search for any correspondence from him conducted?
- 10. (Q/TD) Was it exhaustive and authoritative?
- 11. (Q/TD) Is it possible there is correspondence we overlooked, didn't record?

OCID: 424	9219		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From:	Hayden, Caitlin		
Sent:	Wednesday, May 28, 2		
To:	<u>'Robert Litt': De, Raies</u>		
	- and a second	Evans, Stuart;	Beaghley, Sina;
		-Media',	Richards, Rebecca J
Cc:	Grimes, Arlene U; DL	PAOMedia; Egan, Brian J.; Heinzeli	man, Kate; Fonzone, Christopher;
*		Ensor, Leoinel K	/
		The production of the second	1
Subject:	RE: NBC/email		management of the second se
•			A.V.A.
		11/2	(b)(3) OGA
Here's second half:	1423		(T.O.S.)
nere s second nan:		(b)(6)	ODNI

10:33 PM ET: NBC Brian Williams Reports

Edward Snowden

VIDEO: <u>http://mms.tveyes.com/PlaybackPortal.aspx?SavedEditID=182dd0</u>è7-be50-4727-bdf2-f1ef76fb19df <u>http://mms.tveyes.com/PlaybackPortal.aspx?SavedEditID=4d700dd4-d869-412f-8104-e3e4138ef6e9</u> <u>http://mms.tveyes.com/PlaybackPortal.aspx?SavedEditID=78422c67-2fe4-4831-9e40-4c15eb3f3fd5</u>

WILLIAMS: and welcome back. as we enter our second half hour, as we continue to hear from edward snowden, part of a wide-ranging conversation taped a week ago in moscow. we have already heard some extraordinary things, but as you will see, he's not done yet

SNOWDEN: i'm doing this to serve my country. i'm still working for the government. being a patriot means knowing when to protect your country. if i could go anywhere in the world, that place would be home.

WILLIAMS: edward snowden's last posting was in hawaii. there he rented a house where he lived with his girlfriend and performed electronic surveillance for the nsa. it was said he took his last position to gain access to the final documents he wanted to steal and then leak.

how long prior to leaving hawaii did you start to say to yourself, i'm going to gather this, i'm going to put this away, i'm going to expose this?

SNOWDEN: i think given the ongoing investigation that something better not to get into in a news interview, but i would be happy to discuss this with the government.

WILLIAMS: what is the number? what's the closest you have come to estimating the number of documents?

SNOWDEN: i will say the 1.7 million documents figure that the intelligence community has been bandying about, the director of nsa himself keith alexander said just a week ago in the australian financial review, i believe, that they have no idea what documents were taken at all. their auditing was so poor, so negligent that any private contractor, not even an employee of the government could walk into the nsa building, take whatever they wanted, and walk out with it and they would never know. now, i think that's a problem. and i think that's something that needs to be resolved. people need to be held to account for. has it happened before? could it happen again?

WILLIAMS: what didn't you grab? was there a threshold?

SNOWDEN: right. i didn't want to take information that would basically be take then and thrown out in the press that would cause harm to individuals, that would cause people to die, that would put lives at risk. so a good gauge of what information was provided to the journalists is a representation of what you see in the press. now the nsa and the defense intelligence agency and some of these other organizations have claimed that lives are at risk, that all this

military information was out there, that, you know, i took all this information about missiles and warheads and tanks, but we don't see any of that in the newspaper. we haven't seen any stories on that.

WILLIAMS: snowden turned over the secret documents to glenn greenwald and laura poitras, both of whom traveled to russia for our interview. in his book "no place to hide," greenwald discussed the moment he met snowden in hong kong.

what did you make of him?

GREENWALD: the initial impression was one of extreme confusion. i was expecting to meet someone in his 60s or 70s, someone very senior in the agency because i knew almost nothing about him prior to our arrival in hong kong.

SNOWDEN: it was a really intimidating moment. you know, it was the most real point of no return because the minute you start talking to a journalist as an intelligence officer on camera, there is really no going back from that. that's where it all comes together.

WILLIAMS: also, you had this tangible evidence. in effect he was saying to you if i wasn't legit, where else would i have gotten this?

GREENWALD: right. that was certainly a good start to establishing his credibility and his authenticity was the fact he was able to produce many thousands of documents from the most secretive agency of the world's most powerful but at the same time there were government. questions about the authenticity of the documents t providence, the motives that led to his taking them and what it was he would say when he identified himself to the world as the source.

WILLIAMS: by handing over the documents to journalists, snowden said he wanted to put some space between himself and what he himself stole are from government computers. he wanted others to break the stories and do the reporting and check to see which stories might cause undue harm.

SNOWDEN: and that's the reason that the journalists have been required by their agreement with me as the source, although they could obviously break that or do whatever they want, but i demanded that they agreed to consult with the government to make sure no individuals or specific harms could be caused by any of that reporting.

WILLIAMS: that includes nbc news which has reported on its own batch of snowden documents and has a reporting relationship with glenn greenwald.

SNOWDEN: when it comes to specific stories about the specific collection programs, about specific targets, these aren't decided by me. these are decided by newspapers.

WILLIAMS: you see the part of this and for a lay audience looking on, they know that this came from you. the bulk release to the journalists came from you. they are guessing that you had decisions to make within what you had access to, so then they hear you saying, i didn't put that out, the journalists did.

SNOWDEN: right. that's a fair question. anyone can second guess my judgment. again, i'm a human being. i could make mistakes. i could make the wrong call. but the reality is the situation determined that this needed to be told to the public.

WILLIAMS: to your knowledge, there is nothing in what you have handed over to the journalists materially damaging or threatening to the military or national security?

SNOWDEN: there is nothing that would be published that would harm the public interest. these are programs that need to be understood, that need to be known, that require deep background and context for research. they are difficult to report. but they are of critical public importance.

WILLIAMS: just for clarification here note that snowden didn't deny turning over military secrets. he asserted instead they wouldn't be published. another break. when we continue, how snowden justifies what he did.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

WILLIAMS: on the range between ticker tape parade entence, what do you think ought to happen to you if and when you return to the united states?

SNOWDE: these are things that no individual should empower themselves to really decide. you know, i'm going to give myself a parade. but neither am i going to walk into a jail cell to serve as a bad example for other people in government who see something happening, some violation of the tion who think they need to say something about it.

WILLIAMS: you hear often in the united states are why doesn't he come home and face the music?

SNOWDEN: it's a fair question. why doesn't he face charges? but it's also uninformed. because what has been lain against me aren't normal charges. they are extraordinary charges. we have seen more charges under the espionage act in the last administration than we have in all other administrations in american history. the espionage act provides anyone accused of it of no chance to make a public defense. you are not allowed to argue based on all the evidence in your favor because that evidence may be classified, even if the it is exculpatory. when people say why don't you face the music, i say you have to understand the music is not an open court and a fair trial.

WILLIAMS: what would you do if you had an aience with the president right now? what would you say?

SNOWDEN: i would leave advising the president to his advisers. that's -- i wouldn't presume to place myself on the level to be able to suggest what his course of action should be.

WILLIAMS: would you ask him if you could come home free and clear?

SNOWDEN: i think that's a decision he'll weigh and decide based on what he believes would serve the public interest. i think that's proper and appropriate.

WILLIAMS: in your mind though, are you blameless? have you done, as you look at this, just a good thing? have you performed, as you see it, a public service?

SNOWDEN: i think it can be both. i think the most important idea is to remember that there have been times throughout american history where what is right is not the same as what is legal. sometimes to do the right thing you have to break a law. and the key there is in terms of civil disobedience. you have to make sure that what you are risking, what you are bringing onto yourself doesn't serve as a detriment to anybody else, it doesn't hurt anybody else. if you are volunteering yourself to be used as a negative example, to spend a lifetime in prison rather than to spend a time in prison -- a short period where you will come out, advocate, emerge stronger and inspire other people to resist these policieses, spolicies, are you doing good or bad?

WILLIAMS: legal sources tell nbc news that snowden's legal team has been in contact with the government's lawyers, but negotiations have t begun.

are you looking for clemency or amnesty? would you like to go home?

SNOWDEN: i don't think there has ever been any question that i wild like to go home. from day one i have said i'm doing this to serve my country. i'm still working for the government. now whether amnesty or clemency becomes a possibility is not for me to say. that's a debate for the public and the government to decide. but if i could go anywhere in the world, that place would be home.

Ĵ

WILLIAMS: and when we continue, an american in russia. edward snowden talks about what his life is like now in exile and how he feels about coming home.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

WILLIAMS: this is a big cultural change. you, in effect, moved to russia from hawaii. what is your life like?

SNOWDEN: you know, it's -- it is a major cultural gap. it requires adjustment. but even though i didn't choose to be here, even though, you know, circumstances really trapped me here, i can adapt. i can live life as an american more or less. that's the beauty of the internet. we are no longer tied to our communities merely by, you know, miz call physical connections. right now i'm watching a show, "the wire." i'm really enjoying it. second season, not so great, but --

WILLIAMS: what do you make of the fact that president putin's standing in the world, let's say, has changed so dramatically during the time you have been here?

SNOWDEN: it's really frustrating for someone who is working so hard to expand the domain of our rights and our privacy to end up stuck in a place where those rights are being challenged in ways that i would consider deeply unfair. the recent bloggers' registration law in russia, i can't think of any basis for a law like that. not just in russia, but any country. the government shouldn't regulate the operations of a free press whether it is nbc or some blogger in their living room. there is so much that needs to be defended here in russia, but i am limited by my inability to speak russian and so on that it's an isolating and frustrating thing. i really hope that russia, the united states and many other countries will work to push back against this constantly increasing surveillance, this constant erosion and abrasion of public rights.

WILLIAMS: correct me if i'm wrong. the arc of your life is you went from signing up for the military of after 9/11, in effect saying you were willing to die for your country to then telling people you half expected to die via abduction or assassination after what you have done in this instance. that's a pretty dramatic arc since 2003, 2004.

SNOWDEN: i think that's actually a solid representation of the dramatic arcses sthat have happened within our government in the same period. do you think our government has changed since 9/11? have the policies changed? has civil engagement with the government changed? have our politics changed? are things radically different in terms of partisanship? there have been radical changes within our government.

WILLIAMS: do you see yourself as a patriot?

SNOWDEN: i do. you know, i think patriot is a word that's thrown around so much that it can be devalued nowadays. but being a patriot doesn't mean prioritizing service to government above all else. being a patriot means knowing when to protect your country, knowing when to protect your constitution, knowing when to protect your countrymen from the violations of and encroachments of adversaries and those adversaries don't have to be foreign countries. they can be bad policies. they can be officials who, you know, need a little bit more accountability. they can be mistakes of government and simple overreach and things that should never have been tried or that went wrong.

WILLIAMS: did you say earlier you were still serving your government?

SNOWDEN: yes.

WILLIAMS: how so?

SNOWDEN: when you look at the actions that i have taken, when you look at the carefulness of the program ares that have been disclosed, when you look at the way this has been filtered through the most trusted journalistic institutions in america, the way the government has had a chance to chime in on this and to make their case, and when you look at the changes that it's resulted in, we have had the first open federal court to ever review these programs declare it likely unconstitutional and orwellian and you see congress agreeing that massive surveillance, bulk collection needs to end.

with all of these things happening thatheovernment agrees all the way up to the president, again, make us stronger how can it be said that i did not serve my government? how can it be said that this harmed the country when all three branches of government have made reforms as a result?

WILLIAMS: many in government say snowden should say for what he's done whether or not he comes home.

what do you miss about home?

SNOWDEN: i think the only -- the only answer to something like that for somebody in my situation is, you know, what don't i miss? what would you miss? what wouldn't you miss? i miss my family. i miss my home. i miss my colleagues. i miss the work. because caught up in all these issues, people have unfairly demonized the nsa to a point that's too extreme. these are good people trying to do hard work for good reasons. the problem that we are confronted with, the challenge that we are facing is not the working level guy, some mustache-twirling villain out to destroy your life. it's the fact that senior officials are investing themselves with powers that they are not entitled to, and they are doing it without asking the public for any kind of consent.

WILLIAMS: is what i heard you feeling bad for the damage to the nsa as a result of what you have exposed from the nsa?

SNOWDEN: well, what you need to understand in what i was saying -- i guess not what you need to understand, but what i'm saying is not damage to the nsa. it's the sort of conspiratorial that can emerge when there are abuses that le tnk they can do no good and the government has legitimate programs and purposes and they can do great things. the nsa can as well. i think it's important to remember that people don't set their lives on fire. they don't say good-bye to their families, actually pack up without saying good-bye to their families. they don't walk away from their extraordinary comfortable lives. i made a lot of money for a guy with no high school diploma. and burn down everything they love for no reason.

WILLIAMS: so you're a kid from north carolina and while i, after this interview, am free to fly back to the united states, you can't. does that hurt you?

SNOWDEN: i think no american could be prohibited from coming home or traveling anywhere else without feeling a sense of loss. but, again, i may have lost my ability to travel, but i have gained the ability to go to sleep at night, put my head on the pillow and feel comfortable that i have done the right thing even when it was the hard thing. i'm comfortable with that.

WILLIAMS: a good number of americans, of course, feel that because of what they see as an act of treason, they sleep less soundly at night fearing this massive leak of secrets has endangered the country. there is more on the way. glenn greenwald says the next story he is going to public based on the snowden documents may be the biggest yet in terms of impact. that is our broadcast for tonight. we're going to keep going in the studio to ask the question, in part to take on the same question is snowden a hero or a traitor? we have assembled correspondents and former government officials.

		(b)(3) OGA		
From: Hayden, Caitlin	3	ODNI		
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 10:54 P To: 'Robert Litt';	M		n. 1	:
Evans, Stuar	t; Beaghley	, Sina;	DNI-Media;	
Cc	Egan, Brian J.; Heinzelma	n, Kate; Fonzone, Chris	stopher;	,
			and a second second	,
Subject: RE: NBC/email				
Rough transcript of part 1:	2.024	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	Э.	
/		anaranan ilinilinili		
(b)(6)				32

10 PM ET: NBC Brian Williams Reports

Edward Snowden

VIDEO: http://mms.tveyes.com/PlaybackPortal.aspx?SavedEditID=dc917875-da19-47ce-86be-8b0497b521b2 http://mms.tveyes.com/PlaybackPortal.aspx?SavedEditID=dbc7c991-eeae-4489-8e6c-f5922443a522 http://mms.tveyes.com/PlaybackPortal.aspx?SavedEditID=4bae0cc2-8fd4-4e08-97af-05e6f8d4f09d

BRIAN WILLIAMS: good evening, i'm brian williams, he is routinely called the most wanted man in the world. last week in moscow, cloaked in secrecy and after months of behind-the-scenes back and forth, we sat down with edward snowden for his first american television interview. let's begin with a reminder of what this 30-year-old has done and why he's living in exile overseas, wanted for espionage in this country. the classified documents he stole, downloaded from the nsa and handed over to journalists blew the lid off data mining programs that had been launched in the wake of and in the name of 9/11. they have names like prism and boundless informant and xkeyscore. some of them designed to vacuum up phone and internet data are from companies like verizon and google and apple and vahoo! and some of them could zero in on any one of us. snowden came to this interview armed with talking points, ready to tell his story. he knew he would be receiving no compensation and no question was off limits. already just today based on prereleased snippets of what you're about to see secretary of state john kerry launched a full-on fredricton it willontal assault on snowden calling him a traitor, challenging hill to come home to face justice. we'll hear what edward snowden has to say about that, he arrived alone carrying only a backpack into our moscow hotel, he came up a back stairway into the elevator. the moment he sat down and our camera focused on him, it became clear that this was the first good long look at him the world had had, we saw him framed against the hotel window in hong kong and appearing from undisclosed locations on closed circuit video. he was here now, introducing himself as ed. a lot of people say you have badly damaged your country.

EDWARD SNOWDEN: can you show that? is there any dman vagsemonstration? i have been asking for a year now. if after a year they can't show a single individual who has been harmed in any way by this reporting, is it really so grave? is it really so serious? can we really trust those claims without scrutinizing them? i would argue we can't. we should be open to them. it's fair, the possibility. if this has caused serious harm, i plirnlwould like to know about it.

WILLIAMS: keith alexander has said you have done, quote, significant and irreversible damage to the nation. he said there is, quote, concrete truth that terrorist groups and others are taking action and making changes and it's going to make our job tougher. and this amounts to telling our enemy our playbook.

SNOWDEN: so what's interesting is that we see the exact same language, the exact same accusations being levelled against whistleblowers, against any critic of any government program throughout history, throughout time.

WILLIAMS: what are you doing in russia?

SNOWDEN: all right. so this is a really fair concern. i personally am surprised that i ended up here. the reality is i never intended to end up in russia. i had a flight from cuba to latin america. the united states revoked my passport to trap me in the moscow airport. when people ask why are you in russia, i say please ask the state department.

WILLIAMS: the u.s. state department says snowden's passport was pulled before he boarded that flight to moscow and yet he was somehow still able to leave hong kong, a city he had chosen to fly to initially upon leaving the u.s. a formerly high ranking american official said if snowden's equivalent russian kid was in our hands in the united states we would be working mightily to, a, befriend him and, absent that, infiltrate what hes has, what he knows. what has your relationship been to the host nation? have you met putin? have you spoken with him?

SNOWDEN: i have no relationship with the russian government at all. i have never met the russian president. i'm not supported by the raugs government -- russian government. i'm not taking money from the russian government. i'm not a spy. the best way to make sure, for example, the russians can't break my fingers and compromise information or hit me

with a bag of money until i give them something was not to have it at all. the way to do it was by destroying material i was holding before i transited through russia.

WILLIAMS: people are going to find it hard to believe that president putin hasn't taken a run at you or what you know. you can state declaratively that that hasn't happened?

SNOWDEN: yeah. i mean, the way -- the way to think about this is, again, i already know how to deal with counterintelligence. beyond that, i took nothing to russia, so i could give them mothing.

WILLIAMS: you say you're not carrying around any of the materials. you are handing them off. if i gave you a laptop, could you access the documents?

SNOWDEN: no, no.

WILLIAMS: no, you couldn't remotely, electronically access material?

SNOWDEN: no.

WILLIAMS: it's gone from your control?

SNOWDEN: right. i don't have any control -- let's put it this way. if i'm traveling through russia -- and i know i'm traveling through russia and they have a very aggressive, very professional service. and i look like tweety bird to sylvester the cat, a little walking chicken leg with all the documents if i have control over that, that's a very dangerous thing for me.

WILLIAMS: we'll take our first break here. up next when we continue, the impact of 9/11 on edward snowden.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

WILLIAMS: going back almost a year to when this story first broke, edward snowden was defined early on, and not altogether accurately. the president famously called him a hacker. we in the news media passed along and repeated terms like systems analyst and outside contractor that didn't really mean all that much and according to snowden didn't really describe what he did for a living.

(VIDEO BEGINS)

WILLIAMS: how do you define yourself? are you -- were you trained as a spy? specifically i'm talking about the titles systems analyst, contractor. it seems to me spies probably look a lot more like ed snowden and a lot less like james bond these days.

SNOWDEN: well, it's no secret that the u.s. tends to get more and better intelligence out of computers nowadays than they do out of people. i was trained as a spy in sort of the traditional sense of the word. i lived and worked undercover, overseas pretending to work in a job that i'm not and even being assigned a name that was not mine. now the government might deny these things, frame it in certain ways and say, oh, he's a low level analyst but what they are trying to do is they are trying to use one position that i have had in a career here or there to distract from the totality of my experience which is that i have worked for the central intelligence agency undercover overseas. i have worked for the national security agency undercover overseas. and i have worked for the defense intelligence agency as a lecturer at the joint counterintelligence training academy where i developed sources and methods for keeping our information and people secure in the most hostile and dangerous environments around the world with. so when they say i'm a low level systems administrator that i don't know what i'm talking about it's somewhat misleading.

WILLIAMS: snowden said his life changed when he was still a teenager on the worst day in modern american history.

what did 9/11 mean to you?

SNOWDEN: i have never told anybody this. no journalist. but i was on ft. meade on septembeth right outside the nsa. so i remember -- i remember the tension on that day. i remember hearing on the radio. i remember thinking my grandfather who worked for the fbi at the time was in the pentagon when the plane hit it. i take the threat of terrorism seriously. i think we all do. i think it's really disingenuous for the government to invoke and sort of scandalize our memories, to sort of exploit the national trauma that we all suffered together and worked so hard to come through to yufjustify programs that are have never been shown to keep us safe but cost us liberties and freedoms that we don't need to give up, and our constitution says we should not give up.

WILLIAMS: then there is this way of looking at it -- our nation had been attacked in this nonlinear way. we were hurting. post pearl harbor hurting. why not cast the widest net possible? innocent people around the country were -- all felt the same way. i've got nothing to hide. we've got to find this enemy we can't see.

SNOWDEN: the definition of a security state is any nation that prioritizes security over all other considerations. i don't believe the united states is or ever should be a security state. if we want to be free we can't become subject to surveillance. we can't give away our privacy. we can't give away our rights. we have to be an active party. we have to be an active part of our government. and h say there are some things worth dying for. i think the country is one of them.

WILLIAMS: like a lot of young men in our country, and especially given the fact that your grandfather was at the fbi, your dad's a vet. like a lot of young men across america you wanted to join up, and you did.

SNOWDEN: in 2004, i joined the u.s. army under the 18 x-ray special forces recruit program. i have to give high respect to everyone many the military and the graduates of those programs. they are better men than i. i was injured very early on in the program and i washed out. i don't hide that.

WILLIAMS: snowden reportedly left the military after breaking both of his legs in training.

SNOWDEN: the fact is that i tried. you know, i saw what was going on in the world. i believed the government's arguments that we were going to do good things in iraq, that we were going to free the oppressed. and i wanted to do my part to help share the national burden and create not just a better america but a better world. the problem was, as time went on, as i rose to higher and higher levels of the intelligence community, i gained more and more access, as i saw more classified information at the highest levels i realized that so many of the things that we are told by the government simply aren't true. much like the arguments about aluminum tubes and weapons of mass destruction, colin powell's discussion about anthrax is a dama -- saddam was going to bring against us. the iraq war i signed up for was launched on false premises. the american people were misled. whether that was due to bad faith or simply mistakes of intelligence, i can't say for sure. but i can say it shows the problem of putting too much faith in intelligence systems without debating them in public.

WILLIAMS: we'll take another break here. coming up, how edward snowden says the nsa can reach into our lives via our phones.

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)

WILLIAMS: welcome back. government was now able, if they wanted to, to use our computers to reach into american lives and whatever you think of him, what edward snowden told us about spying on our data and our personal devices was chilling. and as an example, we used the phone in my hand.

(VIDEO BEGINS)

WILLIAMS: i want to ask you about this device. this is not my iphone. this is what drug dealers resort to. this is called a burner. it's a temporary. it's the one i brought to cover the olympics because our i.t. people told me that the russians are

so good at infiltration. how good? and how good are the americans? what can the nsa do with this device, if they wanted to get into my life?

SNOWDEN: so, first off, that's probably the most expensive burner i have ever seen. but i guess we are at the up market of drug dealers here

WILLIAMS: i'm using a term of art. this is turned off. it's inert.

SNOWDEN: the nsa, the russian intelligence service, the chinese intelligence service, any intelligence service in the world that has significant funding and a real technological research team can own that phone the minute it connects to their network. as soon as you turn it on, it can be theirs. they can turn it into a microphone. they can take pictures from it, they can take the data off of it. but it's important to understand that these things are typically done on a targeted basis. right? it's only done when people go, this phone is suspicious. i think it's being held by a drug dealer. i think it is being used by a terrorist.

WILLIAMS: can anyone turn it on remotely if it's off? can they turn on apps? did anyone know or care that i googled the final score of the rangers/canadiens game last night because i was traveling here?

SNOWDEN: i would say yes to all of those. they can absolutely turn them on with the power turned off the device. that's pretty scary. the thing about the rangers game ises also salso scary. you might say, does anybody care that i'm looking up the score for the rangers game? well, a government or a hacker or some other nefarious individual would say yes. that tells a lot about you. you probably speak english. you are probably an american. you are interested in this sport. they might know what your habits are. where were you in the world when you checked the score? do you check it when you travel? do you check it when you're at home? they could tell your pattern of life. when are you doing these activities? when do you wake up? when do you go to sleep? what other phones are around you? are you with someone who's not your wife? are you some place you shouldn't be, according to the government which is arbitrary, you know. are you engaged in any kind of activities can be mis misinterpreted and used to do you wrong. the problem is the capabilities themselves are unregulated, uncontrol are edun krol uncontrol uncontroledded and dangerous.

WILLIAMS: all because i googled rangers, canadiens, final score.

SNOWDEN: exactly.

WILLIAMS: snowden says he was astonished at the access he had at his fingertips including a computer program that, as he put it, could get inside your thought process.

SNOWDEN: when i think about an instance that really just struck me as, oh, my god, we can do this. and we can do it to anyone was that people at nsa, analysts, can actually watch people's internet communications. watch them draft correspondence and actually watch their thoughts form as they type. s as you write a message, an analyst at nsa or any service using this attack against people can actually see you write sentences and backspace over your mistakes, change the words, pause, and think about what you wanted to say and then change it. it's this extraordinary intrusion not just into your communications, your finished messages but your actual drafting process, into the way you think.

WILLIAMS: you must have been aware spying is sometimes called a dirty business. lives have been taken and unsavory deeds have been committed.

SNOWDEN: you know, i don't think anybody who 's's been in the intelligence community for almost a decade as i have been, is really shocked by the specific types of general operations when they are justified. what's more shocking for anybody is not the dirtiness of the business. it's the dirtiness of the targetsing. it's the dirtiness of the way these things are being used. it's the lack of respect for the public because -- the lack of respect for the intrusiveness of surveillance.

WILLIAMS: when the president and others have made the point that you should have gone through channels, become a whistleblower and not pursued the route you did, what's your response?

SNOWDEN: i actually did go through channels. and that is documented. the nsa has records. they have copies of e-mails right now to their office of general counsel, to their oversight and compliance folks from me raising concerns about the nsa's interpretations of its legal authorities. i have raised the complaints not just officially in writing through e-mail to these offices and these individuals but to my supervisors, to my colleagues, in more than one office. i did it in ft. meade. i did it in hawaii. and many, many of these individuals were shocked by these programs. they had never seen them themselves. and the ones who had went, you know, you're right. these are things that are are really concerning. these aren't things we should be doing. maybe we're going too far here. but if you say something about this, they're going to destroy you. do you know what happens to people who stand up and talk about this?

WILLIAMS: what did you report? what was the response?

SNOWDEN: so i reported that there were real problems with the way the nsa was interpreting its legal authorities. and the response more or less in bureaucratyerreaucratic language was you should stop asking questions. one of my final acts in government was continuing one of these communications with a legal office. in fact, i am so sure that these communications exist that i have called on congress to write a letter to the nsa to verify that they do. write to the office of general counsel and say, did mr. snowden ever communicate any concerns about the nsa's interpretation of its legal authorities?

WILLIAMS: now about that last point there about the paper trail that snowden says exists within the nsa, so far nbc news has learned from multiple sources that snowden did, indeed, send at least one e-mail to the general counsel's office raisingic and legal questions. we have filed a request under the freedom of information act to look for any other records. and when we continue here tonight, the are the secrets of the u.s. military safe?

(COMMERCIAL BREAK)	(b)(6)	(b)(3)-P.L. 8	6.26	
<u>11</u>		(D)(0)-7.L. 0	0-30	20a
From: Robert Litt				1. Alexandra (1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
Sent: Wednesday, May 2	28, 2014 10:41 PM			
To: Havden. Caitlin:				
	Evans, Stuart;	Beaghley , Sina;	DNI-Media	a;
	7/		and a second	
Cc:	_/ Egan	n, Brian J.; Heinzelman, Kate; F	onzone, Christopher;	
			26	(b)(3)
				/ OGA
Subject: Re: NBC/email		(b)(5)	and have not a second	ODNI
		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	and the second se	1
			and the second	1
an a	an a	a an		and and an
From: Hayden, Caitlin		in the second	3	/
	28, 2014 10:38 PM Eastern	Standard Time		/
То:				1
	Robert	: Litt;		/
	27.0	; Evans, Stuart		
	Beaghley,	Sina		DNI-Media;
	1			
Cc			Egan, Brian J.	1
	; Heinzelman, Kate	Concerner and	Fonzone, Christo	pher
		and a second second second second	111	
			/ N I	and the second second
		10		and a second and
	11111111111111111111111111111111111111	<u> </u>		Real manufacture and the second s
		10		-P.L. 86-36

DOCID: 424921 @maining text is duplicated in DOCID 4249177 Subject: Re: NBC/email



• DOCID: 4249219

82		 6
. 1	DOCID: 4249219	
24		
6		
8		
2		
<u>8</u>		
8		
55		
e		
,		

DO	OTI	n .	101	00	0 FC
Ъe	61	J÷-	<u> 424</u>	-9-2	<u></u>

C S	DOCID: 4249219
R.	
ak.	

-	
From:	NSA-O_USA CIV
To:	SA-S3M2 USA CIV
Cc:	NSA-D23 USA CIV; NSA-D4 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV; DL
	OGC MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23: DL ODOC Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4: DL d ig tasker (ALIAS) D;
	NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-1423 USA CIV; DL ads registry (ALIAS) 009
Subject:	FW: (U) Q&A: Snowden Concerns
Date:	Tuesday, June 03, 2014 3:45:10 PM
	FINAL Snowden concerns QA Ver3 docx

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

ADS&CI reviewed the subject document and offer the following comments for your consideration:

Question 9, first para: Change to read as follows for purposes of accuracy: (U//FOUO) We have reviewed all of the email and NSANet social media posts authored by Edward Snowden which we have been able to obtain. This review has included manual reviews of the email and posts, as well as searches of the email tailored to identify any responsive correspondence.

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Thanks.

		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
		// \
ADS&CI Chief of Staff	/	
968-6000(s);	9/	
From: NSA-S3M2 USA CIV	_//	
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2014 5:59 PM		
To- SA-D23 USA CIV;	NSA-D4 USA CIV;	NSA-D14 USA CIV;
NSA-Q_USA CIV	- / -	
Cc: DL OGC MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC	Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4;	; DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D;
NSA-D USA CIV	NSA-D USA CIV; DL Q	REGISTRY
Subject: (U) Q&A: Snowden Concerns		
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOI	R OFFICIAL USE	ONLY

Approved for Release by NSA on 11-13-2015, FOIA Case #78137

	ISA-D28 USA CIV
From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	NSA-D23 USA CIV Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:24 PM NSA-Q311 USA CIV NSA-D23 USA CIV RE: (U) 2014_05_29 - ACTION: Snowden's Claims of Voicing Concerns
Classification: UN Awesome thanks!	ICLASSIFIED / /FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Sent: Thursday, May 2 ToNSA	NSA-Q311 USA CIV 9, 2014 12:07 PM D23 USA CIV 023 USA CIV

Subject: RE: (U) 2014_05_29 - ACTION: Snowden's Claims of Voicing Concerns

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

Approved for Release by NSA on 11-13-2015, FOIA Case #78137
	NSA-D28 USA CIV		
From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	Thursday, May 29, 2 NSA- Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV Phillips Pamela N N NSA-D23 US RE: (U) NBC News Fr	D28 USA CIV; Blaskowsl SA-DJ4 USA CIV; A CIV; Blacker Cindy S N reedom of Information A	Act Request
	n: UNCLASSIFIED/ //FOR C	JFHCIAL USE U	
17		/ .	
	neral Counsel		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	RNEY WORK PRODUCT//ATTO COM	DRNEY CLIENT CO MUNICATION** sed without OGC app	MMUNICATION//PRIVILEGED
To: Cc: Phillips Pame Blacker Cindy S N	NSA-D28 USA CIV May 29, 2014 2:20 PM NSA-D28 USA CIV; Blaskowski Pau ela N NSA-DJ4 USA CIV; NSA-DJ41 USA CIV NBC News Freedom of Information /	NSA-D28 USA CIV	Sh <u>erman David 1 Dr N</u> SA-DJ USA CIV /;NSA-D23 USA CIV;
Classificatio	n: UNCLASSIFIED/ /FOR C	OFFICIAL USE C	NLY-
Attorney Litigation Practic			(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (5)
Office of Genera D28, Rm 2B6106	l Counsel , OPS2B, Suite 6278		

Approved for Release by NSA on 02-05-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

 $(\mathbf{k}$

	Secure: <u>963-2374/5047</u>	
	Outside:	
	ATTORNEY CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE. The information contained in this email and a	
	constitutes attorney work product and/or client advice, which are legally privileged.	
	From: NSA-D28 USA CIV	
	Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:17 PM	
	To: Blaskowski Paul J NSA-DJ4 USA CIV; Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV Cc: Phillips Pamela N NSA-DJ4 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV;	NSA-D23 USA CIV;
	NSA-D28 USA CIV; Blacker Cindy S NSA-DJ41 USA CIV	
	Subject: RE: (U) NBC News Freedom of Information Act Request	
Theorem		
Contraction of the local distribution of the	Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	-
and the second		
Terrar .		
fitters.		b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 b)(5)
THE STATE	Deputy Associate GC, Litigation	D) (D)
internal int	NSA Office of General Counsel	
	<u>963-5047 (secure)</u>	
	(unclassified)	
1	(STE)	
ľ,	**ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUN	NICATION//PRIVILEGED
() Sector	COMMUNICATION**	
1-1	3) -P.L. 86-36	
1	Not to be released without OGC approval.	
1		
a de la compañía	From: Blaskowski Paul J NSA-DJ4 USA CIV	
A.L. S.	Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:12 PM	
Trans.	To:NSA-D28 USA CIV; Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV	
	Cc: Phillips Pamela N NSA-DJ4 USA CIV	NSA-D23 USA CIV;
a constant	NSA-D28 USA CIV; Blacker Cindy S NSA-DJ41 USA CIV Subject: RE: (U) NBC News Freedom of Information Act Request	
مستخلي	Subject RE. (b) Hoe news freedom of mornation Act Request	
Meaning.		(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
Transferra	Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	(b) (5)
	(U/ /FOUO)	
		<u> </u>
	(U/ /FOUD	

V/R,

	Paul
	(U)************************************
	Paul J Blaskowski
	Deputy Chief, DJ4
	Freedom of Information and Privacy Office
	OPS2A, 2A1118, Suite <u>6248</u>
	Personal: 966-4072s // (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36
	Office: 963-5827s //
	SIPRNet Email
	Internet Email:
	FAX:

	From: A NSA-D28 USA CIV
	Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:26 PM
	To: Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV
ſ	Cc: Phillips Pamela N NSA-DJ4 USA CIV; Blaskowski Paul J NSA-DJ4 USA CIV NSA-D28 USA CIV;
l	NSA-D23 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV; Blacker Cindy S NSA-DJ41 USA CIV
	Subject: RE: (U) NBC News Freedom of Information Act Request
	Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
	Dave –

		_	an a
r	v/r		
		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
	Deputy Associate GC, Litigation	(b) (5)	
	NSA Office of General Counsel		
-	<u>963-5047 (sec</u> ure)		
1	(unclassified) (STE)		
	ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMU COMMUNICATION	NICATION//PRIVILEGED	
5)-(3)-P.L. 86-36 Not to be released without OGC approval		
	From: Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:15 PM		
	ToNSA-D28 USA CIV		
	Cc: Phillips Pamela N NSA-DJ4 USA CIV; Blaskowski Paul J NSA-DJ4 USA CIV	NSA-D28 USA CIV;	

NSA-D23 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV; Blacker Subject: RE: (U) NBC News Freedom of Information Act Request	Cindy S NSA-DJ41 USA CIV
Subject. RE. (0) The news freedom of information Act Request	
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ /FOU0	
	
(U/ /FOUO) I take it you mean our initial written confirmation that the NBC reque	est has been received and we are
working on it. I'll need DJ4 to execute.	
(U/ /FOUO) I also would appreciate your view on	
Dave	
<< File: Snowden USSID 18_Redacted.pdf >>	1
David J. Sherman	and the second sec
Associate Director for Policy and Records	and the second
National Security Agency	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
Room 2A0858	(b) (5)
000 2850 (2000)	
<u>969-2850 (secu</u> re) (commercial)	
From: NSA-D28 USA CIV	
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:08 PM	
To: Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV Cc: Phillips Pamela N NSA-DJ4 USA CIV; Blaskowski Paul J NSA-DJ4 USA CIV	NSA-D28 USA CIV;
NSA-D23 USA CIV, blaskowski Paul J NSA-DJ4 USA CIV	NSA-D20 USA CIV,
Subject: FW: (U) NBC News Freedom of Information Act Request	/
Importance: High	/
	/
	/
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED#FOR OFFICIAL USE ONI	LY→ /
Dave – since this is going out through PAO to the WH and NBC right now, we ne	ed to follow up today with a standa
written response.	\ /
v/r	A /
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	annan dali diku kitat kutati kutati (2005) (2008)
Deputy Associate GC, Litigation	
NSA Office of General Counsel	
963-5047 (secure)	
(unclassified) (STE)	

ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION//PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION

Not to be released without OGC approval.

From: Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:12 AM

To: Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV

NSA-D USA CIV; DL medialeaks core (ALIAS) D; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; Cc: NSA-D28 USA CIV; DL pao (ALIAS) DN3; D DIR STAFF; Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D NSA-D2 USA CIV; USA CIV; DL ddir_staff (ALIAS) S; Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; DL EXDIR_STAFF (ALIAS) D; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; Soule Trumbull D NSA-DC USA CIV; DL cos_staff (ALIAS) DC; DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; NSA-DL USA CIV; Delong John M NSA-D4 USA CIV; F NSA-D4 USA CIV; Richards Rebecca J NSA-D5 USA CIV NSA-D5 USA CIV; NSA-D23 NSA-D28 USA CIV: NSA-D28 USA CIV; Kuntz Cheryl R NSA-DJ USA CIV; Phillips USA CIV: Pamela N NSA-DJ4 USA CIV; Blaskowski Paul J NSA-DJ4 USA CIV; Blacker Cindy S NSA-DJ41 USA CIV Subject: (U) NBC News Freedom of Information Act Request

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO-

Arlene:

(U//FOUO) You asked whether NBC has filed a FOIA with NSA with regard to Mr. Snowden's communications with NSA.

(U//FOUO) It has. Specifically, one was filed Monday via the FOIA page on the NSA public website by Matthew Cole of NBC News. It seeks:

"Any and all emails, documents or any other form of communication sent by or from EDWARD SNOWDEN to the Office of General Counsel, or equivalent, or to any lawyer or legal office within the agency during the years 2012 and 2013, as well as any communication in response to Mr. Snowden."

(U//FOUO) We have been in telephonic contact with Mr. Cole to confirm receipt of this request. We will follow up with written acknowledgement within a few days. The next step will be to task out a formal search for responsive documents. I am aware that one has been surfaced separately.

Dave

David J. Sherman Associate Director for Policy and Records National Security Agency Room 2A0858

969-2850 (secure)

(commercial)

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO-

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO-

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

From:	INSA-D USA CIV
To:	DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1
Cc:	DL medialeaks core (ALIAS) D; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV;
Subject:	FW: (U) FYSA: Snowden Allegation in Pending Vanity Fair Article
Date:	Tuesday, April 08, 2014 3:59:41 PM
Attachments:	Vanity Fair teaser.doc
4	

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

Team,

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

	and the second
	and the second
	and the second
and the second sec	Service and Service Ser
and the second	
and the second	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
The second se	(b) (5)
Media Leaks Task Force	
National Security Agency/Central Security Service	and a second
OPS 2B 2B8090	Constant and the second and the seco
JWICS@nsa:ic:gov	
UNCL @nsa.gov	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
NSTS 969-5006	
BLACK	
and the second se	
From: NSA-D USA CIV	The second se
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 3:35 PM	$M^{\prime} = M^{\prime} M^{\prime} M^{\prime}$
To: Rogers Michael S NSA-D USA USN; Ledgett Richa	ard H Jr. NSA-D LISA CIV: Eleisch Frances 1 NSA-D
USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV;	NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL
	NSA-DZ USA CIV, Dauman Ethan Ethan
Cc:NSA-Q3 USA CIV; Ensor Leoi	
USA CIV: NSA-Q3 USA CIV; DL medialea	ks_core (ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1;
NSA-D USA CIV;	NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D21
USA CIV; NSA-Q31 USA CIV;	NSA-Q31 USA CIV;
	USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV;
NSA-D USA CIV;	NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV;
NSA-D USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-	
Subject: (U) FYSA: Snowden Allegation in Pending V	ranity rai Aruce

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

TO: DIR

DDIR ExecDIR GC,D/GC

Approved for Release by NSA on 02-05-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

LAO,D/LAO

(U//FOUO) This Thursday Vanity Fair plans to publish in its May issue a long anticipated article on the Edward Snowden case. DDIR Ledgett is among government officials interviewed for the article. The preview information is attached. NSA has not seen the full article and doesn't expect to before it is published.

(U//FOUO) The preview quotes Snowden responding to statements that he made no formal complaints about his concerns regarding NSA activities,

"The N.S.A. at this point not only knows I raised complaints, but there is evidence that I made my concerns known to the N.S.A.'s lawyers, because I did some of it through e-mail. I directly challenge the N.S.A. to deny that I contacted N.S.A. oversight and compliance bodies directly via e-mail and that I specifically expressed concerns about their suspect interpretation of the law, and I welcome members of Congress to request a written answer to this question [from the N.S.A.]."

(C//Poss Law Enforcement Sensitive) All of NSA's forensic analysis and multiple interviews of personnel have failed to produce any evidence of Snowden's claim that he reported concerns to anyone. The closest thing to any engagement by him on this topic was a webbased query to the OGC submitted in April 2013 while he was at NSA Washington training for his National Threat Operations Center (NTOC) job.

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (7) (E) (b) (7) (A)

(U//FOUO) Here is his request, submitted on 5 April:

Message: Hello, I have a question regarding the mandatory USSID 18 training.

The training states the following:

(U) The Hierarchy of Governing Authorities and Documents is displayed from the highest authority to the lowest authority as follows:

U.S. Constitution Federal Statutes/Presidential Executive Orders (EO) Department of Defense (DoD) and Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) Regulations NSA/CSS Directives and Policies USSIDs SID Management Directives and Policies Office Policies

I'm not entirely certain, but this does not seem correct, as it seems to imply Executive

Orders have the same precedence as law. My understanding is that EOs may be superseded by federal statute, but EOs may not override statute. Am I incorrect in this? Between EOs and laws, which have precedence?

Similarly, between DOD and ODNI regulations, which has greater precedence?

Could you please clarify?

Thank you very much,

Ed

And here is the response he received from an OGC attorney on 8 April:

Hello Ed,

Executive Orders (E.O.s) have the "force and effect of law." That said, you are correct that E.O.s cannot override a statute.

In general, DOD and ODNI regulations are afforded similar precedence though subject matter or date could result in one having precedence over another.

Please give me a call if you would like to discuss further.

Regards,	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (5)
NOTHING FOLLOWS	
(U/ /FOUO)	
Regards,	
	(b) (3)-P.L. 8
Media Leaks Task Force	
National Security Agency/Central Security Service OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICS @nsa.ic.gov	
UNCL @nsa.gov NSTS 969-5011	
BLACK	
	Classified By:

6.

-Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 - Dated: 20070108 - Declassify On: 20390401

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN-

Classified By: Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390401

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Full article not available till 10 April.



Photo Illustration by Sean McCabe.

"Every person remembers some moment in their life where they witnessed some injustice, big or small, and looked away, because the consequences of intervening seemed too intimidating," former National Security Agency contractor Edward Snowden tells *Vanity Fair* about his motivation for leaking tens of thousands of secret documents. "But there's a limit to the amount of incivility and inequality and inhumanity that each individual can tolerate. I crossed that line. And I'm no longer alone."

Snowden's extensive response is part of a 20,000-word narrative in *Vanity Fair*'s May issue, by special correspondent Bryan Burrough and contributing editors Suzanna Andrews and Sarah Ellison. The article is the first comprehensive account—bolstered by interviews with dozens of key players—providing an inside look at how a geeky dropout from the Maryland suburbs found himself alone in a Hong Kong hotel room, releasing some of America's most carefully guarded secrets to the world.

Snowden writes to *Vanity Fair* about the N.S.A.'s allegations that he never filed a formal complaint (and directly challenges it to deny he contacted internal oversight); about why he's not a spy; about what he calls the "post-terror generation"'s views on defending the Constitution; about the crucial ways in which he differs from WikiLeaks founder Julian Assange; about his amusement at being labeled a right-winger; and more.

Among the highlights of Snowden's response:

Snowden challenges allegations that he never filed a formal complaint about the N.S.A. to internal oversight and compliance bodies: N.S.A. deputy director Rick Ledgett, who led the internal investigation of Snowden, claimed Snowden made no formal complaints. And if he complained personally to anyone, Ledgett tells *Vanity Fair*, he or she has not acknowledged it.

In response to this claim, Snowden replies, "The N.S.A. at this point not only knows I raised complaints, but that there is evidence that I made my concerns known to the N.S.A.'s lawyers, because I did some of it through e-mail. I directly challenge the N.S.A. to deny that I contacted N.S.A. oversight and compliance bodies directly via e-mail and that I specifically expressed concerns about their suspect interpretation of the law, and I welcome members of Congress to request a written answer to this question [from the N.S.A.]."

When asked about his initial reaction to the revelation that Snowden was the leak, Ledgett tells *Vanity Fair* there was a personal sense of betrayal, stating, "It was like getting kicked in the stomach."

On using his personal credit card in Hong Kong to prevent spy accusations: Snowden tells *Vanity Fair* that when he checked into the Mira, a hotel in Hong Kong's Kowloon district, he used his personal credit card so the government could immediately verify he was entirely self-financed, was independent, and had, over time, withdrawn enough financial resources to survive on his own without assistance. He writes, "My hope was that avoiding ambiguity would prevent spy accusations and create more room for reasonable debate. Unfortunately, a few of the less responsible members of Congress embraced the spy charges for political reasons, as they still do to this day. But I don't think it was a bad idea, because even if they won't say it in public, intelligence-community officials are regularly confirming to journalists off the record that they know with a certainty that I am not an agent of any foreign government."

On rumors concerning the number of documents he has: Snowden cautions about some of the numbers that investigators have publicized, especially the 1.7 million figure, which, he tells *Vanity Fair*, is "simply a scare number based on an intentionally crude metric: everything that I ever digitally interacted with in my career." He adds, "Look at the language officials use in sworn testimony about these records: 'could have,' 'may have,' 'potentially.' They're prevaricating. Every single one of those officials knows I don't have 1.7 million files, but what are they going to say? What senior official is going to go in front of Congress and say, 'We have no idea what he has, because the N.S.A.'s auditing of systems holding hundreds of millions of Americans' data is so negligent that any high-school dropout can walk out the door with it?'"

"I know exactly how many documents I have," Snowden continues. "Zero." But for the other players involved, "I'm not sure we'll ever know who has what," *The Guardian*'s U.S. editor, Janine Gibson, says.

On what he calls the "post-terror generation's" views on defending the Constitution: "What we're seeing today in America is a new political movement that

crosses party lines. This post-terror generation rejects the idea that we have to burn down our village in order to save it—that the only way to defend the Constitution is to tear it up."

On allegations that he has "a doomsday cache" in his possession: In response to whispers in the intelligence community that Snowden has "a doomsday cache" in his possession, Snowden retorts, "Who would set up a system that incentivizes others to kill them?"

On the crucial ways he differs from WikiLeaks founder Julian Assange: "We don't share identical politics. I am not anti-secrecy. I'm pro-accountability. I've made many statements indicating both the importance of secrecy and spying, and my support for the working-level people at the N.S.A. and other agencies. It's the senior officials you have to watch out for."

Why he admires WikiLeaks: "They run toward the risks everyone else runs away from. No other publisher in the world is prepared to commit to protecting sources—even other journalists' sources— the way WikiLeaks is."

On how he's amused by reports of his "right-wing politics" and would describe his political thought as "moderate": Snowden tells *Vanity Fair* he's amused by reports of his "right-wing politics, based on what seem to be Internet rumors and third-hand information." He continues, "I'd describe my political thought as moderate."

Sources close to the situation discuss Snowden's living arrangements in Moscow and his desire to be granted asylum in Germany or another democratic state: One source close to Snowden tells *Vanity Fair* that he and WikiLeaks staffer Sarah Harrison moved multiple times and at one point lived with an American family outside Moscow. Snowden and Harrision's time together "was a little bit of a love-hate thing," says a person close to WikiLeaks. "They were stuck in close quarters there for a long time." Snowden is fastidious and Harrison is not, this person says. Harrison moved to Berlin in November, but shortly before she did, a German politician had dinner with her and Snowden, who expressed a desire to be granted asylum in Germany or another democratic state. Mostly, the politician says. Snowden wished he could go home.

For the full story, <u>subscribe now and get the digital edition</u>, available April 10 for download.

	NSA-D28 USA CIV		
From:	Green Miller M	1arci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV	
Sent:	Thursday, May	/ 29, 2014 2:08 PM	
То:		NSA-D2 USA CIV;	NSA-D4 USA CIV;
	M NSA-DS4 U	SA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN	1; DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; DL
	ODOC_Medial	eaks (ALIAS) D4	
Cc:		NSA-F1Y USA CIV;	NSA-S0121 USA CIV;
	NSA-	D28 USA CIV	NSA-D23 USA CIV
Subject:	RE: (U) ACTION	N: Request for Information in Sup	port of Media Leaks Action (1)
Classification: U	NCLASSIFIED #FC	OR OFFICIAL USE ONL	$\downarrow $ \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow
Thank you! DNI is pusl	ning it now.		$\sim NI//$
(U) Marci L. Green Mill	er		SS. NH / /
NSA Public Affairs Offic			
Personal	ье В / 969-7503 S		(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
PAO Office: 443-634-0			(b) (3)-F.H. 00-30
Media Line: 301-688-6	있는 것을 전철 ···· 도마·······························		
BlackBerry:	JZ4	and the second se	$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N}$
Unclass email: mlgree3			6
Unclass email. migrees	Jerisa.gov		\mathbf{h}
Sent: Thursday, May 2			
DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) Cc: NS	NSA-F1Y USA CIV; A-D23 USA CIV	NSA-D4 USA CIV; (ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Mediale NSA-S0121 USA CIV tion in Support of Media Leaks Act	/; NSA-D28 USA CIV;
DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) Cc: Subject: RE: (U) ACT Classification: U Marci, Confirmed with our Lit	DN1; DL OGC_MediaLeaks NSA-F1Y USA CIV; A-D23 USA CIV CON: Request for Informat NCLASSIFIED//FC	ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Mediale NSA-S0121 USA CIV ion in Support of Media Leaks Act OR OFFICIAL USE ONL	a <u>ks (ALIAS) D4</u> /;NSA-D28 USA CIV; tion
DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) Cc: NS Subject: RE: (U) ACTI Classification: U Marci, Confirmed with our Lit	DN1; DL OGC_MediaLeaks NSA-F1Y USA CIV; A-D23 USA CIV ON: Request for Informat NCLASSIFIED//FC	ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Mediale NSA-S0121 USA CIV ion in Support of Media Leaks Act OR OFFICIAL USE ONL	aks (ALIAS) D4 /;NSA-D28 USA CIV; tion Y
DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) Cc: NS Subject: RE: (U) ACT Classification: U Marci, Confirmed with our Lit organizational designa	DN1; DL OGC_MediaLeaks NSA-F1Y USA CIV; A-D23 USA CIV CON: Request for Informat NCLASSIFIED//FC igation practice group that tor, phone numbers and r	ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Mediale NSA-S0121 USA CIV ion in Support of Media Leaks Act OR OFFICIAL USE ONL at the copy provided is fine to pos room number.	aks (ALIAS) D4 /;NSA-D28 USA CIV; tion Y
DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) Cc: NS Subject: RE: (U) ACT Classification: U Marci, Confirmed with our Lit organizational designa Office Manager to the	DN1; DL OGC_MediaLeaks NSA-F1Y USA CIV; A-D23 USA CIV CON: Request for Informat NCLASSIFIED//FC igation practice group that tor, phone numbers and r Deputy General Counsels	ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Mediale NSA-S0121 USA CIV ion in Support of Media Leaks Act OR OFFICIAL USE ONL at the copy provided is fine to pos room number.	aks (ALIAS) D4 /;NSA-D28 USA CIV; tion Y
DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) Cc: NS Subject: RE: (U) ACT Classification: U Marci, Confirmed with our Lit organizational designa Office Manager to the Office of General Cour	DN1; DL OGC_MediaLeaks NSA-F1Y USA CIV; A-D23 USA CIV CON: Request for Informat NCLASSIFIED//FC igation practice group that tor, phone numbers and r Deputy General Counsels	ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Mediale NSA-S0121 USA CIV ion in Support of Media Leaks Act OR OFFICIAL USE ONL at the copy provided is fine to pos room number.	aks (ALIAS) D4 /;NSA-D28 USA CIV; tion Y
DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) Cc: NS Subject: RE: (U) ACT Classification: U Marci, Confirmed with our Lit organizational designa Office Manager to the Office of General Cour 963-5548,	DN1; DL OGC_MediaLeaks NSA-F1Y USA CIV; A-D23 USA CIV CON: Request for Informat NCLASSIFIED//FC igation practice group that tor, phone numbers and r Deputy General Counsels usel (D2)	ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Mediale NSA-S0121 USA CIV ion in Support of Media Leaks Act OR OFFICIAL USE ONL at the copy provided is fine to pos room number.	aks (ALIAS) D4 /;NSA-D28 USA CIV; tion Y
DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) Cc: NS Subject: RE: (U) ACT Classification: U Marci, Confirmed with our Lit organizational designa Office Manager to the Office of General Cour 963-5548, OPS2B 2B8140, Suite	DN1; DL OGC_MediaLeaks NSA-F1Y USA CIV; A-D23 USA CIV ON: Request for Informat NCLASSIFIED//FC igation practice group tha tor, phone numbers and r Deputy General Counsels isel (D2)	ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Mediale NSA-S0121 USA CIV ion in Support of Media Leaks Act OR OFFICIAL USE ONL at the copy provided is fine to pos room number.	aks (ALIAS) D4 /;NSA-D28 USA CIV; tion Y
DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) Cc: NS Subject: RE: (U) ACT Classification: U Marci, Confirmed with our Lit organizational designa Office Manager to the Office of General Cour 963-5548,	DN1; DL OGC_MediaLeaks NSA-F1Y USA CIV; A-D23 USA CIV ON: Request for Informat NCLASSIFIED//FC igation practice group tha tor, phone numbers and r Deputy General Counsels isel (D2)	ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Mediale NSA-S0121 USA CIV ion in Support of Media Leaks Act OR OFFICIAL USE ONL at the copy provided is fine to pos room number.	aks (ALIAS) D4 /;NSA-D28 USA CIV; tion Y
DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) Cc: NS Subject: RE: (U) ACT Classification: U Marci, Confirmed with our Lit organizational designa Office Manager to the Office of General Cour 963-5548, OPS2B 2B8140, Suite (U/ /FOUO) My Dropt	DN1; DL OGC_MediaLeaks NSA-F1Y USA CIV; A-D23 USA CIV CON: Request for Informat NCLASSIFIED//FC igation practice group that tor, phone numbers and r Deputy General Counsels usel (D2)	ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Mediale NSA-S0121 USA CIV ion in Support of Media Leaks Act OR OFFICIAL USE ONL at the copy provided is fine to pos room number.	aks (ALIAS) D4 /;NSA-D28 USA CIV; tion Y
DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) Cc: NS Subject: RE: (U) ACT Classification: U Marci, Confirmed with our Lit organizational designa Office Manager to the Office of General Cour 963-5548, OPS2B 2B8140, Suite (U/ FOUO) My Dropl From: Green Miller Ma	DN1; DL OGC_MediaLeaks NSA-F1Y USA CIV; A-D23 USA CIV CON: Request for Informat NCLASSIFIED//FC igation practice group that tor, phone numbers and r Deputy General Counsels isel (D2) 6250 DOX	ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Mediale NSA-S0121 USA CIV ion in Support of Media Leaks Act OR OFFICIAL USE ONL at the copy provided is fine to pos room number.	aks (ALIAS) D4 /;NSA-D28 USA CIV; tion Y
DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) Cc: NS Subject: RE: (U) ACT Classification: U Marci, Confirmed with our Lit organizational designa Office Manager to the Office of General Cour 963-5548, OPS2B 2B8140, Suite (U/ FOUO) My Dropt From: Green Miller Ma Sent: Thursday, May 2	DN1; DL OGC_MediaLeaks NSA-F1Y USA CIV; A-D23 USA CIV CON: Request for Informat NCLASSIFIED//FC igation practice group that tor, phone numbers and r Deputy General Counsels isel (D2) 6250 DOX	ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Mediale NSA-S0121 USA CIV NSA-S0121 USA CIV COR OFFICIAL USE ONLE At the copy provided is fine to pos room number.	aks (ALIAS) D4 /;NSA-D28 USA CIV; tion Y st on the website with OGC's
DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) Cc: NS Subject: RE: (U) ACT Classification: U Marci, Confirmed with our Lit organizational designa Office Manager to the Office of General Cour 963-5548, OPS2B 2B8140, Suite (U/ FOUO) My Dropt From: Green Miller Ma Sent: Thursday, May 2 To:	DN1; DL OGC_MediaLeaks NSA-F1Y USA CIV; A-D23 USA CIV CON: Request for Informat NCLASSIFIED//FC igation practice group that tor, phone numbers and r Deputy General Counsels isel (D2) 6250 DOX	ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Mediale NSA-S0121 USA CIV NSA-S0121 USA CIV COR OFFICIAL USE ONLE At the copy provided is fine to pos room number.	Action
DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) Cc: NS Subject: RE: (U) ACT Classification: U Marci, Confirmed with our Lit organizational designa Office Manager to the Office of General Cour 963-5548, OPS2B 2B8140, Suite (U/ FOUO) My Drople From: Green Miller Ma Sent: Thursday, May 2 To: PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN: Cc	DN1; DL OGC_MediaLeaks NSA-F1Y USA CIV; A-D23 USA CIV ON: Request for Informat NCLASSIFIED//FC igation practice group that tor, phone numbers and r Deputy General Counsels isel (D2) 6250 DOX inci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV 29, 2014 2:01 PM NSA-D4 USA CIV; L; DL OGC_MediaLeaks (A NSA-F1Y USA CIV;	ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Mediale NSA-S0121 USA CIV NSA-S0121 USA CIV COR OFFICIAL USE ONLE At the copy provided is fine to pos room number.	aks (ALIAS) D4 /;NSA-D28 USA CIV; tion

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

GC has provided approval to post the document as it was provided to PAO.

Marci

(U) Marci L. Green Miller NSA Public Affairs Office Personal: B / 969-7503 S PAO Office: 443-634-0721 B / 969-7499 S Media Line: 301-688-6524 BlackBerry: Unclass email: mlgree3@nsa.gov

From:	NSA-D4 USA CIV		
Sent:	Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:00 PM		
To: Gr	een Miller Marci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV;	NSA-D2 USA CIV;	NSA-DS4 USA CIV;
DL PAC	Media (ALIAS) DN1; DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIA	AS) D23; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4	The second se
Cc:	NSA-F1Y USA CIV;	NSA-S0121 USA CIV;	NSA-D28 USA CIV
Subje	ct: RE: (U) ACTION: Request for Information in	Support of Media Leaks Action	7

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Marci,

Suggest confirming with OGC that keeping OGC's organizational designator, phone numbers and room number unredacted is appropriate.

	(b) (3))-P.L. 86-36
(U/ /FOUO) -		
Training Brogram Managor		
Training Program Manager Office of the Director of Compliance, D4		8
OPS 2: 2B8054	- // // N	
Personal: 963-2510 (s), (b)	77 I V	
Office: 963-1705 (s), (b)		
DropBox: <u>http://urn.nsa.ic.gov/dropbox?</u>		
(U// FOUO)		
		N. Contraction of the second s
From: Green Miller Marci L NSA-DN1 USA CIV		
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:38 PM	La Lanca and a and a second	
To: NSA-D2 USA CIV	NSA-DS4 USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALI	AS) DN1; DL
OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIA		
Cc: NSA-F1Y USA CIV;	NSA-S0121 USA CIV;	NSA-D28 USA CIV
Subject: RE: (U) ACTION: Request for Information in Supp	ort of media leaks Action	

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

All,

We are going to post the email to nsa.gov and IC on the Record ASAP. Is there any issue with posting as it appears in the this attachment?

Please advise ASAP.

Marci

(U) Marci L. Green Miller NSA Public Affairs Office Personal: B / 969-7503 S PAO Office: 443-634-0721 B / 969-7499 S Media Line: 301-688-6524 BlackBerry: Unclass email: mlgree3@nsa.gov
From: NSA-D2 USA CIV Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:56 PM To: NSA-DS4 USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4 NSA-F1Y USA CIV; Cc: NSA-F1Y USA CIV; Subject: RE: (U) ACTION: Request for Information in Support of Media Leaks Action
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Attached is the email. Office Manager to the Deputy General Counsels
Office of General Counsel (D2) 963-5548, OPS2B 2B8140, Suite: 6250 (U //FOUO) <u>My Dropbox</u> From:NSA-DS4 USA CIV Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:12 PM To: DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; DL OGC_Medial eaks (ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4 Cc:NSA-DS4 USA CIVNSA-F1Y USA CIVNSA-S0121 USA CIV
CC: NSA-DS4 USA CIV: NSA-F1Y USA CIV NSA-S0121 USA CIV Subject: (U) ACTION: Request for Information in Support of Media Leaks Action Importance: High Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

All,

(U//FOUO) The Media Leaks Outreach team has been tasked to do a "fact check" of the 28 May 2014 NBC interview **transcript**. In the interview, Mr. Snowden stated that he sent emails to the OGC and Oversight and Compliance raising concerns about the NSA's interpretation of its legal authorities. Respectfully request a copy of the email. **We will not release the information without permission**.

Thanks,	and the second	an a	(b)(3)-P.L.	86-36
		and the second		
Deputy Chief, DS4		e75		
Detailed to the Media Lea	ks Task Force			
Room 2A1034	and a second			
963-7763s				
b				

PRIVACY SENSITIVE - any misuse or unauthorized access may lead to disciplinary action.

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/#FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/#FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DOCID: 4	272118	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
From: Sent: To:	Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:11 PM Rogers, Michael S ADM	
Cc:	PAOMedia: Ledgett, Richard H; Fleisch, Frances	J; Stone, Corin R; De, Rajesh;
Subject:	DL MLTF_core; Baumar FOLLOW-UP: PER YOUR REQUEST: Transcrip	n, Ethan; Bherman, David J

Sir,

Based on dialog with the White House the plan is now to have press spokesman Carney use the following material in his press brief:

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

The additive difference from what was provided below is the intent to release the e-mail. At this time NSA Media Leaks PAO is reaching out to NBC and media outlets which have inquired about Snowden's claim to notify them of our intent to share the text of the internal correspondence between Snowden and the OGC. It is the same benign e-mail that you and I just discussed. As I mention

	NSA's Leg Affairs office will be informed so
they can advise Senator Feinstein's office.	
Please advise if you have any questions/concerns.	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)
Regards,	
From:	
To: Rogers, Michael S ADM	DL PAOMedia; Ledgett,
Richard H; Fleisch, Frances J; Stone, Corin R; De, Rajesh; Subject: FOLLOW-UP: PER YOUR REQUEST: Transcript	DL MLTF_core
Sir,	
Reference the request from the White House, we just provided t	he following: (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
Did Snowden raise concerns with NSA as he said he	did?

We have found a single instance of one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The question was received and an answer was provided to Mr. Snowden. There was not additional follow-up noted. There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could

Approved for Release by NSA on 02-05-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for evidence of outreach from him in those areas and have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

--How do you plan to respond to the FOIA request?

We received the request from NBC and are following normal procedures for processing the request.

NOTHING FOLLOWS

From: Sent: Sent: Tuesclav. March 10, 2015 4:40 PM To: FW: NBC/email Subject: FW: NBC/email (b) (3) - P. L. 86-36
Deputy Associate General Counsel
Litigation Practice Group
Office of General Counsel
National Security Agency
Tel:
From: Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 1:15 PM To: Subject: Re: NBC/email
That's what I said. For what it is worth I was at the movies this morning. Enjoy the game.
From: Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 01:13 PM Eastern Standard Time To: Subject: Re: NBC/email (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (b) (5)
At Orioles game but can do more substantive analysis this evening.
From: (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 01:05 PM

Approved for Release by NSA on 02-05-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

Го: Subject: Fw: NBC/email	(b) (3)-P.L.
Subject: Fw: NBC/email	
	and the second
and the second	
From	
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 01:01 PM Eastern Standard Time	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
To: De, Rajesh	(b) (5)
Subject: Re: NBC/email	
have an idea	
have no idea. now at an appt	Am
	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
From: De, Rajesh	(b) (3)-F.H. 00-30
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 12:52 PM Eastern Standard Time	
Го:	\sim
Subject: Fw: NBC/email	(b) (6)
	\mathcal{X}
Mbu is dai usiahing in an aur ahlimtians undar arius undar	(b) (3)
Why is doj weighing in on our obligations under privacy act?	(D) (C) OGA
From	CDN
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 12:46 PM	
To De,	Rajesh; Vines, Vanee M;
Richards, Rebecca J	.gov' <dni-media@dni.gov>;</dni-media@dni.gov>
Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia	
	is dualizated in DOOID 4040477
Liext below I	is duplicated in DOCID 4249177

From: NSA-D2 USA CIV Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 2:44 PM To: Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV; Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA
CIV; NSA-DIVI USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1
Cc: De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV
Subject: RE: (U) PAO RESPONSE RE: (U) Item
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN
I don't have the email on the low side.
Principal Deputy General Counsel
Office of General Counsel
OPSB2B, Room 2B8140
u)/963-5548(s)
From: Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 2:43 PM
To: NSA-D2 USA CIV; Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; NSA-D28
USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1
Cc: De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV Subject: RE: (U) PAO RESPONSE RE: (U) Item
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN
Thanks, ma'am. I'll include DoJ.
May I have the e-mail on the Low Side, too?
Best,
vanee'
From: NSA-D2 USA CIV
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 2:30 PM
To: Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV; Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1
Cc: De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA
CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV
Subject: RE: (U) PAO RESPONSE RE: (U) Item
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN
Vanee,

For clarity, I am not suggesting cutting DOJ out of the coordination.

Approved for Release by NSA on 02-05-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
Principal Deputy General Counsel Office of General Counsel	
OPSB2B, Room 2B8140	
(u)/963 -5548(s)	
From: Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV	
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 2:29 PM	~
To: NSA-D2 USA CIV; Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1	8
Cc: De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA Subject: RE: (U) PAO RESPONSE RE: (U) Item	CIV
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	
Roger that, ma'am. I'll ask the NSC and ODNI on the High (and share the document now).	
Best,	
vanee'	
From: NSA-D2 USA CIV	
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 2:27 PM	
To: NSA-D2 USA CIV; Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS)	8
DN1	
Cc: De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV	
Subject: RE: (U) PAO RESPONSE RE: (U) Item	
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	
Vanee,	
Thanks for taking the call and agreeing to coordinate.	
Thanks for taking the call and agreeing to coordinate. I will still be here for a while if something comes up and you need me.	
	86-
I will still be here for a while if something comes up and you need me. (b)(3)-P.L Principal Deputy General Counsel	86-
I will still be here for a while if something comes up and you need me. (b)(3)-P.L	86-

From: NSA-D2 USA CIV
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 2:25 PM
To: Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV; Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1
USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1
Cc: De Raiesh_NSA-D2_USA_CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA_CIV; Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV; NSA-D2_USA_CIV
Subject: RE: (U) PAO RESPONSE RE: (U) Item
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN
Arlene,
Not clear why NSA OGC would coordinate the release. Seems like that is part of the normal PAO coordination process. Raj has signed off and understand that Stu Evans has as well on the low side.
coordination process. Kaj has signed on and understand that std evans has as well on the low side.
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Principal Deputy General Counsel
Office of General Counsel
OPSB2B, Room 2B8140
u)/963-5548(s)
From: Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 2:09 PM
To: NSA-D28 USA CIV; Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV; NSA-D
USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1
CcNSA-D2 USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV
Subject: (U) PAO RESPONSE RE: (U) Item
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN
PAO supports publicly releasing this information. Of course, DoJ, the WH and ODNI would also
need to approve. Several reporters continue to ask about this matter so we agree with Raj that we need
to get out in front of this one.
Can OGC please coordinate with DoJ, the WH and ODNI?
Thank you,
(U// Fouo)
Arlene / //
Arlene U. Grimes
Chief, NSA Media Leaks Public Affairs Office
Personal: 969-7505s / / /
PAO Office: 969-7499s /
Blackberry/external email:
After-hours media queries:

My dropbox (for large files):	
Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV Cc: NSA-D2 USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NS Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV Subject: RE: (U) Item	•
-Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUU-	
Vinee	
Doi would profer to get in front of this in t	ha baat wax
possible.	ne best way
	and the second se
Deputy General Counsel Litigation and Management NSA Office of General Counsel 963-5548 (secure) (unclassified)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION Not to be released without OGC approval	
Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV Cc: NSA-D2 USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NS Subject: RE: (U) Item Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	5A-DL USA CIV
	From NSA-D28 USA CIV Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 1:56 PM NSA-DNUSA CIV; To: Vines Vanee M NSA-DN USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; DL PAOMedia I C:: NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L N: Sherman David J Dr NSA-D1 USA CIV Subject: RE: (U) Item Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUG Vinee We defer to your judgment on this. Perhaps it is worth a phone call to indicate that whe surfaced we looked and could only find one inquiry to OGC and it consisted of a basic leg Deputy General Counsel Na yould prefer to get in front of this in t NSA Office of General Counsel Na yould prefer to get in front of this in t MSA Office of General Counsel PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION Not to be released without OGC approval PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION Not to be released without OGC approval NSA-D2 USA CIV; Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN Ma'am, PAO certainly defers to OGC and LAO regarding the propriety of a public release.

	Speaking strictly in terms of media relations, I wonder whether	
	Best, vanee'	//
	#	/
)(3)-P.L. 86-
)(5)
	From:NSA-D28 USA CIV Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 12:21 PM	and the second se
	To: Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV, NSA-D USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV	13
	Cc: NSA-D2 USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA (Subject: RE: (U) Item	CIŲ
		A second s
	Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ /FOUO-	a la ser en al ser en
	All,	and the second
		and the second second
	Depúty General Counsel	
	Litigation and Management NSA Office of General Counsel	
1//	_963-5548 (secure)	
	(unclassified)	
b)(3)-P.L. 86-3	36 ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION Not to be released without OGC approval	
	From: Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV	
	Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 11:18 AM To: NSA-D28 USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) E	DN1;
	Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV	27.2
	Cc: NSA-D2 USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA (Subject: RE: (U) Item	
	Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	

Thanks, ma'am.

If memory serves, DoJ was not in favor of a release in December. But I know that much has changed since then!

Best, vanee'
From: NSA-D28 USA CIV Sent: Friday, May 23, 2014 11:12 AM To: NSA-D USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV; Grimes: Arlene: UNSA-D USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA CIV; Grimes: Arlene: UNSA-D USA CIV; DE Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV Subject: FW: Item
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ /FOUO_
Deputy General Counsel
Litigation and Management
NSA Office of General Counsel
963-5548 (secure)
(unclassified)
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION Not to be released without OGC approval
From NSA-D22 USA CIV Sent: Friday. May 23. 2014 11:05 AM To: NSA-D28 USA CIV Subject: FW: (U) Item
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
This confirms that the HPSCI/SSCI and Minority/Majority House and Senate Leadership staff were provided a minimally redacted copy of the email (redactions of NSA personnel names).

Associate General Counsel (Legislation) Office of General Counsel 963-3208 OPS2B7112

****ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT//ATTO RNEY CLIENT** COMMUNICATION//PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION ******

Not to be released without OGC approval.

To: Lehner Jonathan NSA-D22 USA CIV Subject: (U)	
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFF	ICIAL USE ONLY
Jon –	
Attached is the e-mail and attachment that Ethan se and Senate Leadership staff.	ent. It went to HPSCI, SSCI, and House
Thanks.	
DL, Legislative Affairs Office OPS2B 7112, Suite 6282 963-3747 (s) (b)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
Please send large files to my Dropbox:	
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED// FOR-OFF	ICIAL USE ONLY Classified By: vmvine3 Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390501
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	

Dated: 20070108

Declassify On: 20390501

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ /FOUO	Classified By: augrime Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390501
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN-	Classified By: Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390501
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN-	- - Classified By: - Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 - Dated: 20070108 - Declassify On: 20390501
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	-Classified By: -Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390501
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN-	Classified By: vmvine3— Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52

- -Dated: 20070108-Declassify On: 20390501-

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

Classified By: Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390501

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN -

Classified By: mlgree3 Derived From. NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20400701

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Blacker Cindy S NSA-DJ4 USA CIV

From:	Rogers Michael S ADM NSA-D USA USN				
Sent:	Monday, July 14, 2014 6:28 PM				
To:	Sherman David J <u>Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV;</u> Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; Stone				
	Corin R USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV				
Cc:	D DIR STAFF; DL ddir_staff (ALIAS) S; DL EXDIR_STAFF (ALIAS) D; DL medialeaks_core				
	(ALIAS) D; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; Soule Trumbull D NSA-DC USA CIV; DL				
	cos_staff (ALIAS) DC; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; NSA-DL USA				
	CIV; Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; DL pao (ALIAS) DN3; Richards Rebecca J NSA-				
	D5 USA CIV; // NSA-D5 USA CIV; Delong John M NSA-D4 USA CIV;				
	NSA-D4 USA CIV; NSA-S02 USA CIV				
	NSA-S02 USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D2				
	USA CIV E NSA-D28 USA CIV; NSA-D23 USA CIV;				
	NSA-D28 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV; Kuntz Cheryl R				
	NSA-DJ USA CIV; Phillips Pamela N NSA-DJ4 USA CIV; Blaskowski Paul J NSA-DJ4 USA				
	CIV				
Subject:	RE: (U) Additional FOIA Requests for Snowden Email, Related Internal and External Deliberations				

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/# OR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Copy and keep me informed here please.

/r, MSR

ADM Michael S. Rogers, USN

Commander, U.S. Cyber Command	ł		
Director, NSA / Chief, CSS			
FT George G. Meade, MD			
NSA NET			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
SIPR:		parties the design of the design of the second s	
Comm			

From: Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV Sent: Monday, July 14, 2014 2:47 PM To: Rogers Michael S ADM NSA-D USA USN; Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV Cc: D DIR STAFF; DL ddir_staff (ALIAS) S; DL EXDIR_STAFF (ALIAS) D; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; Soule Trumbull D NSA-DC USA CIV; DL cos_staff (ALIAS) DC; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; NSA-DL USA CIV; Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; DL pao (ALIAS) DN3; Richards Rebecca J NSA-D5 USA CIV; NSA-D5 USA CIV; Delong John M NSA-D4 USA CIV; NSA-D4 USA CIV; NSA-S02 USA CIV: NSA-S02 USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV: NSA-D23 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV; Kuntz Cheryl R NSA-DJ USA CIV; Phillips Pamela N NSA-DJ4 USA NSA-D28 USA CIV; CIV; Blaskowski Paul J NSA-DJ4 USA CIV Subject: RE: (U) Additional FOIA Requests for Snowden Email, Related Internal and External Deliberations

1

Approved for	r Relea	se by	NSA on
02-05-2016.	FOIA 0	Case #	\$78137
DOCID: 4272122 Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUG

Sir,

(U//FOUO) We have provided a final response to all but one of the ~10 requests NSA received on this matter.

(U//FOUO) Most sought all email correspondence between Mr. Snowden and either OGC or OIG. We have responded by providing the one exchange known to exist in this regard and previously released to the public.

(U//FOUO) A few requested all of Mr. Snowden's email correspondence from either 2006 or 2009 to 2013. We have responded by indicating the complete set of Mr. Snowden's email are part of an ongoing investigation and cannot be released (a few media outlets are choosing to ignore that first part and instead say that we refuse to release them, which is a distortion of the facts). However, we did include the one email noted above and reaffirmed that we have found no other complaints to OGC or OIG.

(U//FOUO) The single outstanding request is a complex one seeking all of our exchanges with the Senator Feinstein, the SSCI, NBC, and other media outlets regarding Mr. Snowden's emails as well as our internal deliberations/talking points on the one released. This request has been added to our growing backlog and will wait its turn for further processing, the next step in which would be to task with searches for responsive documents. I cannot estimate a time when we get to it.

	and the second sec
Dave	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)
David J. Sherman	
Associate Director for Policy and Records	
National Security Agency	
Room 2A0858	
969-2850 (secure)	(3)-P.L. 86-36
(commercial)	
	111 1
	111 -
	- 111 ×
From: Rogers Michael S ADM NSA-D USA USN	
Sent: Monday, July 14, 2014 7:01 AM	
p: Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV; Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; S	tone Corin R USA CIV;
NSA-D USA CIV	
Cc: D DIR STAFF; DL ddir_staff (ALIAS) S; DL EXDIR_STAFF (ALIAS) D; DL media	leaks_core (ALIAS) D; Brooks Elizabeth
R NSA-DC USA CIV; Soule Trumbull D NSA-DC USA CIV; DL cos_staff (ALIAS) DC;	
NSA-DL USA CIV; Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; DL pao (ALI/	
USA CIV; NSA-D5 USA CIV: Delong John M NSA-D4 USA CIV;	NSA-D4 USA <u>CIV;</u>
	e Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV;
NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV;	NSA-D23 USA CIV;
NSA-D28 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV	
Subject: RE: (U) Additional FOIA Requests for Snowden Email, Related Internal a	nd External Deliberations

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//F OR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

David:

Where are we on this one?

/r, MSR

ADM Michael S. Rogers, USN Commander, U.S. Cyber Command Director, NSA / Chief, CSS FT George G. Meade, MD NSA NET SIPR:
From: Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2014 4:51 PM
To: Rogers Michael S ADM NSA-unknown USA USN; Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV;
NSA-D USA CIV
CC: D DIR STAFF; DL ddir_staff (ALIAS) S; DL EXDIR_STAFF (ALIAS) D; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; Brooks Elizabeth
R NSA-DC USA CIV; Soule Trumbull D NSA-DC USA CIV; DL cos_staff (ALIAS) DC; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV;
NSA-DL USA CIV; Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; DL pao (ALIAS) DN3; Richards Rebecca J NSA-D5
USA CIV;NSA-D5 USA CIV; Delong John M NSA-D4 USA CIV;NSA-D4 USA CIV;NSA-D4 USA CIV;
NSA-S02 USA CIV; NSA-S02 USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV:
NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV; NSA-D23 USA CIV;
NSA-D28 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV
Subject: (U) Additional FOIA Requests for Snowden Email, Related Internal and External Deliberations
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ /FOUC-
INFORMATION ONLY; NO ACTION REQUIRED
ADM Rogers, Rick, Corin, and

(U//FOUO) Since Brian Williams' interview with Mr. Snowden ran last week, we have received a series of requests under the Freedom of Information Act from major media outlets seeking additional information about the latter's email activities during his period of association with the agency.

(U//FOUO) Many of these simply repeat NBC's request for correspondence between Mr. Snowden and OGC. We will respond by providing the requesters with the email released publically last week.

(U//FOUO) However, we also have a separate set of requests which collectively are seeking the following:

- All of Mr. Snowden's classified and unclassified email from either 2006 or 2009 to 2013;
- Information describing how NSA searched for purported emails which Mr. Snowden claims document his concerns about our operations and practices;
- Our exchanges with NBC News regarding Mr. Williams' interview with Mr. Snowden;
- Any and all media inquiries to us regarding purported emails involving Mr. Snowden and our responses to these inquires;
- Our exchanges on this matter with the SSCI and particularly Senator Feinstein;
- · Our internal deliberations regarding the release of the email made public last week; and
- Talking points prepared in connection with that release.

(U//HOUO) These requests were made by *The Washington Post*, al-Jazeera, Business Insider (a website specializing in business news which has run occasional stories on NSA), The Examiner (which I would characterize as a non-traditional "citizen media" site), and an independent journalist who has published in various outlets but most recently in *The Guardian*.

(U//FOUO) As requests for Mr. Snowden's emails more generally potentially involve information associated with an ongoing investigation, OGC and Security will be consulted regarding the appropriate course of action in responding to them.

(U//FOUO) also will discuss with OGC how to address the requests enumerated in the above bullets. Responses to them would require considerable assistance from OGC, PAO, MLTF, LAO, and others. I do not expect a quick turnaround on any of the requests.

(U//FOUO) Separately, I regret that we have received some unfavorable media coverage (attached) regarding a series of internal emails released under FOIA last week dealing with our initial response last June to a surge of requests from individuals seeking records of NSA surveillance directed against them.

(U//FOUO) We GLOMAR (i.e. neither confirm nor deny we have such records) all such individual requests as to respond in one instance – say, to tell your typical law-abiding citizen that we understandably have no surveillance records on him or her -- would require us for reasons of equitable treatment to respond similarly in all cases and thus enable an actual or potential foreign intelligence target, i.e. a terrorist, to file a FOIA request and receive confirmation as to whether he or she is a subject of surveillance or has successfully evaded it. We now include this explanation in our GLOMAR response to individuals seeking records on themselves in order to provide them with the reasons for our position. However, by focusing on our internal back-and-forth as we worked through this issue – which also needed to be heavily redacted to protect classified information -- *The Guardian* does not report the outcome of that discussion, which was to provide the public more information in the form of a reasoned explanation of the rationale why we cannot be more forthcoming.

Dave

<< File: Surge in FOIA Requests.pdf >>	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
David J. Sherman Associate Director for Policy and Records National Security Agency Room 2A0858 969-2850 (secure) (commercial)	
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ /FOUO-	
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED#FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ /FOUO-	
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ /F OR OFFICIAL USE ONLY -	

From:	Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN_USA_CIV
To:	Rogers Michael S ADM NSA-unknown USA USN; Ledgett Richard H Jr. NSA-D USA CIV; Fleisch Frances J NSA-D
	USA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; Shea Teresa H NSA-S USA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV;
	NSA-S USA USN; Plunkett Debora A NSA-I USA CIV NSA-V USA CIV;
	NSA-V USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; Delong John M NSA-D4
	USA CIV;
	USA CIV: NSA-DP_USA CIV: NSA-DP_USA CIV: Richards Rebecca J NSA-D5
	USA CIV: NSA-D5 USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; // NSA-D28 USA
	CIV; NSA-D21_USA_CIV; Ensor Leoinel K_NSA-O_USA_CIV; // USA-K_USA_CIV;
	NSA-K USA CIV; NSA-C USA CIV; NSA-C USA CIV;
	USA CIV; NSA-T USA CIV; SA-D USA CIV
Cc:	NSA-D2_USA CIV; DL medialeaks core (ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1;
	NSA-03 USA CIV; NSA-031 USA CIV; NSA-031 USA CIV;
	NSA-D USA CIV
Subject:	(U) INFORMATION ONLY: Update re: Post NBC Follow-Up
Date:	Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:09:05 PM
Attachments:	Snowden USSID 18 Redacted.pdf

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

TO: **Admiral Rogers** DDIR **EXDIR** CoS ADS&CI GC LAO SID IAD MLTF NTOC NSOC ODOC CLPO FAD NCSC TD

(U//FOUO) I wanted to provide you with a quick update regarding the actions we've taken today. An interagency decision was made to release the redacted email (attached) and the following press statement, both of which will be posted to IC ON THE RECORD and nsa.gov shortly.

BEGIN STATEMENT

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

###

(U//FOUO) We've provided responses to the following media outlets that have submitted queries thus far this afternoon: ABC, BNO News, The Hill, Huffington Post, Mother Jones, National Journal, NBC, Reuters, U. S. News and World Report, Washington Business Journal, Washington Post, and Wall Street Journal.

Thank you,
(U/ /FOUO)
Arlene
Arlene U. Grimes
Chief, NSA Media Leaks Public Affairs Office
2B5053
Personal: 969-7505s /
PAO Office: 969-7499s /
Blackberry/external email:
After-hours media queries:
My dropbox (for large files):
From: Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:16 AM To: Rogers Michael S ADM NSA-unknown USA USN; Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; Stone Corin R USA CIV; Shea Teresa H NSA-S USA CIV; NSA-S USA CIV; NSA-S USA CIV; NSA-V USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D4 USA CIV; NSA-D4 USA CIV;
Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; NSA-DL USA CIV; NSA-DP USA CIV; NSA-DP USA CIV; NSA-DP USA CIV; NSA-D5 USA
CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV; NSA-D21
USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV; NSA-K USA CIV;
NSA-K USA CIV;NSA-C USA CIV;NSA-C USA CIV;
NSA-T USA CIV; NSA-T USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV
Cc. NSA-D2 USA CIV; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; NSA-Q3 USA CIV; NSA-Q31 USA CIV; NSA-Q31

Subject: (U) INFORMATION ONLY: Post NBC Follow-Up

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR-OFFICIAL-USE ONLY-

TO: Admiral Rogers DDIR EXDIR CoS

ADS&CI

GC LAO SID IAD MLTF NTOC NSOC ODOC CLPO FAD NCSC TD

(U//FOUO)I wanted to give you a quick perspective about actions following last night's NBC interview with Edward Snowden so that you and your leadership teams have information to share with your respective work force.

(U//FOUO) NSA was not made aware of this story by NBC until commercials began running late last week. NSA personnel were engaged through the weekend with the ODNI and the National Security Council Staff to see what we could learn about the focus of the planned program. We received a few fact-check inquiries from the network, but they provided no discernible insight and requests by us for more information went unanswered. The inquiries seemed more about solidifying their story, and given the lack of prior engagement with the government about the interview, an interagency decision was made to not comment prior to last night's airing.

(U//FOUO) As the story aired and overnight there has been an active dialog between and amongst multiple agencies, the National Security Council Staff and the ODNI Public Affairs. This morning we will be focused on a detailed fact-check of the transcript and interagency engagement about possible follow-ups today. Thus far, related media inquiries have been light, but we expect that may change later in the morning. One area of current focus is whether or not to rebut Snowden's claim, which he has made before, that he had expressed his concerns about NSA activity to officials on multiple occasions. A question and answer set on that issue will be developed today and various stakeholders—particularly the Department of Justice—will be consulted to determine the downstream implications of any actions in this regard.

(U//FOUO) We will endeavor to keep senior agency leadership informed on key developments.

Thank you,		
(U //FOUO) -		
Arlene		
Arlene U. Grimes Chief, NSA Media Leaks Public Affairs 2B5053 Personal: 969-7505s / PAO Office: 969-7499s / Blackberry/external email:	Office	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

After-hours media queries:	
My dropbox (for large files):	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY-

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR-OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Original Message	
From:	
Sent: Monday, April 08, 2013 1:37 PM	
To: Snowden Edward J NSA-FHV USA CTR	
Cc:	

Subject: RE: (U) Question for OGC re OVSC1800 Course Content - (U) FW: Comments from the D2 General Counsel Email Us Form

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Hello Ed,

Executive Orders (E.O.s) have the "force and effect of law." That said, you are correct that E.O.s cannot override a statute.

In general, DOD and ODNI regulations are afforded similar precedence though subject matter or date could result in one having precedence over another.

Please give me a call if you would like to discuss further.

Regards,

Office of General Counsel/D21 963-3121 NSTS/(301) 688-5015 Commercial OPS 2B, 2B8134, Suite 6250 -----Original Message-----From: ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov [mailto:ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov] Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 4:11 PM To: DL gc web (ALIAS) D2 Cc: Snowden Edward J NSA-FHV USA CTR Subject: Comments from the D2 General Counsel Email Us Form Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY___ SID: ejsnowd fullname: Ed Snowden Org: FHV Affiliation: Contractor Secure Phone: N/A Message: Hello, I have a question regarding the mandatory USSID 18 training.

The training states the following:

(U) The Hierarchy of Governing Authorities and Documents is displayed from the highest authority to the lowest authority as follows: U.S. Constitution Federal Statutes/Presidential Executive Orders (EO) Department of Defense (DoD) and Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) Regulations NSA/CSS Directives and Policies USSIDs SID Management Directives and Policies Office Policies

I'm not entirely certain, but this does not seem correct, as it seems to imply Executive Orders have the same precedence as law. My understanding is that EOs may be superseded by federal statute, but EOs may not override statute. Am I incorrect in this? Between EOs and laws, which have precedence?

Similarly, between DOD and ODNI regulations, which has greater precedence?

Could you please clarify?

Thank you very much,

Ed

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//F0U0

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR-OFFICIAL_USE_ONLY__

			(b)(3)-P.L
From:	······································		
Sent:	Saturday, May 24, 3	2014 1:11 PM	
To:	De, Rajesh;	- and the second s	
Cc:	Ronald S; DL PAO	Ensor, Leoinel K; Media: Grimes, Arlene U;	Moultrie
	a be a second		
Subject:	Reply: E-mail Relea	ase	
I've just	been added to this th	read so I apologize if I am going over old	ground.
			- 1397
			J.
			and the second
			and the second second
Rai if vo	u are looking for 100	% assurance there isn't possibly any corre	spondence that
		ye you that. If you asked me if I think we'r	
		ul searches I would say "yes" and would put	
	the e-mail as the on	ly thing we've found that has any relation	ship to his
allegation.	and a second form	and any an an array of the	
		truth telling we should be prepared that I	
	w how that will end).	he sent them. The burden then falls to us	to prove ne
	w now char will end).		
			25 B
1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	*******	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)	
and the state of t	na na ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana a	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)	19 - Al
From:			18 B)
From: Saturday,	May 24, 2014 09:55 A	(b)(5)	18 - A2
Sent: Saturday,	May 24, 2014 09:55 A		е ы К.,
	May 24, 2014 09:55 A	(b)(5)	2 B 2 4
Sent: Saturday, To:		(b)(5)	
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc:		(b)(5)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc:		(b)(5)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc:	C/email	(b)(5)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB	C/email	(b)(5)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB	C/email	(b)(5)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB see belo From: De, Rajes	C/email w.	(b)(5) M Eastern Standard Time	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB see belo From: De, Rajes Sent: Saturday,	C/email w.	(b)(5)	
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB see belo From: De, Rajes Sent: Saturday, To:	C/email w.	(b)(5) M Eastern Standard Time) (b)(3)
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB see belo From: De, Rajes Sent: Saturday, To: Cc:	C/email W h May 24, 2014 09:40 Ai	(b)(5) M Eastern Standard Time	(b)(3) OGA
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB see belo From: De, Rajes Sent: Saturday, To:	C/email W h May 24, 2014 09:40 Ai	(b)(5) M Eastern Standard Time	(b)(3) OGA
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB see belo From: De, Rajes Sent: Saturday, To: Cc:	C/email W h May 24, 2014 09:40 Ai	(b)(5) M Eastern Standard Time	(b)(3) OGA
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB see belo From: De, Rajes Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB	C/email w h May 24, 2014 09:40 A/ C/email	(b)(5) M Eastern Standard Time M Eastern Standard Time (b)(6)	(b)(3) OGA DDM
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB see belo From: De, Rajes Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB I need very sen	C/email w h May 24, 2014 09:40 A C/email ior confirmation (Kem	(b)(5) M Eastern Standard Time M Eastern Standard Time (b)(6) p/Moultrie (b)(6)	(b)(3) OGA DDN
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB see belo From: De, Rajes Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB I need very sen	C/email w h May 24, 2014 09:40 A/ C/email	(b)(5) M Eastern Standard Time M Eastern Standard Time (b)(6) p/Moultrie (b)(6)	(b)(3) OGA DDN
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB see belo From: De, Rajes Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB I need very sen	C/email w h May 24, 2014 09:40 A C/email ior confirmation (Kem	(b)(5) M Eastern Standard Time M Eastern Standard Time (b)(6) p/Moultrie (b)(6)	(b)(3) OGA DDN
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB see belo From: De, Rajes Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB I need very sen to ensure there	C/email w h May 24, 2014 09:40 A C/email C/email ior confirmation (Kem are no other emails	(b)(5) M Eastern Standard Time M Eastern Standard Time (b)(6) p/Moultrie (b)(6)	(b)(3) OGA DDN
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB see belo From: De, Rajes Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB I need very sen to ensure there From: Evans, St	C/email w h May 24, 2014 09:40 A/ C/email ior confirmation (Kem are no other emails - uart [mailto	(b)(5) M Eastern Standard Time M Eastern Standard Time (b)(6) mp/Moultrie) that all possible steps has from S to OGC.	(b)(3) OGA DDM
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB see belo From: De, Rajes Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB I need very sen to ensure there From: Evans, St Sent: Saturday,	C/email w h May 24, 2014 09:40 A C/email ior confirmation (Kem are no other emails - uart [mailto May 24, 2014 09:25 A	(b)(5) M Eastern Standard Time M Eastern Standard Time (b)(6) mp/Moultrie (b)(6) from S to OGC.	(b)(3) OGA ODM ave been taken
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB see belo From: De, Rajes Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB I need very sen to ensure there From: Evans, St	C/email w h May 24, 2014 09:40 A C/email ior confirmation (Kem are no other emails uart [mailto May 24, 2014 09:25 A	(b)(5) M Eastern Standard Time M Eastern Standard Time (b)(6) mp/Moultrie) that all possible steps has from S to OGC.	(b)(3) OGA ODM ave been taken
Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB see belo From: De, Rajes Sent: Saturday, To: Cc: Subject: Fw: NB I need very sen to ensure there From: Evans, St Sent: Saturday,	C/email w h May 24, 2014 09:40 A C/email ior confirmation (Kem are no other emails uart [mailto May 24, 2014 09:25 A	(b)(5) M Eastern Standard Time M Eastern Standard Time (b)(6) mp/Moultrie (b)(6) from S to OGC.	(b)(3) OGA ODM ave been taken

Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia	De, Rajesh	(h)(0)
Subject: Re: NBC/email	X	(b)(3) OGA
	(b)(6)	ODNI
+ Raj (Caitlin's note is below).		
		1
	1	(b)(3)-P 86-36
From: Havden. Caitlin		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Sent: Saturday May 24 2014 00:20 AM		~/~
Sent: Saturday May 24 2014 09:20 AM	Beaghlev , Sina: Evans, Stuart;	'Robert Litt' Text below is
Media@dni.gov>;	Beaghlev , Sina: Evans, Stuart; DNI-Med	~/~
Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 09:20 AM To: 'Vines, Vanee M'		'Robert Litt' Text below is

	NSA-D28 USA CIV
From:	NSA-S3M2 USA CIV
Sent:	Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:39 AM
To:	NSA-O USA CIV; DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ODOC_Medialeaks
	(ALIAS) D4; NSA <u>-T USA CIV</u>
Cc:	DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; NSA-D23 USA CIV; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1;
	DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; DL Q_REGISTRY; T NSA-Q31 USA CIV
Subject:	RE: (U) ACTION: Snowden's Claims of Voicing Concerns
Importance:	High
Classification:	UNCLASSIFIED#FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Thanks for th	e reminder! ③ (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
To ALL,	

I forgot to include a suspense.

We need your POC right away so that we can talk/horse trade/and write against these questions today.

Jay Carney (White House spokesman) is going in front of the press at 1230 today...he will get the standard fair that we have used over the past few months...we can't possibly pass anything useful to him by that time.

ADM Rogers has scheduled a update on the NBC interview in his office tomorrow at 0900. Our info will be used there as well.

So...we need to have our input written and ready by 1400 today.

Thanks!

Rgds,	
Media Leaks Task Force	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
OPS 2B, 2B8090	and a second
969-5009 (s)	
(u)	
	and the second se
From:	NSA-Q USA CIV
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2	

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

C <u>TD: 4</u> 2	<u>29</u> 3882	(h)/2) D
То:	J NSA-S3M2 USA CIV	(b)(3)-P
Subject: RE: (U	J) ACTION: Snowden's Claims of Voicing Concerns	
3 5 8 3		
—		
- suspense?		
suspense.		
ADSRCIChiefe	of Straff	
ADS&CI Chief a		
968-6000(s);		
From:	NSA-S3M2 USA CIV	
10 North Contraction of the second	, May 29, 2014 11:25 AM	
To:	NSA-Q USA CIV; DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4	
NSA-T USA CIV		
	djaLeaks (ALIAS) D23 NSA-D23 USA CIV; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS)	
DL Q_REGISTRY		, 0.111,
	CTION: Snowden's Claims of Voicing Concerns	
Importance: H		
Importance: I	[Text below is duplicated in DOCID 424	1020
	LIEXT DEIDWIS duplicated III DOCID 423	4921



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78121 9 June 2014

MATTHEW J WIECEK

(b)(6)

Dear Mr. Wiecek:

This is an initial response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request dated 29 May 2014, which was received by this office on 30 May 2014, for "Any and all correspondence from Edward Snowden to any entity within the NSA in which he notes his concern about the scope and/or legality of a US surveillance program. I would also like a copy of the response he received, if any." This letter acknowledges that we have received your request and provides some administrative information. Your request has been assigned Case Number 78121. Due to a significant increase in the number of requests being received by this Agency, we are experiencing delays in processing. We will begin to process your request and will respond to you again as soon as we are able.

Correspondence related to your request should include the case number assigned to your request, which is included in the first paragraph of this letter. Your letter should be addressed to National Security Agency, FOIA Office (DJ4), 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Ft. George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248 or may be sent by facsimile to 443-479-3612. If sent by fax, it should be marked for the attention of the FOIA office. The telephone number of the FOIA office is 301-688-6527.

Sincerely,

Chris H.

FOIA Customer Representative



NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-6000

> FOIA Case: 78121A 1 July 2014

> > (b)(6)

MATTHEW J WIECEK

Dear Mr. Wiecek:

This responds to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of 29 May 2014, which was received by this office on 30 May 2014, for "Any and all correspondence from Edward Snowden to any entity within the NSA in which he notes his concern about the scope and/or legality of a US surveillance program. I would also like a copy of the response he received, if any." For purposes of this request and based on the information you provided in your letter, you are considered an "all other" requester. There are no assessable fees for this request.

Your request has been processed under the provisions of the FOIA. A thorough search of our files was conducted, but there are no documents indicating that Mr. Snowden contacted agency officials to raise concerns about NSA programs.

The Initial Denial Authority for NSA information is the Associate Director for Policy and Records, David J. Sherman. The fact that we were unable to locate records responsive to your request may be considered by you as an adverse determination, and you are hereby advised of this Agency's appeal procedures. Any person notified of an adverse determination may file an appeal to the NSA/CSS Freedom of Information Act Appeal Authority. The appeal must be postmarked no later than 60 calendar days after the date of the initial denial letter. The appeal shall be in writing addressed to the NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority (DJ4), National Security Agency, 9800 Savage Road STE 6248, Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755-6248. To aid in processing the appeal, it should reference the inability of the Agency to locate the records you seek, in sufficient detail and particularity, and the grounds upon which you believe this Agency maintains such records. The NSA/CSS FOIA Appeal Authority will endeavor to respond to the appeal within 20 working days after receipt, absent unusual circumstances.

As you may be aware, one document was located that shows that Mr. Snowden raised questions about the agency's interpretation of NSA's legal authorities. Although it is not responsive to your request since it does not discuss NSA programs or raise concerns about NSA programs, we are providing the document to you as a courtesy as it was previously released. The document can also be found posted on the icontherecord.tumblr.com website, as well as on NSA.gov.

Sincerely,

PAMELA N. PHILLIPS Chief FOIA/PA Office

Encl: a/s

DOCID: 4294457 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

FOIA Case: 78071

M/R: (U) Final/ Partial Denial

(U) This letter further responds to Mr. Matthew Cole's FOIA request of 23 May 2014, received in the FOIA office on 27 May 2014, for "Any and all emails, documents or any other form of communication sent by or from EDWARD SNOWDEN to the Office of General Counsel, or equivalent, or to any lawyer or legal office within the agency during the years 2012 and 2013, as well as any communication in response to Mr. Snowden."

(U) For the purposes of this request, and based on the information provided by the requester, he is considered a representative of the media. The requester states that he is affiliated with NBC News. A search of their website confirms that Mr. Cole is a current writer for NBC. NOTE: Mr. Cole has also posted an article, dated 29 May 2014, titled "Paper Trail? NSA Releases Email Snowden Sent to Agency Officials."

(U/ /FOUO)	
(U/ /FOUO)	

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DOCID: 4294457 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOIA Case: 78071

(U) We provide the requester with 1 document (2 pages) with a Partial Denial response, explaining the exemptions cited and providing NSA appeal rights. (U//FOUO) and Cindy Blacker, DJ41, 966-4073, 29 May 2014 (U) Paul Blaskowski, DJ4, 966-4072, 29 May 2014 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

DOCID: 4294458 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)	FOIA Case: 77440
	M/R: (U) FINAL RESPONSE - NO RECORDS
	(U/ /FOUO) The attached letter responds to Mr. Jason Leopold's FOIA request of 8 April 2014 for "any and all emails written by former NSA contractor Edward Snowden in which Mr. Snowden contacted agency officials through email to raise concerns about NSA programs."
	(U/ /FOUO)
	(U/ /FOUO)
	UNCLASSIFIED/ /FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOIA Case: 77440A

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

FOIA Case: 77440A

DOCID: 4294458 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



(U) The requester is advised that he is considered a "representative of the media". We inform him that there are no assessable fees for this request. We explain that there are no responsive records relating to concerns about NSA programs, and provide appeal rights. We do advise that there is one email between Mr. Snowden and the OGC that raises questions about NSA's interpretations of legal authorities and advise that we are providing it as a courtesy, although it is not responsive to his request. We enclose a copy of the document (2 pages) which was located by OGC and previously released in Case 78071. The requester is informed that this document has also been made publicly available and can be found at <u>www.odni.gov</u> as well as on the IC on the Record website (IContheRecord.tumblr.com). Finally, appeal rights are provided for the "no record" response.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(U//FOUO) DJ41, 966-4078, 10 April 2014 (U) Cindy Blacker – 10 April 2014 and 29 May 2014

DOCID: 4294458 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOIA Case: 77440A

(U) Cindy Blacker – 5 June 2014

(U) pnphill 6/5/14 (pending meeting and OGC concurrence) Updated 6/23/14

DOCID: 4294459 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

FOIA Case: 78104

M/R: (U) FINAL/PARTIAL DENIAL

(U) The attached letter responds to Mr. Paul S Szoldra's FOIA request of 29 May 2014, for "Any and all emails, letters, records, or other correspondence sent by Edward J. Snowden to the National Security Agency Office of General Counsel. I also request any and all emails, letters, records, or other correspondence sent in response to his queries."



UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	UNCLASSIFIED//FUR OFFICIAL USE ONDI		
(b)(5)	FOIA Case: 78104		
	(U/ //FOUO)		
and the second se	(U/ /FOUO)		
_	(U) The requester is advised that he is considered an "all other" requester. We inform him that there are no assessable fees for this request. We enclose a copy of the document (2 pages) which was located by OGC and previously released in Case 78071. A Partial Denial response is provided, explaining the exemptions cited. The requester is informed that this document has also been made publicly available and can be		

found at www.odni.gov, on the IC on the Record website (IContheRecord.tumblr.com), and on NSA.gov. Finally, appeal rights are provided for the cited redactions.

Sec. 19
 N
 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

DOCID: 4294461 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

M/R: (U) GRANTED-IN-FULL (publically available)

(U) The attached letter responds to David A. Garrett's FOIA request of 29 May 2014, for a copy of an e-mail from Edward Snowden to the NSA's Office of the General Counsel, which has recently been mentioned in the press.

(U) Mr. Garrett shows an affiliate of "Examiner .com," which is a blog media outlet that anyone can join. Per the web site, "Examiner.com is fully powered by Examiners, thousands of writers who are self-motivated independent contributors. Each Examiner is able to express through words and photos a deep expertise in a topic." To join this web site, the person should be "credible, passionate and knowledgeable subject matter expert." As Mr. Garrett did not provide any evidence as such, and because there are no fees for this request, we are not addressing his fee category. The "all other" category was selected in CTS for admin purposes. For case 74230 shown below, he was given "media" status, but for any future requests, his category should be fully investigated; he may need to provide evidence of his "deep expertise in a topic."

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DOCID: 4294461 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Г

FOIA Case: 78107

	address. Finally, we inform him that
(U/ /FOUO) DJ41, 966-40	076s, 6 June 2014
	and and a second and
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

DOCID: 4294462 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

FOIA Case: 78111

M/R: (U) **NO RECORDS**

(U) The attached letter responds to Matthew Keys's FOIA request of 29 May 2014, for "any and all e-mails sent by Edward J Snowden using the e-mail address <u>ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov</u> to any and all National Security Agency officials, including officials at the Office of General counsel, for the time period between January 1, 2014 and April 1, 2014." Per information in his request, he is placed in the "all other" fee category. The referenced e-mail account is to NSA internal (NSANet) e-mail.

 (U/\overline{FOUO}) We inform Mr. Keys of his "all other" fee category, and that there are no assessable fees for his request. We inform him that we confirmed with the appropriate office (Security) that because Mr. Snowden ceased to be affiliated with NSA in June 2013, there are no records responsive to his request since he did not have an active NSA email account after that time. Finally, we provide our appeal procedures.



UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DOCID: 4294464 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b)(5)

FOIA Case: 78113

M/R: (U) FINAL RESPONSE - PARTIAL DENIAL OF DOC AND FULL DENIAL OF REMAINING EMAILS

(U//FOUO) This letter responds to Mr. Paul Szoldra's request of 29 May 2014, for "any and all email correspondence sent and received by Edward J. Snowden, an intelligence contractor working for the National Security Agency, date range approximately from Jan. 2006 to Mar. 2013. He used the following email address: <u>ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov</u>. He also may have used these email addresses as well: <u>ejsnowd@nsa.gov</u>, <u>edward.j.snowden@nsa.ic.gov</u>."

U/ /FOI	HON	
(U/ /FOI	JO)	
(U/ /FOI	JO)	

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



DOCID: 4294464 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

FOIA Case: 78113

(U/FOUO) The requester is advised of his all other fee category and that there are no assessable fees for this request. Since there are no fees associated with this request, we explain that we are not addressing his request for a fee waiver. Appeal rights are provided for any denial (no records of complaints; redactions in the released Snowden email if responsive to the request; and/or full denial of all other Snowden emails.



(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

DOCID: 4294466 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

FOIA Case: 78119

M/R: (U) FINAL/PARTIAL DENIAL

(U) The attached letter responds to Mr. Gregory T. Korte's FOIA request of 29 May 2014, for "Any and all communications to and from Edward Snowden to the National Security Agency's Office of General Counsel. This request includes communications, in whatever format, and does not have a time limit." Mr. Korte advises that he is a journalist employed with USA Today, and also seeks expedite processing. A review of the News Media Yellow Book confirmed that he is a reporter with USA Today.

1	A No.	
	(U/ /FOUO)	
and the second		
a contract		
محمدين		
and services		
Contraction of		
California de la compañía de la comp		
and define		
	$\langle \cdot \rangle$	
	(U //FOUO)	
	(U/ //FOUO)	
Г	(0//1000)	

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOIA Case: 78119

(U) The requester is advised that he is considered a "representative of the media". We inform him that there are no assessable fees for this request; therefore, we did not address his request for a fee waiver. Since this letter fully responds to Mr. Korte's request, we do not address the request expedited processing. We enclose a copy of the document (2 pages) which was located by OGC and previously released in Case 78071. A Partial Denial response is provided, explaining the exemptions cited. The requester is informed that this document has also been made publicly available and can be found at <u>www.odni.gov</u> as well as on the IC on the Record website (IContheRecord.tumblr.com). Finally, appeal rights are provided for the cited redactions.

(U/ /FOUO)	DJ41 966-4075, 2 June 2014
(U) Cindy Blacker	
(U) pnphill 6/3/14	
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)
DOCID: 4294467 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

M/R: (U) FINAL RESPONSE/NO RECORDS

(U//FOUO) The attached letter responds to Mr. MATTHEW J WIECEK's FOIA request of 29 May 2014 for "Any and all correspondence from Edward Snowden to any entity within the NSA in which he notes his concern about the scope and/or legality of a US surveillance program. I would also like a copy of the response he received, if any."

(U) For the purposes of this request, and based on the information provided by the requester, he has been placed in the "all other fee category. Since there are no documents responsive to this request, no fees were assessed.

(U/ /FOUO)
(U //FOUO)
UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DOCID: 4294467 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)	FOIA Case: 78121A
(U/ /FOUO)	
(U/ /FOUO)	

(U/FOUO)-The requester is advised of his "all other" fee category and that there are no assessable fees for this request. Appeal rights are provided along with our "no records" response.



UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

FOIA Case: 78115A

M/R: (U) FINAL RESPONSE - PARTIAL DENIAL OF DOC AND FULL DENIAL OF REMAINING EMAILS

(U//FOUO) The attached letter responds to Mr. Evan Hill's FOIA request of 29 May 2014 for "Copies of any and all emails or messages sent to or from the following National Security Agency e-mail address: ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov."

(U) Mr. Hill, who is affiliated with Al Jazeera America was granted expedited processing on 6 June 2014. We also advised him that he was considered a media requester and that there were no assessable fees for his request.

(U/ /FOUO)	
(U/ /FOUO)	
	and the second second

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DOCID: 4294469 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



DOCID: 4294469 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOIA Case: 78115A

(U//FOUO) Appeal rights are provided for the denials (redactions in the released Snowden email and full denial of all other Snowden emails).

(U//FOUO) Pamela N. Phillips, Ch/DJ4, 966-4071, 6/26/14

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

DOCID: 4294470 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5) FOIA Case: 78114

M/R: (U) FINAL RESPONSE – PARTIAL DENIAL OF DOC AND FULL DENIAL OF REMAINING EMAILS

(U//FOUO) This letter responds to Ms. Rosie Gray's request of 29 May 2014, for "any and all email communications to or from the email address <u>ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov</u>."



DOCID: 4294470 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



DOCID: 4294470 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

FOIA Case: 78114

 (U/\overline{FOUO}) The requester is advised of her all other fee category and that there are no assessable fees for this request. Appeal rights are provided for any denial (no records of complaints; redactions in the released Snowden email if responsive to the request; and/or full denial of all other Snowden emails.



DOCID: 4294471 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5) FOIA Case: 78274A

M/R: (U) FINAL RESPONSE - PARTIAL DENIAL OF DOC AND FULL DENIAL OF REMAINING EMAILS

(U//FOUO) The attached letter responds to Ms. Rosie Gray's FOIA request of 29 May 2014 for "any and all email communications to or from the email address ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov." A 20-day letter was sent on 18 June 2014. Ms. Gray is affiliated with Buzzfeed.com and claims to be a media requester. For purposes of this request, we place Ms. Gray in the "all other" category and advise her that there are no assessable fees for this request.

(U/ /FOUO)	
(U/ /FOUO)	
	1-21 C 1

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DOCID: 4294471 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



DOCID: 4294471 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOIA Case: 78274A

(U/FOUO) The requester is advised of her fee category and that there are no assessable fees for this request. Appeal rights are provided for the denial (redactions in the released Snowden email and full denial of all other Snowden emails).

(U//FOUO) Pamela N. Phillips, CH/DJ4, 966-4071, 6/26/14

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

DOCID: 4294473 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

FOIA Case: 78118

M/R: (U) FINAL/PARTIAL DENIAL

(U//FOUO)

(U//FOUO) The attached letter responds to Ms. Ellen Nakashima's FOIA request of 29 May 2014, for "All e-mails to or from Edward Snowden, a former contract employee (Dell, Booz Allen, with the NSA in Japan and Hawaii. He worked in Japan from 2009-2013 and in Hawaii from 2013-2014."

(U) This request has been assigned case number 78118. The requester is a report with The Washington Post and is currently recognized as a 'representative of the media.' There are no assessable fees for this request.

_	(U/ /FOUO)	
Anno an feir a star an ann an an ann an ann an ann an ann an a		
P		
and the second	UNCLASSIFIED/ /FOR_OFFICIAL_USE_ONLY_	_

DOCID: 4294473 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



DOCID: 4294473 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOIA Case: 78118

 (U/\overline{FOUO}) The requester is advised of his fee category and that there are no assessable fees for this request. If appropriate, we explain that we do not address the request for a fee waiver. Appeal rights are provided for any denial (no records of complaints; redactions in the released Snowden email if responsive to the request; and/or full denial of all other Snowden emails.

DJ41, 966-4075, 24 June 2014 (U//FOUO) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

DOCID: 4294476 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

FOIA Case: 78399

M/R: (U) FINAL/PARTIAL DENIAL

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b)(5)

(U//FOUO) The attached letter responds to Mr. Matthew Keys' FOIA request of 27 June 2014 for "any and all e-mails sent by Edward J Snowden using the e-mail address ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov to any and all NSA officials, including officials at the office of General Counsel, for the time period between January 1, 2013 and June 1, 2013.



UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

DOCID: 4294476 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



DOCID: 4294476 UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

FOIA Case: 78399

(U/FOUO) The requester is advised of his fee category and that there are no assessable fees for this request. He is provided with a copy of the email previously released in Case 78071. Appeal rights are provided for any denial (no records of complaints; redactions in the released Snowden email if responsive to the request; and/or full denial of all other Snowden emails.

(U/ /FOUO)	DJ41, 966-4075, 30 June 2014
(U) pnphill 7/1/14	
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV

From:	N	SA-D USA CIV		
Sent:	Tuesday, June 03, 20	014 5:49 PM		
То:	Rogers Michael S AD	DM NSA-D USA USN		
Cc:	Ledgett Richard H Jr	NSA-D USA CIV; Stor	ne Corin R USA CIV;	Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-
		and the second		S NSA-S USA CIV; De
	Rajesh NSA-D2 USA	CIV;	NSA-D2 USA CIV	; Delong John M NSA-C
	USA CIV; Ensor Leoir	nel K NSA-Q USA CIV	;	NSA-D4 USA CIV;
	Richards Rebecca J N	NSA-D5 USA CIV; DL	medialeaks_core (AL	IAS) D; Grimes Arlene U
	NSA-DN USA CIV;		NSA-D USA CIV;	NSA-
	D USA CIV;	NSA-Q US	SA CIV	NSA-Q3 USA CIV;
×.	NS/	A-Q31 USA CIV;	NSA	Q31 USA CIV;
	NSA-SV USA CIV;	NSA	-S USA CIV;	NSA-S02 USA
	CIV	NSA-I33 USA CIV;	NSA	-D USA CIV;
12	NSA-D U	SA CIV;	NSA-T USA CIV	NSA-D USA
	CIV	SA-D USA CIV	NSA-DC USA	CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-
	DL USA CIV;	NSA-DL USA	CIV;	NSA-D2 USA CIV
Subject:	(U) UPDATE: Contex	t Of Snowden Engage	ements	
			and the second sec	YA YAK ATTATI P
				www.
Classification: SECRET				
Classification: SECRET				
Classification: SECRET		EGED INFORMAT	TION	
	Y/CLIENT PRIVIL			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

TO: Admiral Rogers

Sir,

BLUF: (U//FOUO) With specific regard to Mr. Snowden's repeated claims that he expressed his concerns about "clearly problematic programs to more than ten distinct officials," I, as the accountable NSA official for Media Disclosures issues, accept responsibility for the representation that the only engagement we have uncovered is a single web platform e-mail engagement with an attorney in the NSA Office of General Counsel. This is the same e-mail released in lightly redacted form on the heels of the recent NBC interview (See Attachment A below my signature block). I apologize that my failure to characterize that determination with full context, as I set out to do below, left NSA/CSS leadership insufficiently informed about this matter. It is my responsibility to keep NSA senior leadership informed about these developments as they occur and I will endeavor to correct for that going forward.

(C//NF) In short, there were three interactions between Mr. Snowden and either OGC or SV, only one of which involved a written question and answer. During the course of the investigation, standard interview questions were used, but were tailored as needed to follow the flow of the interview. It is also important to note that there were questions included that were meant to uncover whether Mr. Snowden was dissatisfied with the US government.

BACKGROUND

DOCTD:

4294494 Ve are aware of three separate engagements between SV and Edward Snowden. One of those three SV engagements resulted in the e-mail exchange with OGC that was released after the NBC story. None of the engagements expressed concerns about NSA programs or activities.

1. (C//NF) The contact initiated by Edward Snowden on 5 April 2013 about the hierarchy of authorities reflected on a slide in a training course was submitted to the NSA OGC via the "Email Us" form on their internal website. This form generated an email to an OGC alias and copied Snowden. This email was recovered by investigators from Snowden's email Inbox, and was marked as having been read but not forwarded or responded to. Because it was about an SV training course (OVSC1800 -Legal Compliance and Minimization Procedures), it was forwarded for action by the OGC to SV's lead for Access Oversight Training and Strategic Guidance. Although the individual in SV knew the correct response to the question, they determined that it would be best for the OGC to provide an authoritative response since the question raised a legal point. Snowden's e-mail was forwarded to OGC and the response was made for the record (See Attachment A). There was only one question asked and only one answer provided; the e-mails forwarded back and forth did not deal with the underlying question, but which organization would answer it.

(C//NF) We are aware of two other contacts by Snowden with SV:

- 2. (U//FOUO) In early April 2013 an individual who did not identify himself appeared at the desk of an employee in the SV training area. He asked if he could talk to someone about the OVSC1203 (FISA Amendment Act Section 702 training) course. In the words of the SV employee he seemed upset and proceeded to say that he had tried to take OVSC1203 and that he had failed. He then commented that he felt there were trick questions throughout the course content that made him fail. ((Note: SV Training has standard responses used to respond to questions like this.)) The SV employee introduced herself and informed him that the OVSC courses did not contain any trick questions and that all of
 - the answers to the test questions could be located within the course content. She reminded him that the course is open book and not timed. He was told that students get multiple attempts to successfully pass the course and if he was not successful after multiple attempts, he would need to contact the office for further assistance. In the view of the SV employee he seemed to have calmed down by then and said he still thought the questions tricked the students, but he would try again. He departed the SV training area and to her knowledge did not address the issue with SV training again. This interaction did not involve concerns about NSA programs and activities.
- 3. (U//FOUO) While serving as a Systems Administrator with Dell in 2012, Edward Snowden assisted NSA Hawaii personnel with access to files related to a "FISA document template" process. Subsequent to that an SV employee at NSA Headquarters contacted Snowden to gain assistance in solving a similar problem. He addressed that support remotely from NSA Hawaii. This activity was within the routine duties performed by Mr. Snowden as part of his employer's contract with NSA.

(S/NF) Note: The same employee was involved in the first two engagements with SV. In response to the June 2013 Agency All (See Attachment B) she provided in writing her account of these engagements.

DETAILED CONTEXT

(U//FOUO) Through interviews, research and solicitations for information in support of investigative and other requirements we have accumulated a set of data which represents our best, most authoritative capture of encounters initiated by Edward Snowden which may have some bearing on the investigation, media disclosures and or his claims. We cannot affirm with 100% certainty that this is a complete set of information, that would

be impossible to achieve, but it is a body of knowledge upon which we can and have drawn some defendable conclusions.

(S//NF) What we know about Edward Snowden's official and even unofficial engagements is derived from this aggregate set of insight. This insight includes

(S//NF)

OUR INTEREST IN HIS ALLEGATIONS

-(C//NF) From his first public claims (The Guardian, 10 June 2013) that he engaged officials to express concerns, we have sought to account for any possible engagements. Our focus became more crystallized around the April to May 2014 timeframe to counter Mr. Snowden's assertion in a Vanity Fair interview. In that interview he is quoted as saving:

"The NSA at this point not only knows I raised complaints, but that there is evidence that I made my concerns known to the NSA's lawyers, because I did some of it through e-mail. I directly challenge the NSA to deny that I contacted NSA oversight and compliance bodies directly via e-mail and that I specifically expressed concerns about their suspect interpretation of the law, and I welcome members of Congress to request a written answer to this question [from the NSA]."

Although we already had conducted a number of previous checks for responsive material, in the interest of due diligence we issued in April 2014 a task for an e-mail search by NTOC, OGC, the Inspector General, SID Oversight and Compliance and the Office of the Director of Compliance. All of them responded saying that no additional contacts from Snowden had been uncovered.

(U//FOUO) One final note, during the NBC interview with Brian Williams, the following exchange transpired with respect to Snowden's claims:

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

WILLIAMS: What did you report? What was the response?

SNOWDEN: So I reported that there were real problems with the way the NSA was interpreting its legal authorities and the response more or less in bureaucratic language was you should stop asking questions. One of my final acts in government was continuing one of these communications with a legal office. In fact, I am so sure that these communications exist that I have called on congress to write a letter to the NSA to verify that they do. Write to the office of general counsel and say, did Mr. Snowden ever communicate any concerns about the NSA's interpretation of its legal authorities?

The portion highlighted is important because

The likelihood we would have a credible reflection of an assertion made in one of his "final acts in government" is high, but no examples have been found that rise to the level of his claims.

(U/FOUO) The information compiled in this document was done so with the support and assistance of the Media Leaks Task Force, the General Counsel (D2) and the Office of Counterintelligence (Q3).

(U//FOUO) Once again, my apologies for not having provided this level of detail and context previously. If you have further questions or concerns please don't hesitate to have your staff contact me.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)

//s//		
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
Media Leaks Task Fo	irce	
National Security Age	ency/Central Security Service	
OPS 2B 2B8090		
JWICS		
UNCL		
NSTS 969-5011		
BLACK		

Attachment A

Redacted E-mail Publically Released After the 28 May 2014 NBC Interview

From:

Sent: Monday, April 08, 2013 1:37 PM

1294494

To: Snowden Edward J NSA-FHV USA CTR

Cc:

Subject: RE: (U) Question for OGC re OVSC1800 Course Content - (U) FW: Comments from the D2 General Counsel Email Us Form

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Hello Ed,

Executive Orders (E.O.s) have the "force and effect of law." That said, you are correct that E.O.s cannot override a statute.

In general, DOD and ODNI regulations are afforded similar precedence though subject matter or date could result in one having precedence over another.

Please give me a call if you would like to discuss further.

Regards,

Office of General Counsel/D21 963-3121 NSTS/(301) 688-5015 Commercial OPS 2B, 2B8134, Suite 6250

-----Original Message-----From: ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov [mailto:ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov] Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 4:11 PM To: DL gc_web (ALIAS) D2 Cc: Snowden Edward J NSA-FHV USA CTR Subject: Comments from the D2 General Counsel Email Us Form

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

SID: ejsnowd

fullname: Ed Snowden Org: FHV Affiliation: Contractor Secure_Phone: N/A

Message: Hello, I have a question regarding the mandatory USSID 18 training.

The training states the following:

(U) The Hierarchy of Governing Authorities and Documents is displayed from the highest authority to the lowest authority as follows:

U.S. Constitution Federal Statutes/Presidential Executive Orders (EO) Department of Defense (DoD) and Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) Regulations NSA/CSS Directives and Policies USSIDs SID Management Directives and Policies

Office Policies

I'm not entirely certain, but this does not seem correct, as it seems to imply Executive Orders have the same precedence as law. My understanding is that EOs may be superseded by federal statute, but EOs may not override statute. Am I incorrect in this? Between EOs and laws, which have precedence?

Similarly, between DOD and ODNI regulations, which has greater precedence?

Could you please clarify?

Thank you very much,

Ed

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED //FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Attachment B

Agency All Message Soliciting Individuals to come forward with information

2014 Agency All M	
Today's Messag	aners as Lost 7 Doys Lost 30 doys <u>View All</u>
Posted on: June 10	, 2013
(U) Recent	Media Disclosures
Distribution: Entire	NSA Wordforce
POC	Q312[968-6772]
/ 	undoubtedly aware, there have been numerous recent disclosures and allegations in the press
come forward as th counterintelligence (C//REL) The Assoc ongoing investigat pertaining to this c	enco activities. Although Edward Snowdon, a current NSA contractor and former CIA afficiate, has e source of the media leaks, the investigation by appropriate law enforcement and authorities continues. ate Directorate for Security, and Counterintelligence (ADSECI), at the request of the FBI, is providing support to this on in dose coordination with the NSA Office of General Counsel. Any NSA/CSS affiliate or detailed who has informatio ase and/or had contact with Edward Snowdon is requested to contact Special Agent
by the ADSECI in s	BCI, would like to remind all NSA/CSS afficiatos to refrain from making comments about this case unless cleared to do upport of the official government investigation. NSA/CSS affiliates who have security concerns about this case are als act your staff/project/ireld security officer ("op SSO").
from the press or o	aso will continue to receive modia attention, MSA/CSS affiliates are reminded of their responsibility to refer inquiries there outside of NSA/CSS to the NSA Public Affairs Office (PAO), PAO can be reached on 963-5825 or 301-688-6524, at response outside gov, or at DL PAO.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)

25

8

.

DOCID: 429	4494	1	٠
			- Classified By:
2			-Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 -Declassify On: 20390601
Classification: SE	CRET//NOFORN	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
		(b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

¥

DOCID:	4294502

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Brooks	Elizabeth	R	NSA-DC	USA	CIV	

From:		
Sent:	2	Tuesday, June 03, 2014 9:18 AM
To:	₹	Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV; Delong John M
		NSA-D4 USA CIV; Delong John M NSA-D4 USA CIV NSA-D USA CIV;
		Shea Teresa H NSA-S USA CIV; Moultrie Ronald S NSA-S USA CIV
Cc:		DL s_exec (ALIAS) S; NSA-SV USA CIV
Subject:		FW: (U) Snowden question for OGC re OVSC1800 Course Content
Importance:		High

Classification: SECRET//NOFORN

Liz,

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

SV had three interactions related to Snowden:

 (U//FOUO) The email 	exchange regarding hierarchy of authorities actually
came into OGC initially, a	nd the office manager there forwarded it to the SV
training team. It appears t	to be from a link he clicked on from the OGC web page.
Our training person,	said that while she knew the answer, she
thought it would more appr	opriate coming from OGC as it involved legal
authorities, and sent it b	ack to OGC for response. SV did not directly engage with
Snowden in this instance. S	ee below for emailI sent to Kemp last June before
standup of media leaks tas	k force. I will forward a second email trail separately
that provides Ms.	summary of this situation and the next one.

• (U//FOUO) While TDY to NSAW, Snowden made an in person visit to Ms. to discuss OVSC 1203, the FAA 702 online training course. He was agitated and said he had failed the course and complained that the questions were difficult and included trick questions. Ms. provided our standard response that the test is open book and all the questions can be answered from the material.

• (U//FOUO)The only other exchange with Snowden was in his official capacity as a system administrator (second attachment). NSA/H had forwarded some evaluated, minimized FAA 702 traffic to SV for a standing DOJ 60-day review. SV was unable to open the file and a help ticket was put in to solve the problem. Snowden responded to the ticket. Will send email separately as is also encrypted.

(U//F0U0)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Chief, SID Oversight and Compliance (SV)

DOCID: 4294502 Room 3W160, Suite 6476
Secure: 966-2479
Unclassified: (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Original Message
From: NSA-SV USA CIV
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2013 10:02 AM
To: Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV
Cc: Shea Teresa H NSA-S USA CIV; Moultrie Ronald S NSA-S USA CIV; MG NSA-S USA USA; DL s_exec (ALIAS) S; NSA-SV USA CIV; NSA-F6 USA CIV; NSA-S1N USA CIV; NSA-S203A USA CIV
Subject: (U) Snowden question for OGC re OVSC1800 Course Content
Importance: High
Classification: SECRET//NOFORN
Kemp,
Here's another data point on the Snowden situation. If you have a POC you'd prefer I send these to, please let me know.
Thanks,
(U //F0U0) -
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Chief, SID Oversight and Compliance (SV) Room 3W160, Suite 6476
Secure: 966-2479
Unclassified:
Original Message

DOCID: 4294502 NSA-SV21 USA CIV						
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2013 9:17 AM						
TO: NSA-SV21 USA CIV						
CC: NSA-SV21 USA CIV						
Subject: FW: (U) Question for OGC re OVSC1800 Course Content - (U) FW:						
Comments from the D2 General Counsel Email Us Form (3 of 4 emails)						
Importance: High						
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED///FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY						
(U) OGC Attorney response to Mr. Snowden's question.						
(U/ /FOUD)						
SID Oversight & Compliance						
Access Oversight Training and Strategic Guidance						
Team Alias: DL SV_Training Phone: 963-0249s						
Ops 1 Room 3W145 Suite 6476 (U/ /FOUO)						
Original Message						
From: NSA-D21 USA CIV						
Sent: Monday, April 08, 2013 1:37 PM						
To: Snowden Edward J NSA-FHV USA CTR						
CC: NSA-SV2 USA CIV; NSA-SV21 USA CIV;						
NSA-D21 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV;						
NSA-SV21 USA CIV						

Subject: RE: (U) Question for OGC re OVSC1800 Course Content - (U) FW:





Snow den - How many emails were sent? Conversion between snowden & conditionce officier where he completeried. Wants in writing exactly what I snowden has none in uning & versally

Blacker Cindy S NSA-DJ4 USA CIV

From: NSA-I423 USA CIV Sent: Tuesday, March 03, 2015 10:12 AM To: NSA-D USA CIV Subject: FW: RE: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence 1012am Signed By: Image: Contemport of Correspondence 1012am	
Classification. TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
From: NSA-SV USA CIV Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2014 3:18 PM To: NSA-D USA CIV; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL SV_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) SV43 Cc: DL ssct (ALIAS) S1 Subject: RE: RE: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence	
Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN	ă.
(U/ /FOUO) The information was provided to Kemp Ensor on June 10 th 2013. A summary of all the information was provided to and discussed with senior leadership this morning was in attendance). (U/ /FOUO) The April request was a repeat action. Regards,	
(U/ //FOUO)	3)-P.L. 86-36
D/Ch, SID Oversight & Compliance OPS 1, Rm 3W160 963-8174(s),	,,+ . <u>L</u> . 00 00
FromNSA-D USA CIV Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2014 2:50 PM To: DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL SV_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) SV43 Cc: DL ssct (ALIAS) S1 Subject: RE: RE: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence Importance: High	1001
Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN	
SSCT, SV,	
We have an urgent follow-up question regarding action MLTF 1549 that you answered in early April. The action was to	

We have an urgent follow-up question regarding action MLTF 1549 that you answered in early April. The action was to search for and report any interactions between Snowden and a variety of organizations, including SV. Below and attached is what SV reported.
Our follow-up is we need to confirm whether the information provided back in early April was discovered as part of that MLTF action or sometime prior to that. If prior to the MLTF action, at roughly what date (estimate is fine) and under what circumstances were these discovered?

Thanks in advance. Need this asap please.

Regards,	
	24
Media Leaks Task Force	75
969-5008s	
<u>OPS 2B - Rm 2B8090</u>	
	2
From: NSA-SV3 USA CIV Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 8:37 AM To: DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D Cc: DL SV_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) SV43 Subject: RE: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence	
Classification, TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN	
Attached are two documents we discussed. The emails reference the following interactions between SV and the leaker:	
 OVSC1800 Issue regarding ranking of Authority Documents Used in Course Content OVSC1800 OVSC1203 Issue Regarding Course content and trick questions An SV Analyst unable to open EMT files forwarded to NSAW from NSAH 	
Please let me know if you have any questions.	
Thanks,	
(b)(3)-F	P.L. 86-36
(U ///FOUO)-	
SID Oversight & Compliance (SV) Compliance Verification (SV3) OPS1, Room <u>3W145. Suite 6476</u> 966-1833 (s) / (b)	-
Do you have a large file to send me? Please put it in my dropbox:	
	8

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390401

Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN-

_Classified By: Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390601

Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

-Classified By: -Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108-Declassify On: 20390601

Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN-

Classified By Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20400301

Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

3

NICA	-FHV USA CIV	
INSA	-FRV USA CIV	
From:	COL NSA-V2 USA USAF	
Sent:	Thursday, April 10, 2014 6:16 AM	
To: Cc:	NSA-D USA CIV; DL medialeaks_c	
cc.	NSA-V2 USA CIV; NSA-V USA CIV; NSA-V USA CIV;	NSA-V USA CIV; NSA-FHV USA CIV;
	NSA-VOSA CIV	NSA-V2 USA CIV
	NSA-D USA CIV;	NSA-D USA CIV;
	COL NSA-V2 USA USAF	
Subject:	RE: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Corres	pondence
	and the second	
Classification: SECRE		
Classification. SECHE	ETISIMOFORN	
and the second second		
Q spent lots of qualit	ty time in Hawaii, so my assumption is that the contex	t of that discussion is not new.
can correct me if I am	wrong.	

		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
Colonel, I		
Deputy Chief, Analysis Group		
NSA/CSS Threat Operations Co	enter (NTOC)	
NSTS: 966-7585		
UNCLASS:		
From: NSA-D	USA CIV	
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 201	14 9:29 AM	
To: ICOL NSA	A-V2 USA USAF; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D	
Cc: NSA-V NSA-V USA CIV	V2 USA CIV; NSA-V USA CIV; NSA-FHV USA CIV; NSA-V2	NSA-V USA CIV; USA CIV NSA-V2
	SA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV;	
	- Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence	
and the second se		
Classification: SECRE	ET//SI//NOFORN-	
	onse. WRT the side note on his conversation with NTC	
	to Q? Is there additional detail that you are free to re	eport directly to MLTF that might be
relevant to this action?		
Repards		
Regards,		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
Regards,		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
Regards,		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-
Regards,		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-

Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

DCID: 42 Media Leaks Task Fo 969-7878s a OPS 28 - Rm 285066	orce .		(b)(3)-	P.L. 86-36
01920 - Nin 20000				
From:	COL NSA-V2 USA USAF	and a second		
	April 09, 2014 5:13 PM	and the second		
To: DL medialeaks		Les commente accessioned to the second se		
Cc:	NSA-V2 USA CIV;	NSA-V USA CIV;	NSA-V U	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
NSA-V USA CIV	NSA-FHV USA CIV;		NSA-V2 USA CIV;	NSA-V2
USA CIV;	NSA-D USA CIV;	NSA-L	D USA CIV;	COL NSA-V2 US
USAF	UTE 1540 New Fold Course of	C		
Importance: High	ILTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of	Correspondence		
importance. night	and the second			
	and the second se			
anna san sa s				
Classification:	SECRET//SI//NOFORN			

MLTF

(U//FOUO) During the time that E. Snowden was at NTOC-H, he did not have any verbal or written correspondence with NTOC leadership as it relates to concerns over NSA collection activities.

(S//SI//NF) As a side note, one of the fe	w conversations Snowden held w	ith the NTOC-H Chief was about his
(Snowden's) possession of a	that indicated	his knowledge of them,
and his desire to help NTOC-H (in his	capacity) to take ad	vantage of all available sources in
order to better prosecute the sustained	analysis mission, and in pa	rticular the intrusion
set.		
		* \ /
V/R		\sim \sim \sim
an and a second s	\mathbf{h}	
	$\langle \rangle$	
Colonel, USAF	$\langle \rangle$	
	$\langle \rangle$	(b)(1)
Deputy Chief, Analysis Group (V2)		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
NSA/CSS Threat Operations Center (NTC		
NSAy CSS Threat Operations center (NTC	NC1	
NSTS: 966-7585	and the second se	
	(b)(3)-P.L. 8	6-36
UNCLASS:		
From: NSA-S3M2 USA CI	IV .	
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 4:14 PM To: DI SV Medial eaks (ALIAS) SV43: DI ss	CT (ALTAS) S1. DL NTOC ML (ALTAS) V2

C: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4

Subject: FW: (U) MLTF 1549 -- Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence Importance: High

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

Good afternoon,

REF the MLTF tasker issued ealier today (below).

Four your SA and to ask for your assistance, we wanted to alert you to this action and ask that you coordinate with the Agency OGC, OIG, and ODOC as appropriate.

NTOC may want to respond directly to the MLTF POC below.

SV, please also keep SSCT apraised of anything you might pass to ODOC.

;ds,				b)(3)-P.L
		مند و مرد . مند و مرد .		
edia Leaks Task Force				
PS 2B, 2B8090				
9-5009 (s)				
(0)			£ - [.	
nt: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 3:28 PM	v			
DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; DL (DOC Medialeaks (ALIAS)	04; DL d ig tas		
: DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; A-D1 USA CIV; NSA-D US/	NSA-D USA CIV	A-D USA CIV	NSA-D USA CIV; Ellard Geor	ge Dr
bject: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Sea				
portance: High	100 - 20 - 200 - 20 2 - 200 - 202			
assification: CONFIDENTIAL/				

OGC, IG, and ODOC,

(U) MLTF 1549 has been entered into the system and requires your action.

-(C//NF)- A Vanity Fair article due out this week is expected to assert that Edward Snowden made an attempt(s) to express his concerns about NSA's activities. Request that the IG, OGC, and ODOC, please search your official email, web-based contacts, and correspondence for any evidence that he contacted your office for any reason. If found, provide a short recap of the exchange.

DOCID: 4294509 (C//NF) The Vanity Fair article is already in publication, and may be on the street as soon as tomorrow. NSA and the IC are formulating a possible response, and need your information as soon as possible.

(U) POC in Media Leaks is 969-7878s.	
Thank you.	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Rgds,	
Media Leaks Task Force	
OPS 2B, 2B8090	
969-5009 (s)	
(ú)	
	Classified By:
	Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
	Dated: 20070108
	Declassify On: 20390401
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	
	-Classified By:
	Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52-
	Dated: 20070108-
	Declassify On: 20390401
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	
	Classified By:
	Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
	Dated: 20070108-
	_Declassify On: 20390401
Classification: SECRET//SI//NOFORN	

D	OCID: 4294513
Γ	
	From: Thursday. May 29 Sent: Thursday. May 29 To: Cc: Subject: FW: REPLY: DNI and WH Status
	All,
	See questions below. This is urgent tasker from the 8th deck. had answered some of these questions before as the head of ADMIN.
	Deputy General Counsel
	Litigation and Management
	National Security Agency
	963-0235 direct, 963-5548 (main line)
	Original Message
	From: De, Rajesh
	Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 7:54 AM
	To: Ledgett, Richard H
	Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; Vines, Vanee M; Bauman, Ethan;
	Subject: Re: REPLY: DNI and WH Status
	Ok, 1 <u>will assign a lawyer to work through authoritative answers to all of these questions</u> with MLTF pls work this). I suspect the issue will be OBE for today, but we should work to have answers prepared to be thorough.
	Original Message
	From:
	Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 07:21 AM
	To: De, Rajesh; Ledgett, Richard H
	Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; Vines, Vanee M; Bauman, Ethan;
	Subject: REPLY: DNI and WH Status

Raj,

Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

I don't know specifically, but I would expect it would authoritatively address things like:

What is the training and awareness provided to gov't and contractor employees about reporting activities they perceive to be inconsistent with law or ethics?

What are the mechanisms by which that reporting can occur?

What is the process, accountability for addressing such reports?

Is there a record of these kinds of inquiries and responses?

Do we have any examples of reported activity which resulted in corrective measures?

Did we receive correspondence from Edward Snowden about his concerns?

If so, in what form, on what dates and with what specificity as to content?

Were his inquiries responded to? If so, how and when?

How was our search for any correspondence from him conducted?

Was it exhaustive and authoritative?

Is it possible there is correspondence we overlooked, didn't record?

	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
Original Message	
From: De, Rajesh	
Sent: Thursday, May 29 <u>, 2014 7:15 AM</u>	
To: Ledgett, Richard H;	
Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; Vines, Vanee M; Bau	man, Ethan;
Subject: Re: REPLY: DNI and WH Status	

I think the WH request for Q and A is just an attempt to put off decision bc Caitlin does not want to release the email. I'm not even sure what a Q and A would say. do you have any idea? DOJ has already said they defer to the IC after pointing out minimal litigation risk.

----- Original Message -----From: Ledgett, Richard H Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 06:31 AM

DOC <u>ID: 4294513</u>	
Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; Vines, Vanee N	M: Bauman, Ethan: De, Raiesh:
Subject: Re: REPLY: DNI and WH Sta	
Subject. Ne. NEI ET. Divi una Wirsta	
Graat lot's move with algority on th	acce fronts Bail can you halp with the O/A and expediting
and a second	hose fronts. Raj, can you help with the Q/A and expediting
through DOJ?	
Rick	
Rick Ledgett	
Deputy Director	
<u></u>	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
Original Message	
From:	
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 06:26	AM
To: Ledgett, Richard H	
Cc: Grimes, Arlene U; Vines, Vanee N	M; Bauman, Ethan; De, Rajesh;
Subject: REPLY: DNI and WH Status	
Rick,	
Oriainal Message	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (5)
Original Message From: Ledgett, Richard H	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (5)
From: Ledgett, Richard H	(b) (5)
From: Ledgett, Richard H Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:34 A	(b) (5)
From: Ledgett, Richard H Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:34 A To: Bauman, Ethan; De, Rajesh; Mur	(b) (5)
From: Ledgett, Richard H Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:34 A	(b) (5)
From: Ledgett, Richard H Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:34 A To: Bauman, Ethan; De, Rajesh; Mur Subject: Re:	(b) (5) AM rphy, Wayne M;
From: Ledgett, Richard H Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:34 A To: Bauman, Ethan; De, Rajesh; Mur Subject: Re:	(b) (5)
From: Ledgett, Richard H Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:34 A To: Bauman, Ethan; De, Rajesh; Mur Subject: Re: I'm good with thatwhat do L	(b) (5) AM rphy, Wayne M;
From: Ledgett, Richard H Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:34 A To: Bauman, Ethan; De, Rajesh; Mur Subject: Re: I'm good with that. what do L Rick Ledgett	(b) (5) AM rphy, Wayne M;
From: Ledgett, Richard H Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:34 A To: Bauman, Ethan; De, Rajesh; Mur Subject: Re: I'm good with thatwhat do L	(b) (5) AM rphy, Wayne M;
From: Ledgett, Richard H Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:34 A To: Bauman, Ethan; De, Rajesh; Mur Subject: Re: I'm good with that. what do D Rick Ledgett Deputy Director	(b) (5) AM rphy, Wayne M;
From: Ledgett, Richard H Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:34 A To: Bauman, Ethan; De, Rajesh; Mur Subject: Re: I'm good with that. what do D Rick Ledgett Deputy Director Original Message	(b) (5) AM rphy, Wayne M;
From: Ledgett, Richard H Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:34 A To: Bauman, Ethan; De, Rajesh; Mur Subject: Re: I'm good with that. what do D Rick Ledgett Deputy Director Original Message From: Bauman, Ethan	(b) (5) AM rphy, Wayne M; ONI and WH say about it?
From: Ledgett, Richard H Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:34 A To: Bauman, Ethan; De, Rajesh; Mur Subject: Re: I'm good with that. what do D Rick Ledgett Deputy Director Original Message From: Bauman, Ethan Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 01:25	(b) (5) AM rphy, Wayne M; ONI and WH say about it?
From: Ledgett, Richard H Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 5:34 A To: Bauman, Ethan; De, Rajesh; Mur Subject: Re: I'm good with that. what do D Rick Ledgett Deputy Director Original Message From: Bauman, Ethan	AM rphy, Wayne M; DNI and WH say about it?

DO

OCID: 4294513 Subject: Re:	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (5)
(ofc) (mobile) Original Message From: De, Rajesh Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 09:19 PM To: Ledgett, Richard H; Cc: Bauman, Ethan Subject: Re:	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
Original Message From: De, Rajesh Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2014 10:30 PM To: Ledgett, Richard H, We should release the Snowden email asap.	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (5)

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

l.		<i></i>
N	SA-DJ4 USA CIV	
From: Sent: Fo: Subject:	NSA-D22 USA CIV Thursday, June 05, 2014 10:03 AM NSA-D2 USA CIV; RE: (U) Additional Snowden Context - Congressio	NSA-D2 USA CIV Inal Notification?
Classification: SECRE	F//NOFORN	
		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)
Associate General Counsel (Leg Office of General Counsel 963-3208 OPS2B7112	jistation)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
**ATTORNEY WORK	PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT COMM COMMUNICATION **	UNICATION//PRIVILEGED
ot to be released without OGC approval.		
Sent: Wednesday, June 04, 20 NSA-D21 USA	28 USA CIV 14 6:48 PM CIV; NSA-D22 USA CIV owden Context - Congressional Notification?	
lassification: SEC	HET.	
Classified By:		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)
40		

Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

-P.L. 86-36
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-3
(D)(J)-F.L. 00-0
× 11
IEY-CLIENT øss
NSA-
NSA-Q31 USA

٦

Thanks,	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Ethan	(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From NSA-D USA CIV	
Sent: Tuesday, June 03, 2014 5:49 PM To: Rogers Michael S ADM NSA-unknown USA USN	
Cc: Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; Stone Corin R USA NSA-S USA CIV; Moultrie Ronald S NSA-S USA CIV; De Rajes	A CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; Shea Teresa H sh NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV;
Delong John M NSA-D4 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA Rebecca J NSA-D5 USA CIV; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D;	
NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-Q31 USA CIV;	NSA-Q USA CIV; NSA- NSA-Q31 USA CIV; NSA-SV USA CIV;
	2 USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV;
NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-DC USA CIV	/; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV;NSA-D USA CIV;
USA CIV Subject: (U) UPDATE: Context Of Snowden Engagements	Below text duplicated in DOCID 4294494

	NSA-D28 USA CIV	
From: Sent: To: Subject:	Tuesday, March	NSA-D28 USA CIV 10, 2015 4:27 PM NSA-D28 USA CIV 4_09ACTIONSearch of Emails-MLTF 1549
Importance:	High	
Classification:	CONFIDENTIAL//NC	
Deputy Associate GC NSA Office of Genera 963-5047 (secure) (unclas	Il Counsel	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
**ATTORN		TTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION//PRIVILEGED
To: DL gc_lit (ALIAS) Cc:N Subject: (U) 2014_C Importance: High	Not to be r NSA-D28 USA CIV pril 09, 2014 4:32 PM D2 SA-D28 USA CIV 04_09ACTIONSearch of En	
Classification:	CONFIDENTIAL//NC	FORN
2014 MET 2017 및 전 1017 (1017 MET 2017 M	questdoes anyone have a	sure anyone has officially asked you to search your emails, like you ny emails from Edward Snowden. Obviously these would have occurred
Affirmative/Negative	e responses required. Please	cc- me and
Thanks.		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	PRI	VORK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT VILEGED COMMUNICATION e released without OGC approval

Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

From: NSA-D2 USA CIV Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 3:54 PM To: DL Ops_Tasker (ALIAS) D21; DL GC_IA_Tasker (ALIAS) D ART_Tasker (ALIAS) D25; OGC_AdminEthics Cc: NSA-D2 USA CIV Subject: FW: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Corres Importance: High	87 AT GAL STE IT IST 370 1992 IS 1994 G
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	
Please see action below and respond back to me and best to send a consolidated response to the MLTF.	with any exchanges as soon as possible. It would be
Office Manager to the Deputy General Counsels Office of General Counsel (D2) 963-5548, OPS2B 2B8140, Suite: 6250 (U//FOUO)-My Dropbox	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From NSA-S3M2 USA CIV Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 3:28 PM To: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC Medialeaks Cc: DL medialeaks core (ALIAS) D NSA-D1 USA CIV; Subject: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspond Importance: High	SA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; Ellard George Dr

NSA-I	D28 USA CIV
From:	NSA-D28 USA CIV
Sent:	Tuesday, March 10, 2015 4:27 PM NSA-D28 USA CIV
To: Subject:	FW: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence - ACTION RESEND - LAS
Subject.	CALL
Classification: CONF	IDENTIAL//NOFORN
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Deputy Associate GC, Litigation	
NSA Office of General Counse	1
963-5047 (secure)	
(unclassified)	
**ATTORNEY WO	RK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION//PRIVILEGED
	COMMUNICATION**
	Not to be released without OCC empreud
	Not to be released without OGC approval.
	D2 USA CIV
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 201	
To: DL gc_agcusers (ALIAS) I Cc: DL GCSECR	02
	9 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence - ACTION RESEND - LAST CALL
Subject I W. (0) HEIT 1515	Validy Fail Scale of conceptionence - Action Reserve - Elor Cale
Classification: CONF	IDENTIAL//NOFORN
Assoc's,	
Please confirm that the searc	h has been completed by each practice group. Please respond ASAP.
1000 C 10 C 10 C	
Office Manager to the Deput	v General Counsels
Office of General Counsel (D2	- Contraction of the second
963-5548, 963-5548,	·
OPS2B 2B8140, Suite: 6250	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(U/ /FOUO) My Dropbox	
From: NSA-	D2 USA CIV
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 201	
To: DL GCUSERS	
Cc: NSA-D	D2 USA CIV
Subject: RE: (U) MLTF 1549	Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence - ACTION RESEND - LAST CALL
	1
Approved for Rel	ease by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

For those of you who have not completed this action please do so. I will be responding to the Media Leaks Task Force at noon today and need to know by then if there are any other exchanges with Snowden. Again please only positive responses and respond only to myself and Thanks all for your assistance with this action.

Office Manager to the Deputy General Counsels Office of General Counsel (D2) 963-5548, OPS2B 2B8140, Suite: 6250 (U//FOUO) My Dropbox

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: NSA-D2 USA CIV Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 4:51 PM To: DL GCUSERS Subject: FW: (U) MLTF 1549 -- Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence - ACTION RESEND Importance: High

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

Please see action below and respond to myself and (cc'd) ASAP with any exchanges you had. <u>No need to</u> respond if you had none. Many of you have probably already seen this and if you have already responded no need to respond again. Thanks.

Office Manager to the Deputy General Counsels Office of General Counsel (D2) 963-5548 OPS2B 2B8140, Suite: 6250 (U//FOUO) My Dropbox From: NSA-S3M2 USA CIV Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 3:28 PM To: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4; DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D Cc: DL medialeaks core (ALIAS) D; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; Ellard George Dr

NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D

Below text duplicated in DOCID 4294509

OCID: 429	4714		الموريدين والمراجع المراجع الم	"(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	NSA-FHV USA CIV			(b) (3)-r.u. 80-30
From:		COL NSA-V2 USA USAF		
Sent:	Wednesday, Ar	oril 09, 2014 11:04 AM		
To:		NSA-FHV USA CIV		
Cc:		NSA-FHV USA CIV	1	NSA-V2 USA CIV;
Subject:		NSA-V2 USA USAF 549 Vanity Fair: Search o	of Correspond	ence
Classification: S	ECRET/NOFORN	_ /		
Thanks If you ge	et any additional data from	MSgt or	(w	hen he returns) just shoot it o
way. Tsuspect this qu	estion will come up again.			in the second for a loos is o
and the second				
	olonel, USAF			
Deputy Chief, Analysis		The second second second second second		
NSA/CSS Threat Opera	tions Center (NTOC)			
NSTS: 966-7585				
UNCLASS:				(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
		and the second secon		
and the second second second second				
From:	NSA-FHV USA CIV	and the second s		
Sent: Wednesday, Apr		and the second se		
	OL NSA-V2 USA USAF SA-FHV USA CIV	and the second se		
	F 1549 Vanity Fair: Searc	h of Correspondence		
	and the second se	in or correspondence		
Classification: S	ECRET//NOFORN			
- Lana and a starting	and the second se			
Colone	areas and the second			
S//RELL OF LINEVE	received any written corr	coordence net hed a sec		
concerns over NSA acti	vities. As a matter of fact	one of the few conversati	inversation wi	th Snowden with regards to h ticipated in with him when he
irst arrived was his po	ssession of a th	at indicated	ons that i par	his knowledge of them
ind his desire to help u			of all availab	le sources in order to better
prosecute our sustaine	d analysis mission, and in p	particular	٦	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
5//NE) His direct supe				double-check with him, but
ny previous conversat		on this matter, he noted	he also never	had a conversation like the c
eing investigated (wh	en he returns, I will ask aga	in). The team leader that		orked closely with is also on
	fter having just returned fr	om a 30 day TDY	but prob	ably won't be back in the offi
intil 25 or 26 April.				
//R,	\setminus $ $			
(1.89)	\setminus $ $			b)(1)
and a state of the	\mathbb{Z}		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
The second se	management /			
	(b) (3)-P.L.	86-36		
		1		

Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

CID:9/4294714	
From: COL NSA-V2 USA USAF	
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 10-20 AM	
NSA-FHV U	JSA CIV; NSA-FHV USA CIV:
NSA-V2 USA CIV; NSA-V3 USA CIV NSA-V USA CIV: NSA-VS USA CIV:	V: NSA-V USA CIV:
NSA-V2 LISA CTV-	V USA CIV: NSA-VLUSA CIV
NGA VUT USA CIV;	NEA VOLICA ON
USACIV	NSA-VZ USA CIV; NSA-
	DL NSA-V2 USA USAF
Subject: FW: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence: High	ndence
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
NTOC-Ward NTOCHUL	

NTOC-W and NTOC-H Leadership,

(C//NF) If you had any personal correspondence (or know of someone who did) in which E. Snowden expressed concern over NSA activities, we need to let the medialeaks team know ASAP.

Thanks,

Colonel, USAF		
Deputy Chief, Analysis Group (V2)		
NSA/CSS Threat Operations Center (NTOC)	(b) (3)-P.L. 86	5-36
NSTS: 966-7585 UNCLASS:		
From: NSA-S3M2 USA CIV Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 4:14 PM To: DL SV_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) SV43; DL ssct (ALIA Cc: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; DL d_ig_task Subject: FW: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search Importance: High	AS) S1; DL NTOC_ML (ALIAS) V2 ker (ALIAS) D; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4 of Correspondence	Below text duplicated in DOCID 4294509

DOCID:	4294714
--------	---------

DOCID:	4294714
--------	---------

Г

	NSA-DJ4 USA CIV
From: Sent: To: Subject:	NSA-DS09 USA CIV Wednesdav. March 04, 2015 12:18 PM NSA-S35 USA CIV FW: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence - ACTION RESEND - LAST CALL
Classification: CO	NFIDENTIAL//NOFORN
will let you know if I see of From: Sent: Thursday, April 10, To: NSA	NSA-D28 USA CIV
Classification: CO	NFIDENTIAL//NOFORN
(U //FOUO) Paralegal Office of General Counsel Litigation, D28 963-5047, OPS2B 2B6106, Suite: 627 <u>Dropbox</u> (U/ /FOUO)	
	ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION Not to be released without OGC approval
Sent: Thursday, April 10, To:	A-D28 USA CIV 2014 1:06 PM A-D28 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV A-D28 USA CIV 549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence - ACTION RESEND - LAST CALL

Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

1
Litigation does not have any exchanges with Snowden with the caveat tha and emails were not searched as they are on leave. I believe that if they had some contact with Snowden, they would have raised it prior to this tasking in response to the upcoming Vanity Fair article.
not searched as they are on leave. I believe that if they had some contact with Snowden, they would have raised it prior to this tasking in response to the upcoming Vanity Fair article.
Litigation Practice Group Office of General Counsel D28, Rm 2B6106, OPS2B, Suite 6278 Secure: 963-2374/5047 Outside ATTORNEY CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE. The information contained in this email and any accompanying attachments constitutes attorney work product and/or client advice, which are legally privileged. From:NSA-D28 USA CIV Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 12:34 PM To:NSA-D28 USA CIV;NSA-D28 USA CIV Subject: FW: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence - ACTION RESEND - LAST CALL Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ //FOU0_
Litigation Practice Group Office of General Counsel D28, Rm 2B6106, OPS2B, Suite 6278 Secure: 963-2374/5047 Outside ATTORNEY CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE. The information contained in this email and any accompanying attachments constitutes attorney work product and/or client advice, which are legally privileged. From: NSA-D28 USA-D28 USA CIV Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 12:34 PM To: NSA-D28 USA CIV; Subject: FW: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence - ACTION RESEND - LAST CALL Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ //FOUO
Office of General Counsel D28, Rm 2B6106, OPS2B, Suite 6278 Secure: 963-2374/5047 Outside ATTORNEY CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE. The information contained in this email and any accompanying attachments constitutes attorney work product and/or client advice, which are legally privileged. From: NSA-D28 USA CIV Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 12:34. PM To: NSA-D28 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV Subject: FW: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence - ACTION RESEND - LAST CALL Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO-
Secure: 963-2374/5047 Outside ATTORNEY CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE. The information contained in this email and any accompanying attachments constitutes attorney work product and/or client advice, which are legally privileged. From:NSA-D28 USA CIV Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 12:34 PM To:NSA-D28 USA CIV;NSA-D28 USA CIV Subject: FW: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence - ACTION RESEND - LAST CALL Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/7/FOU0
ATTORNEY CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE. The information contained in this email and any accompanying attachments constitutes attorney work product and/or client advice, which are legally privileged. From:NSA-D28 USA CIV Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 12:34 PM To:NSA-D28 USA CIV;NSA-D28 USA CIV Subject: FW: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence - ACTION RESEND - LAST CALL Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO-
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 12:34 PM To:NSA-D28 USA CIV;NSA-D28 USA CIV Subject: FW: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence - ACTION RESEND - LAST CALL Classification: UNCLASSIFIED///FOUO
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 12:34 PM To:NSA-D28 USA CIV;NSA-D28 USA CIV Subject: FW: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence - ACTION RESEND - LAST CALL Classification: UNCLASSIFIED///FOUO
To: NSA-D28 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV Subject: FW: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence - ACTION RESEND - LAST CALL Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ //FOUO_
Is this done? (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Is this done? (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Deputy General Counsel
Litigation and Management
NSA Office of General Counsel
<u>963-5548 (secure)</u>
(unclassified)
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION Not to be released without OGC approval
Below tex
From: NSA-D2 USA CIV duplicated Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 12:29 PM
To: DL gc aggueses (ALIAS) D2
Cc: DL GCSECR 4294712
Subject: FW: (U) MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence - ACTION RESEND - LAST CALL

NS			6. FOIA Case # 781
	A-DJ09 USA CTR		
rom: ent: o:	Friday, May 23, 2014 11:0 DL medialeaks_core (ALIA	1 USA CIV 1 AM (S) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1;	
с:	NSA-	eoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV; Q3 USA CIV; 3 USA CIV	NSA-Q USA CIV;
ubject:	(U) NBC Story - Email wit	7404 Chu	
lassification: CC II,	ONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN-		
	and recycled claim that Snowde t we went <u>through</u> an exhaustiv note from		
ajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV SA CIV CI NSA-Q3 USA CIV; NSA-Q3 USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV SA CIV	NSA-Q3 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K N DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL A-D2 USA CIV Q31 USA CIV: NSA-D USA CIV: NSA-D USA CIV: Bauman Ethan L NS	V; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA SA-Q USA CIV; PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; SA-D21 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; CIV; NSA-D USA	CIV; NSA-O_USA CIV; NSA-D_USA CIV; NSA-Q31_USA CIV; NSA-Q31_USA CIV; NSA-D_USA CIV; CIV; NSA-D SA-DL_USA CIV
Jbject: (U) FYSA: Sno			
Ibject: (U) FYSA: Sno			
J bject: (U) FYSA: Sno			
ubject: (U) FYSA: Sno			
ubject: (U) FYSA: Sno			

From:	NSA-I USA CIV	
Sent:	Wednesday, April 09, 2014 5:26 PM	
To:	Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV	
Cc:	DL PAOMed <u>ia_(ALIAS)_DN1;</u> DL medialeaks_c ore (ALIAS)_D; D USA CIV;NSA-D USA CIV	NSA-
Subject:	(U) ACTION: Way Forward for Vanity Fair Article	1
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up	1
Flag Status:	Flagged	
Classification: UN	CLASSIFIED / /FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Arlene,

(U//FOUO) Presuming the following conditions are met:

We get word from the organizations asked to revisit their e-mail for any evidence of the outreach claimed by Edward Snowden in the Vanity Fair teaser, AND no additional information has surfaced (MLTF Core on the hook to verify this for you)

AND

We have affirmed from	that DoJ doesn't have any hold on the one exchange we have of Snowden askir	ng
a generic authorities que	n of OGC in April 2013,	

Please engage (copied) to coordinate an outreach to Vanity Fair indicating that our efforts to substantiate Mr. Snowden's claim in this regard has produced one, barely relevant e-mail and we intend to challenge the veracity of that point if if is published in the final article and point out that we brought it to the attention of Vanity Fair.

(U//FOUO) Let me know if you have any questions/concerns.

Regards,		and the second	
the second s	\mathbf{h}	(b)(3)
	$\langle \rangle$	OGA	
Media Leaks Task Force	~		
National Security Agency/Central Security Service			
OPS 2B 2B8090			
JWICS UNCL	(b)(3)-P.L. 8	86-36	
NSTS 969-5011			
BLACK			

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED //FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

	NSA-D28 USA CIV		
From: Sent: To: Subject:		NSA-D28 USA CIV ch 10, 2015 4:27 PM NSA-D28 USA CIV (APPROVED RESPONSE: (U) OGC draf	t answers
Importance:	High		
Classification: U	INCLASSIFIED# F	OR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	
			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Deputy Associate GC, NSA Office of General	(777)		
963-5047 (secure)	Courses	and a second	
(unclass	sified)	and the second se	
	and the second		
**ATTORNE	Y WORK PRODUCT//	ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUN	ICATION//PRIVILEGED
		COMMUNICATION**	
	Not to be	released without OGC approval.	
7			
From:	NSA-D USA CIV		
Sent: Thursday, May			
		es Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; DL PAO David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV;	NSA-D23 USA CIV; DL
medialeaks_core (ALIA			
Subject: (U) REPLY A	PPROVED RESPONSE: (U)) OGC draft answers	
Importance: High			
Olaasifiaatianul			
Classification: C	JNCLASSIFIED/ /H	OR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	_
TO: ML PAO			
IO. METAO			
Please push these res	ponses to the WH for the	12:30 event. OGC has chopped.	
			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Media Leaks Task Force			
National Security Agency/C OPS 2B_2B8090	entral Security Service		
JWICS	a second s		
UNCL			
BLACK	and a second		
From:	NSA-D USA CIV		
Sent: Thursday, May		es Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; <u>DL PAO</u>	Media (ALIAS) DN1
		David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV;	NSA-D23 USA CIV
	(U) OGC draft answers		
	17 A.202		

Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

1

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(U//FOUO)-I think we need to be more explicit as relates to Snowden's comments and the specific WH questions:

1. Did Snowden raise concerns with NSA as he said he did?

We have found a single instance of one e-mail inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The question was received and an answer was provided to Mr. Snowden. There was not additional follow-up noted. There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for evidence of outreach from him in those areas and have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

2. How do you plan to respond to the FOIA request?

We received the request from NBC this week and are following normal procedures for processing the request.

The subject of the su
Media Leaks Task Force
National Security Agency/Central Security Service
OPS 2B 2B8090
JWICS
NSTS 969-5011
BLACK
From: NSA-D28 USA CIV
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:41 AM
To: Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; NSA-D USA CIV
Cc: NSA-D28 USA CIV; Sherman David J Dr NSA-DJ USA CIV; NSA-D23 USA CIV
Subject: (U) OGC draft answers
Importance: High
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ /FOU0

- (1) There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise whistleblower allegations. We have searched those avenues and have not surfaced any complaints with those offices.
- (2) With respect to the FOIA, we will process this in accordance with our normal procedures and have only surfaced one email communication between Mr. Snowden and OGC.

On item 2, since OGC has already performed a search which was as extensive as one would have been done under FOIA, no new search would be required by our office. One document was identified and that document was redacted and provided directly to Dave Sherman earlier in the week.

the stand of the s	
Deputy General Counsel	and a second and a s
Litigation and Management	and a second
NSA Office of General Counsel	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
<u>963-5548 (sec</u> ure)	
(unclassified)	

ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION Not to be released without OGC approval

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Cc: PAOMedia: Ledgett, Richard, H: Ele	In
PAOMedia: Ledgett Richard H. Fle	
	eisch, Frances J; Stone <u>, Corin R; De,</u> Rajesh; core; Bauman, Ethan; Sherman, David J
Subject: Re: FOLLOW-UP: PER YOUR REC	
and the second se	
	follow on local paster should be present to and
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	any follow-on legal action we should be proactive and
ransparent with the public. SEN Feinstein adding her thoughts	s would also be of value to the public I believe.
_	
r,	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
ASR	(D)(3)-P.L. 00-30
	and the second
rom:	
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:11 PM	
o: Rogers, Michael S ADM	DL PAOMedia; Ledgett,
tichard H; Fleisch, Frances J; Stone, Corin R; De, Rajesh;	DL MLTF_core; Bauman,
than: Sherman David 1	
	and test is supply double stad in DOOLD 107044
Subject: FOLLOW-UP: PER YOUR REQUEST: Transcript	ow text is exactly duplicated in DOCID 427211 I∶11 PM

Sec.		
From: Sent: To: Subject:	Thursday. May 29, 2014 8:23 AM FW: REPLY: Follow-Up	
14).	and a second	
Deputy General Counse	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
Litigation and Manage		
National Security Age	ency	
963-0235 direct, 963-	-5549 (main line)	
505-0255 uirect, 505-	-5548 (main iine)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Original Message	e	in the second
From:		
Sent: Thursday, May 2	29, 2014 8:08 AM	
To: De, Rajesh		
Cc:	and the second	
Subject: REPLY: Follo	ow-Up	
recollected in retros	we have, but as you know that is spect. The search for e-mail wi ir personal holdings.	an imperfect data set in some cases thin the OGC was undertaken by individua
and a second		
Original Message	B	(b)(3)-P   86-36
From: De, Rajesh		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: De, Rajesh Sent: Thursday, May 2		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: De, Rajesh		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: De, Rajesh Sent: Thursday, May 2 To:	29, 2014 7:19 AM	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: De, Rajesh Sent: Thursday, May 2 To: Cc: Subject: Re: Follow-U	29, 2014 7:19 AM	
From: De, Rajesh Sent: Thursday, May 2 To: Cc: Subject: Re: Follow-U - I'm open to an	29, 2014 7:19 AM Up ny suggestions you might have.	I was told that the and mltf
From: De, Rajesh Sent: Thursday, May 2 To: Cc: Subject: Re: Follow-U - I'm open to an teams did searches, a	29, 2014 7:19 AM Up ny suggestions you might have. as with Is	I was told that the and mltf that not the case? Adding who
From: De, Rajesh Sent: Thursday, May 2 To: Cc: Subject: Re: Follow-U - I'm open to an teams did searches, a was tracking this. I	29, 2014 7:19 AM Up ny suggestions you might have. as with Is I also think this should be fram	I was told that the and mltf that not the case? Adding who ed as everything we've been able to find
From: De, Rajesh Sent: Thursday, May 2 To: Cc: Subject: Re: Follow-U - I'm open to an teams did searches, a was tracking this. I If you are still agai	29, 2014 7:19 AM Up ny suggestions you might have. as with Is I also think this should be fram inst releasing because of concer	I was told that the and mltf that not the case? Adding who ed as everything we've been able to find
From: De, Rajesh Sent: Thursday, May 2 To: Cc: Subject: Re: Follow-U - I'm open to an teams did searches, a was tracking this. I If you are still agai leadership and intera	29, 2014 7:19 AM Up up I also think this should be fram inst releasing because of concer agency.	I was told that the and mltf that not the case? Adding who ed as everything we've been able to find
From: De, Rajesh Sent: Thursday, May 2 To: Cc: Subject: Re: Follow-U - I'm open to an teams did searches, a was tracking this. I If you are still agai leadership and intera Original Messag	29, 2014 7:19 AM Up up I also think this should be fram inst releasing because of concer agency.	I was told that the and mltf that not the case? Adding who ed as everything we've been able to find
From: De, Rajesh Sent: Thursday, May 2 To: Cc: Subject: Re: Follow-U - I'm open to an teams did searches, a was tracking this. I If you are still agai leadership and intera Original Messag From:	29, 2014 7:19 AM Up up I also think this should be fram inst releasing because of concer agency.	I was told that the and mltf that not the case? Adding who ed as everything we've been able to find
From: De, Rajesh Sent: Thursday, May 2 To: Cc: Subject: Re: Follow-U - I'm open to an teams did searches, a was tracking this. I If you are still agai leadership and intera Original Messag From: To: Rajesh De	29, 2014 7:19 AM Up up I also think this should be fram inst releasing because of concer agency.	I was told that the and mltf that not the case? Adding who ed as everything we've been able to find
From: De, Rajesh Sent: Thursday, May 2 To: Cc: Subject: Re: Follow-U - I'm open to an teams did searches, a was tracking this. I If you are still agai leadership and intera Original Messag From:	29, 2014 7:19 AM Up ny suggestions you might have. as with Is I also think this should be fram inst releasing because of concer agency. ge	I was told that the and mltf

Given your engagement last night on this topic, the fact that the protagonist specifically pointed to e-mail's sent to the OGC and your expectations expressed in the note below I would encourage you to work with your staff to give yourself confidence that requests of your folks to check for records are/were sufficiently robust to underpin your personal level of confidence. I am not in any way suggesting that people did not take the requests seriously-they did, but they did so under time pressure.

1

Moreover, I know that as a career intel professional asking 5 talented people to research a topic can lead to 5 different methodologies and 5 different results.

Very respectfully,

From: De, Rajesh Sent: Saturday, May 24, 2014 09:40 AM Eastern Standard Time To: Cc:

Subject: Fw: NBC/email

I need very senior confirmation (Kemp/Moultrie ______ that all possible steps have been taken to ensure there are no other emails from S to OGC.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

OCID: 42	94818	
From: Sent:	Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:26 PM	
To: Cc:	Rogers, Michael S ADM PAOMedia: Ledgett, Richard H; Fleisch, Frances J; Stone, Corir	DL R; De, Rajesh;
Subject:	DL MLTF_core FOLLOW-UP: PER YOUR REQUEST: Transcript	< V
Sir, Reference the r	equest from the White House, we just provided the following:	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

--Did Snowden raise concerns with NSA as he said he did?

We have found a single instance of one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The question was received and an answer was provided to Mr. Snowden. There was not additional follow-up noted. There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for evidence of outreach from him in those areas and have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

--How do you plan to respond to the FOIA request?

We received the request from NBC and are following normal procedures for processing the request.

NOTHING FOLLOWS	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From:	
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:39 AM	1/
To: Rogers, Michael S ADM	//
Cc:	DL PAOMedia; Ledgett,
Richard H; Fleisch, Frances J; Stone, Corin R; De, Rajes	h; DL MLTF_core
Subject: PER YOUR REQUEST: Transcript	

Sir,

As requested, below is a transcript of the NBC program we obtained from the White House in support of attribution work. Since it was not acquired for a fee we're meant to limit our use. I believe it was generated off a CLOSED CAPTIONING system so there are a few text garbles. In response to a request we received <30 minutes ago, Media Leaks Public Affairs and OGC are at this time preparing information for the White House press office in support of the daily press engagement by Jay Carney at 12:30 EST. Specifically answers to two question:

--Did Snowden raise concerns with NSA as he said he did? --How do you plan to respond to the FOIA request?

The FOIA request reference is highlighted in the transcript below. We do not know if the White House plans to present this information proactively or have it in reserve if they receive a specific question. We will make certain you receive what is sent to the White House.

Please advise if you have any questions/concerns.

Respectfully,

#### Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

#### **BEGIN TRANSCRIPT**

BRIAN WILLIAMS: good evening. I'm brian williams. he is routinely called the most wanted man in the world. last week in moscow, cloaked in secrecy and after months of behind-the-scenes back and forth, we sat down with edward snowden for his first american television interview. let's begin with a reminder of what this 30-year-old has done and why he's living in exile overseas, wanted for espionage in this country. the classified documents he stole, downloaded from the nsa and handed over to journalists blew the lid off data mining programs that had been launched in the wake of and in the name of 9/11. they have names like prism and boundless informant and xkeyscore. some of them designed to vacuum up phone and internet data are from companies like verizon and google and apple and yahoo! and some of them could zero in on any one of us. snowden came to this interview armed with talking points, ready to tell his story. he knew he would be receiving no compensation and no question was off limits. already just today based on prereleased snippets of what you're about to see secretary of state john kerry launched a full-on fredricton it willontal assault on snowden calling him a traitor, challenging hill to come home to face justice. we'll hear what edward snowden has to say about that, he arrived alone carrying only a backpack into our moscow hotel, he came up a back stairway into the elevator. the moment he sat down and our camera focused on him, it became clear that this was the first good long look at him the world had had. we saw him framed against the hotel window in hong kong and appearing from undisclosed locations on closed circuit video. he was here now, introducing himself as ed. a lot of people say you have badly damaged your country.

EDWARD SNOWDEN: can you show that? is there any dman vagsemonstration? i have been asking for a year now. if after a year they can't show a single individual who has been harmed in any way by this reporting, is it really so grave? is it really so serious? can we really trust those claims without scrutinizing them? i would argue we can't. we should be open to them. it's fair, the possibility. if this has caused serious harm, i plirnlwould like to know about it.

WILLIAMS: keith alexander has said you have done, quote, significant and irreversible damage to the nation. he said there is, quote, concrete truth that terrorist groups and others are taking action and making changes and it's going to make our job tougher. and this amounts to telling our enemy our playbook.

SNOWDEN: so what's interesting is that we see the exact same language, the exact same accusations being levelled against whistleblowers, against any critic of any government program throughout history, throughout time.

WILLIAMS: what are you doing in russia?

SNOWDEN: all right. so this is a really fair concern. i personally am surprised that i ended up here. the reality is i never intended to end up in russia. i had a flight from cuba to latin america. the united states revoked my passport to trap me in the moscow airport. when people ask why are you in russia, i say please ask the state department.

WILLIAMS: the u.s. state department says snowden's passport was pulled before he boarded that flight to moscow and yet he was somehow still able to leave hong kong, a city he had chosen to fly to initially upon leaving the u.s. a formerly high ranking american official said if snowden's equivalent russian kid was in our hands in the united states we would be working mightily to, a, befriend him and, absent that, infiltrate what hes has, what he knows. what has your relationship been to the host nation? have you met putin? have you spoken with him?

SNOWDEN: i have no relationship with the russian government at all. i have never met the russian president. i'm not supported by the raugs government -- russian government. i'm not taking money from the russian government. i'm not a spy. the best way to make sure, for example, the russians can't break my fingers and compromise information or hit me with a bag of money until i give them something was not to have it at all. the way to do it was by destroying material i was holding before i transited through russia.

WILLIAMS: people are going to find it hard to believe that president putin hasn't taken a run at you or what you know. you can state declaratively that that hasn't happened?

SNOWDEN: yeah. i mean, the way -- the way to think about this is, again, i already know how to deal with counterintelligence. beyond that, i took nothing to russia, so i could give them mothing.

WILLIAMS: you say you're not carrying around any of the materials. you are handing them off. if i gave you a laptop, could you access the documents?

SNOWDEN: no, no.

WILLIAMS: no, you couldn't remotely, electronically access material?

SNOWDEN: no.

WILLIAMS: it's gone from your control?

SNOWDEN: right. i don't have any control -- let's put it this way. if i'm traveling through russia -- and i know i'm traveling through russia and they have a very aggressive, very professional service. and i look like tweety bird to sylvester the cat, a little walking chicken leg with all the documents if i have control over that, that's a very dangerous thing for me.

WILLIAMS: we'll take our first break here. up next when we continue, the impact of 9/11 on edward snowden.

#### (COMMERCIAL BREAK)

WILLIAMS: going back almost a year to when this story first broke, edward snowden was defined early on, and not altogether accurately. the president famously called him a hacker. we in the news media passed along and repeated terms like systems analyst and outside contractor that didn't really mean all that much and according to snowden didn't really describe what he did for a living.

#### (VIDEO BEGINS)

WILLIAMS: how do you define yourself? are you -- were you trained as a spy? specifically i'm talking about the titles systems analyst, contractor. it seems to me spies probably look a lot more like ed snowden and a lot less like james bond these days.

SNOWDEN: well, it's no secret that the u.s. tends to get more and better intelligence out of computers nowadays than they do out of people. i was trained as a spy in sort of the traditional sense of the word. i lived and worked undercover, overseas pretending to work in a job that i'm not and even being assigned a name that was not mine. now the government might deny these things, frame it in certain ways and say, oh, he's a low level analyst but what they are trying to do is they are trying to use one position that i have had in a career here or there to distract from the totality of my experience which is that i have worked for the central intelligence agency undercover overseas. i have worked for the national security agency undercover overseas. and i have worked for the defense intelligence agency as a lecturer at the joint counterintelligence training academy where i developed sources and methods for keeping our information and people secure in the most hostile and dangerous environments around the world with. so when they say i'm a low level systems administrator that i don't know what i'm talking about it's somewhat misleading.

WILLIAMS: snowden said his life changed when he was still a teenager on the worst day in modern american history.

what did 9/11 mean to you?

SNOWDEN: I have never told anybody this. no journalist. but I was on ft. meade on septembeth right outside the nsa. so I remember -- I remember the tension on that day. I remember hearing on the radio. I remember thinking my grandfather who worked for the fbi at the time was in the pentagon when the plane hit it. I take the threat of terrorism seriously. I think we all do. I think it's really disingenuous for the government to invoke and sort of scandalize our memories, to sort of exploit the national trauma that we all suffered together and worked so hard to come through to yufjustify programs that are have never been shown to keep us safe but cost us liberties and freedoms that we don't need to give up, and our constitution says we should not give up.

WILLIAMS: then there is this way of looking at it -- our nation had been attacked in this nonlinear way. we were hurting. post pearl harbor hurting. why not cast the widest net possible? innocent people around the country were -- all felt the same way. i've got nothing to hide. we've got to find this enemy we can't see.

SNOWDEN: the definition of a security state is any nation that prioritizes security over all other considerations. i don't believe the united states is or ever should be a security state. if we want to be free we can't become subject to surveillance. we can't give away our privacy. we can't give away our rights. we have to be an active party. we have to be an active part of our government. and h say there are some things worth dying for. i think the country is one of them.

WILLIAMS: like a lot of young men in our country, and especially given the fact that your grandfather was at the fbi, your dad's a vet. like a lot of young men across america you wanted to join up, and you did.

SNOWDEN: in 2004, i joined the u.s. army under the 18 x-ray special forces recruit program. i have to give high respect to everyone many the military and the graduates of those programs. they are better men than i. i was injured very early on in the program and i washed out. i don't hide that.

WILLIAMS: snowden reportedly left the military after breaking both of his legs in training.

SNOWDEN: the fact is that i tried. you know, i saw what was going on in the world. i believed the government's arguments that we were going to do good things in iraq, that we were going to free the oppressed. and i wanted to do my part to help share the national burden and create not just a better america but a better world. the problem was, as time went on, as i rose to higher and higher levels of the intelligence community, i gained more and more access, as i saw more classified information at the highest levels i realized that so many of the things that we are told by the government simply aren't true. much like the arguments about aluminum tubes and weapons of mass destruction, colin powell's discussion about anthrax is a dama -- saddam was going to bring against us. the iraq war i signed up for was launched on false premises. the american people were misled. whether that was due to bad faith or simply mistakes of intelligence, i can't say for sure. but i can say it shows the problem of putting too much faith in intelligence systems without debating them in public.

WILLIAMS: we'll take another break here. coming up, how edward snowden says the nsa can reach into our lives via our phones.

#### (COMMERCIAL BREAK)

WILLIAMS: welcome back. government was now able, if they wanted to, to use our computers to reach into american lives and whatever you think of him, what edward snowden told us about spying on our data and our personal devices was chilling. and as an example, we used the phone in my hand.

#### (VIDEO BEGINS)

WILLIAMS: i want to ask you about this device. this is not my iphone. this is what drug dealers resort to. this is called a burner. it's a temporary. it's the one i brought to cover the olympics because our i.t. people told me that the russians are so good at infiltration. how good? and how good are the americans? what can the nsa do with this device, if they wanted to get into my life?

SNOWDEN: so, first off, that's probably the most expensive burner i have ever seen. but i guess we are at the up market of drug dealers here

WILLIAMS: i'm using a term of art. this is turned off. it's inert.

SNOWDEN: the nsa, the russian intelligence service, the chinese intelligence service, any intelligence service in the world that has significant funding and a real technological research team can own that phone the minute it connects to their network. as soon as you turn it on, it can be theirs. they can turn it into a microphone. they can take pictures from it, they can take the data off of it. but it's important to understand that these things are typically done on a targeted basis. right? it's only done when people go, this phone is suspicious. i think it's being held by a drug dealer. i think it is being used by a terrorist.

WILLIAMS: can anyone turn it on remotely if it's off? can they turn on apps? did anyone know or care that i googled the final score of the rangers/canadiens game last night because i was traveling here?

SNOWDEN: i would say yes to all of those. they can absolutely turn them on with the power turned off the device. that's pretty scary. the thing about the rangers game ises also salso scary. you might say, does anybody care that i'm looking up the score for the rangers game? well, a government or a hacker or some other nefarious individual would say yes. that tells a lot about you. you probably speak english. you are probably an american. you are interested in this sport. they might know what your habits are. where were you in the world when you checked the score? do you check it when you travel? do you check it when you're at home? they could tell your pattern of life. when are you doing these activities? when do you wake up? when do you go to sleep? what other phones are around you? are you with someone who's not your wife? are you some place you shouldn't be, according to the government which is arbitrary, you know. are you engaged in any kind of activities can be mis misinterpreted and used to do you wrong. the problem is the capabilities themselves are unregulated, uncontrol are edun krol uncontrol uncontroledded and dangerous.

WILLIAMS: all because i googled rangers, canadiens, final score.

#### SNOWDEN: exactly.

WILLIAMS: snowden says he was astonished at the access he had at his fingertips including a computer program that, as he put it, could get inside your thought process.

SNOWDEN: when i think about an instance that really just struck me as, oh, my god, we can do this. and we can do it to anyone was that people at nsa, analysts, can actually watch people's internet communications. watch them draft correspondence and actually watch their thoughts form as they type. s as you write a message, an analyst at nsa or any service using this attack against people can actually see you write sentences and backspace over your mistakes, change the words, pause, and think about what you wanted to say and then change it. it's this extraordinary intrusion not just into your communications, your finished messages but your actual drafting process, into the way you think.

WILLIAMS: you must have been aware spying is sometimes called a dirty business. lives have been taken and unsavory deeds have been committed.

SNOWDEN: you know, i don't think anybody who 's's been in the intelligence community for almost a decade as i have been, is really shocked by the specific types of general operations when they are justified. what's more shocking for anybody is not the dirtiness of the business. it's the dirtiness of the targetsing. it's the dirtiness of the way these things are being used. it's the lack of respect for the public because -- the lack of respect for the intrusiveness of surveillance.

WILLIAMS: when the president and others have made the point that you should have gone through channels, become a whistleblower and not pursued the route you did, what's your response?

SNOWDEN: i actually did go through channels. and that is documented. the nsa has records. they have copies of e-mails right now to their office of general counsel, to their oversight and compliance folks from me raising concerns about the nsa's interpretations of its legal authorities. i have raised the complaints not just officially in writing through e-mail to these offices and these individuals but to my supervisors, to my colleagues, in more than one office. i did it in ft. meade. i did it in hawaii. and many, many of these individuals were shocked by these programs. they had never seen them themselves. and the ones who had went, you know, you're right. these are things that are are really concerning. these aren't things we should be doing. maybe we're going too far here. but if you say something about this, they're going to destroy you. do you know what happens to people who stand up and talk about this?

WILLIAMS: what did you report? what was the response?

SNOWDEN: so i reported that there were real problems with the way the nsa was interpreting its legal authorities. and the response more or less in bureaucratyerreaucratic language was you should stop asking questions. one of my final acts in government was continuing one of these communications with a legal office. in fact, i am so sure that these communications exist that i have called on congress to write a letter to the nsa to verify that they do. write to the office of general counsel and say, did mr. snowden ever communicate any concerns about the nsa's interpretation of its legal authorities?

WILLIAMS: now about that last point there about the paper trail that snowden says exists within the nsa, so far nbc news has learned from multiple sources that snowden did, indeed, send at least one e-mail to the general counsel's office raisingic and legal questions. we have filed a request under the freedom of information act to look for any other records. and when we continue here tonight, the are the secrets of the u.s. military safe?

#### (COMMERCIAL BREAK)

WILLIAMS: and welcome back. as we enter our second half hour, as we continue to hear from edward snowden, part of a wide-ranging conversation taped a week ago in moscow. we have already heard some extraordinary things, but as you will see, he's not done yet

SNOWDEN: i'm doing this to serve my country. i'm still working for the government. being a patriot means knowing when to protect your country. if i could go anywhere in the world, that place would be home.

WILLIAMS: edward snowden's last posting was in hawaii. there he rented a house where he lived with his girlfriend and performed electronic surveillance for the nsa. it was said he took his last position to gain access to the final documents he wanted to steal and then leak.

how long prior to leaving hawaii did you start to say to yourself, i'm going to gather this, i'm going to put this away, i'm going to expose this?

SNOWDEN: i think given the ongoing investigation that something better not to get into in a news interview, but i would be happy to discuss this with the government.

WILLIAMS: what is the number? what's the closest you have come to estimating the number of documents?

SNOWDEN: i will say the 1.7 million documents figure that the intelligence community has been bandying about, the director of nsa himself keith alexander said just a week ago in the australian financial review, i believe, that they have no idea what documents were taken at all. their auditing was so poor, so negligent that any private contractor, not even an employee of the government could walk into the nsa building, take whatever they wanted, and walk out with it and they would never know. now, i think that's a problem. and i think that's something that needs to be resolved. people need to be held to account for. has it happened before? could it happen again?

WILLIAMS: what didn't you grab? was there a threshold?

SNOWDEN: right. i didn't want to take information that would basically be take then and thrown out in the press that would cause harm to individuals, that would cause people to die, that would put lives at risk. so a good gauge of what information was provided to the journalists is a representation of what you see in the press. now the nsa and the defense intelligence agency and some of these other organizations have claimed that lives are at risk, that all this military information was out there, that, you know, i took all this information about missiles and warheads and tanks, but we don't see any of that in the newspaper. we haven't seen any stories on that.

WILLIAMS: snowden turned over the secret documents to glenn greenwald and laura poitras, both of whom traveled to russia for our interview. in his book "no place to hide," greenwald discussed the moment he met snowden in hong kong.

what did you make of him?

GREENWALD: the initial impression was one of extreme confusion. i was expecting to meet someone in his 60s or 70s, someone very senior in the agency because i knew almost nothing about him prior to our arrival in hong kong.

SNOWDEN: it was a really intimidating moment. you know, it was the most real point of no return because the minute you start talking to a journalist as an intelligence officer on camera, there is really no going back from that. that's where it all comes together.

WILLIAMS: also, you had this tangible evidence. in effect he was saying to you if i wasn't legit, where else would i have gotten this?

GREENWALD: right. that was certainly a good start to establishing his credibility and his authenticity was the fact he was able to produce many thousands of documents from the most secretive agency of the world's most powerful but at the same time there were government. questions about the authenticity of the documents t providence, the motives that led to his taking them and what it was he would say when he identified himself to the world as the source.

WILLIAMS: by handing over the documents to journalists, snowden said he wanted to put some space between himself and what he himself stole are from government computers. he wanted others to break the stories and do the reporting and check to see which stories might cause undue harm.

SNOWDEN: and that's the reason that the journalists have been required by their agreement with me as the source, although they could obviously break that or do whatever they want, but i demanded that they agreed to consult with the government to make sure no individuals or specific harms could be caused by any of that reporting.

WILLIAMS: that includes nbc news which has reported on its own batch of snowden documents and has a reporting relationship with glenn greenwald.

SNOWDEN: when it comes to specific stories about the specific collection programs, about specific targets, these aren't decided by me. these are decided by newspapers.

WILLIAMS: you see the part of this and for a lay audience looking on, they know that this came from you. the bulk release to the journalists came from you. they are guessing that you had decisions to make within what you had access to, so then they hear you saying, i didn't put that out, the journalists did.

SNOWDEN: right. that's a fair question. anyone can second guess my judgment. again, i'm a human being. i could make mistakes. i could make the wrong call. but the reality is the situation determined that this needed to be told to the public.

WILLIAMS: to your knowledge, there is nothing in what you have handed over to the journalists materially damaging or threatening to the military or national security?

SNOWDEN: there is nothing that would be published that would harm the public interest. these are programs that need to be understood, that need to be known, that require deep background and context for research. they are difficult to report. but they are of critical public importance.

WILLIAMS: just for clarification here note that snowden didn't deny turning over military secrets. he asserted instead they wouldn't be published. another break. when we continue, how snowden justifies what he did.

#### (COMMERCIAL BREAK)

WILLIAMS: on the range between ticker tape parade entence, what do you think ought to happen to you if and when you return to the united states?

SNOWDE: these are things that no individual should empower themselves to really decide. you know, i'm going to give myself a parade. but neither am i going to walk into a jail cell to serve as a bad example for other people in government who see something happening, some violation of the tion who think they need to say something about it.

WILLIAMS: you hear often in the united states are why doesn't he come home and face the music?

SNOWDEN: it's a fair question. why doesn't he face charges? but it's also uninformed. because what has been lain against me aren't normal charges. they are extraordinary charges. we have seen more charges under the espionage act in the last administration than we have in all other administrations in american history. the espionage act provides anyone accused of it of no chance to make a public defense. you are not allowed to argue based on all the evidence in your favor because that evidence may be classified, even if the it is exculpatory. when people say why don't you face the music, i say you have to understand the music is not an open court and a fair trial.

WILLIAMS: what would you do if you had an aience with the president right now? what would you say?

SNOWDEN: i would leave advising the president to his advisers. that's -- i wouldn't presume to place myself on the level to be able to suggest what his course of action should be.

WILLIAMS: would you ask him if you could come home free and clear?

SNOWDEN: i think that's a decision he'll weigh and decide based on what he believes would serve the public interest. i think that's proper and appropriate.

WILLIAMS: in your mind though, are you blameless? have you done, as you look at this, just a good thing? have you performed, as you see it, a public service?

SNOWDEN: i think it can be both. i think the most important idea is to remember that there have been times throughout american history where what is right is not the same as what is legal. sometimes to do the right thing you have to break a law. and the key there is in terms of civil disobedience. you have to make sure that what you are risking, what you are bringing onto yourself doesn't serve as a detriment to anybody else, it doesn't hurt anybody else. if you are volunteering yourself to be used as a negative example, to spend a lifetime in prison rather than to spend a time in prison -- a short period where you will come out, advocate, emerge stronger and inspire other people to resist these policieses, spolicies, are you doing good or bad?

WILLIAMS: legal sources tell nbc news that snowden's legal team has been in contact with the government's lawyers, but negotiations have t begun.

are you looking for clemency or amnesty? would you like to go home?

SNOWDEN: i don't think there has ever been any question that i wld like to go home. from day one i have said i'm doing this to serve my country. i'm still working for the government. now whether amnesty or clemency becomes a possibility is not for me to say. that's a debate for the public and the government to decide. but if i could go anywhere in the world, that place would be home.

WILLIAMS: and when we continue, an american in russia. edward snowden talks about what his life is like now in exile and how he feels about coming home.

#### (COMMERCIAL BREAK)

WILLIAMS: this is a big cultural change. you, in effect, moved to russia from hawaii. what is your life like?

SNOWDEN: you know, it's -- it is a major cultural gap. it requires adjustment. but even though i didn't choose to be here, even though, you know, circumstances really trapped me here, i can adapt. i can live life as an american more or less. that's the beauty of the internet. we are no longer tied to our communities merely by, you know, miz call physical connections. right now i'm watching a show, "the wire." i'm really enjoying it. second season, not so great, but --

WILLIAMS: what do you make of the fact that president putin's standing in the world, let's say, has changed so dramatically during the time you have been here?

SNOWDEN: it's really frustrating for someone who is working so hard to expand the domain of our rights and our privacy to end up stuck in a place where those rights are being challenged in ways that i would consider deeply unfair. the recent bloggers' registration law in russia, i can't think of any basis for a law like that. not just in russia, but any country. the government shouldn't regulate the operations of a free press whether it is nbc or some blogger in their living room. there is so much that needs to be defended here in russia, but i am limited by my inability to speak russian and so on that it's an isolating and frustrating thing. i really hope that russia, the united states and many other countries will work to push back against this constantly increasing surveillance, this constant erosion and abrasion of public rights.

WILLIAMS: correct me if i'm wrong. the arc of your life is you went from signing up for the military of after 9/11, in effect saying you were willing to die for your country to then telling people you half expected to die via abduction or assassination after what you have done in this instance. that's a pretty dramatic arc since 2003, 2004.

SNOWDEN: i think that's actually a solid representation of the dramatic arcses sthat have happened within our government in the same period. do you think our government has changed since 9/11? have the policies changed? has civil engagement with the government changed? have our politics changed? are things radically different in terms of partisanship? there have been radical changes within our government.

#### WILLIAMS: do you see yourself as a patriot?

SNOWDEN: i do. you know, i think patriot is a word that's thrown around so much that it can be devalued nowadays. but being a patriot doesn't mean prioritizing service to government above all else. being a patriot means knowing when to protect your country, knowing when to protect your constitution, knowing when to protect your countrymen from the violations of and encroachments of adversaries and those adversaries don't have to be foreign countries. they can be bad policies. they can be officials who, you know, need a little bit more accountability. they can be mistakes of government and simple overreach and things that should never have been tried or that went wrong.

WILLIAMS: did you say earlier you were still serving your government?

SNOWDEN: yes.

WILLIAMS: how so?

SNOWDEN: when you look at the actions that i have taken, when you look at the carefulness of the program ares that have been disclosed, when you look at the way this has been filtered through the most trusted journalistic institutions in america, the way the government has had a chance to chime in on this and to make their case, and when you look at the changes that it's resulted in, we have had the first open federal court to ever review these programs declare it likely unconstitutional and orwellian and you see congress agreeing that massive surveillance, bulk collection needs to end. with all of these things happening thatheovernment agrees all the way up to the president, again, make us stronger how can it be said that i did not serve my government? how can it be said that this harmed the country when all three branches of government have made reforms as a result?

WILLIAMS: many in government say snowden should say for what he's done whether or not he comes home.

what do you miss about home?

SNOWDEN: i think the only -- the only answer to something like that for somebody in my situation is, you know, what don't i miss? what would you miss? what wouldn't you miss? i miss my family. i miss my home. i miss my colleagues. i miss the work. because caught up in all these issues, people have unfairly demonized the nsa to a point that's too extreme. these are good people trying to do hard work for good reasons. the problem that we are confronted with, the challenge that we are facing is not the working level guy, some mustache-twirling villain out to destroy your life. it's the fact that senior officials are investing themselves with powers that they are not entitled to, and they are doing it without asking the public for any kind of consent.

WILLIAMS: is what i heard you feeling bad for the damage to the nsa as a result of what you have exposed from the nsa?

SNOWDEN: well, what you need to understand in what i was saying -- i guess not what you need to understand, but what i'm saying is not damage to the nsa. it's the sort of conspiratorial that can emerge when there are abuses that le tnk they can do no good and the government has legitimate programs and purposes and they can do great things. the nsa can as well. i think it's important to remember that people don't set their lives on fire. they don't say good-bye to their families, actually pack up without saying good-bye to their families. they don't walk away from their extraordinary comfortable lives. i made a lot of money for a guy with no high school diploma. and burn down everything they love for no reason.

WILLIAMS: so you're a kid from north carolina and while i, after this interview, am free to fly back to the united states, you can't. does that hurt you?

SNOWDEN: i think no american could be prohibited from coming home or traveling anywhere else without feeling a sense of loss. but, again, i may have lost my ability to travel, but i have gained the ability to go to sleep at night, put my head on the pillow and feel comfortable that i have done the right thing even when it was the hard thing. i'm comfortable with that.

WILLIAMS: a good number of americans, of course, feel that because of what they see as an act of treason, they sleep less soundly at night fearing this massive leak of secrets has endangered the country. there is more on the way. glenn greenwald says the next story he is going to public based on the snowden documents may be the biggest yet in terms of impact. that is our broadcast for tonight. we're going to keep going in the studio to ask the question, in part to take on the same question is snowden a hero or a traitor? we have assembled correspondents and former government officials.

END OF TRANSCRIPT

	NSA-DJ4 USA CIV	
From: Sent: To: Cc:	NSA-D2 USA CI Thursday, May 29, 2014 1:13 PM NSA-DS09 USA NSA-D2 USA CIV NSA-D2 USA CIV NSA-D2 USA CIV	CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV;
Subject: Attachments:	FW: (U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> (U) Recent Media Untitled	
Classification: UNCLASSIF	IED# <del>FOU0</del>	== (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
All,		
	orm my own search. We found this ourse tigation and Management NSA Office of G )	
ATTORNEY WORK PROD released without OGC app		TION PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION Not to be
Sent: Tuesday, June 11, 2 To: NSA-D2 ⁻ Cc NS/	USA CIV	(b)(1) D2 USA CIV (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Classification: CONFIDEN		J
Yes, I called Special Agent suggestion of		ough to him, so I followed up in e-mail (at the ested that I send an e-mail to him and cc her).
Sent: Tuesday, June 11, 2	Commercial 50 921 USA CIV 913 1:14 PM	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Cc: NS	D21 USA CIV -D28 USA CIV NSA-I ) Recent Media Disclosures	D2 USA CIV
Please make sure Q (see I	elow) is aware of the question you receive	ed from Snowden.

Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

1

	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Associate General Counsel (Intelligence Law) 963-3121 (NSTS)	
WARNING NOTICE: This communication contains informa ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT privileges that is exempt from NSA/OGC	
Original Message From: Automated Mass Mailer [mailto:agencyall@nsa.ic.gov] Sent: Monday, June 10, 2013 11:30 AM To: Agency All 2.0 (alias) Subject: (U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> (U) Recent Media Disclosures	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL/	
<ul> <li>- (C//REL) As you are undoubtedly aware, there have been nu NSA's intelligence activities. Although Edward Snowden, a cu forward as the source of the media leaks, the investigation by authorities continues.</li> </ul>	urrent NSA contractor and former CIA affiliate, has come
(C//REL) The Associate Directorate for Security and Counters support to this ongoing investigation in close coordination wit or detailee who has information pertaining to this case and/or Special Agent Chief, Q312, Compromise	h the NSA Office of General Counsel. Any NSA/CSS affiliate
(U// <del>FOUO</del> ) The ADS&CI would like to remind all NSA/CSS a unless cleared to do so by the ADS&CI in support of the offic security concerns about this case are also encouraged to con	ial government investigation. NSA/CSS affiliates who have
(U// <del>FOUO)</del> As this case will continue to receive media attenti refer inquiries from the press or others outside of NSA/CSS t on 963-5825 or 301-688-6524, via unclassified e-mail at nsar	o the NSA Public Affairs Office (PAO). PAO can be reached
(U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> Release Authority: Corporate Communications, M (U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> POC for Message: (U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> Content Approval for Message: (U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> Distro: Entire NSA Workforce DERIVED FROM: NSA/CSSM 1-52 DATED: 8 January 2007	Messaging and Public Affairs, DN1 @nsa.ic.gov] (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
DECLASSIFY ON: 20320108	
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO	

NS	A-DJ4 USA CIV
From: Sent: To: Subject:	NSA-DS09 USA CIV <u>Thursday, May</u> 29, 2014 11:53 AM NSA-D23 USA CIV FW: (U) ACTION: Snowden's Claims of Voicing Concerns
Importance:	High
Classification: UNCLAS	
Office Manager to the Deputy Ge Office of General Counsel (D2) 963-5548, OPS2B 2B8140, Suite: 6250 (U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> My Dropbox	eneral Counsels (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 1	JSA CIV; DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4; D23; NSA-D23 USA CIV; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; NSA-Q31 USA CIV
Classification: UNCLAS	SIFIED #FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Thanks, for the reminder!	•
To ALL,	
I forgot to include a suspense.	
We need your POC right away so	that we can talk/horse trade/and write against these questions today.
a second	nan) is going in front of the press at 1230 todayhe will get the standard fair that we othswe can't possibly pass anything useful to him by that time.
That said there are still discussion	ons with DOI about possibly releasing the one email we have between Snowden and the

That said...there are still discussions with DOJ about possibly releasing the one email we have between Snowden and the OGC..and the potential for other press conference later today...so we need to move fast.

ADM Rogers has scheduled a update on the NBC interview in his office tomorrow at 0900. Our info will be used there as well.

### Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

So...we need to have our input written and ready by 1400 today.

Thanks!

Rgds,
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Media Leaks Task Force           OPS 2B, 2B8090           969-5009 (s)           (tu)
From:         NSA-Q USA CIV           Sent:         Thursday,         May 29,         2014 11:29 AM           To:         NSA-S3M2 USA CIV
Subject: RE: (U) ACTION: Snowden's Claims of Voicing Concerns
suspense?
ADS&CI Chief of Staff 968-6000(s);
From: NSA-S3M2 USA CIV
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:25 AM To: NSA-Q USA CIV; DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4;
NSA-T USA CIV
Cc: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; NSA-D23 USA CIV; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1;
DL Q_REGISTRY;NSA-Q31 USA CIV Subject: (U) ACTION: Snowden's Claims of Voicing Concerns
Transitance: Lich
Text below duplicated in DOCID 4249209

DOCID:	4294820	

	NSA-DJ4 USA CIV	
From: Sent: To: Subject:	Grimes Arlene U NSA-DW USA CIV Friday, May 30, 2014 3:28 PM NSA-D2 USA CIV RE: (U) PLEASE REVIEW: Revised Article for NSA Workforce	
Classification: UNCL	ASSIFIED #FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	
many thanks for the	quick response! Greatly appreciate it.	
Cheers, (U/ <del>/FOUO) -</del> Arlene U. Grimes Chief, NSA Media Leaks Pu 2B5053 Personal: 969-7505s / PAO Office: 969-7499s / Blackberry/external email: After-hours media queries:	blic Affairs Office	
My dropbox (for large files):		
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 To: DL medialeaks_core (AL	A-D28 USA CIV 3:17 PM IAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; NSA-D USA CIV;	
NSA-F1Y USA CIV Subject: FW: (U) PLEASE R	EVIEW: Revised Article for NSA Workforce	
Classification: U	NCLASSIFIED/ <del>/FOUO</del>	
Please see small changes be	low. This is a consolidated OGC response.	
	(b)(5) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
Deputy General Counsel Litigation and Management NSA Office of General Couns 963-5548 (secure)	sel (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
(unclassified)		
	ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT COMMUNICATION PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION Not to be released without OGC approval	
From: NSA Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014	A-D2 USA CIV 2:51 PM	

## Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

DOCID: 4294822	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
To: NSA-D28 USA CIV	$I \setminus$
Subject: FW: (U) PLEASE REVIEW: Revised Article for NSA Workforce	
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED #FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	- / \
From: Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV	$\uparrow$
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 1:04 PM To: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23	
Cc: DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1;	NSA-D USA CIV;
Subject: (U) PLEASE REVIEW: Revised Article for NSA Workforce	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Classification: LINCLASSIFIED //FOR-OFFICIAL LISE ONLY	(b)(5)

OGC, please review this draft article which is in response to several questions that have been submitted by the NSA workforce to the Media Leaks Internal Communications web site since the NBC interview aired on Wednesday night. We would greatly appreciate a response by 1500, if possible so it can be shared with the workforce as soon as possible.

(U) On 29 May 2014, NSA Public Affairs released the below statement to the press:

#### **BEGIN STATEMENT**

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the Office of the General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The email did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The email will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searches for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

#### END STATEMENT

(U/<del>/FOUO)</del> We understand the frustration many must feel. Please understand we are making every effort to ensure that NSA continues to be transparent with the public while protecting sources and methods and the integrity of the investigation.

(U) Please remember that if you are approached by the press regarding any of these allegations; please refer them to the NSA Public Affairs Office, 301-688-6524 or <u>nsapao@nsa.gov.</u>

Thanks much, (U <del>//FOUO)</del> A rlene
Arlene U. Grimes Chief, NSA Media Leaks Public Affairs Office 2B5053 Personal: 969-7505s / PAO Office: 969-7499s / Blackberry/external email: After-hours media queries: nsapao@nsa.gov
My dropbox (for large files):
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED #FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED-//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED #FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Classification: UNCLASSIFIE D//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>/FOUO-</del>
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOUO

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED //FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

DOCID:	4294833 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	NSA-DJ09 USA CTR
From:	NSA-D USA CIV
Sent:	Thursday, April 10, 2014 3:32 PM
To:	Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV
Cc:	DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 NSA-D USA CIV, DL medialeaks_core
	(ALIAS) D; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV;
	NSA-DL USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-O USA CIV: NSA-Q
	USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-Q31 USA CIV;
	NSA-Q3 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV;
	NSA-D21 USA CIV
Subject:	(U) REPLY: (U) FINAL PAO REPLY RE: (U) UPDATE: (U) FORWARDED FOR FOLLOW-UP:
	(U) FYSA: Snowden Allegation in Pending Vanity Fair Article

#### Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN-

Arlene,

(U/<del>/FOUO)</del> Thanks. If we get any inquiries about that specific issue I assume we will use the same language we have previously used (with Bart Gellman) on that topic.

Ethan B (LAO),

communicating with the Hill, either proactively of	eferring entirely to LAO any action/format with respect to or in response to outreach from them. I ask only that you please keep us
in the loop in the event any of that engagement	circles back in the form of media inquiries. Please deal directly with
OGC and Q31 if you need any ve	ersion of the April 2013 e-mail exchange involving Snowden and an OGC
attorney.	
	and the second
Regards,	and the second
	and the second
	. The state of the
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	(b)(J)-F.E. 00-00
Media Leaks Task Force	
National Security Agency/Central Security Service	
OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICS	
UNCL	
NSTS 969-5011	
BLACK	
From: Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV	
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 3:11 PM	
To: NSA-D USA CIV	
Cc: DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1	NSA-D USA_CIV; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; De Rajesh NSA-D2
USA CIV: NSA-D2 USA CIV;	NSA-DL USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV;
NSA-Q USA CIV;	NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-Q31 USA CIV;
NSA-Q3 USA CIV; Bauman E	than L NSA-DL USA CIV
Subject: (U) FINAL PAO REPLY RE: (U) UPDATE	: (U) FORWARDED FOR FOLLOW-UP: (U) FYSA: Snowden Allegation in
Pending Vanity Fair Article	N A DIA EL
Importance: High	
unen normalanden en sen sen sen sen sen sen sen sen se	
- 근처 : 정말 : 이상 : 이	

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN-

#### Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

## DOCID; 4294833

(U//FOUC) PAO does not recommend releasing a statement in response to the Vanity Fair article. We released a statement on 30 December 2013 and we simply aren't able to provide anything new or substantive at this time. The good news is that this article has not received any bounce and there have been no media queries today.

Thank you,
(U//FOUG)
Arlene
Arlene U. Grimes
NSA Public Affairs Office Lead
2B5053
Personal: 969-7505s /
PAO Office: 969-7499s
Blackberry/external email:
After-hours media queries: nsapao@nsa.gov
My dropbox (for large files):
From: Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 11:25 AM
To: NSA-D U <u>SA CIV</u>
Cc: DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; NSA-D USA CIV; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; De Rajesh NSA-D2
USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-DL USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV;
NSA-Q USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-Q31 USA CIV;
NSA-Q3 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV
Subject: (U) FOLLOW UP RE: (U) REPLY: (U) PAO REPLY: (U) UPDATE: (U) FORWARDED FOR FOLLOW-UP: (U) FYSA:
Snowden Allegation in Pending Vanity Fair Article
Showden Anegation in Pending Vality Fair Article
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN
(U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> correct, this statement would be for release in addition to the CN and only after the CN is released
맛~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
today. If OGC and Security approve, we will seek NSC and ODNI approval.
Thank you,
(U/ <del>/FOUO)-</del>
Arlene
Arlene U. Grimes
NSA Public Affairs Office Lead
2B5053 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Personal: 969-7505s /
PAO Office: 969-7499s /
Blackberry/external email:
After-hours media queries: nsapao@nsa.gov
My dropbox (for large files):
wy diopbox (initialge mos).
From: NSA-D USA CIV
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 11:12 AM
To: Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV
Cc: DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1 NSA-D USA CIV; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; De Rajesh NSA-D2
USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; INSA-D2 USA CIV;
NSA-Q USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-Q USA CIV; NSA-Q USA CIV;
NSA-Q USA CIV,NSA-Q USA CIV,NSA-Q USA CIV,NSA-Q USA CIV,
Subject: (U) REPLY: (U) PAO REPLY: (U) UPDATE: (U) FORWARDED FOR FOLLOW-UP: (U) FYSA: Snowden Allegation in

Pending Vanity Fair Article
#### Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

Arlene,

(U/<del>/FOUO)</del> Is it your recommendation that we do so in addition to the CN for the purposes of addressing a factual error published by Vanity Fair? Is so, I'd like to see the CN go first so we provide the Hill the courtesy of awareness. In the meantime you can run the necessary traps to clear language if we're satisfied that the e-mail searches have come up empty handed.

Thanks,	
Media Leaks Task Force	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
National Security Agency/Central Security Service OPS 2B 2B8090	
JWICS	
UNCL	
BLACK	
	<i>Z</i>
From: Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV	
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 11:07 AM	NSA-D/USA CIV
To: Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; Cc: DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1;	NSA-D USA CIV NSA-D USA CIV; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; De Rajesh NSA-D2
USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV;	NSA-DL USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV;
NSA-Q USA CIV;	NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-Q31 USA CIV;
NSA-Q3 USA CIV	
<ul> <li>Address of the standard s Standard standard stand Standard standard stand Standard standard st Standard standard st Standard standard stand Standard standard stand Standard standard st Standard standard stand Standard standard stand Standard standard stand Standard standard standard sta</li></ul>	WARDED FOR FOLLOW-UP: (U) FYSA: Snowden Allegation in Pending
Vanity Fair Article	
Importance: High	

#### Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

(U//FOUO) for your reference, there was a previous NSA statement released on 30 December 2013, in response to a query from Bart Gellman.

"After extensive investigation, including interviews with his former NSA supervisors and co-workers, we have not found any evidence to support Mr. Snowden's contention that he brought these matters to anyone's attention."

(U/<del>/FOUO)</del> Does OGC and Security want PAO to release an updated statement today? Here is a proposed draft for internal review.

The Vanity Fair article reports Mr. Snowden saying that he expressed his concerns in emails to NSA's attorneys and compliance and oversight authorities. Despite extensive searches, supported by investigative interviews, NSA has not yet found anything that substantiates this claim.

Thank you, (U <del>//FOUO)-</del> Arlene	
Arlene U. Grimes NSA Public Affairs Office Lead 2B5053 Personal: 969-7505s / PAO Office: 969-7499s /	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

JOID.	1294 <u>833</u>			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
Blackberry/exterr After-hours medi		Dinsa.gov		7/	
My dropbox (for I	large files):				
Sent: Thursday, To: Cc: DL PAOMedia USA CIV:	a (ALIAS) DN1; NSA-D2 U NSA-Q USA CIV; NSA-Q3 USA CIV	AM /; <u>Grimes Arl</u> ene U NSA NSA-D US JSA CIV;	A CIV; DL medialeaks_ NSA-DL USA CIV; Er NSA-D USA CIV;	core (ALIAS) D; De Raje nsor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA NSA-Q31 USA n Allegation in Pending V	CIV; CIV;
Article	) OFDATE. (0) TORM	ARDED TOR TOLLOW-		an Anegation in Fending V	ariity i aii
Classificatio	n: <del>CONFIDENT</del>	FIAL//NOFORN			
N Chevra and a state of the second of the second		we provide to Congress eaves the DOJ position,		sified, will immediately be here.	e leaked to
To: Grimes Arlen Cc: DL PAOMedia USA CIV; Leoinel K NSA-Q NSA-Q31 USA CI	NSA-D2 USA CIV:	AM NSA-D US JSA CIV; Bauman Etha NSA-Q USA CIV NSA-Q3 USA CIV	n L NSA-DL USA CIV; IV;	core (ALIAS) D; De Raje NSA-DL U NSA-D USA CIV; legation in Pending Vanity	SA CIV; Enso
Classificatio	n: <del>CONFIDENT</del>	FIAL//NOFORN		(b)(3)-F	P.L. 86-36
2013 e-mail) not do not believe th	be released so if we	are going to pursue a preclude a Congression	public response we wil	nowden's assertions (i.e. I need some help to re-en e've achieved the right le	ngage DoJ.
		*****			
Media Leaks Task Fo National Security Age OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICS UNCL NSTS 969-5011 BLACK	prce ency/Central Security Serv	rice		"(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
From: Sent: Thursday, To: Grimes Arlen Cc: DL P <u>AOMedia</u>	NSA-D USA April 10, 2014 7:43 /	AM	/	core (ALIAS) D; De Raje	

DOCID: 4294 <u>833</u>	
Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV; NSA-Q USA CIV;	NSA-D USA CIV;
NSA-Q31 USA CIV; NSA-Q3 USA CIV Subject: (U) FORWARDED FOR FOLLOW-UP: (U) FYSA: Snowden Allegat	ion in Pending Vanity Eair Article
Importance: High	
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN	$>$ $\setminus$ /
TO: ML PAO	
IO. MERAO	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Arlene,	
Good Morning (O's Beat Yanks Again • )	
(U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> Taking into account the Director's expectations below, wh	
update I provided last night (attached), please huddle with your team and provide your recommendation for the best way forward. I think one of t	있는 그것은 사용하게 가장 및 것으로 가장 것은 것은 가장 것이 가지 않아요. 가장 것은 것은 것은 것은 것을 가지 않는 것은 것을 것을 가지 않는 것이다. 것은 것은 것을 가지 않아요. 것은 것은 것
of certainty we express on the specific issue of outreach by Snowden to e	
need to accomplish this task and let or I know how we can help.	
Thanks,	
	Same and the second
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Media Leaks Task Force	
National Security Agency/Central Security Service OPS 2B 2B8090	
JWICS UNCL	
NSTS 069-5011 BLACK	$H = I = I M (\lambda \lambda \lambda)$
From: Rogers Michael S NSA-D USA USN	/ $/$ $/$ $/$ $/$
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 12:57 PM	
To: NSA-D USA CIV; Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Et	
USA <u>CIV</u>	
Cc: NSA-Q3 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV	
NSA-Q3 USA CIV; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (A NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D21 USA	이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이 이
NSA-Q31_USA CIV; NSA-D2_USA	CIV: NSA-D USA CIV;
USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV	NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D V; NSA-DL USA CIV
Subject: RE: (U) FYSA: Snowden Allegation in Pending Vanity Fair Article	

Importance: High

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN-

Let's be ready to be very public here. If his claims are factually incorrect and we do not have security concerns with the subject matter we should be very forthright in stating his claims are wrong and factually incorrect. I want us to do the coordination ASAP vice waiting for an article and then spending three weeks debating our way ahead.

/r, MSR

ADM Michael S. Rogers, USN

Commander, U.S. Cyber Command
Director, NSA / Chief, CSS
FT George G. Meade, MD
NSA NET
SIPR:
Comm
From: NSA-D USA CIV
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014-3:35 PM
To: Rogers Michael S NSA-D USA USN; Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; De
Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; NSA-DL
Cc: NSA-Q3 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV; NSA-Q USA CIV; NSA-Q USA CIV;
NSA-O3 USA CIV; DL medialeaks core (ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; NSA-D USA CIV;
NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D21 USA CIV; NSA-Q31 USA CIV;
NSA-Q31_USA CIV; NSA-D2_USA_CIV; NSA-D_USA_CIV; NSA-D_USA_CIV:
NSA-D USA CIV:NSA-D USA CIV;NSA-D USA CIV;NSA-D
USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; NSA-DL USA CIV
Subject: (U) FYSA: Snowden Allegation in Pending Vanity Fair Article Below text is duplicated in DOCID 4272116

From:	NSA-D USA CIV
Sent:	Tuesday, June 03, 2014 8:11 AM
То:	Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV
Cc:	Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; Shea Teresa H NSA-S USA CIV; De Rajesh N
	USA CIV NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D28 USA C
	NSA-Q3 USA CIV; NSA-Q31 USA CIV;
	NSA-Q31 USA CIV; Soule Trumbull D NSA-DC USA CIV:
	NSA-D USA CIV; Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; NSA-D2
	CIV
Subject:	(U) FOLLOW-UP: 2014_05_30 FYSA(U) findings
Classification: CON	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

check for material which may represent outreach by Edward Snowden to officials at NSA along the lines of what he has publicly claimed. Please advise if there is something you need from me or the task force to assist with that action. (U//FOUO) Thread below may be of interest/reelvance.

Rega <u>rds.</u> (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
Media Leaks Task Force National Security Agency/Central Security Service OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICS UNCL NSTS 969-5011 BLACK	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)
From:       NSA-D28 USA CIV         Sent:       Friday, May 30, 2014 6:25 PM         To:       DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; Grimes Arlene U NSA-DN USA CIV; Vines Vanee M NSA-DN1 USA         Cc       NSA-D23 USA CIV;         NSA-D23 USA CIV;       NSA-D23 USA CIV;         Subject:       (U) FW: 2014_05_30 FYSA(U)	CIV
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)
All,	
In making sure we had done due diligence with respect to any follow on actions for NBC, we reviewe documentation of three Snowden interactions about why he failed an exam, the Dell trouble ticket a question. (excerpted below).	
	/

1

Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

DCID: 4294835	Thus if engaged and if
ppropriate, we have never denied the existence of correspondence just corresponden	ce containing any complaints.
Regards	\
vegarus .	- N.
	N. Contraction of the second s
Deputy General Counsel	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
itigation and Management	(b)(5)
NSA Office of General Counsel	
963-5548 (secure)	
(unclassified)	
SV information:	
An email exchange circa APR 2013 when Snowden was with FHV, with NSAW SV	regarding the
legal hierarchy question from the OVSC1800 course that was eventually referre	
(Provides more background on the "one" contact we accounted	
	. //
A personal exchange circa APR 2013 with SV when Snowden app	eared at her desk with concer
about "trick questions" in the test for OVSC1203 being the reason why he failed	the test. explained
there are no trick questions, and that directly-related answers can be found ver	batim in the course
material. She also explained that he can retake the testwhich appeared to ca	Im his concerns.
	A. C.
Email exchange circa 2012 while Snowden was a SysAdmin with Dell. He helped	
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten	nplate" process. Later, SV
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a	nplate" process. Later, SV
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten	nplate" process. Later, SV
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email.	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interact	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interact elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature.	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interact elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature.	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interact elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature.	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interactive elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature. (b)	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interactive elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature. (b) From: NSA-Q312 USA CIV Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 9:09 AM	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided ion with the Compliance (3)-P.L. 86-36
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interactive elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature. (b) From: NSA-Q312 USA CIV Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 9:09 AM Fo: NSA-Q311 USA CIV; NSA-Q31 USA CIV;	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interactive elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature. (b) From: NSA-Q312 USA CIV Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 9:09 AM To: NSA-Q311 USA CIV NSA-Q311 USA CIV	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided ion with the Compliance (3)-P.L. 86-36 NSA-Q31 USA CIV; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-33
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interactive elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature. (b)( content: Friday, May 30, 2014 9:09 AM fo: NSA-Q311 USA CIV NSA-Q311 USA CIV NSA-Q31 USA CIV Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 9:09 AM fo: NSA-Q311 USA CIV Subject: (U) findings	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided ion with the Compliance (3)-P.L. 86-36 NSA-Q31 USA CIV; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 (b)(7)(A)
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interactive elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature. (b)( From:	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided ion with the Compliance (3)-P.L. 86-36 NSA-Q31 USA CIV; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 (b)(7)(A)
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interactive elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature. (b)( From:	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided ion with the Compliance (3)-P.L. 86-36 NSA-Q31 USA CIV; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interactive elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature. (b)( From:	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided ion with the Compliance (3)-P.L. 86-36 NSA-Q31 USA CIV; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interactive elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature. (b)( From:	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided ion with the Compliance (3)-P.L. 86-36 NSA-Q31 USA CIV; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interactive elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature. (b)( From:	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided ion with the Compliance (3)-P.L. 86-36 NSA-Q31 USA CIV; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interactive elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature. (b)( From:	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided ion with the Compliance (3)-P.L. 86-36 NSA-Q31 USA CIV; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interactive elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature. (b)( From:	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided ion with the Compliance (3)-P.L. 86-36 NSA-Q31 USA CIV; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interactive elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature. (b)( From:	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided ion with the Compliance (3)-P.L. 86-36 NSA-Q31 USA CIV; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interactive elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature. (b)( From:	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided ion with the Compliance (3)-P.L. 86-36 NSA-Q31 USA CIV; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interactive elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature. (b)( From:	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided ion with the Compliance (3)-P.L. 86-36 NSA-Q31 USA CIV; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)
sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document ten contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed a long-distance by email. MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"but they do show interactive elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature. (b)( From:	nplate" process. Later, SV assistance, which he provided ion with the Compliance (3)-P.L. 86-36 NSA-Q31 USA CIV; (b)(3)-P.L. 86-3 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)

 $\mathbb{G}$ 

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)

The Arter and the second		A-1-A-	9-E-
DOCID	: 42	948.	33

	1	
	/	
(U#FOUO)- Special Agent Assigned to Q3 FANX 2, Room A2A048, Suite 6832 Direct: 968-3374(s Office: 968-5441(s) DropBox	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)	

"This email contains information subject to the Privacy Act."

"This email contains information subject to the Privacy Act."	
	Classified By:
JEN44	<ul> <li>Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52</li> </ul>
(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	-Dated: 20070108
(b)(4) 12:000	-Declassify On: 20390501-
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL/	
	Classified By:
	-Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
	Dated: 20070108
	-Declassify On: 20390501
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL	
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>/FOU0_</del>	
7	-Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
	Dated: 20070108
	Declassify On: 20390601
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL	
	11 /
	11 X

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Blacker Cindy S NS	A-DJ4 USA CIV		
From:			
Sent:	Monday, June 10, 20		
To:		SV USA CIV	A CIV NSA-
	T132 USA CIV;	NSA-SV42 USA CIV;	NSA-SV4 USA CIV
Subject:	(U) I had email conta	act with him	
Importance:	High		(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Classification: <del>TO</del>			
This was in relation to no	ot being able to open the EMT	files forwarded to me from Hawaii.	
From: Snowden Edward Sent: Thursday, August			
То:	NSA-SV4 USA CIV;	NSA-FHS2I USA CIV;	NSA-unknown
USA CTR;	NSA-T99 USA CTR		
	ISA-FHX4 USA CIV;	NSA-FHX4 USA CIV	NSA-FHX4 USA CIV
Subject: RE: (U) Ticket	IM98705 - CRITICAL - NSAW (	Oversight cannot read/access NSAH fil	es
Classification: TO	P SECRET//SI/	- and a second	
			b)(1) b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Ah. Appreciate the clarification.

.rtf files aren't actually word docs, MS Word just has a default association to open them since they're universally readable. This is because Word is "greedy" by design in regards to file associations. To fix that:

- 1) Right click one of the .rtf files and hover over the "Open With" option
- 2) Click "Choose default program"
- Select "Wordpad" from the list of programs, make sure the box in the bottom-left that says "Always use the selected program to open this kind of file" is checked, then click ok.
  - a. If "Wordpad" is not visible on the list, you'll have to click "Browse" and go to the location of the executable to find it. You can do a windows search for wordpad.exe, but it's probably in C:\Program Files\Windows NT\Accessories (named wordpad.exe) if NSAW is using a modern OS build.

Now you just have to double click (or however you prefer to open the files) and Windows will start the proper application automatically.

If you're still having trouble, please feel free to call me directly on the number below.

Thanks,

Ed Snowden Systems Administrator, DELL – Advanced Solutions Group

Computing Services, Office of Information Sharing NSA/CSS Hawaii – HT322

#### Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

272.22

2

Secure: 952-4501		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Black:		
From: NSA-SV4 USA CIV		$\mathbb{N}$
Sent: Thursday, August 30, 2012 11:59 AM		
To: Snowden Edward J NSA-FHX4 USA CTR;	NSA-FHS2I USA CIV;	NSA-unknown
USA CTR; NSA-T99 USA CTR		
Cc: NSA-FHX4 USA CIV;	NSA-FHX4 USA CIV	NSA-FHX4 USA CIV
Subject: RE: (U) Ticket IM98705 - CRITICAL - NSAW	Oversight cannot read/access NSAH file	S I I
Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT/		(1)
okay, will try that.		(3)-P. L. 86-36
My 2 nd question was that I don't want to have to oper solution to this problem.	n word docs in word pad from now on. V	Vhat is the ultimate
From: Snowden Edward J NSA-FHX4 USA CTR	1	
Sent: Thursday, August 30, 2012 5:54 PM		
To NSA-SV4 USA CIV;	NSA-FHS2I USA CIV	NSA-unknown
USA CTR: NSA-T99 USA CTR		
Cc: NSA-FHX4 USA CIV;	NSA-FHX4 USA CIV;	NSA-FHX4 USA CIV
Subject: RE: (U) Ticket IM98705 - CRITICAL - NSAW		
Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//		(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
HI		

The .rtf file format supports embedded images, and Wordpad should render them the same as Word. You can test this by grabbing an image (Ctrl+PrintScreen will capture a screenshot of your desktop, if you don't have one), and saving it into an rtf file. It should display, save, etc, the same as any other document viewer.

Regarding your second question, I'm not sure I understand the question. If you'd prefer a different file format (pdf?), that's easy enough to implement, but as a proprietary format, it would be more difficult to programmatically parse that a more open standard like rtf, which could limit the production unit's ability to automate this in the future.

Ed Snowden		
Systems Administrator, DELL – Advanced Solutions Gr	oup	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Computing Services, Office of Information Sharing		
NSA/CSS Hawaii – HT322		
Secure: 952-4501		11
Black:		
From: NSA-SV4 USA CIV		
Sent: Thursday, August 30, 2012 7:39 AM	//	
To: Snowden Edward J NSA-FHX4 USA CTR;	NSA-FHS2I USA CIV;	NSA-unknown
USA_CTR; NSA-T99 USA CTR		
Cc: NSA-FHX4 USA CIV;	NSA-FHX4 USA CIV;	NSA-FHX4 USA CIV
Subject: RE: (U) Ticket IM98705 - CRITICAL - NSAW	Oversight cannot read/access NSAH file	s
Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT/		(b)(1)

(1)(1)		
(b)(3)	-P.L.	86-36

Ed - I was able to open recent files in wordpad. Sometimes the word docs contain embedded pictures - I don't know what would happen to them in wordpad though?

What else car	n we do to turn this problem off at the so	burce?	36-36
	den Edward J NSA-FHX4 USA CTR vy, August 28, 2012 6:41 PM NSA-SV4 USA CIV;	NSA-FHS2I USA CIV;	NSA-unknown
Cc:	NSA-FHX4 USA CIV; (U) Ticket IM98705 - CRITICAL - NSAW	NSA-FHX4 USA CIV;	NSA-FHX4 USA CIV
Classificat	tion: TOP SECRET//SI/		(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Thanks for reaching out. The folks generating these documents are still getting fully trained up on the new process, so we suspect they may have missed a small but critical step in the process (i.e. saving the .rtf <u>in a non-MS-Word program</u>, not simply saving the document as a .rtf <u>from within</u> MS-Word).

That said, I suspect you may still be able open the .rtf files you were sent <u>if you use a program to open them that is</u> <u>NOT/NOT MS Word</u>. For how to do this:

- Please open "Wordpad (this program is entirely distinct from Microsoft Word, even though it has a similar name)." To do so:
  - o Hit the start button, then type "wordpad" and hit enter (for Windows Vista or 7), or
  - o Hit the start button and then click "run," and then type "wordpad" and hit enter.
- Once the "Wordpad" program is open, try to open the .rtf files.

The reason this works is because the links that you're having trouble with **are only understood by MS Word**, which seems the embedded links and tries to "phone home." By opening the .rtfs in a non-MS-Office-Suite program (such as Wordpad, which is installed by default on Windows systems), you're basically telling the computer "Only show me the **content** of the files, and ignore any MS-Word specific metadata."

As for the genesis of problem, I can only speak to the technical causes, which are complex, but I'll do my best to simplify them.

This by itself would not be a problem, since you're being sent the files directly, **however**, the program used by the analysts to generate these files (Microsoft Word) embeds a huge amount of hidden metadata into every file it creates. In this case, it's creating a "phone home" link that tells Word where to get a copy of the FISA document template that was used to create the file (this is really bad design on Microsoft's part, but in their defense they were probably assuming an open-sharing environment). That means when someone outside the enclave tries to open the document in Word, Word immediately detects the phone home link and tries to go get a copy of the document template (from the enclave it can't reach). It also won't let you do anything for roughly five minutes (more bad design) until it decides that the enclave is actually inaccessible, rather than temporarily non-responsive.

Normally, the simple fix to this would be "don't create the document from a Word document template," but since the analysts rely on macros embedded in the document template for a part of their production process (minimization), we

have to go with a solution that allows them to use the macros, and then disassociate all of the "trash" metadata this generates from the produced content. That's why the .rtf (a universally readable format) file needs to be created outside of Word – non-Word programs don't understand all the phone-homing chicanery and simple omit that information from the finished file entirely. Creating the .rtf this way creates a file **that you can still open in Word and won't phone home** – which is our desired result. Conversely, if the .rtf file is saved within Word, you just get a universally readable file that still wants to phone home -- this is what I suspect you were emailed.

However, opening those files in a program that is not Word will still ignore the "phone home" instruction, because only Word can understand that instruction. Other text editors will just ignore it, and allow you to open the file anyway.

I hope that fully answers your question. If you'd like any additional information, please feel free to contact me directly. Also, please let me know if you are or are not able to open the .rtf files in Wordpad (NOT/NOT Word) so I can factor that into any further troubleshooting.

Yours in service,

Ed Snowden			
Systems Admir	nistrator, DELL – Advanced Solutions G	roup	
		and the second	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Computing Ser	rvices, Office of Information Sharing		
NSA/CSS Hawa	aii — HT322	and the second	
Secure: 952-4	501		
Black:	and the second		
	and the second		
From:	NSA-SV4 USA CIV		1
Sent: Tuesday	r, August 28, 2012 9:28 AM		
To: Snowden I	Edward J NSA-FHX4_USA CTR;	NSA-FHS2I USA CIV;	NSA-unknown
USA CTR	and the second se		
Cc:	NSA-FHX4 USA CIV;	ŃSA-FHX4 USA CIV;	NSA-FHX4 USA CIV
(construction of the second seco		Oversight cannot read/access NSAH file	es
Importance:	High		
Classificatio	n: TOP SECRET//COMINT/		(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Ed,

I am the POC at NSAW who alerted to this problem. The files I received in RTF format this morning have the same problem.

What is the reason that there are hidden links that we are all struggling to compensate for? What has changed in the last month or so....

Thanks	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
SV/Oversight & Compliance	
969-0151s/ FMHQ OPS1 3W145	

oord.	1291090		
From: Snowde	en Edward J NSA-FHX4 USA CTR		
Sent: Friday, A	August 24, 2012 9:06 PM		
To:	NSA-FHS2I_USA_CIV:	NSA-unknown USA CTR	
Cc:	NSA-FHX4 USA CIV;	NSA-FHX4 USA CIV;	NSA-FHX4 USA CIV
Subject: RE:	(U) Ticket IM98705 - CRITICAL - NSAW	Oversight cannot read/access NSAH f	files
	and the second se		
Classificati	ion: TOP SECRET//SI/		
			(b)(1)
Hi			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

#### Summary:

The issue ended up being a process related issue caused by Word's handling of template & macro tracking. As I explained on the phone, in simple terms, there were basically "hidden" links in all of the documents your analysts were creating that were requiring anybody trying to open the files to be able to reach into the NSAH network. Not all offices can do that, which caused the problems NSAW experienced.

We came up with a solution that would allow your folks to continue with their normal workflow (that utilizes local templates) while maintaining readability for external offices.

If you're interested in the specifics, they are included below.

#### Problem:

The analysts generating the word documents use a special template that implements certain macros they rely on for the FISA minimization process, but it creates a link (internal to the document file format) to that template's location and some other associated enclave content paths. Since those file locations are external users not only can't view the document's content, but the peculiarities of Microsoft Word's startup process ensure that users cannot even "fail" to open the document until a 5 minute network timeout elapses.

After those five minutes pass, you can use template tricks to fix the document, but this results in a poor user experience (and requires a key-management style template tracking process to make sure all recipients have the latest template), so it was not recommended as a solution. Unfortunately, saving in a different format *within Word* (barring perhaps .txt, which strips formatting and is therefore undesirable) still preserves the template, and manually disassociating the template within word is a roughly "ten click" process, so analysts were having trouble coming up with a simple workaround.

#### Solution:

Producers will continue to use Word for workflow, as this allows them to access their macros locally. Once the document is finalized, they'll save it into a universally readable, metadata free format that preserves formatting (such as .rtf) through a product that does not support Word macros/templates (wordpad) to remove the enclave hardlinks. This ensures any user anywhere will be able to read their reporting without the format quibbles.

Additionally, the Ctrl+A/Ctrl+C/Alt+Tab/Ctrl+V sequence was suggested as a simple "now" workflow solution. Longer term, suggested they write a simple parser to rip the text content from .docs within their working folder and convert it to a better format (rtf/txt/html/xml) programmatically, so the analysts don't have to consciously think about changing the document format. Probably possible via a simple script.

Main POC in your office for further information is

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

If you have any additional questions, just let me know.

Thanks,	
Ed Snowden Systems Administrator, DELL – Advanced Solutions Group	
Computing Services, Office of Information Sharing NSA/CSS Hawaii – HT322 Secure: <u>952-4501</u> Black:	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: NSA-FHX4 USA CIV Sent: Friday, August 24, 2012 9:21 AM To: NCHI HT322 Cc: NCHI Customer Advocate; NSA-FHX4 USA CIV Subject: RE: (U) Ticket IM98705 - CRITICAL - NSAW Oversight cannot read/access NSAH files	
Classification: TOP SECRET//SI/	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Good morning, Can someone help us out on this situation?	
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
NSACSS Hawaii Solutions Desk Lead FHT332 – IT Customer Solutions 952-2719(s) u)	
Have an IT question/problem? "go NSAH-HELP" for answers, common DIY gui announcements Have a suggestion, comment, or complaint? Click <u>HERE</u>	des, and up-to-date
From       NSA-unknown       USA_CTR         Sent: Friday, August 24, 2012 8:58 AM       NSA-FHX4 USA CTR;         To       NSA-FHX4 USA CTR;         ITISA_CTR;       NSA-FHX4 USA CTR;	NSA-FHX4 USA CTR;
Cc: NSA-FHX4 USA CIV Subject: FW: (U) Ticket IM98705 - CRITICAL - NSAW Oversight cannot read/access NSAH files	;
Classification: TOP SECRET//SI/	
I don't know how to proceed with ticket or who to forward to. Need help	
From: NSA-FHS2I USA CIV Sent- Friday August 24, 2012 8:47 AM To: NSA-unknown USA CTR Subject: RE: (U) Ticket IM98705 - CRITICAL - NSAW Oversight cannot read/access NSAH files	
Classification: TOP SECRET//SI/	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Thanks for all of your help!	
6	

(U/ <del>/FOUO)</del>	
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Chief, HS2I2	
NSAH	
952-7178s/	
From NSA-unknown USA CTR	
Sent: Friday, August 24, 2012 8:45 AM To NSA-FHS2I USA CIV	
Subject: RE: (U) Ticket IM98705 - CRITICAL - NSAW Oversight cannot read/access NSA	H files
Classification: TOP SECRET//SI/	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	(5)(0) 1 .2. 00 00
I will look into this further and update you as soon I get more info. Apologies for the del	lay.
From: NSA-FHS2I USA CIV Sent: Friday, August 24, 2012 8:15 AM	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
To: NSA-unknown USA CTR	
CC: CT12 NSA-FHS2I USA USN	
Subject: RE: (U) Ticket IM98705 - CRITICAL - NSAW Oversight cannot read/access NSA	H files
Classification: TOP SECRET//SI/	
	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	(5)(5)-1-2: 55-55
Its you again, huh?;-)	
We are without issues here at NSAH. The probl	em comes up when we
send files to NSAW for legal review; NSAW cannot read the files that we s	장 집에서 물건이 있다. 그는 것에서 잘 잘 못 하는 것 같아요. 그는 것이 같아요. 가지 않는 것
files need to be accessible by Dept of Justice, we have a legal issue here.	
was working via phonecon with HX but couldn't get anything to	work, so we finally put in a
formal ticket, and asked NSAW to do the same. They received information	n in the attached email, but
we can't see if it works until Anne comes in for her shift at 1400.	
and a second s	a a a a
I have to say I'm very disappointed in NSAH -1 put in a critical ticket and it	
for over a week before it got reviewed, when I called for a status! Who ne	eeds to be contacted about
this situation, to make sure it doesn't happen to another customer?	
(U/ <del>/FOUO)-</del>	
Chief, HS2I2	
NSAH	
952-7178s	
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

DCID: 4294838		مر و در مرد مرد از م المرد از مرد ا	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: NSA-unknown USA CTR Sent: Friday, August 24, 2012 8:05 AM To: NSA-FHS2I USA CIV Subject: (U) Ticket IM98705 - CRITICAL - NSAW O	)versight cannot read/a	ccess NSAH files	
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED #FOR	OFFICIAL USE	ONLY-	
and the second	,		
lust got this ticket in our queue forwarded to us. Do	oes the information list	ted below satisfy you	ir request?
			using instructions
posted on the Magicdesk FAQ site.	4/		
Here is an excerpt from an email concerning			
		hat truly need acce is drafted by HT32	
procedures Monday that can easily be used by addressing issues and assisting users.	y the GLEC and othe	r neipueon ouppor	
addressing issues and assisting users. The date/time for this activity has been planne will arrive early that morning between 0530-06 HT33 would provide helpdesk support require immediate attention.	ed to occur next Wed 600 and implement	( <u>127 June at 0700 lo</u>	ocal HST). HT322 SA's
addressing issues and assisting users. The date/time for this activity has been planne will arrive early that morning between 0530-06 HT33 would provide helpdesk suppo	ed to occur next Wed 600 and implement	( <u>127 June at 0700 lo</u>	ocal HST). HT322 SA's
addressing issues and assisting users. The date/time for this activity has been planne will arrive early that morning between 0530-06 HT33 would provide helpdesk support require immediate attention.	ed to occur next Wed 600 and implement	( <u>127 June at 0700 lo</u>	ocal HST). HT322 SA's
Addressing issues and assisting users. The date/time for this activity has been planned will arrive early that morning between 0530-06 HT33 would provide helpdesk support require immediate attention. Regards, From NSA-unknown USA CTR Sent: Friday, August 24, 2012 7:42 AM To:NSA-unknown USA CTR	ed to occur next Wed 600 and implement	( <u>127 June at 0700 lo</u>	ocal HST). HT322 SA's
Addressing issues and assisting users. The date/time for this activity has been planned will arrive early that morning between 0530-06HT33 would provide helpdesk support of the second	ed to occur next Wed 600 and implement	ONLY	ocal HST). HT322 SA's

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED //FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Classification: UNCLASSIFIED #FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Classified By: Derived From: NSA/CSSM Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20370801 Classification: TOP SECRET//SI lassified -Derived From: NSA/CSSM Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20370801 Classification: TOP SECRET//SI/ Classified Derived From: NSA/CSSM Dated: 20070108 Declassify-On: 20370801 Classification: TOP SECRET//SI Classified By: Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20370801 Classification Classified By:L Derived From: NSA/CSSM Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20370801 Classification: TOP SECRET//SI/ - Classified By: ejsnowd Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 (b)(1) Dated: 20070108 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Declassify On: 20370801

Classification: TOP SECRET//SI/	
j	Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1 52
Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT/	Declassify On: 20370801
	Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
	Declassify On: 20370801-
	Decidsony on. 20070001-
Classification: TOP-SECRET//SI//	
	Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
	-Declassify On: 20370801
Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT/	
	Classified By: ejsnowd
	Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
	<u>Dated: 2007010</u> 8
	-Declassify On: 20370801
Classification: TOP SECRET//SI/	- Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52-
	Declassify On: 20370801-
Classification: TOP SECRET//COMINT	
	- Classified By: ejsnowd
	Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
	-Dated: 20070108-
	Declassify On: 20370801
Classification: TOP SECRET//SI/	
	Classified By:
	Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52
	-Dated: 20070108
	-Declassify On: 20380601
Classification: TOP SECRET//SI/	1
	f.
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(b)(1)	
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	

**Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV** 

(b)(3	)-P.L.	86-36
-------	--------	-------

		1 / / /	
From:	Shea Teresa H NSA-S USA CIV		
Sent: <	Tuesday, June 03, 2014 9:17 AM		
То:	Rogers Michael S ADM NSA-unknown USA USI	N; Ledgett Richard	H Jr NSA-D USA CIV
Cc:	Delong John M NSA-D4 USA CIV; De Rajesh NS	SA-D2 USA CIV	NSA-SV
	USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV		NSA-D USA CIV;
	Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; Stone Co	rin R USA CIV	NSA-D
	USA CIV; Moultrie Ronald S NSA-S USA CIV; En	sor Leoinel K NSA	-Q USA CIV
Subject:	FW: (U) ACTION: Low-side e-mail exchange		
	1	a contractive cont	(b)(1)
Classification. SECRET/		and the factor of the factor o	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Sir, Rick,

As a follow up to our discussion this morning, we are getting ground truth between SV, OGC, and Media Leaks on exactly what email was sent from whom to whom. My comments this AM, were based or note below which stated that there was an email from Snowden to SV.

Further analysis indicates that the email trail appears to originate with Snowden clicking on a link in his OVSC1800 course which generated an email that went to an OGC D2 alias. The OGC office manager then forwarded the email to SV, who forwarded it back to OGC.

Below, please also find the note documenting both the email and the personal engagement from Snowden with SV that documents all contact made with SV. SV will provide email trail in preparation for 1000 this AM.

V/R,

Teresa

From	M NSA-SV21 USA CIV	
Sent: Thurs	ay, April 10, 2014 10:46 AM (b)	)(3)-P.L. 86-36
To:	NSA-SV2 USA CIV	
Cc:	NSA-SV21 USA CIV	
Subject: (U	Information Regarding My Contact w/Leaker in April 2013	
Importance	High	
	and the second	

#### Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

(U/+FOUO) I had two separate contacts with "the leaker" during the timeframe from 5 – 12 April 2013, one instance via email regarding the OVSC1800 course content and the ranking of the associated authority documents and one instance, in person, regarding the OVSC1203 course content. Details of each follow, as well as the individual emails.

#### 1. (U//FOUO) OVSC1800 Issue regarding ranking of Authority Documents Used in Course Content

(U/<del>/FOUO)</del> Initially I received an email which was forwarded to me from the OGC Office Manager on 5 April 2013. The email was sent to the OGC D2 alias and then forwarded to the SV training team via our training alias the same day. The individual was questioning the hierarchy of the authoritative documents, ranked by precedence, which are part

1

Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

of the UVSC1800 course content. Although I felt comfortable answering his question, I thought it was more appropriate
for OGC to respond since the authority documents involve legalities and the individual wanted them ranked in
precedence order. I spoke with SV TD, who indicated that two of the OGC attorneys had recently
provided the hierarchy of the authorities during the OVSC1800 course development meetings. I forwarded the email
directly to the two OGC attorneys (to obtain their comments and assistance on 8 April
2013. responded directly to the individual, also on 8 April and cc'd my supervisor, who
provided the response to me, also on 8 April. The response closed any further action for SV Training.

#### 2. (U//FOUO) OVSC1203 Issue Regarding Course content and trick questions (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(U/<del>/FOUO) The individual appeared at the side of my desk in the SV training area during the timeframe</del> between 5-12 April 2013, shortly after lunch time. He did not introduce himself and instead asked if he could talk to someone about the OVSC1203 course. I indicated that he could talk to me. He seemed upset and proceeded to say that he had tried to take OVSC1203 and that he had failed. He then commented that he felt we had trick questions throughout the course content that made him fail. SV Training has standard (canned) responses we use to respond to questions like this. I introduced myself and provided the information to him. My comments were standard and part of our "canned" responses, and informed him that the OVSC courses did not contain any trick questions and that all of the answers to the test questions could be located within the course content (our standard response when someone states they have failed any of our courses). Also, as part of our standard response with this type of question, we remind the student that the course is open book and not timed, also part of our routine canned response. I also reminded him that students receive multiple attempts to successfully pass the course and if they are not successful after multiple attempts, he would need to contact us for further assistance. He seemed to have calmed down by then and said he still thought the questions tricked the students but he would try again. Apparently he didn't realize he had multiple attempts. He departed the SV training area and did not address the issue with SV training again. When the issue regarding his actions came up, and I realized I had contact with him. I immediately tried to pull his training record but it had already been pulled from the system. Consequently, I reported the contact to my management and considered the issue closed.

(U/<del>/FOUO)</del> SID Oversight & Compliance Access Oversight Training and Strategic Guidance Team Alias: DL SV_Training Phone: 963-0249s Ops 1 Room 3W145 Suite 6476 (U<del>//FOUO)</del>

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From: NSA-SV USA CIV Sent: Monday, June 02, 2014 10:06 AM To: NSA-S USA CIV Cc: DL sid_dir_exec (ALIAS) S; DL SV_Exec (ALIAS) SV Subject: RE: (U) ACTION: Low-side e-mail exchange

#### Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Snowden sent the email in question to the SV training team as a student in one of our OVSC courses (I believe OVSC 1800). We forwarded it to OGC, and ______ (now retired) provided the answer. The question he asked was very straightforward and had to do with the precedence of our legal authorities, as in, what's the hierarchical order. The email did not say he had concerns, and no one thought there was an implication he had concerns. It appeared to be simply a student seeking clarification.

Snowden had another interaction with the same SV woman, this one in person when he was here TDY. He stopped by her desk to complain about OVSC 1203 – the FAA 702 course. He said the test was too hard and had trick questions. He said he had failed it multiple times (I'd have to check with ADET on that). He did pass the course at some point.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Tks.
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(U#FOUO)-
Chief, SID Oversight and Compliance (SV)
Room 3W160, Suite 6476
Secure: 966- <u>2479</u>
Unclassified:
From:NSA-S_USA CIV
Sent: Monday, June 02, 2014 9:10 AM
To:NSA-SV USA CIV Cc: DL sid_dir_exec (ALIAS) S; DL SV_Exec (ALIAS) SV
Subject: (U) ACTION: Low-side e-mail exchange
Importance: High
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Can you provide any insight/comments to Ms. Shea on the low-side e-mail exchange asking for comments on the NBC interview? You were CC'd w/Raj stepping in asking that no one else comment on the low-side (or add additional folks to the e-mail exchange). Thanks.
Regards,
Regards, (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(U/ <del>/FOUO)-</del>
(U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> Executive Assistant to the Director, Signals Intelligence Directorate
(U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> Executive Assistant to the Director, Signals Intelligence Directorate Ops 1, 2W102
(U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> Executive Assistant to the Director, Signals Intelligence Directorate
(U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> Executive Assistant to the Director, Signals Intelligence Directorate Ops 1, 2W102
(U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> Executive Assistant to the Director, Signals Intelligence Directorate Ops 1, 2W102 NSTS: 963-3333, CMCL:
(U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> Executive Assistant to the Director, Signals Intelligence Directorate Ops 1, 2W102 NSTS: 963-3333, CMCL: Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY</del>
(U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> Executive Assistant to the Director, Signals Intelligence Directorate Ops 1, 2W102 NSTS: 963-3333, CMCL:
(U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> Executive Assistant to the Director, Signals Intelligence Directorate Ops 1, 2W102 NSTS: 963-3333, CMCL: Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY</del>
(U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> Executive Assistant to the Director, Signals Intelligence Directorate Ops 1, 2W102 NSTS: 963-3333, CMCL: Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY</del>
(U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> Executive Assistant to the Director, Signals Intelligence Directorate Ops 1, 2W102 NSTS: 963-3333, CMCL: Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY</del>
(U// <del>FOUO)</del> Executive Assistant to the Director, Signals Intelligence Directorate Ops 1, 2W102 NSTS: 963-3333, CMCL: Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY</del> Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY</del>
(U// <del>FOUO)</del> Executive Assistant to the Director, Signals Intelligence Directorate Ops 1, 2W102 NSTS: 963-3333, CMCL: Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY</del> Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY</del>
(U//FOUO) Executive Assistant to the Director, Signals Intelligence Directorate Ops 1, 2W102 NSTS: 963-3333, CMCL: Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
(U/ <del>/FOU0)</del> Executive Assistant to the Director, Signals Intelligence Directorate Ops 1, 2W102 NSTS: 963-3333, CMCL: Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY</del> Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY</del> Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY</del>
(U//FOUO) Executive Assistant to the Director, Signals Intelligence Directorate Ops 1, 2W102 NSTS: 963-3333, CMCL: Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY Classification: UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Classification:-SECRET

.

-Declassify On: 20390601-

(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
NSA-SO USA USA NSA-S3M2 USA CIV: NSA-D4 USA CIV NSA-D14 USA CIV:
NSA-D23 USA CIV; NSA-D4 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV;
NSA-D USA CIV; INSA-1423 USA CIV; DL ODOC Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4; NSA-E92 USA CIV; DL medialeaks core (ALIAS) D; DL ssct (ALIAS) S0
RE: (U) SECONDS?! FW: (U) Your question on Snowden and Authorities
Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:52:38 PM

-----

#### Classification: TOP SEC



(b)(1)

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(TS//SI//NF) Bringing this up an echelon, into the space of the potential. This is the crux of the matter for a very strong way for which we can 'push back,' 'return fire,' whatever words we wish to consider.

- The contentions by the fugitive that he had umbrage with programs are not apparent, in any fashion, in these communications.
- These types of questions about OVSC 1203, which is standard training for any junior analyst or someone new to working SIGINT in NTOC, absolutely fly in the face of his contentions of being 'senior' anything, by job position, or working NSA,

etc. Complaints about fairness/trick questions are something that I saw junior analysts in NTOC (and I had about 8 of them on my team in 20 months) would pose - these were all his (b)(3)-50 USC 3024(i) age and positional peers: young enlisted Troops, interns, and new hires. Nobody that has taken this test several times, or worked on things for more than a couple of years, would make such complaints. It is not a gentleman's course; *I* failed it once, the first time I had to renew, but not on subsequent renewals, and I've only been here since 2011. I would expect folks who are on keyboard working SIGINT for some years can probably take this test without exhaustive .

- Taking OVSC 1203/1800 a month before his departure is not commensurate with being 'senior' in anything. More of the 'ink still drying' type of point in a career, regarding certifications to access FAA.
- Look at the signature block. System administrator. That is not a lowly or ignoble position, but it is not in line with the persona that he has painted as a 'senior' player.

(U/FOUG) I think we (NSA) have a very interesting decision to potentially weigh in on – though the decision is probably that of DOJ. At what point do we stop focusing on muddying the waters of a *potential * prosecution, and start looking at how we can have an exceptionally strong retort – that has a half-life - and help restore our brand/help with hiring, at the expense of tainting the potential? Emotionally, we want justice. Empirically, I challenge whether *if* there is a trial, and *if* there is a conviction, that the net gain exceeds what we could do with this – the substantiation that his allegations are false, as is are his claims of his role here - in developing potentially powerful external communications to our net benefit. Something I've been impressed by is the tenor on all of this - dispatches to the workforce that our heads are high, we're marching on, we will continue to aggressively develop.

### Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

(U) Thanks if you're still with me in this thought exercise. (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Cheers -
(U <del>//FOUO)</del> Lieutenant Colonel, United States Army
Chief, SID Strategic Communications Team for Media Leaks - please send items requiring timely
SSCT attention to 'dl ssct' so the entire team has SA
National Security Agency, OPS 1, Room 1E024 suite 6209
NSTS: 963-6775 (group), 966-5491 (desk), Commercial: ///(desk)
NSA Journal -
link to my dropbox
From NSA-S3M2_USA_CIV
Sent:         Thursday.         May 29, 2014 3:05 PM           To:         NSA-S3M2 USA CIV;         NSA-D4 USA CIV;         NSA-D14 USA
CIV; NSA-D23 USA CIV; NSA-D4 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV; NSA-D23 USA CIV; NSA-Q USA CIV; NSA-D
Cc: NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4; NSA-E92 USA CIV; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1
Subject: (U) SECONDS?! FW: (U) Your question on Snowden and Authorities
Importance: High
Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
All,
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for this action (thank you).
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for this action (thank you).
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for this action (thank you)). dug this one out of the SSCT files for usit displays 2-3 additional contacts with the SV contingent that we need to considerbut they do not appear to have any "alarm" or
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for this action (thank you).
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for this action (thank you)). dug this one out of the SSCT files for usit displays 2-3 additional contacts with the SV contingent that we need to considerbut they do not appear to have any "alarm" or "concern" for illegal pr questionable activities on the part NSA.
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for this action (thank you). dug this one out of the SSCT files for usit displays 2-3 additional contacts with the SV contingent that we need to considerbut they do not appear to have any "alarm" or "concern" for illegal pr questionable activities on the part NSA. They are:
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for this action (thank you). dug this one out of the SSCT files for usit displays 2-3 additional contacts with the SV contingent that we need to considerbut they do not appear to have any "alarm" or "concern" for illegal pr questionable activities on the part NSA. They are: n An email exchange circa APR 2013 when Snowden was with FHV, with NSAW SV
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for this action (thank you). dug this one out of the SSCT files for usit displays 2-3 additional contacts with the SV contingent that we need to considerbut they do not appear to have any "alarm" or "concern" for illegal pr questionable activities on the part NSA. They are: n An email exchange circa APR 2013 when Snowden was with FHV, with NSAW SV regarding the legal hierarchy question from the OVSC1800 course that was
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for this action (thank you)). dug this one out of the SSCT files for usit displays 2-3 additional contacts with the SV contingent that we need to considerbut they do not appear to have any "alarm" or "concern" for illegal pr questionable activities on the part NSA. They are: n An email exchange circa APR 2013 when Snowden was with FHV, with NSAW SV regarding the legal hierarchy question from the OVSC1800 course that was eventually referred to the OGCand answered by ( <i>Provides more</i> )
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for this action (thank you). dug this one out of the SSCT files for usit displays 2-3 additional contacts with the SV contingent that we need to considerbut they do not appear to have any "alarm" or "concern" for illegal pr questionable activities on the part NSA. They are: n An email exchange circa APR 2013 when Snowden was with FHV, with NSAW SV regarding the legal hierarchy question from the OVSC1800 course that was
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for this action (thank you). dug this one out of the SSCT files for usit displays 2-3 additional contacts with the SV contingent that we need to considerbut they do not appear to have any "alarm" or "concern" for illegal pr questionable activities on the part NSA. They are: n An email exchange circa APR 2013 when Snowden was with FHV, with NSAW SV regarding the legal hierarchy question from the OVSC1800 course that was eventually referred to the OGCand answered by (Provides more background on the "one" contact we accounted for in our work today.)
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for this action (thank you)). dug this one out of the SSCT files for usit displays 2-3 additional contacts with the SV contingent that we need to considerbut they do not appear to have any "alarm" or "concern" for illegal pr questionable activities on the part NSA. They are: n An email exchange circa APR 2013 when Snowden was with FHV, with NSAW SV regarding the legal hierarchy question from the OVSC1800 course that was eventually referred to the OGCand answered by ( <i>Provides more background on the "one" contact we accounted for in our work today.</i> )
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for this action (thank you)). dug this one out of the SSCT files for usit displays 2-3 additional contacts with the SV contingent that we need to considerbut they do not appear to have any "alarm" or "concern" for illegal pr questionable activities on the part NSA. They are: n An email exchange circa APR 2013 when Snowden was with FHV, with NSAW SV regarding the legal hierarchy question from the OVSC1800 course that was eventually referred to the OGCand answered by ( <i>Provides more background on the "one" contact we accounted for in our work today.</i> ) n A personal exchange circa APR 2013 with SV when Snowden appeared at her desk with concerns about "trick questions" in the test for OVSC1203 being the reason why
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for this action (thank you).
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for this action (thank you).
ODOC reminded us of some other "interactions" with Snowden that may need to be considered for this action (thank you).

n Email exchange circa 2012 while Snowden was a SysAdmin with Dell. He helped NSAH

personnel regarding sysadmin support and access to particular files related to a "FISA document template" process. Later, SV ______ contacted Snowden because she had a similar problem and needed assistance, which he provided long-distance by email.

MLTF does not see these as items that show his "concerns"...but they do show interaction with the Compliance elements fo NSA, albeit administrative in nature.

Does any of the above change your input to our action today?

Pade (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
Rgds,	
Media Leaks Task Force	
OPS 2B, 2B8090	
969-5009 (s)	
(u)	
From: NSA-S1N2 USA CIV	_
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:29 PM	
To: NSA-S3M2 USA CIV	
Cc: DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D	
Subject: (U) Your question on Snowden and Authorities	
Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN	
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-30	,
I believe that what you are looking for is MLTF 1549. I suspect that you all may have additional	
information filed for this, but perhaps this is a start.	
S1N2 Technical Director / Detailed to SID Media Leaks	
OPS 1 1E024	
966-5878s	
900-50705	
From: NSA-SO USA CIV	
Sent: Friday, April 11, 2014 4:46 PM	
To: Shea Teresa H NSA-S USA CIV; NSA-S USA CIV; MG NSA-S USA	
USA; NSA-S USA CIV; NSA-S02 USA CIV; NSA-	
S01 USA CIV; NSA-F32 USA CIV; NSA-S USA USN Cc: DL s_exec (ALIAS) S; NSA-S USA CIV; NSA-S02 USA CIV; D	М
ssct (ALIAS) S1	۳L
Subject: (U) Vanity Fair Article With Fugitive - May Cause Additional Work	

Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

(U) Hello All, the much anticipated Vanity Fair article with the fugitive is out, please see attached. The article could cause additional work for us.

(U/<del>/FOUO)</del> Probably the most concerning issue in the article is the fugitives assertion that he raised complaints with NSA lawyers and oversight and compliance personnel.

"At Kunia, Snowden would later claim in a statement to the European officials of his concerns about overreaching government surveillance, "none of whom took any action to address them," he wrote. "Everyone in the intelligence community is aware of what happens to people who report concerns about unlawful but authorized operations." But, according to Rick Ledgett, the N.S.A.'s deputy director who would lead the internal investigation of Snowden's leaks, Snowden made no formal complaints. And if he complained personally to anyone, Ledgett says, they have not acknowledged it.

The N.S.A. at this point not only knows I raised complaints, but that there is evidence that I made my concerns know to the N.S.A.'s lawyers, because I did some of it through e-mail. I directly challenge N.S.A. to deny that I contact N.S.A. oversight and compliance bodies directly via e-mail and that I specifically expressed concerns about their suspect interpretation of the law, and I welcome members of Congress to request a written answer to this question [from the N.S.A.]."

ITC//CI//NE) The NALTE has already a load the IC OCC and ODOC to see the their off side and it with

ba	eason. If any evidence was found, they we	y evidence that the fugitive contacted the re requested to provide a short recap of t	ir office for any he exchange.
re	wo folks from SV responded that they had esponses was classified TS//SI/ aragraph is TS//SI//NF.	l contact with the fugitives, see attached. and th MLTF task was C//NF, which	
/1	J/ <del>/FOUO)-</del>		(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
R	egards,		
D		m for Media Leaks - <b>please send items re</b> <b>am has SA</b>	quiring timely
/ N	ational Security Agency, OPS 1, Room 1E0 STS: <b>963-6775</b> (group), 966-5853 (desk),		
/ Li	nk To My Drop Box	- Classified I	<del>Зу:</del>
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36		Derived From: NSA/( Dated	<del>CSSM 1-52 : 20070108 -</del>
D)(3)-F.L. 00-30		- Declassify On	: 20390401
С	Classification: TOP SECRET//S	<del>H//NOFORN</del>	

Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 -Dated: 20070108 -Declassify-On: 20390501

Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN-

- Classified By: -Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 -Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390501

Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN-

Classified By: Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20130930 Declassify On: 20390501

Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

NSA-E	D28 USA CIV			
From:		ISA-D28 USA CIV		
Sent:	Thursday, May 29, 2			
To: Cc:		-D23 USA CIV NSA-D23 <u>USA CIV</u>	NSA-D28 USA	CTV:
CC.		3 USA CIV;	NSA-D28 USA CIV	<u> </u>
Subject:			TION: SEEKING INPUT on st	rategy about
Subjecti			vden's Claims of Voicing Con	
	sherings i ver Z			
		1111		
Classification: UN	CLASSIFIED/ <del>/FOU</del>	$\sim$ / /		
		======================================	========/	
		1111		
These are good responses.		111		
]				
	- and a second		N 1 / 18	
Deputy General Counsel		and an and a second		
Litigation and Management		and the second	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-	36
NSA Office of General Counse 963-5548 (secure)	1	an and a subsequence of the second		50
(unclassified)			277 N	
uneassineur				
			$\mathbb{Z}$	
A	TTORNEY WORK PRODUC		OMMUNICATION	
		EGED COMMUNICATION eased without OGC appro	val	
	and the second se			
	and the second			
	and the second			
		-///		
From: NSA-D2 Sent: Thursday, May 29, 201	23 USA CIV	1 1		
	28 USA CIV		1 Aug	
	D23 USA CIV	NSA-D28 USA C	IV; NSA-D2	23 USA CIV;
NSA-D28 USA (		101 UI		
Subject: (U) UPDATED VERS			strategy about specifics- PR	OPOSED
response to #6 - Snowden's C	laims of voicing Concer	ns		
Classification: UNCL				
Classification. CIVCE/				
	1			
This is updated with cor	mments—this version is	timed at 1325.		
<< File: OGC Comments Ema	il Q and A 1325.DOCX >:	>		
Just FYSAI suspect you alrea	-			appear the
Agency would protect the cor	ntent of this message in	response to the pendin	g FOIA request.	
_7 3				
Thanks all!				
		1		

Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016, FOIA Case # 78137

CID: 42	94890	(b) (3)-P.	L. 86-36
		RK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT	
		LEGED COMMUNICATION released without OGC approval	
From:	NSA-D23 USA CIV	— / IV	
	May 29, 2014 12:53 PM		
то	NSA-D28 USA CIV		
Cc: Subject: (11) 2014	NSA-D23 USA CIV; 4 05 29 - ACTION: SEEKING INPI	NSA-D28 USA CIV; UT on strategy about specifics- PROF	NSA-D23 USA CIV
	of Voicing Concerns	or on strategy about specifics into	
0			
Classification	: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>//FOR</del>	OFFICIAL USE ONLY	
OGC Answer due b	ру 1400-		
		-they have asked us to fashion a prop	posed response to 3 of the
questions. Obviou	usly we will still review all the Q and	nd A before it goes final.	
I have put togethe	er a response in here. Obviously,	we could stick with just what	roposed and has been sent t
	moments ago for the 1230 Briefi		roposed and has been sent t
	5	0	
	pecific about the inquiry raised.		
		fternoon request and OGC answer o	n Monday) to show we were
responsive		he much that it we	NOT about concerns with
<ul> <li>Taiso discussion violations</li> </ul>		he query was concern and that it wa	s NUT about concerns with
violations			
Obviously getting	this specific begs the question as	to whether we can then still protect	, at least these portions of th
message in the pe	nding and future FOIA requests.		
<< File: OGC Com	ments Email Q and A.DOCX >>		
(U/ <del>/FOUO)~</del>			
Deputy Associate	GC.		
Administrative Lav		(b) (3) -	P.L. 86-36
NBP1, Rm 3A-67			
PhoneNSTS: 99 Outside:	2-3930		
	and the second		
		ORK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT ILEGED COMMUNICATION	
	Not to be r	released without OGC approval	
	¬_∕		
From:	NSA-D23 USA CIV		
		2	
DOCID:	4294890	(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36	
--------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	
	rsday, May 29, 2014 12:26 PM		
To: Cc:	NSA-D28 USA CIV NSA-D23 USA CIV;	NSA-D28 USA CIV	
	W: (U) 2014_05_29 - ACTION: Snowden's		
	and the second		
Classific	cation: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>/FOR (</del>	OFFICIAL USE ONLY (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36 (b) (5) (b) (7) (A) (b) (7) (E)	
Just FYSA	-See below where Q indicates that they fo	und it	
l suspect O	IGC may have found it later as well when t	he OGC "All" went out	
Does this s	ound right?		
From: Sent: Thu	NSA-Q311 USA CIV rsday, May 29, 2014 12:07 PM	(b) (3)-Р.L. 86-36	
To: Cc:	NSA-D23 USA CIV NSA-D23 USA CIV		
Subject: F	RE: (U) 2014_05_29 - ACTION: Snowden's	Claims of Voicing Concerns	
Classifi	cation: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>/FOR (</del>		
1			
l just sent	my input to the issue and I spoke to	on the phone.	
		/	
Q311 Cour	nterintelligence Investigations		
		(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36	
	oom A2A048, Suite 6832	- J	
Office: 968	3-3373(s),	1	
		La real contraction and the second	
		(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (5)	
From	NSA-D23 USA CIV	(b) (7) (A)	
To:	<u>rsdav. May</u> 29, 2014 11:34 AM NSA-Q311 USA CIV	(b) (7) (E)	
Cc:	NSA-D23 USA CIV		
	(U) 2014_05_29 - ACTION: Snowden's Clai	ms of Voicing Concerns	
Importan	ce: High		

Classification: UNCLASSIFIED#FOR OFFICIAL	(b) (3) - F.L. 80-30
INTERNAL/DELIBERATIVE	(b) (5) (b) (7) (A) (b) (7) (E)
2 Things:	
1. Forwarding the below message so you can see that	have also received this.
2.	
Thanks,	
DL Q_REGISTRY; NSA-Q31 USA CIV Subject: (U) ACTION: Snowden's Claims of Voicing Concerns	GC approval

#### Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV

From:	NSA-SV USA CIV
Sent:	Tuesday, June 03, 2014 9:22 AM
To:	NSA-SV USA CIV; Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K
	NSA-Q USA CIV; Delong John M NSA-D4 USA CIV; Delong John M NSA-D4 USA CIV;
	NSA-D USA CIV; Shea Teresa H NSA-S USA CIV; Moultrie Ronald S
	NSA-S USA CIV
Cc:	DL s_exec (ALIAS) S; NSA-SV USA CIV
Subject:	FW: (U) SV Email contact with Edward Snowden
Signed By:	
Immentance	Liab
Importance:	High (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

#### Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN

And here's the final email trail, this one related to the third bullet in my initial email where we had an exchange with him in his official capacity as a system administrator.

(U// <del>FOUO)</del>	
Chief, SID Oversight and Compliance (SV) Room 3W160, Suite 6476 Secure: 966-2479 Unclassified:	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From NSA-SV USA CIV	
Sent: Monday. June 10, 2013 12:51 PM	
To: NSA-Q312 USA CIV Cc: NSA-SV3 USA CIV	NSA-SV USA CIV
Subject: (U) SV Email contact with Edward Sno Importance: High	lowden
Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//	NOFORN
<del>S//NF)</del> Below is an email exchange between SV is the SV lead for this situation.	V and Edward Snowden. If we find others, we'll forward them to you.
is the SV lead for this situation.	V and Edward Snowden. If we find others, we'll forward them to you.
is the SV lead for this situation. Thanks,	V and Edward Snowden. If we find others, we'll forward them to you.
is the SV lead for this situation.	V and Edward Snowden. If we find others, we'll forward them to you.
is the SV lead for this situation. Thanks,	

÷

OCID: 4294896		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From:		No. of Street,
o: NSA-SV USA CIV NSA-SV USA CIV; ISA-SV42 USA CIV NSA-SV4 USA CIV Subject: (U) I had email contact with him	NSA-SV3 USA CIV;	
mportance: High	Text below is duplicated in D	OCID 4294

From: Sent: To: Subject:		M
Classification	Vanity Fair Article	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
We have his TS N	ANet email and his UNCLASSIFIED NSA.	gov email.
	mention of any emails or phone calls to, at we have already reported.	from Snowden when we spoke to IG, SV, and
nan sainen i sekaren kala mokumoko i jenetar kajuto		
		/
		/
		/
Chief, Q31		
CI Investigations [	ivision	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
968-4802(NSTS)	(390	(b)(7)(A)
erro		(b)(7)(E)
*This email contains in	ormation subject to the Privacy Act*	
From:	NSA-D. USA CIV	
Sent: Thursday, Apr		
To:		
	(U) FOLLOW-UP: (U) UPDATE: (U) FYSA: Sno	wden Allegation in Pending Vanity Fair Article

1

Thanks. I'll visit you when they put you in prison for talking to DoJ.

Do we think we had a clean capture of all of his work e-mail related high-side e-mail—to include any engagement with his Booz chain? Sorry, just trying to educate myself.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Madia Ladia Task Fana
Media Leaks Task Force National Security Agency/Central Security Service
OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICS
UNCL
BLACK
From: NSA-Q31 USA CIV Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 8:39 AM
To: NSA-D USA CIV
Subject: RE: (U) REPLY: (U) FOLLOW-UP: (U) UPDATE: (U) FYSA: Snowden Allegation in Pending Vanity Fair Article
Importance: High
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN
Spoke withat home. She said no telephonic contact after the email. Also confirmed that Snowden
did not reply to her response which matches with what we see in the email.
Our review of his email did not turn up any additional emails that match the description in the article. I
truly believe we have the right one.
I have asked DoJ to call me so we can discuss the release issue. I have heard that is not happy that
I am talking to DoJ, but I am not too concerned with that right now. I will just add it to the list.
Chief, Q31
CI Investigations Division (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
968-4802(NSTS)
(unclass)
*This email contains information subject to the Privacy Act*
From: NSA-D USA CIV
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 7:46 AM
To: NSA-Q31 USA CIV Subject: (U) REPLY: (U) FOLLOW-UP: (U) UPDATE: (U) FYSA: Snowden Allegation in Pending Vanity Fair Article
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN
Thanks so much.
Media Leaks Task Force

National Security Agency/Central Security Service OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICS UNCL NSTS 969-5011 BLACK
From: NSA-Q31 USA CIV Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 7:26 AM To: NSA-D USA CIV Subject: RE: (U) FOLLOW-UP: (U) UPDATE: (U) FYSA: Snowden Allegation in Pending Vanity Fair Article Importance: High
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN
When this issue came up prior (Gellman article) we spoke to and she didn't recall any additional interaction. I will call her first thing this morning to triple check this and get back to you.
Chief, Q31 CI Investigations Division 968-4802(NSTS) (unclass)
*This email contains information subject to the Privacy Act* From: NSA-D USA CIV Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014 6:24 AM To: NSA-Q31 USA CIV Subject: (U) FOLLOW-UP: (U) UPDATE: (U) FYSA: Snowden Allegation in Pending Vanity Fair Article
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN
(U//FOUO)-Thanks again for responding to my questions last night, based on my queue this morning it doesn't look like providing them stimulated any action sigh where's the fire in the belly for doing the right thing! (U//FOUO)-One last question that woke me up last night, do you know if who received the April e- mail from Snowden was specifically asked if she received any further correspondence? I ask only because there probably isn't anyone checking her e-mail queue since she is now retired. I'm just trying to be as sure as possible we've asked the right people and checked the right places for any potential surprises.
Thanks,
Media Leaks Task Force National Security Agency/Central Security Service OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICS

ISTS	969-5011				(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
LACK					
rom		NSA-D USA CIV			
	Wednesday	, April 09, 2014 7:23 PM		- 111 - 1	
		SA-D2 USA CIV;	NSA-D2 USA CI	<u>/: Bauman Ethan L NS</u>	SA-DL USA CTV:
		Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA		NSA-Q USA CIV	
Cc:	an a second s	NSA-03 USA CIV; En	sor Leoinel K NSA-Q US		NSA-O USA CIV;
	NSA-Q3 US	A CIV; DL medialeaks_core			NSA-D USA (
		NSA-D2 USA CIV;	NSA-D21	USA CIV;	NSA-Q31 USA CIV;
		NSA-Q31 USA CIV;		USA CIV	NSA-D USA CIV:
		USA CIV;	NSA-D USA CIV;	NSA-D U	
ISA CI	íV:		ong John M NSA-D4 USA	A CIV;	NSA-D4 USA CIV;
		NSA-D USA CIV		73 <del>80</del>	
		NATE, (11) EVCA, Crowdon /	Allocation in Donding Va	nit ( Enir Articla	
		DATE: (U) FYSA: Snowden A n: UNCLASSIFIED H		nity Fair Article USE ONLY	
Class	sificatior	1992-22 2019-9 <b>.</b> - 1993-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 2019-9 201	FOR OFFICIAL	USE ONLY	(b)(6)
Class u/ <del>/Fo</del>	sificatior <del>WO)</del> Here i	n: UNCLASSIFIED //	FOR OFFICIAL	USE ONLY	
Class u/ <del>/Fo</del>	sificatior <del>UO)</del> Here i DoJ cleara	1: UNCLASSIFIED H	FOR OFFICIAL	USE ONLY e time of this e-mail: e between Snowden	
Clas: (U/ <del>/FO</del> 1.	Sification <del>WO)</del> Here i DoJ cleara	n: UNCLASSIFIED H s where we stand as best I ance to make use of the Ap JSA and	FOR OFFICIAL can determine as of the ril 2013 e-mail exchang	USE ONLY e time of this e-mail: e between Snowden a USAVA	and OGC (Pending with E at DoJ HQ)
Class U/ <del>/FO</del> 1.	Sification Here i DoJ cleara	a: UNCLASSIFIED // s where we stand as best I ance to make use of the Ap JSA and ence checks for any Snowde	FOR OFFICIAL can determine as of the ril 2013 e-mail exchang en e-mails about author	USE ONLY e time of this e-mail: e between Snowden a USAVA	and OGC (Pending with E at DoJ HQ)
Clas: (U/ <del>/FO</del> 1.	Sification 1990) Here i DoJ cleara Loue dilige a. C	a: UNCLASSIFIED // s where we stand as best I ance to make use of the Ap JSA and ence checks for any Snowde DOC – Pending, gone for th	FOR OFFICIAL can determine as of the ril 2013 e-mail exchang en e-mails about author ne day	USE ONLY e time of this e-mail: e between Snowden USAVA ities that may have be	and OGC (Pending with E at DoJ HQ) een missed:
Clas: (U/ <del>/FO</del> 1.	Sification Here i DoJ cleara Due dilige a. C b. S	a: UNCLASSIFIED // s where we stand as best I ance to make use of the Ap JSA and ence checks for any Snowde DOC – Pending, gone for the V – Waiting to see what the	FOR OFFICIAL can determine as of the ril 2013 e-mail exchang en e-mails about author ne day ey found, believe it's the	USE ONLY e time of this e-mail: e between Snowden USAVA ities that may have be	and OGC (Pending with E at DoJ HQ) een missed:
Class U/ <del>/FO</del> 1.	Sification Here i DoJ cleara Loue dilige a. C b. S c. IC	a: UNCLASSIFIED // s where we stand as best I ance to make use of the Ap USA and ence checks for any Snowde DDOC – Pending, gone for the V – Waiting to see what the G – Pending, gone for the da	FOR OFFICIAL can determine as of the ril 2013 e-mail exchang en e-mails about author ne day ey found, believe it's the	USE ONLY e time of this e-mail: e between Snowden USAVA ities that may have be	and OGC (Pending with E at DoJ HQ) een missed:
Class U/ <del>/F0</del> 1. 2.	Sification PUO) Here i DoJ cleara At Due dilige a. C b. S c. IC d. C	a: UNCLASSIFIED // s where we stand as best I ance to make use of the Ap JSA and ence checks for any Snowde DOC – Pending, gone for the V – Waiting to see what the G – Pending, gone for the da DGC - Pending	FOR OFFICIAL can determine as of the ril 2013 e-mail exchang en e-mails about author ne day ey found, believe it's the ay	USE ONLY e time of this e-mail: e between Snowden USAVA USAVA ities that may have be e exchange we alread	and OGC (Pending with E at DoJ HQ) een missed:
Class U/ <del>/FO</del> 1. 2. 3.	Sification UO) Here i DoJ cleara At Due dilige a. C b. S c. IC d. C LAO CN –	a: UNCLASSIFIED A s where we stand as best I ance to make use of the Ap JSA and ence checks for any Snowde DOC – Pending, gone for the V – Waiting to see what the G – Pending, gone for the da OGC - Pending on hold pending approval	FOR OFFICIAL can determine as of the ril 2013 e-mail exchang en e-mails about author ne day ey found, believe it's the ay of #1 above and "all cle	USE ONLY e time of this e-mail: e between Snowden USAVA USAVA ities that may have be e exchange we alread ar" on #2	and OGC (Pending with E at DoJ HQ) een missed: y have
Class (U/ <del>/FO</del> 1. 2. 3.	Sification Here i DoJ cleara Au Due dilige a. C b. S c. IC d. C LAO CN – Engageme	a: UNCLASSIFIED // s where we stand as best I ance to make use of the Ap JSA and ence checks for any Snowde DOC – Pending, gone for the V – Waiting to see what the G – Pending, gone for the da DGC - Pending	FOR OFFICIAL can determine as of the ril 2013 e-mail exchang en e-mails about author ne day ey found, believe it's the ay of #1 above and "all cle to dispute claim by Sno	USE ONLY e time of this e-mail: e between Snowden USAVA USAVA ities that may have be e exchange we alread ar" on #2	and OGC (Pending with E at DoJ HQ) een missed: y have

p)(3	)-P.L. 86-36
Media Leaks Task Force National Security Agency/Central Security Service	
OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICS UNCL NSTS 969-5011	
BLACK	
From: NSA-D USA CIV Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 2:56 PM	
To: De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV;NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL	USA CIV;
NSA-DL USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV; NSA-Q USA CIV	
Cc:NSA-Q3 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV;	NSA-Q USA CIV
NSA-O3 USA CIV; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1;	NSA-D USA CIV;
NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D21 USA CIV;	NSA-Q31 USA CIV;
NSA-Q31 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV	NSA-D USA CIV;
NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV NSA-D USA C	
USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; Delong John M NSA-D4 USA CIV;	NSA-D4 USA CIV;

timely determination of our ability and confidence to challenge the assertion that Snowden reported his concerns.

NSA-D USA CIV

Subject: (U) REPLY: (U) FYSA: Snowden Allegation in Pending Vanity Fair Article

Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

MAY CONTAIN ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGED INFORMATION

#### TO: LAO, OGC, Q

(U/<del>/FOUO)</del> After some thought and counsel I think we need greater certainty about whether or not there is/was additional correspondence before we stake the reputation of the Agency on a counter narrative. I am going to trigger an action for the appropriate organizations to do an e-mail search

to affirm that there is no further correspondence that could substantiate Snowden's claim. I think we need to complete that before we move forward.
Deserte
Demonde
Regards,
(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Media Leaks Task Force
National Security Agency/Central Security Service
OPS 2B 2B8090
JWICS
NSTS 969-5011 BLACK
From: NSA-D USA CIV
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 1:50 PM
To: De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV: Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV;
NSA-DL USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV; NSA-O USA CIV
NSA-DE USA CIV, EISUI LEUIREI KINSA-Q USA CIV, NSA-O USA CIV
Cc: NSA-Q3 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV; NSA-Q USA CIV;
NSA-Q3 USA CIV; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1; NSA-D USA CIV;
NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D21 USA CIV; NSA-Q31 USA CIV;
NSA-Q31_USA_CIV; NSA-D2_USA_CIV NSA-D_USA_CIV;
NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D
USA CTV: NSA-D USA CIV; Delong John M NSA-D4 USA CIV; NSA-D4 USA CIV;
NSA-D USA CIV
Subject: (U) REPLY: (U) FYSA: Snowden Allegation in Pending Vanity Fair Article
Importance: High
Importance. High
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN
OGA
TO: LAO, OGC, Q ODNI

(U//FOUO) Lots have folks have jumped in to offer to help or have started action to move forward on the option to release a fact to counter the anticipated challenge in the Vanity Fair article that Snowden tried to communicate his concerns while he was still an employee. This kind of responsiveness, and especially more proactive actions to undermine future and recurring false narratives, is the direction in which we want to take the work of the MLTF. That work will by necessity require a closer, quicker more structure engagement with your organizations and others. We need to be synchronized as to actions, timing and message.

AND make clear that we warned Vanity Fair that the facts are wrong. I believe we need to give this approach serious consideration.

(j)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Media Leaks Task Force National Security Agency/Central Security Service OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICS UNCL NSTS 969-5011 BLACK
From: Rogers Michael S NSA-D USA USN
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 12:57 PM
To:NSA-D USA CIV; Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; De
Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV;NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV;NSA-DL
USA CIV CC: NSA-Q3 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV; NSA-Q USA CIV;
NSA-Q3 USA CIV; Elisor Leonier K NSA-Q USA CIV;NSA-Q USA CIV;NSA-Q USA CIV;NSA-D USA CIV;
NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D21 USA CIV; NSA-Q31 USA CIV;
NSA-Q31_USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV;
NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D
USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; NSA-DL USA CIV
Subject: RE: (U) FYSA: Snowden Allegation in Pending Vanity Fair Article Importance: High [Text below duplicated in DOCID 4294833]

DOCID:	4294900		

DOCID:	4294900	

]	DOCID:	4294900	

Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

N	A-DJ09 USA CTR	FOIA Case ≠	‡ 78137	
From:		NSA-DN USA CIV		
Sent:	Thursday, April	<u>10,</u> 2014 11:38 AM		
To:		NSA-D USA CIV		
Cc:	DL PAOMedia (A			
Subject:			PAO REPLY: (U) UPDATE:	
	FULLOW-UP. (U	) FYSA: Showden Alleg	ation in Pending Vanity	
Classification: C	ONFIDENTIAL //NOF	ORN		
oldoollioddoll. O		Orat	A.V.	2) D L 00 20
Many thanks for the	assistance,		(D)(	3)-P.L. 86-36
compliance and over	r. Snowden saying that he sight authorities. Despite /thing that substantiates t	extensive searches,		
(U/ <del>/FOUO) -</del> Arlene				
Arlene U. Grimes				
NSA Public Affairs Offic	e Lead			
2B5053	107 - 127029940993			
Personal: 969-7505s / PAO Office: 969-7499s				
Blackberry/external em				
After-hours media quer		10140-10140-10140-10140-10140-10140-10140-10140-10140-10140-10140-10140-10140-10140-10140-10140-10140-10140-101		
		and the second sec		
My dropbox (for large fi	les	4, 40	N	
	-	Constanting of the second s	<u> </u>	
From:	NSA-D USA CIV		Manager Andrews	
Sent: Thursday, April 1 To: Grimes Arlene U NS				
	OW UP RE: (U) REPLY: (U)	PAO REPLY: (U) UPDA	TE: (U) FORWARDED FO	or follow-up: (U)
	ion in Pending Vanity Fair A		and the second se	
			Although a state of the state o	and the second
Classification: C	<b>ONFIDENTIAL//NOF</b>	ORN-		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
			and a second	
We'll get it reviewed fo	r you if you like.	and the second		/
270		and a second		
From: Grimes Arlene L	NSA-DN USA CIV		and the second	
Sent: Thursday, April 1		and a second		
	ISA-D US <u>A CIV</u>			
Cc: DL PAOMedia (ALI/ USA CIVI			edialeaks_core (ALIAS)	
	NSA-D2 USA CIV;	NSA-DL US	SA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K I	A-Q31 USA CIV;
	A-Q3 USA CIV; Bauman Etha			
Subject: (U) FOLLOW	UP RE: (U) REPLY: (U) PAO	REPLY: (U) UPDATE:		
Snowden Allegation in I	Pending Vanity Fair Article	[Below text	t duplicated in D	DCID 4294833
		2/2	00000	

T

Approved for	Releas	e by N	ISA on
04-27-2016.			

	NSA-D28 USA CIV	
From: Sent:	NSA-D23 USA CIV Thursday, May 29, 2014 4:08 PM	
To:	NSA-S3M2 USA CIV;	NSA-D4 USA CIV
	NSA-D14 USA CIV;	NSA-Q USA CIV; NSA-D USA
Cc:	CIV NSA-D USA CIV;	NSA-D USA CIV; DL
<b>C</b> L.	ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4	
	(ALIAS) D; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1	NSA-E92 USA CIV; DL medialeaks_core
Subject:	(U) 2014_05_29 OGC to MLTF No chang	ges-(U) SECONDS?! FW: (U) Your question on
	Snowden and Authorities	
Classificatio	n: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
		(b)(J)-F.L. 00-50

I concur—there are obviously lots of contacts Snowden had with folks in various organizations of NSA while he was in access.

So long as the Q and A remain fashioned about correspondence regarding "his concerns"---i.e. reporting of violations; questions of lawfulness, etc...then it seems like the planned approach will still be accurate.

The additional interactions noted below do not change OGC inputs to #6-8.

(U//FOUO) Deputy Associate GC Administrative Law and Ethics NBP1, Rm 3A-67 PhoneNSTS: 992-3930 Outside:	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36		
	RODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT		
PRIVILEGE	D COMMUNICATION		
Not to be release	ed without OGC approval		
Best,			
From: NSA-S3M2 USA CIV			
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 3:05 PM			
אב-NSA-S3M2 USA CIV;	NSA-D4 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV;		
NSA-D23 USA CIV; NSA-O USA CIV	V; NSA-D USA CIV		
CC: NSA-D USA CIV; N	ISA-D USA CIV; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4;		
A NSA-E92 USA CIV; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL s	ssct (ALIAS) S1		
Subject: (U) SECONDS?! FW: (U) Your question on Sn	nowden and Authorities		
Importance: High	Below text duplicated in DOCID 4294887		
CID: 429490	5	NSA	on 04-27-2016. FOIA
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------
NSA-I	D28 USA CIV	Case	e # 78137
From: Sent: To: Subject:	Thursday, May 29, 2014 S NSA-D23		en and Authorities
Classification: TOP S	ECRET//SI//NOFOR	₽~	
That's a relief, we're still good	d, the only emails are the one	we knew about.	
The in person contact is news trick questions in our tests).	; to me, but again, not an actu	al complaint about the law or a	authorities (just that we use
			×
S/A CFE, CIS Q311 Counterintelligence Inv			^b (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Assigned to Q3 - FANX 2, Room A2A048, Suite Office: 968-3373(s),	6832 (b)		
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 201	1 USA CIV	Snowden and Authorities	
Classification: <del>TOP S</del>	ECRET//SI//NOFOR	┥// /	
As we discussed	//////	11 1	
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 201 To: NSA-D23 USA CIV; Cc: NSA-E92 USA CIV; DL medi Subject: (U) SECONDS?! F	<u>3M2 USA CIV</u> NSA-Q USA CIV; USA CIV; ialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL ss	NSA-D USA ( A-D USA CIV; DL ODOC_Mediale ct (ALIAS) S1	
Importance: High			ed in DOCID 4294887

From: Sent: To: Subject:	NSA-Q31 USA CIV Tuesday, March 18, 2014 9:40 AM FW: (U) Snowden Claims	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Classification: SECRET		
Both of the answers are capt the way at the bottom.	ured in the below. The direct quote t	hat addresses both of these points is all
Let me know if you need any	thing else.	
	-	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Chief O24		(D)(3)-F.L. 00-30
Chief, Q31		
CI Investigations Division		
968-4802(NSTS) unclass) *This email contains information sub	ject to the Privacy Act*	
Sent: Tuesday, March 11, 2014	USA CIV 1:26 PM	
To: Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D I	JSA CIV; Alexander Keith B GEN NSA-D US	A USA; NSA-Q31 USA CIV
Cc: Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA (	IV; NSA-Q USA CIV;	NSA-Q3 USA CIV;
NSA-Q3 USA CIV; D USA CIV: DL ddir_staff (ALIAS) NSA-D28 USA	NSA-Q31 USA CIV; Fleisch Fran S; D DIR STAFF; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA	
Subject: RE: (U) Snowden Claim		- 11-1-24-00 - 11-11-1
Classification: SECRET		(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Sir,		
and the second	stleblower Protection Act of 1998 and Pres	

Whistleblowers can report matters of "urgent concern" to the NSA IG and DoD IG.

Whistleblowers, to include contractors, can report matters of "urgent concern" to the intelligence committees after notifying the NSA IG or DoD IG of the intent to do so and obtaining direction from the IG on how to contact the Intelligence Committees.

The Whistleblower statute provides an avenue to report concerns related to classified matters without improperly disclosing classified information.

	b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
1	

Principal Deputy General Counsel		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Office of General Counsel		
OPSB2B, Room 2B8140		
u)/963-5548(s)		
From: Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV Sent: Tuesday, March 11, 2014 12:58 PM To: Alexander Keith B GEN NSA-D USA USA:	NSA-Q31 USA CIV	NSA-Q3 USA CIV;
Cc: Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV; NSA-Q3 USA CIV; D USA CIV; DL ddir_staff (ALIAS) S; D DIR STAFF; Subject: RE: (U) Snowden Claims	231 USA CIV; Fleisch Frances J	
Classification: SECRET//	processing and a second sec	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Sir,		
It's not the recently enacted Whistleblower Protecti and who can provide that info.	ion Act, but there are previous la	aws that protect contractors. Cc'ing Raj
v/r,		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Rick Rick Ledgett Deputy Director		
From: Alexander Keith B GEN NSA-D USA USA Sent: Tuesday, March 11, 2014 11:41 AM To: Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; Cc: Ensor Leoinel K NSA-O USA CIV; NSA-Q3 USA CIV; D USA CIV; DL ddir_staff (ALIAS) S; D DIR STAFF Subject: RE: (U) Snowden Claims	NSA-Q31 USA CIV NSA-Q USA CIV; Q31 USA CIV; Fleisch Frances J	NSA-O3 USA CIV; NSA-O3 USA CIV; NSA-O3 USA CIV; NSA-
Classification: SECRET,		(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Rick, I believe there is also a Whistleblower methodolog Thanks GEN A	gy for contractors. Do we have	that?
	NSA-Q USA CIV; Q31 USA CIV; Alexander Keith B /; DL ddir_staff (ALIAS) S; D DIF	
Classification: SECRET#		(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	and the second s	1/
Excellent – thanks!	<u> </u>	

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Rick			
Rick Ledgett Deputy Director			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
To: Ledgett Richa	NSA-Q31 USA CIV larch 11, 2014 11:27 AM rd H Jr NSA-D USA CIV K NSA-Q USA CIV; A CIV; Mden Claims	NSA-Q USA CIV;	NSA-Q3 USA CIV;
Classification	: SECRET,		(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Rick,

v/r.

(U/<del>/FOUO)</del> As requested we, ADS&CI and FBI, have conducted extensive research into the below statement, which Snowden has invoked on multiple occasions. This included a review of all interviews and case material to include all paperwork and interviews collected/conducted with contractors Dell and Booz Allen Hamilton.

"I had reported these clearly problematic programs to more than ten distinct officials, none of whom took any action to address them. As an employee of a private company rather than a direct employee of the US government, I was not protected by US whistleblower laws, and I would not have been protected from retaliation and legal sanction for revealing classified information about lawbreaking in accordance with the recommended process."

(S//REL) Our findings are that we have found <u>no</u> evidence in the interviews, email, or chats reviewed that support his claims. Some coworkers reported discussing the Constitution with Snowden, specifically his interpretation of the Constitution as black and white, and others reported discussing general privacy issues as it relates to the Internet. <u>Not one</u> mentioned that Snowden mentioned a specific NSA program that he had a problem with. Actually, many of the people interviewed affirmed that he never complained about any NSA program. We also did not have any reflection that he asked anyone how he should/could report perceived wrongdoing.

(U/<del>/FOUO)</del> Please let me know if you have any additional questions.

Thanks

	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Chief, Q31	
CI Investigations Division	
968-4802(NSTS)	
(unclass)	<u> </u>
*This email contains information subject to the Privacy Act*	\
	Classified By.
	Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52

Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390301 Classification: SECRET 1-52 Derived M Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390301 Classification: SECRET/ -Classified Derived From: NSA/CS 57 -Dated: 20070108 Declassify On: 20390301 Classification: SECRET (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

	NSA-D28 USA CIV	
rom:	NSA-Q311 USA CIV	
ent:	Friday, May 30, 2014 5:38 PM	$\lambda$
o:	NSA-D23 USA CIV;	NSA-Q312 USA CIV
c:	NSA-Q31 USA CIV;	NSA-Q31 USA CIV
ubject:	RE: (U) findings	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
		(b)(3)-P.L. 80-38 (b)(7)(A)
		(b)(7)(E)

were denying the existence of the email we released and that we withheld the SV correspondence, plus the media saying that there is only one email. So it's going to need to be crystal clear that we denied having correspondence containing any complaints, not that we denied having any correspondence period.

S/A CFE, CISSP	
Q311 Counterintelligence Investigations	No.
Assigned to Q3(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 FANX 2, Room A2A048, Suite 6832 Office: 968-3373(s)/(b)	(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From:         NSA-D23 USA CIV           Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 5:31 PM           To:         NSA-Q312 USA CIV           Cc:         NSA-0311 USA CIV;           Subject: RE: (U)         findings	NSA-Q31 USA CIV
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL/	
INTERNAL/DELIBERATIVE	and a second
Thanks	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)
This is great and was timely.	
None of these appear to me to be of the ilk he is referencing in the media.	
Please advise if you disagree.	Second Second Second
Thanks,	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION Not to be released without OGC approval	

1

DOCID:	4294908	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)
From:	NSA-Q312 USA CIV	1	
· 전망가 잘 모 약가 지 않는 것 같이 많이 하는 것 같이 하는 것이 하는 하는 것이 하는 하는 것이 이 하는 것이 하는 것 이 하는 것이 하는 이	v. May 30, 2014 9:09 AM NSA-Q311 USA CIV		NSA-Q31 USA CIV;
Subject: (U	NSA-D23 USA CIV	Below text duplicated in DOCID	

DOCID:	4294908
ar.	

Т

NSA-D28 USA CIV	_
From:         NSA-Q311 USA CIV           Sent:         Thursday. May 29, 2014 2:57 PM           To:         NSA-D23 USA CIV           Subject:         FW: (U) Emails with OIG, OGC, SV, Q         (b)(1)	
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL/	
S/ACFE, CISSP Q311 Counterintelligence Investigations	
Assigned to Q3	
From:         NSA-Q312 USA CIV         (b)(1)           Sent:         Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:54 AM         (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36           To:         NSA-Q312 USA CIV;         NSA-Q312 USA CIV           Cc:         NSA-Q311 USA CIV         (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36           Subject:         (U) Emails with OIG, OGC, SV, Q         (b)(7)(A)	
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL/	
(U/ <del>/FOUO)-</del> Since there was only one concrete thing to come out of the interview, I searched again for email contact with Office of the Inspector General (D1), Office of General Counsel (D2), SID Oversight and Compliance (SV), and Security (Q and FHQ). Here's the results. Nothing new, but I'm sure there will be questions about it:	
Office of the Inspector General: No documents Office of General Counsel: 7 documents	
5 emails were with regarding the ability to open certain documents. Strictly a technical trouble shooting email thread 1 email about "FAA for 9 August 2012" regarding a document that was TS//SI/	
1 email generated through the OGC web form about questions for OGC. On 5 April 2013, Snowden asked about a slide in the USSID 18 training program (OVSC1800) that listed United States legal authorities (Constitution at the top, office policies at the bottom). Snowden argued that Federal statutes should not be on the same level as (i.e. equivalent to) Executive Orders. Snowden also asked which has greater precedence, ODNI or DOD regulations (also listed on the same line). On 8 April 2013, responded that E.O.s have the "force and effect of laws" but cannot override federal statute. DOD/ODNI conflicts would be settled by date of issuance and subject matter. It should be noted this is four months after contacting Glenn Greenwald (according to Greenwald) and three months after contacting Laura Poitras (according to Poitras and Greenwald). So this email is not evidence that he tried to raise concerns about NSA procedures through official channels before turning to the media.	

1

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

SID Oversight and Compliance: 19 documents

- 17 emails dealt with the trouble ticket regarding opening certain documents (the 5 emails involving OGC).
- 1 email about "FAA for 9 August 2012" regarding the document (same thread as the one involving OGC).
- 1 email about 5 April 2013 the OGC web form submission. OGC contacted SV to get their input.

Security: 31 documents Mostly blast emails from to the HSOC_All alias, to which he belonged. 1 thread about his partner being invited to the 6th annual pole-dancing competition in China replied and counseled against them going or sending any money). 1 thread about getting his supervisor read in responded). asking Snowden to call about a CAC issue Snowden was having. 1 thread form (U//FOUO) Special Agent (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Assigned to Q3 -FANX 2, Room A2A048, Suite 6832 Direct: 968-3374(s) b) Office: 968-5441(s) (b) **DropBox** "This email contains information subject to the Privacy Act." Classified By: -Derived From: NSA/CSSM - Dated: 20070108 -Declassify On: 20390501 Classification: CONFIDENTIAL -Classified By: Derived From: NSA/CSSM 1-52 Dated: 20070108 -Declassify On: 2039050 Classification: CONFIDENTIAL/ (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)

> (b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From:       NSA-Q31 USA CIV         Sent:       Wednesday. April 09, 2014 1:01 PM         To:       NSA-I USA CIV         Subject:       RE: (U) REPLY: (U) FYSA: Snowden Allegation in Pending Vanity Fair Article
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN
FYI, I have already asked DoJ if this is going to be an issue, waiting to hear back.
Chief, Q31 CI Investigations Division
968-4802(NSTS) unclass)
*This email contains information subject to the Privacy Act* From NSA-D USA CIV
Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 12:59 PM         To: Rogers Michael S NSA-D USA USN; Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; De         Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV       NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV; NSA-DL         USA CIV       NSA-Q3 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV;       NSA-Q USA CIV;         NSA-Q3 USA CIV; Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV;       NSA-Q USA CIV;       NSA-Q USA CIV;         NSA-Q3 USA CIV; DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1;       NSA-D USA CIV;       NSA-D USA CIV;         NSA-D2 USA CIV;       NSA-D2 USA CIV;       NSA-D2 USA CIV;       NSA-Q31 USA CIV;         NSA-Q3 USA CIV;       NSA-D2 USA CIV;       NSA-D2 USA CIV;       NSA-D31 USA CIV;         NSA-D1 USA CIV;       NSA-D2 USA CIV;       NSA-D USA CIV;       NSA-D         USA CIV       NSA-D USA CIV;       NSA-D USA CIV;       NSA-D         USA CIV       NSA-D USA CIV;       NSA-D USA CIV;       NSA-D         USA CIV       NSA-D USA CIV;       NSA-D       NSA-D         USA CIV       NSA-D USA CIV;       NSA-D       NSA-D         USA CIV       NSA-D USA CIV;       NSA-D       NSA-D         USA CIV       NSA-D USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV;       NSA-DL USA CIV       NSA-DL USA CIV         Subject:       (U) REPLY:       (U) FYSA: Sn
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORM
Understood sir. Will plan and execute accordingly. Thank you
Media Leaks Task Force National Security Agency/Central Security Service OPS 2B 2B8090 JWICS UNCL NSTS 969-5011 BLACK
From: Rogers Michael S NSA-D USA USN Sent: Wednesday, April 09, 2014 12:57 PM To:NSA-D USA CIV; Ledgett Richard H Jr NSA-D USA CIV; Fleisch Frances J NSA-D USA CIV; De Rajesh NSA-D2 USA CIV;NSA-D2 USA CIV; Bauman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV;NSA-DL USA CIV

DOCID:	4294920	(b)(3)-P.L.	86-36
Cc:		Ensor Leoinel K NSA-Q USA CIV;	NSA-O USA CIV;
NSA-03	USA CIV; DL medialeaks_col	re (ALIAS) D; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS)	DN1; NSA-D USA CIV;
	NSA-D2_USA_CIV;	NSA-D21 USA CIV;	NSA-Q31 USA CIV;
	NSA-Q31 USA CIV;	NSA-D2 USA CIV;	NSA-D USA CIV;
NS/	A-D USA CIV;	NSA-D USA CIV;	NSA-D USA CIV; NSA-D
USA CIV;		uman Ethan L NSA-DL USA CIV;	NSA-DL USA CIV
Subject: RE:	(U) FYSA: Snowden Allegatio	n in Pending Vanity Fair Article	
Importance:	High	Text below du	picated in DOCID 4294833

DOCID:	4294920
--------	---------

ent: Thursday,	NSA-D23 USA May 29, 2014 3:22 NSA-D28 US	2 PM			
C:	NSA-D28 US4	1 0.351 S		NSA-D23 USA CIV	
	>	NSA-D28 USA (			
ubject: (U) 201 uthorities	4_05_29	SV emails not t	peleived to be releva	nt FW: (U) Your question on	Snowden and
nportance: Hig	;h	*******			
N	700 000	DETUQUALOE			<.'
		RET//SI//NOF			
					(b)(3)-P.L. 86

Perhaps you are already aware of these additional contacts between SV and Snowden.

I don't believe these change the content or posture of the Q and A as Question 6 is styled---"...receive correspondence... about his concerns." (emphasis added)

I don't think the query in person meeting about the test (OVSC 1203) changes our response. Nor do I believe the SysAdmin support he gave to would count as interaction about his concerns .

Best-		The Construction of the Co	
		and the second	
		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
o	and the second		
From	NSA-S3M2 USA CIV		
Sent: Thursday, May			
To:	NSA-S3M2 USA CIV:	NSA-D4 USA CIV; NSA-D14 USA CIV;	
NSA-D23 LISA CIV		Q USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV	
INSA-023 USA CIV.	INCA DUCA CIVIL		
	NSA-D USA CIV;	NSA-D USA CIV; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4;	
NSA-E92 USA CIV;	DL medialeaks_core (ALIAS	S) D; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1	0.
Subject: (U) SECON	IDS?! FW: (U) Your questi	tion on Snowden and Authorities	
Importance: High			07
		[Text below duplicated in DOCID 42948	οł,

	NSA-DJ4 USA	CIV		
From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:		NSA-E63 USA CIV pril 10, 2014 11:00 AM NSA-SV USA CIV NSA-SV43 USA CIV rmation Regarding My Conta		
Importance:	High			
Classification: UNCL	ASSIFIED/ <del>//F</del>	OR OFFICIAL USE	ONLY	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
We received a call from D4 qu OVSC1203 – has modifie		tes (11 or 12 Jun) that	nnotated during the discus	ssions on
Please send a corrected copy	out.	*****	$\mathcal{A}$	
			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 201 To: NSA-SV2	USA CIV V21 USA CIV	27 <u>8</u> 8 9	w duplicated in D(	OCID 4294841]

MOOTD.	4294921
HOCID:	4294921
1	
(U) Email o	hain follows: (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(U)	Email to supervisor in June 2013, advising him of my contact with the leaker.
	inal Message
From:	NSA-SV21 USA CIV
To:	<u>dav, June 10, 2013 9:15 AM</u> NSA-SV21 USA CIV
Cc:	NSA-SV21/USA CIV
Subject:	(U) FW: Comments from the D2 General Counsel Email Us Form (1 of 4 emails)
Importanc	e: High
Classific	ations UNCLASSIETED LIEOR DEFECTAL USE ONLY
CIASSILIC	ation: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY -</del>
e.	
2006 No. 00	
(U) Emai	l received with Ed Snowden's question, sent to us from the Office Manager for OGC,
D2.	
	/
(U// <del>/FOUO)</del>	
	ight & Compliance
Access Ove	ersight Training and Strategic Guidance
	2

Team Alias: DL SV_Training Phone: 963-0249s Ops 1 Room 3W145 Suite 6476 (U/ <del>/FOUO)</del>	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(U) Email from OGC Office Mgr to SV Training	$\langle \cdot \rangle$
Original Message From: NSA-D2 USA CIV	$\langle \rangle$
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 4:34 PM To: DL SV Training (ALIAS) SV2	$\backslash$
Cc: Snowden Edward J NSA-FHV USA CTR Subject: (U) FW: Comments from the D2 General Counsel Emai	1 Us Form
Classification: UNCLASSIFIED/ <del>/FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY</del>	
OGC received the question below regarding USSID 18 trainin have gone to your org instead. Can you help with this?	ig but I believe that this should
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Office Manager to the Deputy General Counsels Office of Ge	eneral Counsel (D2) 963-5548,
(U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> My Dropbox	
(U) The leaker email to OGC alias.	
Original Message	
From: ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov [mailto:ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov]	
Sent: Friday, April 05, 2013 4:11 PM	
To: DL gc_web (ALIAS) D2	Below text duplicated in DOCID

Cc: Snowden Edward J NSA-FHV USA CTR Subject: Comments from the D2 General Counsel Email Us Form Below text duplicated in DOCID 4272127 (U) OGC Attorney response to leaker's question.

Original Me	essage			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From:	NSA-D21 USA CIV	a second a second s	and a second	N.
ent: Monday, Ap	oril 08, 2013 1:37 PM	and the second	and the second se	N.
o: Snowden Edwa	ard J NSA-FHV USA CTR	and a second		<u></u>
c:	NSA-SV2 USA CIV;	NSA-SV21	USA CIV;	NSA-
21 USA CIV;	NSA-D2 USA CIV;		NSA-SV21 USA C	IV
ubject: RE: (U)	Question for OGC re OVSC1800	Course Content -	(U) FW: Comme	nts from the D2
eneral Counsel	Email Us Form	Below tex	t duplicated in	DOCID 4272127

CID: 4294	921 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
	NSA-DJ4 USA CIV	5002340
From:	NSA-D4 USA CIV	
Sent:	Monday, June 10, 2013 12:06 PM	
To:	NSA-D9 USA CIV; NSA-SV USA CIV	ISA
	T132 USA CIV; NSA-SV3 USA CIV; NSA-SV4 USA	A CI
Subject:	(U) I had email contact with him	
Importance:	High	
### DØCID: 4294921

Non - Responsive



Non - Responsive

DOCID: 4294921	Non - Responsive
	$\langle \rangle$
	$\setminus$
	$\langle \rangle$
	$\langle \cdot \rangle$

DQCID: 4294921	Non - Responsive
	$\setminus$
	$\backslash$
	$\langle \rangle$
	$\langle \rangle$

DOCID: 4294921	Non - Responsive

DQCID:	4294921	Non - Responsive
		$\backslash$











Non - Responsive DOCID: 4294921





















Brooks Elizabeth R NSA-DC USA CIV

. .

Approved	for	Relea	ase	by N	ISA	on
04-27-201	6. I	FOIA	Cas	se #	781	37

From: Sent: To:	NSA-Q USA CIV; Delong		USA CIV;
Cc:	DL s_exec (ALIAS) S;	NSA-SV USA CIV	
Subject:	FW: (U) Information Rega	rding My Contact w/Leaker in April 2013	
Importance:	High	(b)(3)-P.L.	86-36
Classification: UNCLAS	SSIFIED/ <del>/FOR OFFI</del>	ICIAL USE ONLY	
Per previous email, here's the e	mail trail where Ms.	summarized her experiences related to Snowder	n.
 (U/ <del>//FOUO)</del>			
Chief, SID Oversight and Comp Room 3W160, Suite 6476 Secure: 966-2479 Unclassified	liance (SV)		
Sent: Thursday, April 10, 2014   To: NSA-SV USA (   Cc: NSA-SV   Subject: FW: (U) Information F	civ /4 USA Civ	aker in April 2013	3)-P.L. 86-36
Importance: High		[Below text duplicated in DOCID]	4294921

1
CID: 4295	024	Approved for Release by NS on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case ≉ 78137
N	SA-D28 USA CIV	
From: Sent: Fo:	NSA-D23 USA CIV Friday, May 30, 2014 5:44 PM NSA-D28 USA CI	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Cc: Subject: Attachments:	NSA-D23 USA ( 2014_05_30 FYSA(U)	CIV; NSA-D28 USA CIV findings (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)
Classification: <del>CC</del> NTERNAL/DELIBERATIV		(b)(1) (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

It appears he is now claiming we were denying the existence of the email we released and that we withheld SV correspondence. In addition, apparently some media outlets may be a bit confused saying that there is only one email total. So PAO, if engaged and if appropriate, may work to highlight that NSA has previously denied having correspondence <u>containing any complaints</u>; NSA does not deny the existence his correspondence.

Best,			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	- 1	Y WORK PRODUCT//ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION o be released without OGC approval	
From:	NSA-Q312 USA CIV	/	1
Sent: Friday, May	NSA-Q311 USA CIV;	NSA-Q31 USA CIV;	NSA-Q31 USA CIV;
	-D23 USA CIV		
Subject: (U)	FUICIDIOS IT-	vt below duplicated in DOCL	D 4294835 pages 2 &

DOCID:	4295024	(b)(3)-P.L. 86	-36 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(7)(A) (b)(7)(E)	
	NSA-D28 USA CIV		(0)(7)(=)	
From: Sent:	Friday Ma	NSA-Q311 USA CIV y 30, 2014 8:22 AM	$\langle $	
To:		NSA-D23 USA CIV		
Cc:		NSA-Q312 USA CIV;	NSA-Q31 USA CIV	
Subject:		Below text of	duplicated in DOCID 42	94494

NSA-D28 USA CIV	
From:       NSA-S3M2 USA CIV         Sent:       Friday, May 30, 2014 8:17 AM         To:       NSA-D23 USA CIV;         Subject:       RE: (U) Joequestion #1	
Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN	
Done!	
Rgds,	
Media Leaks Task Force OPS 2B, 2B8090 969-5009 (s) (u)	
From: NSA-D23 USA CIV Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 7:49 PM To: NSA-S3M2 USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV Subject: (U)question #1	
Classification: TOP SECRET//SI//NOFORN	

I recommended we drop the word "ethics" and replace with "policies" for Question #1.

There is no annual "ethics" training requirement for every employee---specific annual ethics training is required for certain employees (mostly those dealing in contracts) and Seniors. In addition, "ethics" issues are often about use of government resources and the like...not typically violations of the 4th Amendment type concerns... It seems "What is the training and awareness provided to gov't and contractor employees about reporting activities they perceive to be inconsistent with law or ethics policies.

Cheers,				(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
			991/	
From: Sent: Thursday, May 29, .	NSA-S3M2 USA CIV 2014 3:05 PM			
To: NS/	A-S3M2 USA CIV	NSA-D4 USA CIV:	NSA-D14	USA CIV;
	A-D USA CIV;		NSA-D USA CIV ODOC_Medialeaks (Al	JAS) D4;
NSA-E92 USA CIV; DL m	edialeaks_core (ALIAS) D	; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1		

### Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

Subject: (U) SECONDS?! -- FW: (U) Your question on Snowden and Authorities
Importance: High
Below text duplicated in DOCID 4294887

OCID: 4	295031 (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From:	Vines, Vanee M
Sent:	Thursday, May 29, 2014 10:44 PM
To:	nsapao
Subject:	Re: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP:
Sigh. I expla	ined that several times - to HuffPost and NBC's Matt Cole in particular.
Original	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: Michael	
	, May 29, 2014 10:40 PM
To: Vines, Van	
방송 그렇게 다 집에 가지 않는 것이 아니지 않았다. 그는 것이 가지 않았다.	
<b>,</b>	OGA ODINI
/anee'	$\mathcal{I} = \sum \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{I}$
Both Strobel's	article and Gellman's Q+A allow Snowden to misapply your quote to mean all
	and not just the communication with colleagues as it was intended.
Best, Mike	
	$\angle$
Original	
From: Vines, Va	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	, May 29, 2014 10:20 PM
o: Robert Lit	
G	reen Miller, Marci L De, Rajesh
ic:	Grimes, Arlene U
	DNI-Media;
	Richards, Rebecca J
Subject: Re: R	EPLY: FOLLOW-UP:
V Strobel and o	other beat reporters are seeing through the baloney. I'm not a fan of his
	e lead graf is spot on. This:
0/	
ISA, Snowden c	lash over 2013 internal email release Thu, May 29 21:21 PM EDT (b)(6)

By Warren Strobel

WASHINGTON (Reuters) - An email exchange released on Thursday shows Edward Snowden questioned the U.S. National Security Agency's legal training programs, but provides no evidence the former contractor complained internally about vast NSA surveillance programs that he later leaked to the media.

Snowden responded in an email to the Washington Post that the release by U.S. officials "is incomplete."

The release of the April 2013 emails between Snowden and the NSA's legal office is the latest round in a battle between Snowden, who casts himself as a crusading whistleblower, and U.S. security officials, who say he failed to report his concerns to superiors before acting.

#### Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

In an interview with NBC News on Wednesday, Snowden said he had raised alarms at multiple levels about the NSA's broad collection of phone, email and Internet connections.

"I have raised the complaints not just officially in writing through email to these offices and these individuals but to my supervisors, to my colleagues, in more than one office," Snowden told the network.

"Many, many of these individuals were shocked by these programs," Snowden said, adding that he was advised: "If you say something about this, they're going to destroy you."

The emails were first released by the office of Democratic Senator Dianne Feinstein, chairwoman of the Senate Intelligence Committee.

In a statement, the NSA said: "The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed."

"There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims," it said.

Snowden told the Post there were other emails "and not just on this topic. I'm glad they've shown they have access to records they claimed just a few months ago did not exist, and I hope we'll see the rest of them very soon." The email exchange appears to be the first internal communication by Snowden, while he was working for the NSA, to be released publicly.

'INCOMPLETE LEAK'

In an April 5, 2013, email to the NSA's Office of General Counsel, Snowden questioned the contents of a mandatory legal training course.

The course, he wrote, cited the U.S. Constitution as the nation's top legal authority, followed by "Federal Statutes/Presidential Executive Orders (EO)."

"I'm not entirely certain, but this does not seem correct, as it seems to imply Executive Orders have the same precedence as law," Snowden wrote. "Could you please clarify? Thank you very much, Ed."

An unidentified official in the General Counsel's office wrote back three days later that executive orders, issued by a U.S. president, "have 'the force and effect of law.' That said, you are correct that E.O.s cannot override a statute."

Asked by the Post on Thursday if he had been was wrong in saying he reached out to many colleagues and supervisors to express his concerns, Snowden replied: "No, not at all.

"The bottom line is that even though I knew the system was designed to reject concerns raised, I showed numerous colleagues direct evidence of programs that those colleagues considered unconstitutional or otherwise concerning. Today's strangely tailored and incomplete leak only shows the NSA feels it has something to hide." #

	Original Me <u>ssage</u>		
From:	Robert Litt		
Sent:	Thursday, May 29, 2014 09:02 PM	(b)(3) OGA	ODNI

OCID: 4295031	*****	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-3	6
To:	and an and the second sec	57 59 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 -	
Cc: Green Miller, Marci L: I	De. Raiesh:	Grimes, Arlene	
DL MLTF_core;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		U, DL PAOMEGIA
Vines, Vanee M; Co	mbs, William F.;	The second second	And and a state of the state of
Media <dni-media@dni.gov>;</dni-media@dni.gov>	The second	Richards, Rebecca	
Subject: Re: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP:		Michael Contraction of the Contr	
of courses the format of unities anothing			(b)(3) OGA
Of course the format of written questions	preciudes any mean	ingtul tollowup.	ODNI
Original Message	and the second	(b)(3)-P.L. 8	6-36
From: Hayden, Caitlin Sen <u>t: Thursdav. Mav 29. 201</u> 4 08:57 PM Easte	orn Standard Time		
To:			Star Strange A
		<u> A</u> H	
Cc: Evans, Stuart	<u>_</u>		
	Beaghle	y, Sina	. \
DNI-Media:	Ibeagnie	y , 510	1 \
······································	na seconda de la companya de la comp	Robe	rt Litt
Subject: RE: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP:		A starter and the second secon	(b)(3)
It figures he'd say that.		(b)(6)	OGA
Qriginal Message			ODNI
From:	and the second		1
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:41 PM	and the second se		<u> </u>
To: Hayden, Caitlin;		<i>////</i>	-
	1	777	
Beaghley , Sina	; DNI-Media;		
Robert Litt Subject: Re: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP:	$\sim N/$		1 11
nyan <b>e</b> matangan ke desi sebat, sahar sebatan ketalah dalam tahu si		/ /	· //
www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-secu	rity/edward-snowde	n-responds-to-rele	ase-of-e-mail
y-us-officials/2014/05/29/95137e1c-e781-11			
	/ / / X -		
	1 + 1	/	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Original Message	$\Box \downarrow \downarrow$	//	
From: Green Miller, Marci L Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 01:04/PM		= //	$\sim m$
To: Green Miller, Marci L	Hayden, Caitli	r /// .	111 2
De, Rajesh	1-1-1		117
Cc: Evans, Stuart	Grimes, Arle	ne U	
PAOMediaDE MLTF_core		1	
		Vines,	Vanee M
	Beaghlev	/, Sina/	4
DNI-Media;			
Richards, Rebecca J	Rol	pert Litt	20
JUDJECT. NE. NEFLT. FULLOW-UP:		(b)(3)	
	3	OGA	
		ODNI	

All,

I have sent the below to the press who have contacted NSA PAO today. We will continue to provide this language to any other outlets that request our response.

#### 

NSA has now explained that they have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The e-mail did not raise allegations or concerns about wrongdoing or abuse, but posed a legal question that the Office of General Counsel addressed. There was not additional follow-up noted. The e-mail will be released later today.

There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

### ØGA
(J)-P.L. 86-36
Marci // DDNI
Original Message
From: Green Miller, Marci L
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:58 PM
To: 'Hayden, Caitlin'; De, Rajesh;
Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U: DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core;
Vines, Vanee M;
Beaghley , Sina; 'DNI-media@dni.gov'
Richards, Rebecca J;
Subject: RE: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP:
I am launching now to those that asked and Cole from NBC.
Original Message
From: Hayden, Caitlin [mailto:
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:48 PM
To: Green Miller, Marci L; De, Rajesh;
Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core;
; Beaghley , Sina; 'DNI-media@dni.gov';
Richards, Rebecca J;
Subject: RE: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP:
Subject. Re. REFET. FOLLOW-OF.
Great. Let me know when you've launched. Thanks! -CH
of eac. Let me know when you ve faunched. Thanks: -ch
Original Message
From: Green Miller, Marci L
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:46 PM
To: Hayden, Caitlin; De, Rajesh
<u>Cc: Evans, Stuart;</u> Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core;
Vines, Vanee M; Combs, William F.;
; Beaghley , Sina; 'DNI-media@dni.gov';
Richards, Rebecca J;
Subject: RE: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP:
Thanks. On it now.

(b)(6)

### DOCID: 4295031 (b)(3) (b)(6) OGA (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 Marci ODNI -----Original Message-----From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:44 PM (b)(5) To: De, Rajesh; Green Miller, Marci L; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina; 'DNI-media@dni.gov'; Richards, Rebecca J; Subject: RE: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP: . (b)(3) ----Original Message-----(b)(6) OGA ODNI (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:43 PM To: 'De, Rajesh'; Green Miller, Marci L; Cc: Evans. Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; ; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina; 'DNI-media@dni.gov' Richards, Rebecca J; Subject: RE: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP: -----Original Message-----From: De, Rajesh Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:32 PM (b)(5) To: Hayden, Caitlin; Green Miller, Marci L;

	Grimes, Arlene	U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core;	100
	-	Vines, Vanee M;	
		; Beaghley , Sina; 'DNI	-media@dni.gov';
		Rebecca J;	Sheep and the second
ubject: RE: REPLY:	FOLLOW-UP:		and the second sec
)k with me, thx	(b)(6)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	(b)(3)
	( Contrast C	[J][]]-T.L. 00-30	(C)(O) OGA
Original Messag	ge/ <u> &gt;</u>		
rom: Hayden, Caitli	in'		
ent: Thursday, May	29, 2014 12:28	PM/////	~/ / _ /
o: De, Rajesh; Gree			ST / /
		J; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core;	
		Yines, Vanee M;	and the second s
		Paghley Sina 'DNT	modia/addi gov'
H	Pichande	; Beaghlev . Sina: 'DNT	_media@dni.gov';
H		Rebecca J;	-media@dni.gov';
H.			_media@dni.gov';
H. ubject: RE: REPLY:			
Ubject: RE: REPLY:			
ubject: RE: REPLY:			
Ubject: RE: REPLY:			
	FOLLOW-UP:		
Original Messag	FOLLOW-UP:		
Original Messag rom: De, Rajesh [ma	FOLLOW-UP:	Rebecca J;	
Original Messag rom: De, Rajesh [ma Sent: Thursday, May	FOLLOW-UP: ge milto 29, 201 <u>4/12:24</u>	Rebecca J;	
Original Messag rom: De, Rajesh [ma sent: Thursday, May o: Green Miller, Ma	FOLLOW-UP: ge milto 29, 201 <u>4/12:24</u>	Rebecca J;	
Original Messag rom: De, Rajesh [ma ent: Thursday, May o: <u>Green Miller, M</u> a	FOLLOW-UP: ge	Rebecca J;	-media@dni.gov";
Original Messag rom: De, Rajesh [ma ent: Thursday, May o: <u>Green Miller, M</u> a	FOLLOW-UP: ge	Rebecca J;	

Just seeing this thread. I would modify a little (below). Also, our leg folks just heard from Grannis that Sen Feinstein is releasing the email - I don't know if that is confirmed or if Jay needs to be aware of that before the briefing . . .

--Did Snowden raise concerns with NSA as he said he did?

We have found one email inquiry by Edward Snowden to the office of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a training course he had just completed. The question was received and an answer was provided to Mr. Snowden. There was not additional follow-up noted. There are numerous avenues that Mr. Snowden could have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have searched for additional indications of outreach from him in those areas and to date have not discovered any engagements related to his claims.

--How do you plan to respond to the FOIA request?

We received the request from NBC and are following normal procedures for processing the request.

Rajesh De General Counsel National Security Agency 9800 Savage Road, Suite 6250 Fort George G. Meade, MD 20755

DOCID: 4295031	
Phone:/ 963-5548 (secure)	
Email:/	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
	11
	NN
Original Message	
From: Green Miller, Marci L Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:20 PM	
To: Hayden, Caitlin';	
Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Vines, Vanee M;	
Richards, Rebecca J; De, Rajesh;	ia@dni.gov';
Subject: RE: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP:	
Below was approved by our GC.	Summary and a
	(b)(6)
Did Snowden raise concerns with NSA as he said he did?	
We have found a single instance of one email inquiry by Edward Snowden of General Counsel asking for an explanation of some material that was in a had just completed. The question was received and an answer was provide There was not additional follow-up noted. There are numerous avenues the have used to raise other concerns or whistleblower allegations. We have evidence of outreach from him in those areas and have not discovered any to his claims.	a training course he ed to Mr. Snowden. hat Mr. Snowden could e searched for
How do you plan to respond to the FOIA request?	
We received the request from NBC and are following normal procedures for request.	r processing the
Original Message	(b)(3) OGA
From: Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:41 AM	ODNI
To: 'Hayden, Caitlin';	
Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core;	
Beaghley , Sina; 'DNI-med	ia@dni.gov';
Richards, Rebecca J; De, Rajesh; Subject: RE: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP:	
OGC provided a draft response to our PAO for consideration.	and the second se
Deputy General Councel	and a second
Deputy General Counsel Litigation and Management	
National Security Agency	
963-0235 direct, 963-5548 (main line)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
Original Message	\
From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:21 AM	
	(b)(6)
7	

media@dni.gov':       Beaghley , Sini; 'DNI- Biological Reply: FOLLOW-UP:         Thanks much!       (b)(0)         Prome:       ODN         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:20 AM       ODN         To: Hayden, Caitlin       C: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core;       DNI-         Rechards, Rebecca J; De, Rajesh;       Suit:       Beaghley , Sina; 'DNI-         media@dni.gov'!       Richards, Rebecca J; De, Rajesh;       Suit:         Subject: REPLY: FOLIOW-UP:       Richards, Rebecca J; De, Rajesh;       Suit:         Caitlin,       (U/#FBUD) Got it, Arlene's team is on point and working to do the coordination and get         you the responsive information.       (b)(0)       ODNI         From: Hayden, Caitlin       DONI         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:02 AM       DONI         To: De, Rajesh;       Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MITF_core;         C: Evans, Stuart;       Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MITF_core;         Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP:       Richards, Rebecca J         Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP:       NI-         Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP:       Richards, Rebecca J        Original Message       From: Hayden, Caitlin         Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP:       Richards, Rebecca J        Original Message       From: Hayd	and the second se	<u>PAO</u> Media; DL MLTF_ Vines, Vanee M;		<b></b>
Subject: RE: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP: Thanks much! (b)(0) Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:20 AM To: Hayden, Caitlin Cc: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Vines, Vanee M: Beaghley , Sina; 'DNI- Red1a0dn1.gov'I Richards, Rebecca J; De, Rajesh; Subject: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP: Caitlin, (U//FOUD) Got it, Arlene's team is on point and working to do the coordination and get you the responsive information. (b)(0)PL 08:30 Cc: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; (b)(0)PL 08:30 Cc: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Beaghley, SIN; 'DNI- Beaghley, SIN; 'DN				na; 'DNI-
Thanks much! [b][0] OGA ODNI ODNI [b][0] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:20 AM To: Hayden, Caitlin GC: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Utines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina; 'DNI- Richards, Rebecca J; De, Rajesh; Subject: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP: Caitlin, (U//FOUO) Got it, Arlene's team is on point and working to do the coordination and get you the responsive information. (b)(0) (b)(0)PL 8538 Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; From: Hayden, Caitlin Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP: Beagnley, Stuart; Grimes, Beagnley, Stna; 'DNI- Beagnley, Stna; 'DNI- Beagnley, Stuart; Grimes, Beagnley, Stna; 'DNI- Beagnley, Stna; 'DNI- Beagnley, Stna; 'DNI- Beagnley, Stna; 'DNI- Beagnley, Stna; 'DNI- Beagnley, Stna; 'DNI- Beagnley, Sina; 'DNI- Bea	media@dni.gov';	Richards	, Rebecca J; De, Ra	jesh;
Thanks much! [b][0] OGA ODNI ODNI [b][0] Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:20 AM To: Hayden, Caitlin GC: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Utines, Vanee M; Beaghley , Sina; 'DNI- Richards, Rebecca J; De, Rajesh; Subject: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP: Caitlin, (U//FOUO) Got it, Arlene's team is on point and working to do the coordination and get you the responsive information. (b)(0) (b)(0)PL 8538 Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; From: Hayden, Caitlin Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP: Beagnley, Stuart; Grimes, Beagnley, Stna; 'DNI- Beagnley, Stna; 'DNI- Beagnley, Stuart; Grimes, Beagnley, Stna; 'DNI- Beagnley, Stna; 'DNI- Beagnley, Stna; 'DNI- Beagnley, Stna; 'DNI- Beagnley, Stna; 'DNI- Beagnley, Stna; 'DNI- Beagnley, Sina; 'DNI- Bea	Subject: RE: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP:			$\sim$ 1
Indiks mutuli       (b)(6)       OGA        Original Message       DDNI       (b)(3)-PL         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:20 AM       Items, Vanee M:       Beaghley, Sina; 'DNI-         Richards, Rebecca J; De, Rajesh;       Subject: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP:       Richards, Rebecca J; De, Rajesh;         Caitlin,       (U//FOUO)       Got it, Arlene's team is on point and working to do the coordination and get you the responsive information.       (b)(3)-PL 858        Original Message       (b)(3)-PL 8538       DDNI        Original Message       (b)(3)-PL 8538       DDNI        Original Message       Items, Vanee M:       Beagnley, Sina; 'DNI-         media@dni.gov';		(h)(3)		$\sim$
Control of the stage       Control of the stage         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:20 AM         To: Hayden, Caitlin         Cc: Evans. Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core;         Imedia@dni.gov'i         Richards, Rebecca J; De, Rajesh;         Subject: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP:         Caitlin,         (U//FOW)- Got it, Arlene's team is on point and working to do the coordination and get         you the responsive information.         (b)(8)PL 84:38         Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core;         From: Hayden, Caitlin         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:02 AM         To: De, Rajesh;         Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core;         Imedia@dni.gov';         Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP:	Thanks much! (b)(6)		and the second sec	
From:       Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:20 AM         Sent: Thursday, Kay 29, 2014 11:20 AM       Wines, Vanee Mi         Beaghley, Sina; 'DNI-         media@dni.gov'1       Richards, Rebecca J; De, Rajesh;         Subject: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP:         Caitlin,       (U/#FOWO)         Got it, Arlene's team is on point and working to do the coordination and get         you the responsive information.       (b)(9)-PL 8:38        Original Message       From: Hayden, Caitlin         Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF core;       (b)         Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF core;       (b)         Media@dni.gov';       Richards, Rebecca J         Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP:       Richards, Rebecca J        Original Message       From: Hayden, Caitlin         media@dni.gov';       Richards, Rebecca J         Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP:       Richards, Rebecca J        Original Message       From: Hayden, Caitlin         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM       Beaghle         To: Lock       Lock         Stuart;       Lock         Stuart;       Lock         Recard;       Beaghle	Original Message	ODNI	and the second	(b)(3)-P.L. 8
To: Hayden, Caitlin CC: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core;	From:	ar a function and a second and a	and the second	
CC: Evans, Stuart: Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core;			and the second se	
Wines, Vanee Mi         media@dni.gov'i         Subject: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP:         Caitlin, (U//FOUO)         Got it, Arlene's team is on point and working to do the coordination and get you the responsive information.         (b)(0)-PL 86-36         (b)(0)-PL 86-36         (b)(0)-PL 86-36         (b)(0)-PL 86-36         (c: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core;         Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core;         Wines, Vanee Mi         media@dni.gov';         Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP:         ****-Original Message         From: Hayden, Caitlin         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM         To:         Cc: Evans, Stuart;         From: Hayden, Caitlin         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM         To:         Cc: Evans, Stuart;         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM         To:         Sent: Thursda@dni.gov';		<u></u>	- second - second	
Beaghley , Sina; 'DNI- media@dni.gov'l         Subject: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP:         Caitlin, (U//FOUO) Got it, Arlene's team is on point and working to do the coordination and get you the responsive information.         (b)(3)-PL 88-36         (b)(3)-PL 88-36        Original Message         From: Hayden, Caitlin         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:02 AM         To: De, Rajesh;         Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF core;         Vines, Vanee M;         Beagnley , Sina; 'DNI-         media@dni.gov';         Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP:        Original Message         From: Hayden, Caitlin         Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP:        Original Message         From: Hayden, Caitlin         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM         To:         From: Hayden, Caitlin         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM         To:         From: Hayden, Caitlin         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM         To:         Cc: Evans, Stuart;	<u>.c: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL</u>			<b>_</b>
media@dnl.gov'i		Vines, vanee M;		
Subject: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP: Caitlin, (U//F000) Got it, Arlene's team is on point and working to do the coordination and get you the responsive information. (b)(3)-PL.86:36 Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:02 AM To: De, Rajesh; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF core; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley ; Sina; 'DNI- media@dni.gov'; Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP: Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: Cc: Evans, Stuart; Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: Cc: Evans, Stuart; Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: 	media@dni.gov'l	Richards		
Caitlin, (U//FOUO) Got it, Arlene's team is on point and working to do the coordination and get you the responsive information. (b)(3)-PL 88-36 (b)(3) (b)(3) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c			, hebeeed by bey hu	Je511,
(U/FOUO)       Got it, Arlene's team is on point and working to do the coordination and get you the responsive information.         (b)(3)-PL.86-36       (b)(3)         (b)(3)-PL.86-36       (b)(3)         (b)(3)-PL.86-36       (b)(3)         From: Hayden, Caitlin       (b)(3)         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:02 AM       (b)(3)         To: De, Rajesh;       (c: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF core;         Cc: Evans, Stuart;       (b)(3)         media@dni.gov';       Richards, Rebecca J         Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP:       (b)(3)         Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP:       (b)(3)        Original Message       (b)(3)         From: Hayden, Caitlin       Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM         To:       (c: Evans, Stuart;         (c: Evans, Stuart;       (b)(3)         (c: Evans, Stuart;       (c: Evans, Stuart;         (c: Evans, Stuart;       (c: Evans, Stuart;         (c: Evans, Stuart;       (c: Evans, Stuart;	Subject: REPLY: FOLLOW-UP:	$\sim$		
(U//F000)       Got it, Arlene's team is on point and working to do the coordination and get you the responsive information.         (b)(3)-PL.88-36       (b)(3)         (b)(3)-PL.88-36       (b)(3)         From: Hayden, Caitlin       (b)         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:02 AM       (b)         To: De, Rajesh;       (c: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF core;       (b)         Wines, Vanee M;       Beagnley ; Sina; 'DNI-         media@dni.gov';       Richards, Rebecca J       (b)(3)-PL.8         Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP:       Richards, Rebecca J       (b)(3)-PL.8         From: Hayden, Caitlin       Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM       (b)(3)-PL.8         To:		N		
you the responsive information. (b)(3)-PL.86-36 ODNI From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:02 AM To: De, Rajesh; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF core; Vines, Vanee Mi Beagnley , Sina; 'DNI- media@dni.gov'; Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP: (b)(3)-PL.8 (c) (b)(3)-PL.8 (c) (c) Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP: (c) Cc: Evans, Stuart; (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c)				
(b)(3)-PLL 86-36       (b)(3) OGA        Original Message       DDNI         From: Hayden, Caitlin       (b)         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:02 AM       (b)         To: De, Rajesh;       Vines, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF core;         Cc: Evans, Stuart;       Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF core;         Imedia@dni.gov';       BeagnIey, Sina; 'DNI-         Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP:       Richards, Rebecca J         *****       Form: Hayden, Caitlin         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM       (b)         To:		on point and worki	ng to do the coordi	nation and get
(b)(3)-PL.86-36 Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:02 AM To: De, Rajesh; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF core; Vines, Vanee M; Beagnley , Sina; 'DNI- Beagnley , Sina; 'DNI- Richards, Rebecca J (b)(3)-PL.8 (b)(3)-PL.8 (b)(3)-PL.8 (b)(3)-PL.8 (b)(3)-PL.8 (b)(3)-PL.8 (b)(3)-PL.8 (b)(3)-PL.8 (b)(3)-PL.8 (b)(3)-PL.8 (b)(3)-PL.8 (b)(3)-PL.8 (c: Evans, Stuart; (b)(3)-PL.8 (c: Evans, Stuart; (b)(3)-PL.8 (c: Evans, Stuart; (c: Evans	you the responsive information.	$\sim$	*	(h)(3)
Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:02 AM To: De, Rajesh; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Vines, Vanee M; JBeagnIey , Sina; 'DNI- media@dni.gov'; Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP: Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: Cc: Evans, Stuart; 	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	×. /		
Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:02 AM To: De, Rajesh; Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Vines, Vanee M; JBeagnIey , Sina; 'DNI- media@dni.gov'; Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP: Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: Cc: Evans, Stuart; 			/	
Prom: Hayden, Calflin         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:02 AM         To: De, Rajesh;         Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core;         Vines, Vanee M;         BeagnIey , Sina; 'DNI-         media@dni.gov';         Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP:        Original Message         From: Hayden, Caitlin         Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM         To:         Cc: Evans, Stuart;	Original Message	<u> </u>	Summer /	1
To: De, Rajesh;		- 1044 K. 1048		(~)(~)
Cc: Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAOMedia; DL MLTF_core; Vines, Vanee M; Beaghley, Sina; 'DNI- media@dni.gov'; Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP: Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: Cc: Evans, Stuart; , Sina; 'DNI-media@dni.gov'; Beaghle;		and the second		
<pre>Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: Cc: Evans, Stuart; , Sina; 'DNI-media@dni.gov';</pre>		PAOModia: DI MITE	cono.	
<pre>Beaghley , Sina; 'DNI- media@dni.gov'; Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP: Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: Cc: Evans, Stuart; , Sina; 'DNI-media@dni.gov'; Beaghle</pre>	C. Evalis, Stuart, Grilles, Artelle 0, DE			
<pre>media@dni.gov';</pre>	-		Beaghley , Si	na; 'DNI-
Subject: RE: FOLLOW-UP: Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: Cc: Evans, Stuart; J Beaghley , Sina; 'DNI-media@dni.gov';	media@dni.gov';	Richards		···· / \
Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To:				
Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To:				1
Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To:				
Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To:				
Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To:				
Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: Construction Con				
Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: Construction Con				
Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: Construction Con				
Original Message From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: Construction Con				
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: C: Evans, Stuart; G: Evans, Stuart; Beaghley , Sina; 'DNI-media@dni.gov';		11	1. Second	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-
To:		A		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-
Cc: Evans, Stuart; Beaghle , Sina; 'DNI-media@dni.gov';	From: Hayden, Caitlin	4		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-
, Sina; 'DNI-media@dni.gov';	From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-
, Sina; 'DNI-media@dni.gov';	From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To:			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-
, Sina; 'DNI-media@dni.gov';	From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To:			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-
	From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To:			
	From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: Cc: Evans, Stuart;			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-
	From: Hayden, Caitlin Gent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:45 AM To: Co: Evans, Stuart; Go: Sina; 'DNI-media@dni.gov';			

Raj: This is still an active discussion.

(b)(5)

Opiginal Massag			(D)(3)-P.L. 80-30
Original Messag From: De, Rajesh	e	and a second	$\times$ / $\otimes$
Sent: Thursday, May 2	9 2014 08:40 AM	and the second	
To:		Hayden, Caitlin;	
	and and a second s		-
Cc: Evans, Stuart; Gr	imes, Arlene U	DL PAOMedia	DI
	and a second		
-		Vines.	Vanee M
		/	
Beaghley , Sina; 'DNI	-Media@dni gov' <dni-< td=""><td>Media@dni</td><td>1</td></dni-<>	Media@dni	1
beagniey, Sina, Dui			
		s, Rebecca J	
E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E		and construction for the the	
Subject: Re: FOLLOW-U	P:		
Subject: Re: FOLLOW-U	P:		_
			┛ ill back off if
Ok. I seem to be the	only one who thinks	we should do something, so I w	⊐ ill back off if
	only one who thinks	we should do something, so I w	
Ok. I seem to be the	only one who thinks	we should do something, so I w	ill back off if (b)(3)-P.
Ok. I seem to be the everyone else disagre	only one who thinks es.	we should do something, so I w (b)(3) OGA	
Ok. I seem to be the everyone else disagre	only one who thinks es.	we should do something, so I w	
Ok. I seem to be the everyone else disagre Original Messag From: Robert Litt	only one who thinks es. e	we should do something, so I w (b)(3) OGA	
Ok. I seem to be the everyone else disagre Original Messag From: Robert Litt	only one who thinks es. e	we should do something, so I w (b)(3) OGA	
Ok. I seem to be the everyone else disagre Original Messag From: Robert Litt Sent: Thursday, May 2	only one who thinks es. <u>e</u> 9, 2014 08:18 AM	we should do something, so I w (b)(3) OGA	
Ok. I seem to be the everyone else disagre Original Messag From: Robert Litt Sent: Thursday, May 2 To: 'Hayden, Cait <u>lin</u> '	only one who thinks es. <u>e</u> 9, 2014 08:18 AM	we should do something, so I w (b)(3) OGA ODNI	(b)(3)-P.
Ok. I seem to be the everyone else disagre Original Messag From: Robert Litt Sent: Thursday, May 2	only one who thinks es. <u>e</u> 9, 2014 08:18 AM	we should do something, so I w (b)(3) OGA	(b)(3)-P. (b)(3)-P. (b)(3)-P.
Ok. I seem to be the everyone else disagre Original Messag From: Robert Litt Sent: Thursday, May 2 To: 'Hayden, Caitlin' Cc: Evans, Stuart	only one who thinks es. <u>e</u> 9, 2014 08:18 AM	we should do something, so I w (b)(3) OGA ODNI	(b)(3)-P.
Ok. I seem to be the everyone else disagre Original Messag From: Robert Litt Sent: Thursday, May 2 To: 'Hayden, Cait <u>lin</u> '	only one who thinks es. <u>e</u> 9, 2014 08:18 AM	we should do something, so I w (b)(3) OGA ODNI Grimes, Arlene U; DL PAO	(b)(3)-P. (b)(3)-P. (b)(3)-P.

I've now read the relevant portion of the preliminary transcript, which is excerpted below:

"i actually did go through channels. and that is documented. the nsa has records. they have copies of e-mails right now to their office of general counsel, to their oversight and compliance folks from me raising concerns about the nsa's interpretations of its legal authorities. i have raised the complaints not just officially in writing through e-mail to these offices and these individuals but to my supervisors, to my colleagues, in more than one office. i did it in ft. meade. i did it in hawaii. and many, many of these individuals were shocked by these programs. they had never seen them themselves. and the ones who had went, you know, you're right. these are things that are are really concerning. these aren't things we should be doing. maybe we're going too far here. but if you say something about this, they're going to destroy you. do you know what happens to people who stand up and talk about this?"

Reading this, I'm not sure that releasing the email will necessarily prove him a liar. It is, I could argue, technically true that the email I have seen "rais[ed] concerns about the NSA's interpretation of its legal authorities." As I recall, the email essentially questions a document that Snowden interpreted as claiming that Executive Orders were on a part with statutes. While that surely is not raising the kind of questions that Snowden is trying to suggest he raised, neither does it seem to me that that email is a home run refutation.

Bob

DOC	LID: 4295031	
	Original Message m: Hayden, Caitlin	(b)(3)
	t: Thursday, May 29, 2014 8:10 AM	OGA
To:		ODNI
	Evans, Stuart; Robert Litt;	
	; Beaghley , Sina; DNI-Media;	
Sub	ject: Re: FOLLOW-UP:	and the second
		λ.
	Original Message	(b)(5)
From	m:@nsa.gov]	
	t: Thursday, May 29, 2014 08:06 AM Hayden, Caitlin	i I
Cc:	Evans, Stuart; Grimes, Arlene U	and a second sec
MLTE	F core Hayden, Caitlin; Vine	c Vanoo
M	De, Rajesh	s, vanee
	Evans, Stuart;	/ フ
	Beaghley , Sina;	NI-
Medi	ia@dni.gov' <dni-media@dni.gov>; Richards, Rebecca J</dni-media@dni.gov>	14
Sub	ject: FOLLOW-UP:	
Coor	(b)(6)	
9000	d Morning Caitlin, Welcome your comments on the following as Q&A issues	
	Our GC is already working on them:	Į.
12		1
	t is the training and awareness provided to gov't and contractor employees about r	eporting
acti	ivities they perceive to be inconsistent with law or ethics?	1
What	t are the mechanisms by which that reporting can occur?	b)(5)
What	t is the process, accountability for addressing such reports?	-,,-,
		<i>¥</i>
Is t	there a record of these kinds of inquiries and responses?	
Do v	we have any examples of reported activity which resulted in corrective measures?	.8
Did	we receive correspondence from Edward Snowden about his concerns?	
If s	so, in what form, on what dates and with what specificity as to content?	
Were	e his inquiries responded to? If so, how and when?	
How	was our search for any correspondence from him conducted?	
Was	it exhaustive and authoritative?	
To S	it percipie there is connerpondence, we evenlocked didn't record)	
15 1	it possible there is correspondence we overlooked, didn't record?	5 gi



NOTHING FOLLOWS

From:		
Sent: Thursday, May To:	29, 2014 10:17 AM	
	; DL PAOMedia	Bauman, Ethan;
Subject: FYSA: FOLLOW	W-UP:///////	
/	( . /	
		for OGC working the proposed Q
his is germane to the phone con w	we just had with you.	
	/ / /	
		5 S.
than	ach to your office	
Note Bob Litt's ref to outrea	ach to your office.	(b) (3)
Note Bob Litt's ref to outrea		(b) (3) OGA
Note Bob Litt's ref to outrea	ach to your office.	OGA
Note Bob Litt's ref to outrea		
Note Bob Litt's ref to outrea		OGA
Note Bob Litt's ref to outrea		OGA
Note Bob Litt's ref to outrea Regards, Original Message rom: Robert Litt	(b) (6)	OGA
Note Bob Litt's ref to outrea degards, Original Message rom: Robert Litt ent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 9:59	(b) (6)	OGA
	AM	OGA

FYI received the attached from David Grannis, which I believe may reflect conversations he has had with others as well

Is there any reason not to make public the one email that NSA/FBI have located between Snowden and NSA people involving a legal question? That email is certainly not what Snowden described in the interview... The only reason that I can see not to release the email exchange is if people are concerned that there are other emails out there, so I suppose that is a question of how confident are people in their ability to search old records. That shouldn't be too difficult...

(By the way, Sen. Feinstein spoke late last week to Denis McDonough and Lisa Monaco about this very thing, having been tipped off that it would be part of the interview. I followed up with NSA OLA to make sure there was a response in place. I haven't seen anything yet.)

Original Message		(b) (3) OGA
From: Hayden, Caitlin Sent <u>: Thursday, May</u> 29, 2014 8:45	AM	ODNI
Robert Litt;		
Cc: Evans, Stuart;	'PAOMedia@nsa.gov'; 'MLTH	P_core@nsa.gov; @nsa.gov';
		Beaghley , Sina; DNI-
Media; Gubjec <del>t: Re: FOLLOW-OP:</del>	×1/	\
	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	$\sim$ $\setminus$
		(b) (6)

[Text below is duplicated in DOCID 4295031]

N	ISA-D28 USA CIV
From: Sent: To: Cc:	NSA-SV4 USA CIV Wednesday, April 09, 2014 4:48 PM NSA-S1N3 USA CIV DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) D23; DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4; NSA-S3M2 USA CIV; NSA-D USA CIV; DL SV_MediaLeaks (ALIAS) SV43
Subject:	RE: (U) Immediate Media Leaks Action for SV MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence
Classification: <del>CC</del>	ONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN-
The information is attac	ched. Please let us know if you have any questions. (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
FW: (U) Information Reg	
(U/ <del>/FOUO)</del> SID Oversight & Complia FISA Authorities (SV4)	ance
Classification Advisory C OPS 1, 3W160A, Suite 6 969-0029 (S) / (U/ <del>/FOUO)</del>	
From: Sent: Wednesday, April To: DL SV_MediaLeaks	(ALIAS) SV43
NSA-S3M2 USA CIV;	s (ALIAS) D23; DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4; NSA-D USA CIV e Media Leaks Action for SV MLTF 1549 Vanity Fair: Search of Correspondence

#### Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

#### Immediate Media Leaks Action for SV

SV colleagues:

### Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137

-(C//NF) Per the MLTF tasker below, please effect a search of SV appropriate repositories to see if you have a record or records of the Leaker sending you NSANet email, or Web-based input, or some other form of input on high-side NSA IT systems.

(C//NF) See below for full details, related to a Vanity Fair article due out this week, which is expected to assert that Edward Snowden made an attempt(s) to express his concerns about NSA's activities.

-(C//NF) Findings. If found, provide a short recap of the exchange. Please coordinate directly with ODOC, with a Cc to SSCT.

Regards,

				(L) (2) D L 00 20
		and a second		(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
(U/ <del>/FOUO) -</del>		*****	and the second	
and a second	ALCONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACT	and the second se	and the second	
SID Media Leaks Team (S	S1 Rep)		and the second sec	
(Please send all Media L	eaks related emails to t	the alias DL SSC	r)	
Ops 1, 1E024 Suite 6209		and the second		
966-5884s	and the second	and a start of the		
bb		and the second se		
	1	1		
	and the second se			
	and a start of the			
	and the second			
From:	NSA-S3M2 USA CIV			
Sent: Wednesday, April				-
To: DL SV_MediaLeaks (				
Cc: DL OGC_MediaLeaks				aleaks (ALI)
Subject: FW: (U) MLTF	1549 Vanity Fair: Se	arch of Correspo	ondence	

Importance: High

#### Classification: CONFIDENTIAL//NOFORN

Good afternoon,

REF the MLTF tasker issued ealier today (below).

Four your SA and to ask for your assistance, we wanted to alert you to this action and ask that you coordinate with the Agency OGC, OIG, and ODOC as appropriate.

NTOC may want to respond directly to the MLTF POC below.

SV, please also keep SSCT apraised of anything you might pass to ODOC.

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Rgds,

Media Leaks Task Fe OPS 2B, 2B8090	rce			(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
969-5009 (s) (u)				
r ·		and the second		
	and the second			
	Sector and a sector			1
From:	NSA-S3M2 USA CIV	and the second second	1	
Sent: Wednesday, Ap		and the second se	1	
To: DL OGC_MediaLe	aks (ALIAS) D23: DL ODOC	Medialeaks (ALIA	S) D4; DL d_ig_tasker	<u>t </u>
Cc: DL medialeaks_co	re (ALIAS) D;	NSA-D USA CIV		
NSA-D1 USA CIV;	NSA-D USA CIV	:	NSA-D USA CIV	- 34
NOA DI ODA CIV				

N	SA-D28 USA CIV
From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	NSA-SV2 USA CIV Wednesday, April 09, 2014 12:11 PM NSA-SV USA CIV NSA-SV4 USA CIV FW: (U) Information Regarding My Contact w/Leaker in April 2013
Importance: Classification: UN	High CLASSIFIED/ <del>//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY</del>
	ere are the emails that were forwarded to during the initial request f oct with the Leaker
Cc:	SV2 USA CIV SA-SV21 USA CIV n Regarding My Contact w/Leaker in April 2013
	Below text duplicated in DOCID 4294921 at 10:46 AM

Original Message	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: NSA-SV21 USA CIV	(b)(3)-P.E. 00-30
Sent: Monday, June 10, 2013 9:15 AM	
To: NSA-SV21 USA CIV	
Cc: NSA-SV21 USA CIV	
Subject: (U) FW: Comments from the D2 General Counsel Email Us	; Form (1 of 4

emails) Importance: High Below text duplicated in DOCID 4294921
DOCID:	4295078
<u>.</u>	
7	

DCID: 430	1740	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: Sent: To: Cc:	NSA-Q311 USA C Thursday, May 29, 2014 12:02 PM NSA-Q USA DL Q_REGISTRY; CIV: NSA-Q311 USA CIV	<u>CIV</u> NSA-Q3 USA CIV; NSA-Q3 USA
Subject: Importance:		nowden's Claims of Voicing Concerns
Classification: UI	SCLASSIFIED / <del>/FOR OFFICIAL I</del>	
espectfully,	Bave me a neads up on ans tasking. Delow	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)

S/A CFE, CISSP Q311 Counterintelligence Investigations	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(5)
Assigned to Q3 - FANX 2, Room A2A048, Suite 6832 Office: 968-3373(s (b)	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: NSA-D23 USA CIV	

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014 11:34 AM To: NSA-Q311 USA CIV Cc: NSA-D23 USA CIV Subject: (U) 2014_05_29 - ACTION: Snowden's Claims of Voicing Concerns Importance: High Below

Below text duplicated in DOCID 4294890

From:	ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov
Sent:	Friday, April 05, 2013 4:11 PM
To:	DL gc_web (ALIAS) D2
Cc:	Snowden Edward J NSA-FHV USA CTR
Subject:	Comments from the D2 General Counsel Email Us Form
	Text below is duplicated in DOCID 4228926

·		
From:	NSA-D2-USA CIV	******
Sent:	Friday, April 05, 2013 4:34 PM	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
To:	DL SV_Training (ALIAS) SV2	- And
Cc:	Snowden Edward J NSA-FHV USA CTR	
Subject:	(U) FW: Comments from the D2 General Counsel Em	ail Us Form
	and the second	
Classification: UNCLAS	SSIFIED// <del>FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY</del>	
	stion below regarding USSID 18 training but I believe that this sh	ould have gone to your org
instead. Can you help	with this?	
	and the second	
4407		1
Office Manager to the	e Deputy General Counsels Office of General Counsel (D2) 963-55	548, OPS2B 2B8140,
Suite: 6250		d
(U//FOUO) My Dropbe	DX	
Original Message -		
877. N. 1977.	c.gov [mailto:ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov]	
Sent: Friday, April 05,		
To: DL gc_web (ALIAS)		ACID 4228928
Cc: Snowden Edward		301D 4220329
	om the D2 General Counsel Email Us Form	
Subject. comments in		

# **POCID: 4301740**

From:	NSA-D21 USA CIV (b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
Sent:	Monday, April 08, 2013 1:37 PM	
То:	Snowden Edward J NSA-FHV_USA_CTR	-
Cc:	NSA-SV2 USA CIV; NSA-SV21 USA CIV;	
	NSA-D21 USA CIV; NSA-D2 USA CIV; NSA-SV2	21
	USA CIV	
Subject:	RE: (U) Question for OGC re OVSC1800 Course Content - (U) FW: Comments from the	ne
	D2 General Counsel Email Us Form	
	[Below text duplicated in DOCID 4228926]	

CID: 43	301740	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36	
From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	Thursday, May 29, 2014 7: FW: REPLY: DNI and WH		94

- 1. (IG/ODOC) What is the training and awareness provided to gov't and contractor employees about reporting activities they perceive to be inconsistent with law or ethics?
- 2. (IG/ODOC) What are the mechanisms by which that reporting can occur?
- 3. (IG/ODOC) What is the process, accountability for addressing such reports?
- 4. (IG/ODOC) Is there a record of these kinds of inquiries and responses?
- 5. (IG/ODOC) Do we have any examples of reported activity which resulted in corrective measures?
- 6. (OGC) Did we receive corresponded from Edward Snowden about his concerns?
- 7. (OGC) If so, in what form, on what dates and with what specificity as to content?
- 8. (OGC) Were his inquiries responded to? If so, how and when?
- 9. (Q/TD) How was our search for any correspondence from him conducted?
- 10. (Q/TD) Was it exhaustive and authoritative?
- 11. (Q/TD) Is it possible there is correspondence we overlooked, didn't record?

From:	NSA-Q USA (	CIV	
Sent:	Thursday, May 29, 2014 2:54 PM		
То:	NSA-S3M2 USA		
Cc:	NSA-D23 USA CIV;	NSA-Q USA CIV	/;
	NSA-Q USA CIV;	NSA-Q3 USA CIV;	NSA-Q31 USA
	CIV; DL ads_registry (ALIAS) Q09	$\sim$ $\sim$	
Subject:	(U) 2014_05_29 - ACTION: Snowde	en's Claims of Voicing Concerns	<b>;</b> ] ]
	18-k		
Importance:	High		
Classification: UNCLAS	SIFIED / <del>/FOR OFFICIAL U</del>		
Q31's answers are below	. Understand that the email has be	en released. (b)	(3)-P.L. 86-36
an and a second s			
ADS&CI Chief of Staff 968-6000(s);	and and a second sec		

If so, in what form, on what dates and with what specificity as to content?

(U//<del>FOUO)</del> On Friday 5 April 2013 4:11 pm Eastern time, Snowden submitted a question to the Office of the General Counsel (OGC) via the "Email Us" form on their internal website. This form generated an email to OGC and copied Snowden, a copy of which remained in Snowden's email Inbox when the investigation obtained it and is marked as having been read but not forwarded or responded to. The question concerned the precedence of Executive Orders and Federal Statutes as well as precedence between DOD and ODNI regulations.



(U//FOUO) Less than a half hour later (at 4:35 pm) an Office Manager for OGC forwarded the email to the SID Oversight and Compliance training group and CC'd Snowden indicating the question might be better answered by that office. A copy of this email was filed by Snowden in a folder within his Inbox. The email is marked has having been read, but not been forwarded or responded to.



(U/<del>/FOUO)</del> The next working day, Monday 8 April 2013 at 1:37 pm Eastern time, a lawyer with OGC responded to Snowden's questions. A copy of this email was filed in a folder within his Inbox. The email is marked has having been read, but not forwarded or responded to.

## Approved for Release by NSA on 04-27-2016. FOIA Case # 78137



(U//FOUO) All three emails are attached.

### Were his inquiries responded to? If so, how and when?

(U/<del>/FOUO)</del> See above for the emailed correspondence. ADS&CI has spoken with the lawyer who responded to Snowden's inquiry and she remembered considering calling Snowden since the inquiry was out of the ordinary. However, she decided not to and instead in her email invites him to call her if he wanted further discussion. She does not recall any actual telephonic contact by Snowden.

### How was our search for any correspondence from him conducted?

(U//<del>FOUO)</del> We have reviewed all of the email belonging to Edward Snowden which we have been able to obtain. This has included both manual review of the email as well as searches tailored to identify any correspondence involving the organizations of the OIG, OGC, and Oversight and Compliance.

(U/<del>/FOUO)</del> We have located additional email between Snowden and members of OGC and Oversight and Compliance relating to work on troubleshooting IT issues, but these emails do not contain any questions or concerns about the legal authorities under which NSA operates.

### Was it exhaustive and authoritative?

(U/<del>/FOUO)</del> It has been as exhaustive and authoritative as possible given the evidence we have been able to discover after a comprehensive investigation into Snowden's activities at NSA. Due to the ongoing criminal investigation, we are unable to provide details.

### Is it possible there is correspondence we overlooked, didn't record?

(U/<del>/FOUO)</del> It is extremely unlikely that additional correspondence exists which matches Snowden's descriptions, specifically email sent to members of OGC and oversight and compliance sent as "one of [his] final acts in government."

(U//FOUO) It remains possible that unrecorded verbal communication existed between Snowden and one of the offices he cites, but we have not located any individual who remembers any such hypothetical conversations despite a comprehensive investigation into Snowden's activities at NSA.

From: NSA-S	3M2 USA CIV
Sent: Thursday, May 29, 2014	11:25 AM
To: NSA-Q	USA CIV; DL d_ig_tasker (ALIAS) D; DL ODOC_Medialeaks (ALIAS) D4;
NSA-T USA CIV	
Cc: DL OGC_MediaLeaks (ALIAS	) D23; NSA-D23 USA CIV; DL ssct (ALIAS) S1; DL PAOMedia (ALIAS) DN1;
DL Q_REGISTRY;	NSA-Q31 USA CIV
Subject: (U) ACTION: Snowden	i's Claims of Voicing Concerns
Importance: High	[Below text is duplicated in DOCID 4249209]

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

From:	ejsnowd@nsa.ic.gov
Sent:	Friday, April 05, 2013 4:11 PM
To:	DL gc_web (ALIAS) D2
Cc:	Snowden Edward J NSA-FHV USA CTR
Subject:	Comments from the D2 General Counsel Email Us Form
	[Text below is duplicated in DOCID 4301740]

CID: 43	01870	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36
From: Sent: To: Cc:	Thursday, May 29, 2014 7:57 AM	
Subject:	FW: REPLY: DNI and WH Status	Below text duplicated in DOCID 42945

# POCID: 4301870