(U) SEMIANNUAL REPORT TO THE CONGRESS

(U) For the Period Octob	ber 1, 2008 through March 31, 2009	(1) (2) P.T. 06
		(b) (3)-P.L. 86-
(U/ /FOUO)	NSA/CSS IG; AFI;	SRA IG.
INSCOM IG, NNWC IG,	:	
-(C//REL) Summary The IG organiz	ations of the Air Force Intelligence	e, Surveillance,
and Reconnaissance Agency, Intelligence a		
Command, and NSA inspected the		The team
found the site led by a commander who er		
improved skills and tools used in the cryptol		
is reflected in the low number of findings;		
identified in the 2005 Joint Inspectors Ge effectiveness. The inspection team attributes		<u> </u>
process for converting a Service Cryptolog	-	
there is no NSA headquarters vision for		
substandard facilities, training shortfalls, an	d decreasing mission support desp	ite an increased
pace of system installations can be traced t	[[[] [[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[nancial picture
and centralize	d resource planning.	
(U) Management Action Manage	ment concurred with all recommen	idations and
corrective act	tions are underway.	
(U) Overall Report Classification	s SECRET//COMINT//REL TO U	JSA, FVEY
(U) Category Join	int Warfighting and Readiness	
(G/DEL)	; NSA/CSS IG;	
12 December	2008 (both reports).	
(S//REL) Summary We visited		s selected on the
	nt problems. Our reviews assessed	
	intelligence oversight requiremen	
instructions. We had no findings or recomm		
		had not
157 · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • · • ·	Ve recommended that Headqu	uarters consider
performing a		
(U) Management Action manage	ement at the site advised	that the
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		exercise had .
been conducted Headquarters is investigated		—————
(U) Overall Report Classifications: TO		NOFORN :
(ST-08-0012A), TOP SECRET//COMINT//N	NOFORN (ST-08-0012B) (b) (1)	
(U) Category Joint Warfighting and l	Readiness : . (b) (3)	-50 USC 3024(i)
(b) (1)	(b) (3)	-P.L. 86-36
(b) (3) -P.L. 86-36	D. T 0.6 3.6 1	
(b) (3) -	P.L. 86-36 Derived From: N	SA/CSSM 1-52
Approved for Release by	Da	ited: 20070108
NSA on 07-01-2019, FOIA		On: 20320108 Release: 2019-06
Case # 79825 (litigation) SECRET//REL	- TO USA, FVEY	NSA:08903

(U) Financial Accounting and Corporate Tracking System (FACTS); NSA/CSS IG; AU-08-0019; 31 December 2008

(U) Management Action Management concurred with all recommendations.

(U) Overall Report Classifications SECRET//REL TO USA, FVEY

(U) Category Financial Management

- (U) Temporary Secure Work Areas; NSA/CSS IG; ST-08-0021; 5 January 2009
- (U//FOUO) Summary This special study was initiated as a result of a complaint received by the OIG concerning the use of a facility as a non-accredited Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility (SCIF). The complainant alleged that NSA's practice of repeatedly using a certain uncleared, unsecured venue as a Temporary Secure Work Area (TSWA) places sensitive classified information at risk. Although the venue is not an accredited SCIF, it has been designated as a TSWA. The special study focused on the circumstances and implications of the Agency's designation of the facility as a TSWA and the overall TSWA approval process. NSA's Associate Directorate for Security and Counterintelligence (ADS&CI) is the Cognizant Security Authority for NSA and is responsible for security program management for the protection of sources and methods. The special study found that this matter has been researched and addressed appropriately. Furthermore, ADS&CI has complied with Director of Intelligence Directive No. 6/9, *Physical Security Standards for Sensitive Compartmented Information*.
- (U) Management Action Management concurred with our recommendation to avoid future approvals of the facility as a TSWA.
 - (U) Overall Report Classifications SECRET//NOFORN
 - (U) Category Other (Physical Security)
- (U//FOUO) **Aerospace Data Facility;** NSA/CSS IG, NGA IG, AFISRA IG, INSCOM IG, NNWC IG; JT-09-0001; 15 January 2009

(U// FOUO) Summary The IG organizations of the National Geospatial-Intelligence				
Agency, Air Force Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance Agency, Intelligence and				
Security Command, Naval Network Warfare Command, NSA, and other agencies conducted a				
joint inspection of the Aerospace Data Facility. The inspection team found the site led by a				
commander who energizes the operational and enabling missions. Without clear and				
consistent guidance from the Intelligence Community (IC), the Commander has done an				
exceptional job of developing and communicating vision and direction to move from agency				
mission stovepipes to IC-centric operations. When structured properly, the NSA, NGA,				
Service Cryptologic Elements, foreign mission partners, and corporate partners at the site				
present an ideal environment for a cohesive, collaborative relationship that supports the				
Director of National Intelligence's (DNI) Strategic Plan to create a culture in which				
intelligence professionals work together. The inspection team found that a more integrated				
mission environment would support the DNI's functional joint duty assignment initiative. A				
independent site services, and agency specific				
rather than IC-centric processes threaten the site's ability to achieve this vision.				
(U) Management Action Management concurred with all recommendations and				
corrective actions are underway.				
(b) (3) -P.L. 86-36				
(U) Overall Report Classifications TOP SECRET//COMINT//TALENT				
KEYHOLE//NOFORN :				
(U) Category Joint Warfighting and Readiness				
(c) Category some warnighting and Readiness				
(U) Advisory Report on Human Language Technology; NSA/CSS IG; AU-09-0004;				
4 February 2009				
-(S//REL) Summary Our advisory found that the NSA program to improve SIGINT:				
communications processing has invested approximately to date and plans to				
spend over the FY2009 – FY2013 program build. This program, known as				
Human Language Technology (HLT), provides products and services to SIGINT analysts to				
find, evaluate, and report				
intelligence information critical to national security. Our advisory found that collaboration				
between users of HLT and researchers is key to the program's success. Not all HLT programs				
have been successful, but knowledge has been gained from attempts that failed and those that				
succeeded. Nevertheless, the problem the HLT program was designed to resolve remains:				
· . · With limited				
resources, NSA must				
resources, resources, resources				
that will yield the best results.				
(U) Management Action Management has stated that over the next 15 months each				
HLT area will be evaluated for inclusion within the Analytic Modernization program or				
termination.				
(I) Overall Penert Classifications TOP SECRET//COMINT//NOFORN				
(U) Overall Report Classifications TOP SECRET // COMINT // NOFORN (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36				
(U) Category Joint Warfighting and Readiness				
(U) Oversight Review of Restaurant Fund, Civilian Welfare Fund, and Cryptologic				

Museum Gift Shop; NSA/CSS IG; AU-09-0017; 17 March 2009

(U/FOUO) Summary The financial statements of the Agency's Restaurant Fund, Civilian Welfare Fund, and Cryptologic Museum Gift Shop were audited by a Certified Public Accounting firm which issued unqualified opinions. Our review found that the audit had been conducted consistent with Government Auditing Standards. The CPAs did not identify any management concerns in this or the previous year.

- (U) Overall Report Classifications UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
- (U) Category Financial Management
- (U) Deployment of SIGINT Systems; NSA/CSS IG; AU-08-0010; 27 March 2009
- (U//FOUC) Summary Our objective was to determine whether deployments of SIGINT systems and tools to the field complied with NSA policies. The Agency is generally in compliance with the process for deploying SIGINT and support capabilities to field sites.

 of sampled NSA/CSS capabilities deployed during FY2007 and FY2008 followed or partially followed the deployment management process prescribed by NSA/CSS Policy Manual 10-4. The manual details the processes for deploying capabilities to field sites, including verifying that the capability is ready to be deployed and that the site is prepared for its installation, integration, operation, and maintenance. Although the policy manual addresses most deployment situations; some areas have been overlooked. For example, the manual and the Acquisition Logistics & Deployment Review Office web pages do not define requirements for
- (U) Management Action The actions taken by the Directorate of Acquisition and the Technology Directorate meet the intent of the recommendations.
- (U) Overall Report Classifications SECRET//COMINT//TALENT KEYHOLE//REL TO USA, FVEY
 - (U) Category Joint Warfighting and Readiness

(b) (1) (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

(U) Organizational Inspection of the NSA/CSS Threat Operations.Center; NSA/CSS IG: IN-08-0004; 31 March 2009

(NTOC) in 2005, Agency senior leadership has been unable to implement the Director's strategic intent for the organization. Our inspection found that disagreements on mission boundaries have prevented NTOC from establishing the foundation required to perform its mission. Recognizing cyber security as a significant national security challenge, the Director NSA/CSS (DIRNSA) established the NTOC as a corporate organization to maximize the Signals Intelligence (SID) and Information Assurance Directorates' (IAD) computer network operations capabilities. DIRNSA has provided much of the guidance on NTOC's strategic mission to the NTOC Director; some of that guidance has conflicted with the established missions of SID and IAD. percent of NTOC's budget execution authority for contracts and interagency acquisitions is executed outside the organization, resulting in insufficient oversight, of particular concern because of significant budget increases

(b) (1) (b) (3) -P.L. 86-36

expected for NTOC over the FY09-13 program.

- (U) Management Action All action officials have concurred with the recommendations, and Agency senior leadership has taken appropriate actions to resolve the disagreement about NTOC's mission.
 - (U) Overall Report Classifications TOP SECRET//COMINT//REL TO USA, FVEY
 - (U) Category Joint Warfighting and Readiness
- (U) Hostile Work Environment and Reprisal; NSA/CSS IG; IV-08-0023; 25 November 2008
- (U/FOUO) Summary The OIG substantiated an allegation that a GG-14 managerial employee created a hostile work environment and subsequently reprised against a subordinate for reporting his inappropriate conduct to his supervisor. The investigation determined that the employee created a hostile work environment through inappropriate and intimidating conduct (swearing and throwing office items) and reprised against a subordinate who reported the misconduct.
 - (U) Overall Report Classification UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
 - (U) Category Other (Reprisal and Standards of Conduct)
- (U) Sexual Harassment and False Statement; NSA/CSS IG; IV-08-0046; 14 January 2009
- (U/FOUO) Summary The OIG substantiated an allegation that a GG-12 NSA supervisory police officer sexually harassed a junior police officer. The OIG determined that the senior officer made an unwelcome sexual advance toward the female junior officer. The investigation also determined that the officer knowingly and willfully made a false statement under oath during the investigation. A report was forwarded to the NSA Office of Employee Relations for a determination on disciplinary action.
 - (U) Overall Report Classification UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
 - (U) Category Other (Equal Employment Opportunity and Standards of Conduct)
- (U) **Procurement Fraud Initiative**; NSA/CSS IG; Various Control Numbers; 1 October 2008 to 31 March 2009
- (U//FOUO) **Summary** In October 2007, we launched an initiative to identify fraudulent billings by NSA contractors. This initiative involves data interrogation of contractor access records, coordination with contractor compliance officials, analysis of billing records, and investigation of access and billing anomalies.
- (U//FOUO) Over the past six months, we have continued our initiative at NSA's Cryptologic Centers. As part of Phase II, we completed seven investigations at NSA/CSS Georgia and identified over 3,100 hours mischarged with an estimated recovery exceeding \$200K. Some examples include:
- (U//FOUO) **IV-09-0008** A contractor employee fraudulently billed the government 398 hours (approximately \$42,000) over a 12 month period. The employee admitted to submitting false timesheets for late arrival and early departure each day.
 - (U/FOUO) IV-09-0010 A contractor employee fraudulently billed the government

366 hours (approximately \$21,000) over a 12 month period. The employee admitted to submitting false timesheets. Most of the discrepant hours represent excessive hours at lunch.

(U//FOUO) **IV-09-0019** A contractor employee fraudulently billed the government 249 hours (approximately \$19,000) over a 12 month period. The employee admitted to submitting false timesheets. While the employee admitted leaving early, he was surprised that it was that much over a one year period.

(U//FOUO) During our analysis, we found potential mischarging by contractors working at NSA/CSS Georgia and referred those to the separate investigations. We believe these recoveries are significant. Additionally, we will commence a review of contractor program management during our contract labor reviews due to the significant amount of mischarging by contractor employees. Program management is one of the highest labor categories on most T&M contracts we have reviewed.

(U) Category Acquisition Processes and Contract Management

(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36

(U) NSA/CSS OIG ACTIVITIES RELATED TO COUNTERTERRORISM

(U) Advisory Report on Practices and Procedures To Ensure Accurace Disseminated in Iraq and Afghanistan; NSA/CSS IG; ST-08-0019; 17 December 17 December 18 December 19 Decemb	•	
(S//REL) Summary Because Signals Intelligence (SIGINT) reportedly continuous in Iraq and Afghanistan, the Dir SIGINT Directorate asked the NSA IG to examine current practices to ensure and reliability of intelligence disseminated by SIGINT elements in-theater. Continuous con	e the accuracy	
(U) Management Action The recommendations in this advisory report are offered for the SIGINT Directorate leadership to consider after conducting a cost/benefit analysis of implementing the recommendations in a combat area.		
(U) Overall Report Classifications SECRET//COMINT//REL TO US	SA, FVEY	
(U) Category Joint Warfighting and Readiness		
(b) (b)	(1) (3)-P.L. 86-36	