UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY



OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY CENTRAL SECURITY SERVICE

	To:	Chief, D14		Date: 23 February 2016	
	From:	Investigato	or		
*	Subject:	and	***************		
	File No:	IV-14-0088			(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36
(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 (b) (6)	Precedence:	Routine			•
	System Engi specializing Contractors. (U//FOUO) contract information	is the Chid Chief Executive Officer of the ering, Software Engineer in support to the Intelligen	ef Operations Office of cring, and Informatio ce Community (IC) and and employment on	provides n Technology services and associated Prime on NSA access to NSA facilities and this NSA contract.	
<u>.</u>	II. (U) Issue	The OIG received an allega			
(b) (6)		In so doing and NSA policy.		private company called blated federal acquisition	
6.1		Contract Clause General Prohibitions. Contra	actor personnel unde	r this contract shall not:	

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICE

(5) Represent their relationship with the Government as other than that detailed in this clause. (e) Non-Recruitment and Non-Interference. Contractor personnel shall not recruit Government or other Contractors' personnel on Government premises, nor otherwise act to disrupt official Government business. Access to and use of Government-furnished facilities, services, resources, and equipment (e.g., computers, telephones, portable computing devices, etc.) are for contract performance purposes only.

(U//FOUO) NSA/CSS Policy 6-4, Contractor Use of NSA/CSS Information Systems and Resources: contract, approved by the CO and permitted by this policy. 6. Contractor shall not use NSA/CSS IS and ITI resources to solicit business;

1. Contractor shall only use NSA/CSS ISs to perform tasks that are authorized by (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 advertise products or services; or otherwise seek to obtain an unfair competitive advantage. IV. (U) Investigative Activity (U//FOUO)*The OlG obtained sworn testimony from Their (b) (6) testimony confirmed that they made attempts via phone, in person, and through email to generate business for between March-April 2014. A government witness to their attempts to market broducts confirmed this information. The OIG also obtained copies of the literature and sample products that distributed to NSA personnel Finally, the OIG obtained copies of email correspondence between and government representatives discussing attempts to and its products. These email exchanges took place via NSA's internal email (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 system using government information systems. The OIG has no evidence that these (b) (6) attempts to solicit NSA personnel for business successfully resulted in a contract award. (U//FOUO) On February 5, 2016, attorney for (b) (3)-P.L. 86-36 provided email correspondence that (b) (6) On or around December 3, 2013, Program Executive Officer, NSA Directorate of Acquisitions and several other representatives were present. • • • • expressed interest services for a partnership with NSA and supplied additional contacts within NSA. (U//FQUO) Email string dated December 3, 2013. from "I had a solid session with today. She was briefed. too, on the fact that she can utilize as well. She started by calling (According to following the initial 3 December 2013 meeting, was explicitly invited by to meet further with specific NSA (b) (6) UNCLASSIFIED//FOR O

(b) (3)-P.L. 86-36

UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY	(b)(3)-P.L. 86-36 (b)(6)					
V. (U) Analysis and Conclusion (U//FOUO) and access to NSA facilities was predicated upon their subcontract with to support NSA. Although they did use Government-furnished telephones and information systems for purposes not authorized under their contract, the fact that they were expressly invited to discuss these services by NSA acquisition personnel mitigates the misuse. Furthermore, although and were soliciting business and advertising products and services, we have no information to suggest that they used NSA information systems to identify potential contacts/customers or to investigate pending acquisitions. Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that they did not wittingly violate NSA policy when they discussed, via email and telephone, services in which NSA officials had expressed interest.						
VI. (U) Recommendation(s))(3)-P.L. 86-36					
(U// FOUO) Recommend that this case be closed with no further action. Concur with recommendation:						