

ARLINGTON HALL, STATION

Date 22 Nov

TO

FROM

- _____ Commanding Officer ✓
- _____ Asst. Commandant
- ✓ _____ Dir. of Com. Research
- _____ Executive Officer
- _____ Asst. Executive Officer
- _____ Adjutant
- _____ Asst. Adjutant
- _____ Chief, Headquarters Branch
- _____ Coordination Section
- _____ Inf. and Liaison Section
- _____ Chief, A Branch
- _____ Chief, B Branch
- _____ Chief, C Branch
- _____ Chief, D Branch
- _____ Chief, E Branch
- _____ Chief, F Branch
- _____ Dir. of Training
- _____ 2d Sig. Serv. Bn.
- _____ Property Officer
- _____ Personnel Officer
- _____ Provost Marshal
- _____ Post Engineer
- _____ Classified Mail Room
- _____ Secretary to C. O.
- _____ General Files
- _____ 201 Files

- _____ Comments and Return
- _____ Recommendations
- _____ Information
- _____ Information and Return
- _____ Inf. and Forwarding
- _____ Your Information and File
- _____ Signature, if approved
- _____ See Note on Back
- _____ As Requested
- _____ As Discussed
- _____ Your Action

a. Why does Navy participate in preparation of reports. I think it would be better if one Dept. did all.

b. I think we should move fast to correct any current deficiencies as soon as we can get a request from the S.O.

WPC

ARLINGTON HALL STATION

Date 20 Nov 43

TO

FROM

- Commanding Officer _____
- Asst. Commandant _____
- Dir. of Com. Research _____
- Executive Officer _____
- Asst. Executive Officer _____
- Adjutant _____
- Asst. Adjutant _____
- Coordination Section _____
- Chief, Headquarters Branch _____
- Chief, A Branch _____
- Chief, B Branch _____
- Chief, C Branch _____
- Chief, D Branch _____
- Chief, E Branch _____
- Chief, F Branch _____
- Dir. of Training _____
- C. O. of Troops _____
- Property Officer _____
- Personnel Officer _____
- Provost Marshal _____
- Classified Mail Room _____
- Secretary to C. O. _____
- General Files _____
- 201 Files _____

- Comments and Return _____
- Recommendations _____
- Information _____
- Information and Return _____
- Inf. and Forwarding _____
- Your Information and File _____
- Signature, if approved _____
- See Note on Back _____
- As Requested _____
- As Discussed _____
- Your Action _____

1. In connection with another paper on same subject sent you earlier today.
2. Re Par. 3, I think the expression "almost all" in the 2d sentence is too broad & will have the minutes amended.

D. 3229

Hayes conf rpt re
reading his life

REF ID: A67325

When ~~the~~ ^{the} State comes, send
tel to Frans to get
from C the story
of compromise
which was referred
to Wmant re
Madrid situation

~~SECRET~~

19 November 1943

NOTES ON CONFERENCE HELD 18 NOVEMBER 1943 BETWEEN REPRESENTATIVES OF THE
SIGNAL SECURITY AGENCY AND THE STATE DEPARTMENT

1. The conference was held for the purpose of discussing a proposed survey of the cryptographic facilities of the State Department by the Signal Security Agency. The Signal Security Agency was represented by Mr. Friedman, Major Wiser, and Captain Hoak. The State Department was represented by Mr. Salmon and Mr. Goldsberry.
2. Mr. Salmon opened the discussion by presenting a memorandum dated 6 November 1943 from Mr. Stettinius to Mr. Shaw in which Mr. Stettinius pointed out that the President has expressed an interest in the security of State Department codes and ciphers. Mr. Stettinius then stated that an investigation of this subject by qualified representatives of the Army appeared desirable.
3. Mr. Friedman then made reference to a report dated 26 June 1941 of a survey made by representatives of the Signal Intelligence Service, the Office of Naval Communications, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation. This report was read and a discussion followed from which it appeared that almost all of the recommendations made therein have been carried out by the Department.
4. Discussion of a general nature now followed which brought out the following points:
 - a. The Department has allotted insufficient personnel for the proper performance of necessary cryptographic functions.
 - b. Existing office space and reproduction facilities (multilith, etc.) are inadequate.
 - c. The Assistant Security Officer has not been delegated proper responsibility over security functions of the operating division (The Division of Communications and Records) and it appears that the Security Officer has not exercised this responsibility.
5. At this point the question was raised as to whether the Committee had sufficient authority to go further into these matters. It was pointed out that the only existing authority was a letter from the Joint Communications Board to the Secretary of State in which the cooperation and advice of Army cryptographic experts was offered. The Committee felt that this letter did not meet the requirements and Mr. Friedman asked Mr. Salmon if he would be willing to write a letter for the signature of Mr. Stettinius in which the Department would specifically request a survey. Mr. Salmon agreed to do this if requested and the matter was dropped pending further perusal of the JCB letter.

~~SECRET~~

6. As a preliminary step Captain Moak was requested to make a brief survey of the systems now in use by the Department. This has been done and a copy of the report is attached hereto.

For the Committee:

James G. Moak
James G. Moak
Captain, Signal Corps

Copies to:

Mr. Friedman
Mr. Salmon
Major Hiser