



Review Situation Briefly:

NSA Reg 11-3 → NSASAB 9 provisions
for Panels - Supervisory resp. to ^{not Dir,} PD

NSASAB established Panels - Three

32 now available

10 Pending

Fourth Panel - Operations & OR

funding and
certain amount of administrative
difficulties were foreseen - particularly
in maintaining a continuously consistent
~~policy~~ relationship with the members.

Ex - Hrs US phone calls

↳ meeting in S/Assts Office → Staff functioning

As an ^{interim} preventive measure:

D/F C/S 9 April - pending

publication of official NSA directive

Reaction: "Why do it this
way - this isn't the way we've
been doing it"

Specific requests refused or
 delayed inordinately
 Violations of D/F - 4031 e

Specific requests ignored

RE Miller - Turner
 Mangel - Embrey - Turner
 Albert - Wallys

Problems Involved:

Personal Services Contracts vs 90 day appointments
 Consultants vs experts - rates of pay
 Paul numbers - 3000 - 4000 - 5000
 Relationships of consultants & experts to
 established billets
 why 7 lines - in which category

Orders: Regular - Require appointments
 Invitational - no appointments

AG: no authority
 in USA to issue - yet they have been issued
 quite extensively

Appointments: WAE - must they
 receive payment? - Compt. Genl.'s decisions

Some WAE's consider themselves
WOC's - but only 10 permitted SECDEF

Payment — Time cards

— over payments — 2 for price of 4
 — working at home, submitting
 cards for payment

SEC — category A, B, C, D, etc (USCIB)
 total itineraries

NSA

DIR's Position — administratively poor,
 inconsistent; legally — shaky
 and uncertain; operationally — confusing

Efforts ^{of Agency personnel} in past — and today —
 have been slanted toward curing the
~~major~~ immediate symptoms →
 compounding of errors and mushrooming
 difficulties

Solution — one focal point
 of responsibility

— Adv: Adm & legal → get on firm ground
 Registry of all consultants
 available to all activities — man