

WAR PLANS AND TRAINING DEVISION

DATE FEB 2 - 1933

TO: _____

Ex. O.	Lt. Rhoads
Fiscal Sec.	Lt. Corderman
Met'l Div.	② Mr. Friedman
Pers. Div.	Mr. Rowlett
Photo. Div.	Mr. Sinkov
P. & T. Div.	Mr. Kullbach
R. & D. Div.	Mr. Hurt
Sup. Div.	Miss Cloyes
W.F. Msg. Cen.	Miss Newkirk
Maj. Akin	Miss Pearson
Capt. Moran	Miss Janssen
Capt. Baldwin	Mr. Clark
① Capt. Borden	Mr. Clark

FOR:

Recommendation
 Concurrence or recommendation
 (Your Div.
 Notation & File in (Gen. Files
 (W.P. & T.Div.
 Notation and return
 Notation and mail
 Notation and
 transmission to Ex. O.
 Preparation of draft of reply
 for signature by _____
 Signal Corps Bulletin
 Your action
 Your information
 Approval.

FROM: Major Akin
 Captain Moran *M.*
 Captain Baldwin
 Captain Borden
 Mr. Friedman

REMARKS: *Perhaps we should
 decide what kind of a
 tract we want* *FPP*

1st Memo. Ind.

eve/gab

R. & D. Division, OCSigO. February 1, 1933. To: War Plans & Training Division.

1. When the development of this equipment was first started, it was the understanding of this Division that it was to be used in divisions or higher headquarters. From informal conversation with your Division and from the attached preliminary report of service test, it appears that this device is now being considered for brigades, regiments and even battalions.

2. This model of the device was constructed upon specifications prepared by your Division. The specifications have in general been met. However, it has been found impracticable to provide the speed specified in the specification.

3. In order that there will be a record on file in this office and at the Signal Corps Laboratories, it is requested that your Division inform this Division of the purpose for which this device is contemplated and list the military requirements which it should meet.

4. Par.3 a., page 4, of the attached preliminary report of service test, recommends that this device be tested by a tactical Signal Corps organization to determine its speed of operation, its ruggedness and its reliability. Inasmuch as this test is part of the service test of the device, it is felt that it should come under the jurisdiction of your Division. Inasmuch as the Laboratories are going to have to continue the development of the printing attachment, it will be impracticable to furnish the model of the device which they now have to the tactical organization.

5. It is therefore recommended that if a continuation of the service test is desired that the device now in your possession be forwarded to the 1st Signal Company or the 51st Signal Battalion for the completion of the test.

Attached: Report returned.

Hugh Mitchell
HUGH MITCHELL,
Major, Signal Corps.

See Revised Report