Responsive to amendment of September 26, 1932.

The rejection of claims 1 to 3 inclusive and 33 on Hoyland of record is repeated for the reasons of record.

The Examiner agrees with applicants that the specific elements he refers to in his remarks are not found in the reference but neither are they found in these claims. The claims refer to means and these may embrace any form of elements such as the mixing commutator of Hoyland. The means for displacing the switching device in an aperiodic manner is a manual means which is all that claim 33 requires.

The remaining claims appear at present to be allowable.