

ADDRESS ONLY
THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE
WASHINGTON

All communications respecting
this application should give the
serial number, date of filing,
and name of the applicant.

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER
in charge of this application.

Lawrence B. Kingland
Commissioner of Patents.

U. S. PATENT OFFICE

JUN 10 1948

MAILED
Applicant: William F. Friedman

Harry M. Saragovitz
Legal Div.
c/o Chief Signal Officer
Pentagon Bldg.
Washington, D.C.

Division: 16
Applicant: William F. Friedman
Ser. No. 478,193
Filed Mar. 6, 1943
For SYSTEM FOR ENCIPHERING
FACSIMILE

GPO 16-27315-0

Responsive to amendment filed Oct. 20, 1947.

Additional references relied on:

Cartier	1,868,967	July	26, 1932	178/22
Vernam	1,310,719	July	22, 1919	178/22
Hanger	1,848,839	Mar.	8, 1932	178/6.6

Claim 10 has been canceled.

Formerly allowed claim 1 is rejected as being directly readable on Cartier or Vernam. The claim is readable on Cartier Figures 2 and 3 as follows: The first circuit is the one connected to contacts K and the second circuit is connected to contacts K₁. Distributor D₁ is the transmitter while distributor D₂ is the receiver. The interlock is the relays J between K, K₁. The third circuit is that controlled by contacts N₂. The second recited interlock is relays J2. The claims are applicable to Vernam in a similar manner.

Claims 2, 3, 8, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected as being unpatentable over Cartier or Vernam on the same grounds as stated above in reference to claim 1.

Claim 4 is rejected on Cartier or Vernam in view of Hanger. It is not considered invention to substitute a photoelectric type of form scanning device such as that of Hanger for the tape scanning devices of Cartier or Vernam.

Serial No. 478,193

- 2 -

It is noted that although these claims recite "facsimile at various points no particular facsimile distinguishing structure is set forth in the claims.

Claims 5, 6 and 7 still appear allowable.

It is regretted that the above patents were not previously discovered.

This application should be prepared for final action. No further amendments that do not place the case in condition for allowance or better form for appeal will be entered after final action unless accompanied by a proper showing of good and sufficient reasons why they were not earlier presented. Rule 60 and Commissioner's Notice of April 26, 1948, 610 O.G. 218.

SAC/ipn

Examiner