
Additional references relied on:

Cartier 1,863,967 July 26, 1932 178/22
Vernam 1,310,719 July 22, 1919 178/22
Ranger 1,649,939 Mar. 8, 1932 178/6.6

Claim 10 has been canceled.

Formerly allowed claim 1 is rejected as being directly readable on Cartier or Vernam. The claim is readable on Cartier Figures 2 and 3 as follows: The first circuit is the one connected to contacts K and the second circuit is connected to contacts K1. Distributor D1 is the transmitter while distributor D2 is the receiver. The interlock is the relays J between K, K1. The third circuit is that controlled by contacts N2. The second recited interlock is relays J2. The claims are applicable to Vernam in a similar manner.

Claims 2, 3, 8, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected as being unpatentable over Cartier or Vernam on the same grounds as stated above in reference to claim 1.

Claim 4 is rejected on Cartier or Vernam in view of Ranger. It is not considered invention to substitute a photo-electric type of form scanning device such as that of Ranger for the tape scanning devices of Cartier or Vernam.
It is noted that although these claims recite "facsimile at various points no particular facsimile distinguishing structure is set forth in the claims.

Claims 5, 6 and 7 still appear allowable.

It is regretted that the above patents were not previously discovered.

This application should be prepared for final action.

No further amendments that do not place the case in condition for allowance or better form for appeal will be entered after final action unless accompanied by a proper showing of good and sufficient reasons why they were not earlier presented. Rule 68 and Commissioner's Notice of April 26, 1948, 610 0.3, 25B.