An illustration of the mechanical set-up of the device is required, provided of course new matter is not introduced. Here electrical diagrams are not considered sufficient. The claims are all rejected as based on an inadequate disclosure.

Claim 2 is rejected as failing to define the invention properly in the "means" of lines 7 and 8. In these lines "significance of energization" is not understood. If this means that the user may think of "9" for example as meaning one thing at one occasion of use, as for example a date and at another an amount of money this is of no patentable consequence, since like the same number used on an ordinary typewriter or hand written it can mean whatever the user wants it to mean. A "means for varying the significance" is a statement of function or purpose and whatever "varying the significance" may mean the structure is not sufficiently set out in the purpose disclosed. The claim is therefore further rejected.

Claim 5 is rejected as indefinite in line 2 "or the like" and is therefore rejected.

Claims 1-5 all set out a series of switches and then another switch. Just what essential difference there is between any of the switches of a series and another switch is not seen. The
claims are rejected on Heborn in which the last switch in the
cascade is "another switch" and the preceding switches are
the "series".

The claims are all directed to a device of the character
described and this is described as being an authenticator. In
what respect an authenticator differs from an ordinary
cryptographic means such as Hebern's is not stated and since
Hebern's device could be used for such a purpose the claims
are again rejected as failing to define properly and as
unpatentable over Hebern, and also as unpatentable over any
of the other devices cited.