

DATE 22 July

Document No. 3449

| TO: | FROM: | DATE |
|-------------------------|-------|------|
| ___ Chief "F" Branch | ___ | ___ |
| ___ Ass't Chief | ___ | ___ |
| ___ FØ | ___ | ___ |
| ___ Legal Procurement | ___ | ___ |
| ___ Executive Sec'y | ___ | ___ |
| ___ F1 | ___ | ___ |
| ___ F2 | ___ | ___ |
| ___ F3 | ___ | ___ |
| ___ F4 | ___ | ___ |
| ___ F5 | ___ | ___ |
| ___ F6 | ___ | ___ |
| ___ Property Officer | ___ | ___ |
| ___ Mail Desk | ___ | ___ |
| ___ Forward to | ___ | ___ |
| ___ File (Indicate No.) | ___ | ___ |
| <u>Sgt Stawpper ✓</u> | ___ | ___ |

| | | | |
|----------------------|-----|------------|-----|
| Information & Return | ___ | Typing | ___ |
| Information & File | ___ | Correction | ___ |
| Bulletin Board | ___ | Necessary | ___ |
| Information of All | ___ | Action | ___ |

Declassified and approved for release by NSA on 08-12-2014 pursuant to E.O. 13526

Mr. Friedman - This is another draft of the history case. Two new sheets of drawings have been prepared, and several claims have been added. Changes still to be

The rotor of Figure 9 was intentionally made slightly different from the *big* rotor. Possibly the similarity is still too pronounced, but it seems to me that if the rotors are to be included, as elements, in the claims, some illustration should appear.

Do you think we should have a sentence or two - probably on page 8 - explaining how one rotor is caused to step on each depression of the plunger?

H.B. Hauffer

Old Figure 7 is attached for comparison with the proposed drawing.