REF ID: A62852



Incent for p.1 Information regardered IPic 26285 Luck appears 'employed during that period has been rather sparse with quite recently, when a book entitled Jurncoate, Traitors and Herses by Col John Bakeless, AUS, was published in 1959 by hipponcott After a good many years of research Col. Bakeles brought together for the first time a good deal of authenter information on the subject and some of it is incor-porated in this lecture

According to Cil. Bakelosa - and believe it or not I in ease FITPS ARE Butch com-"munder - un - chief in America, General gage, had no code or explan at all nor. even a staff officer who know how to compile or device one; he had to appeal to the commanding, general in Canada from whom he probable obtained the Ringle substitution cipher which was used in 1976 by a British secret agent who - again, believe it or not - was

General Washington's own director general of hospitals, Dr. He Hospitals, Church. Thereal Washington Rad means for . seaset communication from the very beginning of nostilities, probably even before the Gibling Began at Serlington and Concord. Athe Butish under geneval gage were poorly provided in this respect, by the time Sir Henry Clunton took over from General Howle, who succeeded Gage, they were much

had adéquate or ID: A62852 for secret better off - they adequate apparant Commun cation.

~

Summary

The third lecture in this series deals with the crypto-systems employed by the Bretish Kequlars and the Colonials during the period of the America Kevolution. This is followed by a brief explanation of the cryptanalytic nature of the initial breaks in the solution of the age old mystery presented In the ancient Eigeptian heroglyphic writing.

LECTURE 3

•€

Continuing [with] our survey of cryptologic history,	
the period of the American Revolution, in U.S. history,	
is naturally of considerable interest to us and warrants	
more than cursory treatment. Are you astonished to	queent
learn that the systems used by the American colonial	
forces and by the British regulars were almost identical?	
You shouldn't be, because the language and backgrounds	
of both were identical. In one case, in fact, they	
used the same dictionary as a code book; something	
which was almost inevitable because there were, so few	
English dictionaries available. Here's a list of the	
sort of systems they used:	

a. Simple, monoalphabetic substitution--easy to use and to change.

b. Monoalphabetic substitution with variants, by the use of a long key sentance. I'll show you presently an interesting example in Benjamin Franklin's system of correspondence with the elder Dumas.

c. The Vigenère cipher with repeating key.

d. Transposition ciphers of simple sorts.

e. Dictionaries employed as codebooks, with and without added encipherment. Two [such] were specially fawored, [one,] Entick's <u>New Spelling Dictionary</u>; the Evaluation Dictionary other] Bailey's. Sere I deby a couple of pages from

and/

(J. J. G

Invent for p. 2 In the way REFEIRE A 62882 more complex Than simple wonoalphabetic substitution eiphans, the Brutish under Cluton's command used a system decould by Bakeloss in "he fillowing terms " ... a substitution after in which the alphabet was reversal, I' becoming a' and a' becoming Z' to Restrong Frequency clues, the another changed in and line of the newsard, many if for a in the second liene, X for if in the third, and so on. When the cipher clerk reached is in the middle of the alphabet, he started

over again. A pay using this upper did not have to carry uBBE inID: 26285 Seepers, suice. the system sites so easy to remember." The alphabets of this schede are simple reversed standard requires ABCBEFGHIKLMNOPORSTUWXYZ Ø. ZYXNUTSRUPONMLKTHEEEDCBA YXWUTSROPONMLKINGEEDEBAZ XWUTSROPONMLKINGFEDEBAZY ONMLKI HGFÉDEBAZYXWUTSROP eipler sequences are only to in number-nor does the source from which he softened the

information, a note found among the Childon PaperBEE BD: 462852nto Library at the University of michigan. Battless contines: ➁ " Clinton also used another sub-Attution sipher, with different alphabets for the first second and third pahenshs Hen if an American cryptanolipt should break the cipher in one paragraph, to would kave to start all over in the next, AO late as 1781, however Sin Herry was noing one extremely clums, and d stitution Cappier, in which 'a' was 51,

'd was 54, '2' 55. Junding man and 'd' was SREE ID: A6285221 guess (enreilly) that 'b' was 52, 'c' 53. Some-What more complex was - his propen upher, in which twenty-five letters of the alphabet were placed in pquares, Then an angle alone would repleant a letter, the pane angle with a dot another letter. the same angle with two dots still another. In some cases, eriptogritischy was used only for a few crucial world in an otherwise (dear message a method aloo favored by certain American officials."

Of the first cipher mentioned in the <u>ک</u> precedition of The A62852 uch more to Be paid Parhapo Bahaless was finited by space conjuderations. In any case Think leave that story for another time and place. As for the second cipler Databasis mentions it the extract of can give you the whole apphabet, fir it exists ABOVEFGHIKLMNOPQRSTUWXYZ 51-52:53-54-55 60 61 62 63 64 65 6667 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 There is no explanation why the

(3°) section beginning with 50 stops with E=55 and then, Start & Finth : 12-62-8 5 goes stranger on without any break to Z= 78, (Remember that in place days I and I were used interchangeably as were Uand V). Orjunally, as to what Bateless. (and others) cull the "propen" cipher, this is nothing but the Roary old so-called "Masoned" cipher based pon the 4- cross figure: areft. a: 1, b: 1, c: =1 which can accomodate 27 characters, not 25. 60 Babelos indécator Feiters can be montes n'tre design in moment airjurent arrongements.

Ore shown in Fig. 1. the former. To represent a word by code equivalent you simply indicated the page number, then whether Column 1 or Column 2 contained the word you wanted, and then the number of the word in the column. Thus: The word "jacket" would be represented by 178-2-2.

f. Small, specially compiled, alphabetic 1-part codes of 600-700 items and code mames; our old friend the syllabrary or repertory, of heary old age bvVwith new dress.

g. Ordinary books, such as Blackstones's on the forward of England Commentaries, giving the page number, the line number and the letter number in the line, to build up_letterby-letter, by compound number,) the word to be represented. Thus: 125-12-17 would indicate the 17th letter in the 12th line on page 125; it might be the letter T.

h. Secret inks.

i. Special designs or geometric figures, such as one I'll show you presently.

J. Various concealment methods, such as using hollow quills of or bollowing out a bullet, and inserting messages written

on very thin paper. Strictly speaking, however, this sort of strategem doesn't belong to the field of

cryptology. But it's a good dodge, to be used in

And "I've mentioned that code or conventional names Just" Ware used to represent the names of important persons

and places in these American colonial and British cryptograms of the Revolution. Here are some examples from a system of cate. names propo taken general Cl tich Apy, Shuf of Intelligence under! For American Generals - The names of the Apostles; for instance: General Washington was "James General Sullivan was Matthew Names of Cities . APhiladelphia - Jerusales Hetroit - Alexandria Names of Rivers Susquehanna - Jordan and Bays (Delaware Red Sea Miscellaneous: Indians Pharisees Congress - Synagogue Sh. Fig. 7, we see Hare's & Very interesting slide, a British cipher message of the vintage 1781. It was deciphered before finding the key, always a neat trick when or if you can do it. HepfAs the key--the title page of the then current British Army Lists - 10 phown in fig. 8. I'm sure you've learned as school children all about the treasonable conduct of Benedict Arnold when The second he was incommand of the American Forces at West Point; but you prohably don't know that practically all his exchanges of communications with Sir Henry Clinton, Commander of the British Forces in America, were in cipher, or in invisible inks. Here's en-interesting slide showing one of Arnold's cipher messages, in

groget. for P Fert Arnold Upp FE Land in 100 852 clair, the ones he considered in internet, for the important ones the rised a dectionary as a codebook, "indicating the page number, column number and line number corresponding to the position in the dictionary of the plain- text word which the code group Represents. Arnold added T to these numbers; which accounts for the fact That first number in a code group is naver less than of the central number is always either 8 or 9, and the third number is never less than 8 or more than 36. The significant sentence appears near the middle of the

message: " \$2 198.9.34, 185.831 pu 197.8.8. yells the plain ter FJID: A 22 Fut a plan of Evoperation by which S.H. [Sur Henry Churton t shall possess Thimself of what Point the Garrison, etc, etc, etc, Hebenty thousand Founds Sterling I think till be a chaop purchase from an object of so much importance The requestione 172.9.019 probably stands for the word Moor, Anold's code name in these * communications was "More". He had also . another name, "trustavica".

Fig. 3 at the top shows the code message; at the bottom is FIFE IDiA62857? Anold used the same additive as in the precedering . scample

Jusent # - for p. 4

Insert #3 for p. 4 In Fig. If the left-hand portion shows the "phoney" message, the right-hand one the real message to the seader falmin C T the " phone Sall to the state and the father being underlined M reating Karring Am of etc han perf

Explain hourgans in Cut

.

.

is phown in Fig. 2.) Figure 3 is a massage Here: mother the in which he gave the British information which might have led to the capture of

which he offers to give up West Point for 120,000,

his commander-inschief, General Washington, And Acueur, Washington, was too amart to be ambushed--he went by

a route other than the one he said he'd take.

You may find the next-slide interesting as an example of the special sort of mask or grille used by Arnold and by the British in their negotiations with him. The real or significant text is written in lines outlined by an hour-glass figure and then dummy words are supplied to fill up the lines so that the entire letter apparently makes good sense. To read the secret message you're supposed to have the same size hour-glass figure that was used to conceal the message. The significant text in this example is

under Lined: "You will have heard, Dr. Sir I doubt not ent before you can have reached you that fir W. Howe is gone from hence. The rebels imagine that he gone to the eed. by this time, J. S.c. LC. filled Cherapeaks May with However he has OLSP surprise and terror, ... etc."

Arnold even used the trick, mentioned above in method j, that was quite similar to one used recently

Insert for p. J - transferred marker from p.3 The numbers BEFE ID\$ 062/852 obviously refer to live numbers and letter numbers in the line of a key text, the first perior of numbers, viz, 22. 6.7. 39. 5.9. 17, inducating line number 22, letter numbers 6.7.39. 5.90 17 in that line: Because of the many repetitions the plain text who obtained by straightforward analysis by an officer pleantly on duty in NSAD Capt Edward W, Knepper, to Whom Jam indebted for this interesting example. over

The plain text, once oftomed gave him clues to What the BEF HER ACTANE DE, Aunply by mplacing the plan text letters in their nemerical equivalent order in the putative key test This done Capt Knepper was quick to realize what the key test was: DAn Army Sist, The date of the message enabled Run to find the list without much difficulty in the fibrary of Congress.

An interesting spisode involving concealment 1' of this port & REF ID: A62 8520 Babelass. Thates An ungent message of Ser Henry Clinton, dated & October 1777 faut with on this silk, was concealed The an over ball, about the size of a rifle bullet, which was "handed to Deniel Taylor, a young officer who had been promised of promotion of the got through alive. The bulkt was made Koulver, so that the spy could swallow it without myney from corrosion ... Almost as soon as areditanted, Taylor

was captured ... Realizing his peril too late the spy fell iREFI Herorand, erying, I am lost swallowed the silver bullet. Hammestration of a strong emetic soon produced the bullet with fatal soults for Taylor was executed. "Arather heartlass American joke went round," adds Bakelers, "That For Taylor had been condemned out of his own month?"

(Fig 5)

It a often referred to an "The Benedict Arnolla" Tracisonable con forter.

by the Russian spy, Colonel Abel, who was arrested in New York in June 1957, tried and convicted, and is still languishing in a Federal prison. Here's a picture of the gentleman. How would you like to meet up with

Jusent water

bis suddenly some dark night at a sceret rendezvoust We next per (Fy.G) one Boundiet Arnold message that never Only one example is extant; certain words have was deaphared.

There was an American who seems to have been the Revolution's one-man National Security Agency, for he was the one and only cryptologic expert Congress had, and, it is claimed, he managed to decipher nearly all, if not all, of the British code messages obtained in one way or another by the Americans. Of course, the chief way in which emeny messages could be obtained in those=days was to capture couriers, knock them out or knock them off, and take the messages from them. This was very rough stuff, compared to getting the material by radio intercept, as we do nowadays.

I think you'll be interested to hear a bit more about that one-man NSA. His name was James Lovell and besides being a self-trained cryptologist, he was also a member of the Continental Congress. There's on record a very interesting letter which he wrote to General Nathaniel Greene, with a copy to General Washington. Here it is.

5

Philadelphia, Sept. 21, 1789 1

Your Friend,

Bir:

You once sent some papers to Congress which no one about you could decipher. Should such be the case with some you have lately forwarded I presume that the result of my pains, hereitish sent, will be useful to you. I took the papers out of Congress, and I do not think it necessary to let it be known here what my success has been in the attempt. For it appears to me that the Enemy make only such changes in their Cypher, when they meet with misfortune, as makes a difference if position only to the same alphabet; and therefore if no talk of Discovery is made by the here or by your Family, you may be in chance to draw Benefit this campaigne from my last Night's watching.

I am Sir with much respect.

may, Genl. Greene

(with copy to Seul Mashington) JAMES LOVELL In telling you about Lovell I should add to my account of that interesting era in cryptologic history an episode I learned about only recently. When a certain message of one of the generals in command of a rather large force of Colonials came into Clinton's possession he sent it off post haste to London for

solution. Of course, Clinton knew it was going to take a lot of time for the message to get to London, be solved and returned to America -- and he was naturally a bit impatient. He felt he couldn't afford to wait that long. Now it happened that in his command there were a couple of officers who fancied themselves to be cryptologists and they undertook to solve the message, a copy of which had been made before sending the original off to London. Well, they gave Sir Henry their solution and he acted upon it. The operation turned out to be a dismal failure, because the solution of the would-be-cryptanalysts happened to be quite wrong! The record doesn't say what Clinton did to those two unfortunate cryptologists when the correct solution arrived from London some weeks later. By the way, you may be interested in learning that the British operated a regularly-established cryptanalytic bureau as early as in the year 1630 and it continued to operate until the end of July 1844. Then there was no such establishment until World War I. I vish there were time to tell you some of the details of that fascinating and little known bit of British history.

There's also an episode I learned about only very recently, which is so amusing I ought to share it with you. It seems that a certain British secret

agent in America was sent a message in plain English, giving him instructions from his superior. But the poor fellow was illiterate and there wasn't anything to do but call upon the good offices of a friend to read it to him. He found such a friend, who read him his instufctions. What he didn't know, however, was that the friend who'd helped him was one of General

Washington's secret agents! .

Wenstration (Fg. 9)10

The next slide shows a picture of one of several syllabaries used by Thomas Jefferson. It is constructed on the so-called two-part principle which was explained aure 900 in the preceding lecture. is a portion of the 9b encoding section, and here's a portion of the decoding section, in which the code equivalents are in numerical order accompanied by their meanings as assigned them in the encoding section. This sort of system, which, as I've already explained, was quite popular in Colonial times as in the early days of Italian cryptography, is still in extensive use in some parts of the world. Jefferson was an all-around genius, and I shall have something to say about him and cryptography in a subsequent lecture.

A few minutes ago I mentioned Benjamin Franklin's cipher system, which, if used today, would be difficult to solve, especially if there were only a small amount of traffic in it. Let me show you what it was.

Interest in cryptology in America seems to have died with the passing of Jefferson and Hranklin. But if interest in cryptology in America wasn't very great, if it wristed at all after the Revolution, this was not the case in Europe. Books on the subject were written, not by professionals, perhaps, but by learned

ممر رهد

۰.

,

٠,4

amateurs, and I think you will find some of them in the NSA library if you're interested in the history fill ment elimptration (fig. 17) is of the science. Here's the frontispiece of a French book the title of which I translate as "Countercommunications" espionage, or keys for all secret correspondence." It was published in Paris in 1793. Here's Dr. Cryppy himself, and this me perhaps a breadboard model of a G8-11 research analyst, or maybe an early model of a WAC.

I am going to take a bit of time now to tell you something about Egyptian hieroglyphics, not only because I think that that represents the next and a great, landmark in the history of cryptology, but also because the story is of general interest to any aspiring cryptologist. About 1821 a Frenchman, Champollion, startled the unscholarly world by beginning to publish translations of Egyptian hieroglyphics. although in the budding new field of Egyptology much had already transpired and been published. m 119,14 In Fig. 13 We see levels a picture the gentlemen and here a picture of the great Napoleonic find that certainly facilitated and perhaps made possible the solution of the Egyptian hieroglyphic writing--the Rosetta Stone The Rocate Stane which was found in 1799 at Rashid, or, as the Europeans call it, Rosetta, a town in northern Egypt on the west bank of the Rosetta branch of the Nile. Rosetta was in the vicinity of Napoleon's operations which ended in disaster and when the peace treaty was written

Article XVI of it required that the Rosetta Stone, the significance of which was guickly understood by both the conquered French and victorious British commanders. be shipped to London, together with certain other large antiquities. The Rosetta Stone still occupies a prominent place in the important exhibits at the British Museum. The Rosetta Stone is a bi-lingual inscription, because it is in Egyptian and also Greek. The Egyptian portion consists of two parts, the upper one in hieroglyphic form, the lower one in a sort of cursive script, also is Egyptian but called "Demotic." It was soon realized that all three texts were supposed to say the same thing, of course, and since the Greek could easily be read it served as what in cryptanalysis we call a "crib." Any time you are lucky enough to find a crib it saves you hours of work. It was by means of this bi-lingual inscription that the Egyptian hieroglaphic writing was finally solved. a feat which represented the successful solution to a problem the major part of which was linguistic in character. The cryptanalytic part of the task was relatively simple. Nevertheless, I think that anyone who aspires to become a professional cryptologist should have some idea as to what that cryptanalytic feat was, a feat which some professor--but not of cryptologic science, I think it was Professor Norbert Wiener, of

the Massachusetts Institute of Technology--said was the greatest cryptanalytic feat in history. We shall see how wrong the good professor was, because I'm going to demonstrate just what the feat really amounted to by showing you some simple pictures.

First, let me remind you that the Greek text served as an excellent crib for the solution of both Egyptian texts, the hieroglyphic and the Demotic, the latter merely being the conventional abbreviated and modified form of the Hieratic character or cursive form of hieroglyphic writing that was in use in the Ptolemaic Period.

The initial step was taken by a Reverend Stephen Weston who made a translation of the Greek inscription which he read in a paper delivered before the London Society of Antiquaries in April 1802.

In 1818 Dr. Thomas Young, the physicist who first proposed the wave theory of light, compiled for the 4th volume of <u>Encyclopedia Britannica</u>, published in 1819, the results of his studies on the Rosetta Stone and among them there was a list of several alphabetic Egyptian characters to which, in most cases, he had assigned correct values. <u>He was the first to grasp</u> the idea of a phonetic principle in the Egyptian hieroglyphs: and he was the first to apply it to their

. .

÷

decipherment. He also proved something which others had only suspected, namely, that the hieroglyphs in ovals or cartouches were royal names. But Young's +1:2name is not associated in public mind with the decipherment of Egyptian hieroglyphics--that of Champollion is very much so. Yet much of what Champollica did was based upon Young's work. Perhaps the greatest credit should go to Champollion for recognizing the major importance of an ancient language known as Coptic as a bridge that could lead to the decipherment of the Egyptian hieroglyphics. As a lad of seven he'd made up his mind that he'd solve the hieroglyphic writing and in the early years of the 19th Century he began to study Coptic. In his studies of the Rosetta Stone his knowledge of Coptic, a language the knowledge of which had never been lost, enabled him to deduce the phonetic value of many syllabic signs, and to assign correct readings to many pictorial characters, the meanings of which became known to him from the Greek text on the Stone.

The following step-by-step account of the solution is taken from a little brochure entitled <u>The Rosetta</u> <u>Stone</u>, published by the Trustees of the British Museum. It was written in 1922 by E. A. Wallis Budge and was revised in 1950. I quote:

"The method by which the greater part of the Egyptian alphabet was recovered is this: It was assumed , or "cartouche" as it correctly that the oval is called, always contained a royal name. There is only one cartouche (repeated six times with slight modifications) on the Rosetta Stone, and this was assumed to contain the name of Ptolemy, because it was certain from the Greek text that the inscription concerned a Ptolemy. It was also assumed that if the cartouche did contain the name of Ptolemy, the characters in it would have the sounds of the Greek letters, and that all together they would represent the Greek form of the name of Ptolemy. - ow on the obelisk which a certain Mr. Bankes had brought from Philae there was also an inscription in two languages, Egyptian and Greek. In the Greek portion of it two royal names are mentioned, that is to say, Ptolemy and Cleopatra, and on the second face of the obelisk there are two cartouches, which occur close together, and are filled with hieroglyphs which, it was assumed, formed the Eryptian equivalents of these names. When these cartouches were compared with the cartouche on the Rosetta Stone it was found that one of them contained hieroglyphic characters that were almost identical with those which filled the cartouche on the Rosetta Stone. Thus there was good reason to believe that the cartouche on the Rosetta Stone contained the name of Ptolemy

written in hieroglyphic characters. The forms of the cartouches are as follows:

On the Rosetta Stone

Ong the Obelisk from Philae

In the second of these cartouches this single sign (point it cut) takes the place of these three signs (point the cut) at the end of the first cartouche. Now it has already been said that the name of Cleopatra was found in Greek on the Philae Obelisk, and the cartouche which was assumed to contain the Egyptian equivalent to this name appears in this form:

Taking the Cartouches which were supposed to contain the names of Ptolemy and Cleopatra from the Philae Obelisk, and numbering the signs we have:

Ptolemy, A.

Cleopatra, B.

Now we see at a glance that No. 1 in A and No. 5 are identical, and judging by their position only in the names they must represent the letter P. No. 4 in A and No. 2 in B are identical, and arguing as before from their position, they must represent the letter L. As L is the second letter in the name of Cleopatra, the sign No. 1 (point) must represent K. Now in the cartouche of Cleopatra, we know the values of Signs Nos. 1, 2 and 5, so we may write them down thus:
In the Greek form of the name of Cleopatra there are two vowels between the L and the P, and in the hieroglyphic form there are two hieroglyphs, this (point) and this (point), so we may assume that phist the first E and the office is 0. In some forms of the cartouche of Cleopatra, No. 7 (the hand) is replaced by a half circle, which is identical with No. 2 in A and No. 10 in B. As T follows P in the name Ptolemy, and as there is a T in the Greek form of the name of Cleopatra, we may assume that the half circle and the hand have substantially the same sound, and that that sound is In the Greek form of the name Cleopatra there T. are two a's, the positions of which agree with No. 6 and No. 9, and we may assume that the bird has the value of A. Substituting these values for the hieroglyphs in B we may write it thus:

Themas Young noticed that theme two signs always followed the name of a goddess, or queen, or princess, even the other early decipherers regarded the two signs as a more feminine termination. The only sign for which we have no phonetic equivalent is No. 8, the lens, and it is obvious that this must represent R. Inserting this value in the cartouche we have the name of Cleopatra deciphered. Applying now the values which we have learned from the cartouche of Cleopatra

to the cartouche of Ptolemy, we may write it thus:

We now see that the cartouche must be that of Ptolemy, but it is also clear that there must be contained in it many other hieroglyphs which do not form part of his name. Other forms of the cartouche of Ptolemy are found, even on the stone, the simplest of them written thus: (point out on slide) . It was there-

fore evident that these other signs were royal titles corresponding to those found in the Greek text on the Rosetta Stone meaning "ever-living, belowed of Ptah." Now the Greek form of the name Ptolemy, i.e. Ptolemaios, ends with S. We any assume therefore that the last sign in the simplest form of the cartouche given above has the phonetic value of S. The only hieroglyphs now doubtful are (this) and (this), and their position in the name of Ptolemy suggests that their phonetic values must be M and some vowel sound in which the I sound predominates. These values, which were arrived at by guessing and deduction, were applied by the early decipherers to other cartouches, e.g.:

Now, in No. 1, we can at once write down the values of all the signs, viz., P. I. L. A. T. R. A, which tu/

is obviously the Greek name Philoters. In No. 2 we know only some of the hieroglyphs, and we write the cartouche thus: It was known that the running-water sign occurs in the name berenice, and that it represents N, and that this sign is the last word of the transcript of the Greek title Eksisaros," and therefore represents some 5 sound. Some of the forms of the cartouche of Cleopatra begin with (this sign), and it is clear that its phonetic value must be K. Inserting these values in the above cartouche we have:

which is clearly meant to represent the name "Alexandros," or Alexander. The position of this sign (print) shows that it represented some sound of E or A.

Well, I've showed you enough to make fairly clear what the problem was and how it was solved.

That's the way in which the initial break was made in the decipherment of Egyptian hieroglyphics, and, as you may already have gathered, the cryptanalysis was of a very simple variety. It was very forwinate that the first attacks on Egyptain hieroglyphics didn't have to deal with enciphered writing. Yes, the Egyptians also used aryptography; there are "cryptographic hieroglyphics!" Here, for instance, is an example of

Sucent for p.19 The following Etienne Drioton in Rebue article by D'Egyptologie, Paris, 1933. It is entitled Essai sur la cryptographie privée de la fin de la XVIII dynastie and Depute flow page 14 thereof "Finally, the playful in the construction already pointed and the alphabet, appears in the orthon Graphy. Certain groups offer, when

. read in clear, a fallacious meaning: they are intertionald : A62,852 and emphasye the enigmatic character of this cryptography

Rubetitutica. A That character in place of this enc

Before leaving the story of Champollion's meetery of Egyptian hieroglyphic writing I think I should re-enact for you as best I can in words what he did when he felt he'd really reached the solution to the mystery. I'll preface it by recalling to you what Archimedes is alleged to have done when he solved a problem he'd been struggling with form some time. Archimedes was enjoying the pleasures of his bath and was just stepping out of the pool when the solution of the problem came to him like a flash. He was so overloyed that he ran, naked through the streets 3/ shouting "Eureka! I've found it, I've fount it." Well, likewise, when young Champollion one day had concluded he'd solved the mystery of the Egyptian hieroglyphics, he set out on a quick mile run to the building where his lawyer brother worked, stubled into his brokher's office, shouted: "Bugene, I've got it!", and flooped down to the floor in a trance where he is said to have remained immobile and completely out for five days. Don't let that sort of thing happen to you around here when and if you find the answer to a complex problem. The char force will probably sweep you up and throw you into the segret trash bin for dispesivion by burning.

1

<u>م</u>

I shouldn't leave this brief story of the cryptanalytic phases of the solvion of the Egyptian hieroglyphic writing without telling you that there remain plently of other sorts of writings which some of you may want to try your hand at deciphering when you've learned some of the principles and precedures of the science of cryptology. A list of thus far undecimbered writings was drawn up for me by Professor Alan C. Ross of London University in 1945 and had 19 of them. Since 1945 only two have been deciphered, Mincan LinearA and Linear B writing. The Easter Island writing is said to have very recently been solved, but I'm not sure of that. There are some, maybe just a very few, who think the hieroglyphic writing of the Ancient Maya Indians of Central America may fall soon, but dontt be too sanguine about that, either.

Should any of you be persuaded to tackle any of the still undeciphered writings in the list drawn up by Professor Ross, be sure you have an authentic case of an undeciphered language before you. Here's one that was written on a parchment, known as the Michigan Papyrus. It had baffled certain savants who had a knowledge of Egyptology who attempted to read it on the theory that it was some sort of variation---a much later modification---of Egyptian hieroglyphic writing. These old chaps gave it up as

great for p.21 P The next period of This , impor brief account of the history 0 Cru is the one which deals with the code and ciphers used by the contestants in our Cirl War, the period -65 It is significa mportan for the Kirst a large scale vecame practicall in the conduct organized warfare

and world - wide deplomany. They became practicable telegraphy sometimes con Mentious, but long = lasting wedlock.

a bad job. Not too many years ago it came to the attention of a young man who knew very little about Egyptian hieroglyphics. He saw it only as a simple substitution cipher on some old language. He tackled the Michigan Papyrus on that basis and solved it. He found the language to be early Greek. And what was the purport of the writing? Well, it was a wonderful old Greek beautician's secret formulae for further beautifying lovely Greek young geauties--maybe the bathing beauties of those days.

There is one person I should mention, before coming to the period of the Civil War, or, as some people prefer to call it, the war between the States; in U. B. history. "I refer here to Edgar Allan Poe, who in 1842 or thereabouts, kindled an interest in cryptography both at home and alread in newspapers and journals of the period. For his day he was certainly the best informed person in the Country U.S. on cryptologic matters outside the regular employees of Government departments interested in the subject, and in saying this I am assuming that cryptology was used to a limited extent by our Department of State for communicating with ambassadors and consuls abroad. and ciphers after the Kerolution I suppose that the Army and Navy used codes but the record is a lit fragmenting and I won't be able to we'll come to them a little lator, when I'll show you

examples of them.

To return to Poe, one of our early columnists, there's an incident I'd like to tall you about in connection with a challenge he printed in one of his columns, in which he offered to solve any cipher submitted by his readers. He placed some limitations on his challenge, which amounted to this -- that the challenge messages should involve but a single alphabet with vertents. In a later article Poe tells about the numerous challenge messages sent him and says: "Out of perhaps 100 ciphers altogether received, there was only one which we did not immediately succeed in resolving. This one we demonstrated to be an imposition -- that is to say, we fully proved it a jargon of random characters, having no meaning whatever." I wish that cipher had been preserved for posterity, because it would be interesting to see てんうし what there was about it that warranted Poe in saving that "we fully proved it a jargon of random characters." Maybe I'm not warranted in saying of this episode that Poe reminds me of a ditty sung by a character in a play put on by some undergraduates of one of the At a castain point in the play, colleges of Cambridge University in England. , This character steps to the front of the stage and sings:

< I

"I am the Master of the College,

What I don't know ain't knowledge."

-for p. 23 "1 you ch. P Spaning you Ere ID; A628 ; Poe's contributions to engotalogy. very fine article Refer you to a lr. Pull WK. Winsatt, W., enhilled Poelknew about eryptography; Publication the Modern Language Ascored tron Of LVIII mercia " New York PP 754-79 I have pu

Thus, Poe. What he couldn't solve wasn't a real cipher-a very easy out for any cryptologist up against something tough.

Juint -

3

٩,

This completes the third lecture in this series. In the next one we shall come to that interesting period in cryptologic history in which codes and ciphers were used in this country in the War of the Rebellion, the Way Between the States, the Civil War--you use your own pet designation for that terrible and costly struggle.