

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~~~CONFIDENTIAL - SECURITY INFORMATION~~SUBJECT: Publication of Material Contained in  
Unclassified AFSA Technical Document\*\*\*\*\*  
TO: Chief, Training Div. FROM: Consultant 16 June 1952 COMMENT NO. 7  
THRU: Chief of Staff

1. So far as I am aware, there is no USCIB policy or Department of Defense regulation that forbids the unclassified association of an individual with the "Armed Forces Security Agency," or with any of the Service Cryptologic Agencies. It is possible that the idea that an individual's name must not be associated with the name of the Agency stems from the USCIB rule that the name of the Agency must not be associated with cryptologic affairs. Hence, if the author of a completely unclassified article not dealing with cryptologic affairs wishes to show his association with the Armed Forces Security Agency, I think he should be permitted to do so.

2. With regard to the point made in para. 2a of Comment No. 5, this is a matter for continuing vigilance and alertness to minimize (not to prevent, because you cannot) such access to our enemies. With regard to the point made in para. 2b of Comment No. 5, if followed to its logical conclusion, this would mean cutting us off from all contact and healthy exchange of ideas with the rest of the technical world.

3. I feel that it is illogical and a mistake to stretch the intent of a regulation or policy to the extent that it will, in the long run, constitute a detriment to AFSA and the state of morale of its technical personnel.

WILLIAM F. FRIEDMAN  
Consultant

CONFIDENTIAL