This is *Dragon Seeds*.

There is fantasy, irony, and the bite of reality in the name. It speaks of the East. And, like the East, it suggests much, says little.

*Dragon Seeds* is both Mother China and her neighbors. *Dragon Seeds* is monumental and minuscule. It is the past and future. It begs for elaboration but gives none. In it are echoed softly slurred Mandarin, brittle Vietnamese, determined Korean. In it is the spectre looming over the Thai, Lao, and Khmer. It is frightening and friendly. It is uncertain.

Above all, *Dragon Seeds* is promise. It is fertile with ideas unbounded, to be cultivated with creativity and imagination. It is challenge. It is alive. It will be more than it is.

*Dragon Seeds* is yours. May it grow with you.

The Editors
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RYE, AN EXTENDED CAPACITY REMOTE ACCESS SYSTEM
by Bernie Peters and Carolyn Palmer, Pl

"Nameless indeed is the source of creation
But things have a mother and she has a name."

---Lao Tzu

The RYE system was designed to handle a class of problems which was not satisfactorily dealt with by HARVEST or any other computer system current at NSA. These problems are characterized by small size, the need for immediate reaction, the need for intermediate human decision before final results can be produced, or the need for file-inquiry or information retrieval on a timely basis.

Some examples of these problems are:

1. Small jobs requiring only seconds on any computer, such as evaluating a message write-out on a single width. Any computer can compute the average IC and the IC for each column for any one reasonable width in seconds. If a fast, easily available procedure is at hand, the analyst will use it. If no quick method is available, the analyst may just write a few lines on a width and "try by eye," or may not attempt to prove or disprove the existence of width phenomena at all. The machine method is, in general, more thorough, more accurate and more economical, and leads to a higher percentage of solved systems.

2. Jobs with very critical response times. These are high priority jobs working in close support of a reading problem or a T/A development of CRITICOM significance.

3. Series of programs which are dependent on intermediate results for continued sequencing. The analyst considers these intermediate results before choosing the next program to be run, or before fixing on the parameters for the program.

4. Inquriy or information retrieval tasks (usually very input/output limited). Such tasks need the resources of a large computer with mass storage but cannot
in themselves justify such a computer. RYE permits the efficient
performance of relatively large information retrieval tasks while
other processing is also being done and thus avoids "wasting" the
time of a large computer.

All of these problems can be handled more economically by a
centrally located large-scale processor to which a large number of
stations have access than by scattering a large number of small-
scale computers in various locations in the building. Since RYE
is a real-time multi-channel device, the stations may all submit
requests and receive back results without interfering with each
other.

Outstations deliver requests and data to the computer via
telephone lines (NSA grey phone system) and receive results back
in the same manner. Depending on the amount of equipment
involved, there are several classes of outstations. A general
station consists of a model 35 Teletype only; a Class II station
also has a BOSTIC, or high speed paper tape reader and punch
device; Class I stations have Teletype and BOSTIC and, in ad-
tion, a lineprinter (UNIVAC 1004) and other high-speed equipment
as needed.

PROGRAMMING

The UNIVAC 494 is designed to be run on a real-time basis,
accepting requests as the users choose to submit them and from
all stations without interference with each other. It can manage
itself with a minimum of operator intervention. This is accom-
plished by means of an extensive interrupt system and a sophisti-
cated executive program.

Each object or worker program must be written with the follow-
ing characteristics:

1. It is assembled so that it is completely relocatable
   in core and with regard to any facilities such as tape drives,
   drum storage areas, etc.

2. It uses the smallest possible amount of core area
   consistent with efficiency.

3. All input/output is accomplished by means of return
   jumps to the executive (REX).

4. No use is made of the console typewriter to request
   operator action, other than to complain about malfunctions.
5. Large programs are segmented as much as possible consistent with efficiency.

6. Properly programmed error-checks are made to prevent program failure.

7. Programs are allowed to run only for a specified number of minutes (30 during the normal work-day, somewhat longer at night and on weekends and holidays). Hence an endless loop cannot tie up a large part of the machine for a long time. Also, long jobs have to be segmented if they are to be run on RYE at all.

With these rules and some additional conventions it is possible to provide prompt service to all the outstations.

PROGRAMMING PHILOSOPHY

The programming philosophy assumes continuous use. RYE operates 24 hours a day and 365 days a year, insofar as this goal can be attained. REX is able to interrupt any program at any time between the execution of successive instructions in order to service high priority interrupts, and the interrupted program is completely unaware of the happening. Outstations may submit requests at any time the Teletype is not already tied up with another job; in fact, all terminals may submit requests simultaneously. As soon as feasible after receiving an "end-of-transmission" signal from a terminal, the system will return a receipt giving the date and time of receipt, the internal job number assigned to the request, the first two lines of the request containing the priority (if any), program name, station numbers to which results are to be forwarded, and the requestor's name, section, and telephone extension.

Programs are run as soon as possible, according to the priority of the jobs awaiting scheduling. Priority is determined by the user, with the proviso that the user will not request a priority higher than the maximum official priority of the job. The user uses a lower priority if he does not want this run to interfere with his own more urgent tasks, if he wants the output held for delivery next morning or printed on the downstairs printer, or if this is the type of research job which should not interfere with any operational procedures but which he would like to have run in any slack time which may develop before next Monday. Only in exceptional cases, and with documentary
justification by the appropriate authority, is it permissible to run a job with a priority higher than its officially assigned maximum. This officially assigned maximum is based primarily on the amount of machine time, core space and additional facilities required by the program, but with some consideration of the overall importance of this particular task in the work of NSA.

Once a job has been placed in the scheduling queue, the program is placed in any available space in core as soon as it can be accommodated if the additional facilities required are available and if it is the first program in the queue which can be accommodated. Hence a small program may well be scheduled ahead of a larger job which has higher priority. Only in the case of a major emergency and on the direct authorization of the Chief A Group, Chief B Group, Chief C Group, Chief P1 or Chief P, are such drastic procedures as ditching jobs already in process resorted to in order to get a run started immediately. Hence, it is incumbent on programmers writing for projects with critical response times to use facilities as sparingly as possible, consistent with efficiency.

The executive runs as many programs "concurrently" as possible. This means that REX attempts to schedule as many of the jobs awaiting attention at one time as possible. Once a program has been assigned facilities and loaded into core, it can receive a share of the available running time. The oldest program in core is the first one to be considered for control. If it is awaiting the execution of an I/O request and has nothing to do, the next oldest program will be considered, etc. Thus, a small program, which "sneaks" into core in space too small for waiting higher priority jobs, may actually be completed before other jobs waiting in the queue with it are even started—and in what would otherwise be wasted time.

RYE periodically inventories the queue of jobs not yet completed to be sure that none is being unduly delayed, and takes corrective action to insure that all jobs are started within a reasonable time after their request, considering their priorities. RYE also keeps a complete log of all transactions and is able to answer queries from originators as to the status of their requests. For these purposes a unique job number is assigned to each request in strict order of receipt.
OUTSTATIONS

The basic outstation is a model 35 ASR Teletype. This permits communication into or out from the computer at a 10 character per second rate via keyboard, paper tape reader, punch and printer. This means that very long data tapes will take an appreciable time to input, and if a station has many such tapes, it will want to obtain or make arrangements to use a BOSTIC high speed reader (300 CPS). However, it should be noted that there are no long waits for other stations to complete their turns before input can start so that the 5 minutes it would take to input 3000 characters (or 25 feet of punched paper tape) may be preferable to walking to a station with more rapid input equipment. Also, it is possible to input a stream once and have it held for a number of runs without taking the time to re-input it—or to input a long stream and have it broken into sections with an identifier attached to each so that any subset of the whole can be selected for processing.

On the output side of the situation, whenever a large volume of output is required, it is desirable to have it printed on a line printer to avoid tying up the Teletype. This is particularly appropriate when small parts of the output are worthless or nearly worthless until all of it is available to the analyst. Four choices of disposition of results are available to RYE users. One may require that (1) output be forwarded to the requesting station only (regardless of the delay encountered before that station is on the air and free to receive—a valuable insurance for some compartmented problems); (2) one may request output to the station, if it is up, otherwise printer output; (3) output to the station, if it is not busy, otherwise printer; (4) printer output regardless, so that the station does not have to stay on the air after normal working hours or will not be tied up if a pressing problem comes along.

BOSTIC readers are able to accept paper tape at 300 characters per second and in either manual or automatic mode. They require an associated Teletype to initiate the request and receive back the receipt for the job. They can also be used for punching out long paper tape results (for example, weight tapes and crib banks which one wishes to keep on file for later input).
The remote printers operate at 300 lines per minute. They normally have paper of the same size as the Teletypes (8 1/2 x 11) and can be used to provide faster output in the same format as the Teletypes. A computer printer is always available as an output device.

PROGRAMS AVAILABLE

In addition to many specially written programs for specific projects, several General Utility Programs (Guppies) which should be of use to analysts from various areas are available. These can be divided into several groups:

A. DIAGNOSTIC PROGRAMS

BIG STET--a large flexible stethoscope package which will accept up to about 100 messages and a total of 24,000 characters and which will allow the user to select the subprograms and options he wishes.

DIANA--allowing printout of digraphic identities and statistics on ten sets of digraphs where the wanted set is specified by I, J, and K parameters. I indicates the position of the first character of the first digraph of a set, J the increment to reach the second character of the same digraph, and K the increment to be added to I and J to locate the next digraph of the set.

INDEX--a flexible index for up to about 30,000 characters where the records to be sorted can be up to line length and cut from the stream in assorted ways; the control or sort key can consist of any 15 characters available in the record; the input alphabet can be specified by the user in any order he wishes as well as any coding which can be punched in 6 levels of paper tape; the output print format is also under his control.

EPIC (Epictetus)--program which saves more information about the location of roughness found in columns of a write-out of message beginnings and/or endings, or in groups formed by summing or differencing groups and columns from this write-out.

FINKSBURG will provide various level counts, etc., for 5-level paper tapes.
B. MATCHING PROGRAMS

XIBAR permits a non-homogeneous batch of distributions to be broken down into sets so that the cross hitting between members of the set is as good or better than the average internal hitting of the whole batch of distributions.

C. EDITING PROGRAMS

These programs perform a variety of editing functions on a single stream or a pair of streams and output results on command to the station or for input to another program. Functions which are provided include MASK (eliminates bits from a stream according to the unpunched positions of a mask tape), DOBE (1 for 1, 2 for 1, or 1 for 2 substitution), DROP-KEEP (drops or keeps specified codings), INDEED (inserts into or deletes from a stream according to a pattern), NEPTUNE (local transposition of elements within a given span of a stream), DELT (combines two streams by sum or difference of characters), LACER (interlaces a specified number of characters from one stream with a specified number from the other stream).

D. EXPLOITATION PROGRAMS

To make possible the exploitation of situations not readily handled by hand but easily managed by a computer.

GEEWHIZZER--locates stretches of cipher which combine with other stretches to produce plain-text digraphs to break into simple transposition cipher.

HUSK--

SCOOT--

Carolyn Palmer gets excited when she talks about RVE/TIDE and AUTOLINE, and well she might. She realizes how far the techniques and equipment have advanced in the past twenty-odd years, and her achievement in the field of computer cryptanalysis dates practically from the initial combination of the two terms. When Miss Palmer arrived at AFSA in 1951 with a freshly awarded M.A. in Math, the "computers" were limited to analog machines and EAM or RAM equipment.

One of Miss Palmer's initial assignments was with a group of cryptanalytic gurus who combined their talents to achieve a seldom heard-of success—they read a one-time pad system. With that achievement to inspire her, it is easy to understand how Carolyn became one of our most dedicated successors.

As part of what later became P1, she also spent some time evaluating the KW-26, a piece of U.S. cryptographic gear. While that equipment proved to be a little better than that of the competition, the correction of several flaws which were found made it much more reliable...so reliable, in fact, that it still constitutes a major portion of the U.S. cryptographics inventory.

Carolyn was introduced to programming when necessity called for training a nucleus of BOGART programmers. The ensuing course, which Miss Palmer describes as comparing favorably with survival training, produced some of the best programmers we have. Its graduates put together the BOGART version of STET, a grandfather of the present-day crypt diagnostic programs.

The BOGART STET was a great advance, but a problem developed because of the program's popularity. People were using STET to make 53 measurements of a cipher stream when they really wanted only three. To solve the problem, Carolyn began the development of the GUPPIES. These short, general-purpose cryptodiagnostics were installed on ROBOVY and, with little variation as capability of the system advanced, they are much the same today. While she didn't write all of the GUPPY programs, Carolyn was generally responsible for them. She is therefore most widely known as the "GUPPY Mother."
With the upgrade of the system that the installation of RYE brought, Carolyn began to see the concepts originally envisioned for ROBROY come into being. Not content with that success, she began planning for what has become the TIDE/AUTOLINE system today. Today she is planning the system of tomorrow. And considering the advance made so far, and being aware of her insight and what a co-worker describes as her "unreasonably good programming ability," we are sure that tomorrow's system will be as much of an advance over the TIDE of today as TIDE is over the ROBROY of yesterday.

We don't want to give the impression that Miss Palmer lives each day to "do or die for DIRNSA;" she has other interests. She possesses the love of good music which seems to be present in nearly all "computer-type" people and is a regular subscriber to the National Symphony. When her schedule permits, Carolyn spends time relaxing in the nearby Virginia mountains or visiting with a family whose members are scattered along the East Coast from New York to the Florida Keys.
ARDF needs no trumpeters in B Group, in ASA, or in AFSS. But important as the ARDF program was to become, experts in 1961 and early 1962 doubted that the first experiment involving direction finding and an L-20 aircraft would prove successful. As it turned out, there was one chance in three that the experiment which led to the ARDF program in Vietnam would, in fact, work at all.

The following excerpts from an interview of Mr. H. S. Hovey, D/Ch of Staff for R&D, Hq, USASA, by Mr. L. L. Sternbeck and Mr. J. Gilbert of ASA shed some light on the first ARDF birds to fly for the U.S. in Vietnam; on the early improvising by ASA innovators; and, despite odds against them, on their success.

Why ARDF was Required in the First Place

Q. Prior to ASA's becoming involved in ARDF development, was there any ongoing development of the capability within the Army?

A. The answer is really no. There had been, of course, a lot of development of ADF (airborne direction finding) systems for navigation purposes, which don't operate in the HF range and also the PM homers and other VHF navigation systems.

Q. ...The question I always had...Why was it so difficult for us to do that?

A. ...There is actually a technical explanation for why this difficulty occurs...the Vietnamese and the VC were using low power radios. Now, how do I get in HF a low powered radio...say, a one-watt power...to transmit a hundred miles? Well, the way to do that is to use a horizontal antenna, radiate the energy up to the ionosphere; then the ionosphere causes it to reflect down on the point you want. That means almost no energy is going out directly, so you sit over here on the ground with your direction finder even a half mile away, and there is no ground wave energy to hear. Now, an interceptor can listen because the sky wave is coming down from the ionosphere. I can sit there and copy what he is saying, but when I try to take a bearing, I am trying to take a bearing almost straight up, and there is just no way you are going to do that. Intercept is fine from steeply incident
skywaves, but DF needs a much more stable propagation path. The salvation is ARDF...you get a line of sight to him essentially, and there is now enough direct wave energy for you to operate with. You still must discriminate against the vertically incident energy. That was what had defied everybody because at these frequencies the aircraft dimensions are about the same as an antenna. So then all this steeply incident energy comes down on the airplane, and the skin of the aircraft is excited with RF currents. Your antenna is coupled into it and all you get is a hodgepodge...the one great thing we learned technically was how you could make a system that decouples from the plane, discriminates against the sky wave energy, and operates on the direct wave energy. Now on the broadcast band you don't have that problem. You are talking large power and a lot of energy that is vertically polarized [and] radiated out. The same with VHF. The VHF will not come back down from the ionosphere. That is exactly why the Viet Cong used this [HF] frequency, because it did support propagation...

It was October/November of 1961 when the urgent requirement came out of Vietnam because they [3rd RRU members] had gone in-country and were trying to use AN/PRD-1s, and they couldn't. The AN/PRD-1 is a ground loop type DF set and needs a good ground wave signal to work against. A cable came back asking us what we suggested or what we could do.

The Experiment

Q. Was this the 3rd RRU?

A. Our 3rd RRU and specifically...WO George Miller. I was the project officer for direction finding systems in these days. George Miller and I---I had the action here through command channels and he had the action there---had this exchange of messages; there were probably 10 or 12 messages in that sequence. We worried about how to improve the AN/TRD-4s, which is the larger DF set and what we were going to do with his AN/PRD-1s. Then we got on to what we could do to solve it. That was where the idea of trying the aircraft emerged. We thought an aircraft would be useful, and he agreed that probably the aircraft would be great. In November, about Thanksgiving, I went over to Vietnam along with an engineer named Harold Jaffe from ECOM [U.S. Army Electronics Command].....So we went over and spent a month in Vietnam and wandered around with George Miller and the rest of the people involved. We went around on PRD-1 operations ourselves, took receivers and listened, making measurements of what the propagation conditions were.
Q. When and under what circumstances did ASA first become involved with research [and] development of ARDF? Was it a result of the 3rd RRU's recommendation? MACV's? Department of the Army's?

A. You can summarize it by saying that the way we got involved was reacting to the problem—that they couldn't use AN/PRD-1s to do the job and the proposition was "could we use airplanes?" And the answer was "Yes, we think we can."

Q. You got together with ECOM on this and went out with Mr. Jaffe?

A. Exactly.

Q. When did you bring Department of the Army into this?

A. We didn't really...this whole thing was done on a shoestring. There were no external contracts made during this time frame; all was done in-house at ECOM. There were very few approvals obtained because we weren't talking dollar levels that required any approvals. Secondly, there wasn't a great deal of attention that was attracted in this time frame in the eyes of the Department of the Army. The 3rd RRU was calling for a solution, but it was to ASA. So it was later on that major involvement on the part of DA took place...

Q. Were the pilots organic to the unit [3rd RRU]?

A. Yes. I don't know how they came to be, but LTC Cochrane [CO, 3rd RRU, Saigon] had acquired two pilots, one a CPT Bill Simpson, who later came and worked here, and CPT Don Schessler. They were both Transportation Corps officers and didn't know anything about ASA until they came in. I believe a lot of credit belongs to them for having operated the thing.

Q. Did they come explicitly for this project?

A. Yes, that was something the 3rd RRU had arranged. We went out the first time feeling we could produce the gadget, and LTC Cochrane handled the arrangements for getting aircraft which he borrowed from a Signal Unit, I believe, and the two pilots. Later we came back with the equipment and put it on.

Q. The first planes flew in March of 1962?
A. Right.

Q. How much testing were you doing there?

A. We were running a pretty extensive program. In the first place, it was a fairly simple system, although it performed an elegant solution. We had done work earlier with VHF ground direction finder, a thing called AN/TRD-16, which ECOM developed, which is composed of a pair of antennas differentially connected. That was a very effective ground direction finder in the VHF. That was the technology which we applied to the HF but increased the spacing between antennas. In terms of hardware you weren't talking a great deal—a receiver, some cables, the antennas on the aircraft, and a little bit of circuitry to connect them. That was the size of it in the first version. It was a kind of thing which did not require weeks and weeks of fabrication. It did require an awful lot of testing. A whole series of antennas were tried to get out of the coupling problem with the airframe—that was going on at Fort Monmouth—the actual testing. When we finally had something, we were able just to use the shops at Monmouth to fabricate antennas, cables, and other things and rush over and install them ourselves....

Q. Was there any training involved by the pilots and operators?

A. There was a lot of training by the pilot. This was very demanding of the pilot because he had no navigation system which would tell him where the airplane was at the time he was taking the bearing. He had to learn to fly over a point on the ground that he could then identify on his map as he took a bearing. The operator who was flying with him with a map had the duty of operating the receiver. The operator's task was not too different from the one he had operating the PRD-1 or an intercept position. He had to find the signal frequency and copy it, making sure he was on the right one. So that was pretty much what he was used to on the ground except he now was in a plane and had all the risks of getting airsick, etc. But really the pilot was the one who had to do this by pointing the aircraft at the target and slewing the tail back and forth, reading on his gyrocompass while he was still over ground he could recognize. A skilled pilot can do that very well, but this is something the average Army aviator isn't trained to do.
When we installed the thing, we worked a couple of days with the pilots there, refining it. We flew a lot of hours ourselves. They caught on very quickly. To prove what we had done, we had a hidden transmitter hunt. The 3rd RRU hid some transmitters around Saigon and they went out and found them.

If the pilot was careful in finding a bearing, even this first system could be incredibly accurate. For instance, during this hidden transmitter test the system showed which side of a road at a junction the transmitters were. We were talking even then about accuracies of hundreds of meters.

Q. Why were the L-20 aircraft selected for ARDF? Where in the United States, and when, did the initial ARDF testing take place?

A. We found out that this aircraft was fairly available over there. It was adequate for our purposes because you needed something with good visibility; it could carry two or three people and some equipment. The L-20 just happened to be a very nice airplane for the purpose. The big thing was, it was available over here and could be maintained because the MACV Flight Detachment was who their people worked with in the beginning—the old MAAG [Military Assistance Advisory Group] Flight Detachment, actually. The Detachment had L-20s.

Q. Was it the Signal Corps from whom we had borrowed the early planes?

A. Yes, it was the Signal Corps we got the aircraft from.

We had the one aircraft at Monmouth from the Flight Detachment that we had put the antennas on and it eventually worked out very well. That became the basis of the system we took over. We went over with equipment to do three airplanes. As I say, this went as luggage. I went; Harold Jaffe, the ECOM project engineer, went; a technician from ECOM by the name of Walter Day went; and an airplane technician by the name of Danny Shargus. Danny's job was to mount the antennas on the aircraft. Walter Day was the electronic technician who was to help Jaffe and me get the system together. Jaffe and I did most of the flying.

So we had this airplane which worked well at Monmouth. We went to Vietnam, and they had arranged three airplanes to work with. The first plane we put it on, it worked very well. This
is the one we did the hidden transmitter hunt from. As soon as that day was over, they took it away from us. We wanted to test a little more, but they figured it worked well enough so that it went into operation. We did fly some operational missions with them for a while to make sure things were working. We worked on the other two L-20s, but neither one of those aircraft worked. We installed the systems and sweated blood for several weeks and finally just had to plain give up. We couldn't make the systems work on these two planes. The reason for it had to do with the way the aircraft themselves are constructed. We apparently had some type of unsymmetrical airframe current distribution. As long as that is symmetrical and you can maintain a decoupling from it, your system will work. But some of the L-20s had been through extensive rehab. The inboard ends of the wings had been painted more coats on the one than the other, and things like this. When they had been put back together you had a terribly unsymmetrical RF current distribution, and we had no way to adjust for that at the time.

At that time, we found ourselves literally faced with the problem of selecting airplanes. We wound up then leaving those two which worked quite poorly and one which worked very well. Aircraft tail #5682 was the good one and #33731 and 37963 were the poor ones. We came home and chose airplanes. ECOM sent people--Walt Day, I believe, from airfield to airfield finding planes which had not been through this major rehab, equipped two, tested them here, loaded them on board an aircraft, and flew them out to Vietnam to give the 3rd RRU the three it was after. The planes they had which didn't work well went back to where they came from. The 3rd RRU wound up then with three working L-20s after that ordeal. Actually, the ECOM lab A/C #55151 and #82012 were the ones that were sent out.

Now, I said there was divine intervention. It turned out historically that one out of the three aircraft worked success-fully with this system on it. So the odds were very much in favor that we would have gotten a bad plane in the beginning at Monmouth or that we should have gotten a bad plane in the beginning in Viet-nam. Given the suspicion (or skepticism) about ARDF--we could have very well stopped, had that happened--and here very competent people had said it couldn't be done anyway. There may not have been such a thing as ARDF today. It was a fortuitous thing and something we speculated about.
Q. Do you have any...anecdotes related to the development of ARDF...?

A. I think this business of taking it out as luggage. And the pilots would have been far more worried than they were if they had known just who mounted some of these systems, because all of us wound up riveting things on airplanes. I did. We almost lost it all in Hawaii when they misrouted all our luggage. We had to go at the last minute and dig that out of another Pan American aircraft. I think the selection of aircraft is also significant.

One of the things we took some technical satisfaction in was a little event out there when we were flying the first one. What we would do was to fly to Bien Hoa where we had a DF site and the flash transmitter which controlled our DF nets in Saigon. So we would fly over our DF site at Bien Hoa and shoot that flash transmitter, taking a bearing on it because that was one of the check bearings they used that was supposedly quite accurate. We worked a couple of days because there were a couple of degrees error, and finally in disgust went back and recomputed the check bearing and found out it had been calculated wrong and that the aircraft had been correct all this time.

****

"The Wise Man, when abroad,
Impartial to the world,
Does not divide or judge.
But people everywhere
Mark well his ears and eyes;
For wise men hear and see
As little children do."

---Lao Tzu
"The gem cannot be polished without friction, nor man perfected without trials."
MARKETING OUR PRODUCT
by Walter D. Abbott, Jr., B6

Many of us involved in the production elements of this Agency have heard the expression, "Product is our only product." The capabilities, successes, and even the shortcomings of our intelligence apparatus are amply documented by the myriad of products released by this Agency on a daily basis, and overall, speak quite eloquently for the talents, dedication, and technical skills of the people devoted to intelligence production within NSA.

Recognizing that we are dealing with a fluid cast of product recipients (many of whom may only recently have become involved in the intelligence business), and recognizing that some of our subjects and jargon might be considered technical, confusing, or perhaps even mystifying to the casual reader, we have set up a system of user service centers, known variously as Cryptologic Support Group (CSG), NSA Operations Group (NOG), and Intelligence Support Staff (ISS), to assist the user in understanding and interpreting the product he receives. These organizations have often demonstrated their functional utility and have periodically reflected quite favorably on NSA. The quality of these operations has not, however, been consistently excellent, and this is the point I intend to address.

The success of any product service operation is contingent on the people manning the operation. Most of our operations located at major command headquarters outside the Washington area are manned primarily by NSA civilians whose function is to advise and support their military counterparts. For many military personnel, the people they meet at the product service organization will be the only NSA people they will be exposed to during their military career, and the impressions they form of the Agency itself will be influenced by the impressions they have of the NSA people they have met. It is therefore extremely important to give these command personnel the most positive, favorable, impression we can.

We ostensibly endeavor to ensure that this happens. We select personnel for the product service organizations who are generally very knowledgeable on some target entity, have a demonstrated talent or skill, and are considered "experts" or "near experts" in their field. This is, however, only one part of the
selection process. We also select people who need a job change for professional or career development reasons; people who are the only candidates for a particular position (at which time skill, talent, etc., become secondary); or people who have either never been overseas or have not been overseas in many years. In recent months a prevalent opinion is that this latter criterion is an overriding factor in overseas selection.

We normally do not select people because they have performed such jobs in the past and demonstrated real skill at handling such jobs. We do not have, for instance, a cadre of overseas specialists who not only are SIGINT specialists, but also are versed in command structure and relationships and capable of doing a good job in a command environment. Rather, we endeavor to "spread the wealth" and by so doing, we sometimes end up a bit poorer than when we started.

I am not condemning the system as it exists. I am merely offering suggestions which I feel might improve it and give the Agency a better image overseas.

First, I strongly advocate that at least some selections be based on past performance in the field. If a person has demonstrated an ability to represent NSA with excellence, he should be allowed to serve again as soon as possible or to continue to serve if he is already on the job. I know and know of several individuals who have done truly outstanding jobs overseas, who were functioning well, were happy where they were, and wanted to stay but had to come back to NSA because their tours were up. They had little or no prospect of returning to the overseas area. Excluding the cost considerations (the expense involved in rotating families is not insignificant), the Agency opted to replace a known commodity (i.e., a man who was a good Agency representative) with an unknown (or at least untested) commodity for reasons which to me are less than clear. I suggest the system needs to examine its inflexibility and consider each man on a case-by-case basis. The result should be beneficial to the Agency, and that seems to me to be the paramount issue.

My second recommendation is that all NSA personnel, before they are sent to an overseas job which demands continuing exposure to non-NSA personnel, be given a series of training courses dealing with personal interaction, as well as command relationships and responsibilities. Too often our people forget
that the person in the command intelligence shop is frequently new to the business and may have been a weapons system operator for ten years before being given a Special Intelligence clearance. Questions from that individual might seem stupid, trivial or a waste of time to the NSA person who has been involved in the cryptologic business most of his adult life, but he should attempt to answer them without condescension. Moreover, the NSA people should get to know their counterparts and be able to relate to them, on and off the job. Superior, aloof, indifferent, and arrogant attitudes have no place in our overseas operations. But they exist and they hurt us. We should expend all necessary efforts to develop a sense of trust and confidence in the people we support. If that can be achieved, the entire support operation of the Agency will benefit.

The training program should include a little "Madison Avenue" public relations training and a brief exposure to salesmanship. It is not enough to be a good analyst, technician or reporter. The individual also must be a good representative of this Agency, able to convince his command counterparts that our product is worth their attention, and that we honestly want to satisfy the command requirements, regardless of our individual propensities. We have a very saleable product. We need to develop the personnel to promote our product. It might be worth the investment for the Agency to explore various industrial programs geared to developing a sales force. The "foot-in-the-door" syndrome has applicability in our business, and developing a training program to foster this is, in my opinion, both worthwhile and necessary.

Again let me state that I am not faulting the existing system so much as offering ways in which it might be improved. We have a good product and a ready market for it. Let's market it properly, and make friends for the Agency in the process. Let's do it right.
Transposition is...

This month's puzzle has pairs of twists. Can you solve it?

GERTM CUSEL AEPI M SFTON SEIEA
UNDNI HUDRF YHORI EHNOL IEPAU
VEE EUN FMFO EWTYW ONTNO OTRIX
AELEEN MGEP UKOII HTETE DHNIG
ITAWM KEARE EHNR SEVSAR ETRAP
TSINA ELLYN HAEDX MORID YRUXN
OETII NNSXS LGFNT OEISS HDDWN
SALFT PXIUI VIUER OAMED HNENA
XRRATE EIWC DNTRD AUOKD SAEHR
ORHEHA OTTTP GNEEG LTRME SNNFO
SITHETAOA SPLYO EUSHM DEXNS
SCELNN RAAE DPLYI UOEONE TATEC
HIOETRNSOX ITBAT OLRDM OHOAS
DOCSX SOOSE IONSLOUOSDTD HLDTA
EXASVENSBSPUADI EDYENTCEAE
TTMHVHRXRCDTERTHCNXEPPLA
DHGOHGTOD TEBCTORMTUODMRMP
ATUAD NIDWIFRI TICI OHEEI
AXTEHWOREATIVLLELEISEFAI
YHCSF ILIFX EPTRN MSOXR DPLEA
SEDRTHYEOTS LWIMRMCBN OIGSP
TWLOOIEIRFTNFADOORSN MEHTS
OTLPISPNSWACGBEITLCTIESABH
OMMADUFONLEHAHMSFNIIHOE
GNMOO OIFGO EPOAG WBDCCLAAAXS
SYTNGSNSAOOTDNSLXNADDASE
ISNHIISTLTHCOEERSAHTEMNOV
AALCAUZKAO TAMECSNEULEENN
TCTDNOUNARFLHRIKDFLCRANMT
ONAHTIISHEROMEEDKSHNIILOW
SREEEYRITLOOUSXFCDITEOXI
NESEHOEINTNTSNTNSYDYDSENTN
GSNEEODEOTATENNTH
"Lessons Learned in Vietnam" was the title of an army publication describing the latest combat developments in the ground war. SIGINT learned many lessons there as well; but for the most part, the participants have been too busy fighting to bother with writing about their experiences. The Vietnam war was also an education for the information analyst providing battlefield support. While the lesson is still fresh and the topic timely, this particular contribution to the war effort is believed worth sharing and passing on.

The Monsoons Came

Times have changed since Napoleon, for a modern army travels on paper. It simply cannot function without a piece of paper telling it where to go and what to do and how to do it. The Viet Cong/North Vietnamese Army had paper, paper everywhere. Torrents of infiltration passes, invoices, manifests, supply lists poured down the Ho Chi Minh trail. Files, records, accounts, inventories, manuals, directives flooded the base camps. Marching orders, firing tables, target studies, attack plans swamped the battlefields. And floating on top of the official paper sea were the personal diaries kept by every VC soldier from private to general. If the info analyst could survive the inundation, captured enemy documents made Vietnam an intelligence paradise.
The Communist proclivity for secrecy compounded the red tape because everything and everybody had a cover name which changed constantly. A drifting cover designator list became a lifeline for the drowning analyst to latch onto. Studying for dear life, the cover designator lists revealed an identification system that was intricate, minute, and precise. There were two main channels, military and party, and each had a four-part cover address consisting of a cover name, a cover number, the official letter box number, and the personal letter box number. Every military unit from division to company had the four-part code which was different at each echelon. The party organization and its agencies at the district, province, and regional level were assigned a multi-part cover address. There were separate sets of cover designators for intra- and inter-regional correspondence and between North and South Vietnam. The party used family relationships to denote echelon while the military used the government administrative structure. Leaders favored numbers for cover names. The VC cover system was controlled from the top and carefully regulated. Once the patterns were mastered, the info analyst could knife through the complicated tide with ease and dispatch.

**Communications Problems**

Buoyed with success and armed with the trustworthy VC cover system, the info analyst turns next to bail out the SIGINT analyst. However, SIGINT has its own identification system for VC targets, and in another language yet: radio station designators, case notations, crypt systems, callsigns. The two systems would not mesh. Not only do SIGINT and collateral not speak the same language, but also there is unreasonable doubt that the two are even in the same ball park. What can you do with a can of worms that wiggles off in all directions? Common sense to the rescue. The first step was to set up two columns, SIGINT and collateral, and jot down just the bare facts under either heading. This move put a stop to the confusion over nomenclature. Lined up side by side, the next step was to match the VC cover with its SIGINT counterpart. Some pairs were easy to hitch together, but others balked and got downright obstinate. So we applied psychology. Any analyst handling voluminous material over a lengthy period develops a feel for his subject, but these feelings are seldom expressed or written down. This intuitive knowledge spells the difference between success and failure. Altogether, the parallel format, the fusion technique, and the inductive approach proved a sensible, workable arrangement. A number of secret VC targets were identified.
Parallel Construction

Here is a shining example of what this device can do with a really tough problem. The story uncovered by your war correspondent has everything: action, suspense, mystery, intrigue, a devious plot with a surprise ending, and a search that ranges from steaming jungles to elegant drawing rooms and races to a thrilling climax at zero hour in a mountain hideaway. Since the facts do not always speak for themselves, interpretive comments have been included to aid the uninitiated. Lights, camera, ACTION!

Collateral

C mentioned briefly in directive on expansion and development of crypto branches, Region 1.
C from content apparently crypto agency on higher level than COSVN (Central Office for South Vietnam), the VC head organization.
Date of info: 3 Jan 66
Source: captured document
Comment: An unobtrusive beginning.

C both sent and received messages.
C messages more strategic than those addressed to R (cover designator for COSVN).
C cadre operated command post in (VC) Military Region 3.
C radio operators and crypto personnel infiltrated to MR3 in Aug 1970.
C radio equipment dispatched to MR3 Oct 70.
Date of info: 1969-70
Source: captured message register, code books of Signal/Crypto Branches, MR3
Comment: ARVN J2 study dtd 11 Mar 71 established that:
· C does exist.
· C is different from and higher echelon than COSVN.
· C has operated in delta region of SVN since at least 1968.

SIGINT

Comm: Communications links between MR3 Hq and High Command were the same as those with other known military regions. Until Oct 71, MR3 Hq continued to use a signals plan involving call-signs from a system which was
Collateral

Conclusion: ARVN J2 opined that Agency C IS the Forward Command Post of NVA High Command, Hanoi.

SIGINT

gen generally replaced in late 65/early 66. An unusual feature which could not be explained.

Col Nguyen Van Sau to return immediately from Front 4 (in Danang area) for urgent work with General Staff in Hanoi. Car transportation arranged.

Date of info: Apr 71
Source: Intercepted message of 559 Transportation Group.

Comment: To spell out the full name in traffic is never--well, hardly ever--done. This rare occurrence constituted a real breach of security. The colonel must really be important to rate a private automobile in transport-scarce North Vietnam. Could he be the same man found in collateral?

Nguyen Van Sau signed leave authorization for command of CP.40, Central Executive Committee, Lao Dong Party.

Date of info: 17 May 65
Source: captured document

Comment: An innocuous tidbit--but follow where it leads.

CP.40
Commander: Lt Gen Nguyen Van Vinh
Location: Hanoi, 96 Quoc Tu Giam Street
Mission: Secret military command center directing Liberation Front activities in SVN.
Organization: Diplomatic Office
Planning Office
Civilian/Military Affairs
Finance/Economy Office

Date of info: late 1966
Source: VC POW
Collateral

Comment: The plot thickens. Isn't it incongruous for a military outfit to engage in diplomacy? Or is CP.40 strictly military? What business does the finance office transact?

Central Reunification Committee
Chief: Lt Gen Nguyen Van Vinh
(See above for connection.)

Mission: Executive agency of Politburo. Directs and coordinates all military and political activities in SVN. COSVN is responsible to CEC thru CRC. Staff Hq for conduct of Liberation War in SVN. No communications of its own. Ministry of Defense provides all communications facilities.

Date of info: June 67
Source: VC raller

Comment: If the CRC uses the military communications system for the military side of its mission, then it could use party and dip commms for its political and diplomatic functions. The scene shifts.

3 Jan 69, new routing designator on traffic from Special Delegation in Paris. Msg relayed to COSVN by CEC, Hanoi. Feb 69, new routing designators on Paris messages for both Hanoi and COSVN. Then two more groups appeared: Phnom Penh-Hanoi-Peking, and COSVN-Hanoi-Phnom Penh.

Any msg originated by one intended for other two.

Phnom Penh link last observed Apr 70 following break in dip relations.

Hanoi and Paris messages predominate. From SIGINT viewpoint, the new designation system on dip-party commms is unique because:
Collateral

SIGINT

1. Routing designators in addition to and different from dip mission designators.
2. Msg technical characteristics similar to but unlike dip post comms.
3. Msg between foreign NVN embassies is infrequent.
4. COSVN involvement with NVN dip posts for first time.

Source: 2/00/VCD/R14-72 dtd 17 Oct 72

Comment: To sum up, we have an organization which used the dip crypt system and the dip communications network but is not part of the dip establishment. What organization fits this description? The Central Reunification Committee. The CRC has a man in Paris and with COSVN and had a man in Phnom Pehn and Peiching. CEC, Hanoi, which relays the Paris messages, is the diplomatic office of the CRC and the triple axes were the CRC dip communications net. An exciting development! We're off in hot pursuit.

Footnote: For what it's worth, the defunct PP-Hanoi-Peiching axis is believed the Finance Office of the CRC. It costs a lot of money to wage war. When the mob sacked the NVN Embassy, they really came out of the woodwork. Cambodia was the principal supplier for the Liberation Army, and Chinese aid to the VC was funneled through Cambodian ports. Doan 17 was the VC covername of a secret rear service group located in Phnom Pehn. Its supply operations and finaglings in the international money marts
was a tightly held secret. When the Cambodian source was cut off, the VC became so desperate that maritime infiltration from NVN was again attempted. Remember those trawlers?

Map, showing observation points on Bach Ma and Ba Na mountains northwest and southwest of DaNang.

Date of info: Dec 68

Source: French newspaperman from Vietnamese delegation sources.

Comment: Just a nondescript map; but why that particular area of South Vietnam? What is the French connection with Region 5? Here was the key to the mystery in the answer to those two questions.

On 6 Mar 70 four new routing designators appeared on Paris-originated correspondence and were subsequently relayed by CEC, Hanoi to Region 5 Committee and Tri-Thien-Hue Committee. These Paris messages are usually passed one or two days after the Thursday meetings. The correspondence was not re-encrypted in a party system, but remained in the VC dip system.

Comment: A communications anomaly. Why should two subordinates of COSVN receive info copies? Or are the Paris msgs intended for a special office located in Region 5?

Cover designators for high level agencies:
Ca (elder brother) Dai-Central Party Hq
Cau (uncle) Cai-Central Reunification Committee
Cau (uncle) Vu-Central Military Affairs Party Committee
Collateral

Address of C Command Committee:
c/o Gai Lai Provincial Unit,
Darlac Provincial Unit, MR5

Date of info: about Mar 67

Source: Master list of CD-LBN

designators for MR5 and MR6 classified (VC) Top Secret. Captured
from postal battalion.

Comment: A prize document worth its weight in solid gold. It is the only
CD list which gives the covernames of Lao Dong Party agencies. In our par-
lance, the document would bear the caveat HANDLE VIA COMINT CHANNELS
ONLY because in VC practice only communications personnel had access
to master CD lists. Agency C has a command post in MR5 as well as MR3.
Is Agency C in both regions the Central Reunification Committee?
"Cau Ca" personnel roster. No. 2

man is political officer of "C."

Nine regroupes assigned to 5
provinces in MR5.

All hard-core Communist cadre
admitted to the party between 46-50.

Date of info: 18 Sep 67 or 68

Source: captured document

Comment: The clincher linking C to
Cau Ca and the answer to the French
connection with Region 5.

Premise: There exists in South
Vietnam a deeply hidden top level
decision group directing military
operations.

Basis: Inadvertent disclosure by
NVN delegation in Laos.

Date of info: July 62

Source: The definitive study
"Viet Cong," by Douglas Pike.

Conclusion: C aka 1 Cau Ca aka
CP.40 aka Central Reunification
Committee is the hidden group which

1"also known as"
Collateral

has been running the war all these years.

Comment: EUREKA! We finally blew their cover. The very name reunification is its own proof. No wonder the CRC went to such elaborate lengths to hide its name all these years—and successfully, too. The revelation does not materially change the tactical outcome of the war, but it certainly alters the entire strategic concept. Although the discovery came too late to help win the war, the knowledge may help to secure the peace. Following the signing of the ceasefire agreement, Le Duc Tho remarked that "reunification" is the postwar goal of North Vietnam. President Nixon hopes to persuade Hanoi to achieve the goal through political means. REUNIFICATION is still the name of the game.

Epilogue: The Central Reunification Committee could not be isolated in SIGINT because it had no communications system of its own. Since the military, party, and diplomatic systems employed by the CRD were unreadable, the CRC could conceal its identity but not its existence. The oddity here, the anomaly there, the unique and different in communications behavior, attested to something passing strange. SIGINT could tell what it was not, but collateral told what it was. It takes both negative and positive evidence to prove the truth. Proving the negative is a grubby, thankless task and SIGINT had the harder part. Neither SIGINT nor collateral alone could have identified the Central Reunification Committee, but together they found it.
After Action Report

Now is the time for a performance appraisal of the "parallelogram," focusing on how and why it works. First, note the striking format and how it clearly delineates the problem, throwing the known facts and gaps in sharp relief. The simple act of placing the facts side by side causes the sparks to fly upward. The parallel arrangement is all important for generating analysis and research. The analyst can tell at a glance what is missing and get straight to the point. Research will be a coordinated and directed effort instead of a hit or miss operation. With the whole picture before him, the individual analyst can see where his contribution fits and can better appreciate the group endeavor. To know your work has meaning and value in the compartmented world of intelligence gives a big lift to morale. Also, the parallel format provides an incentive for the individual analyst. Who can resist adding his piece to the puzzle?

Next, notice how SIGINT and collateral meet, understand, and reinforce each other. There has been limited fusion of SIGINT and collateral, but essentially each sticks to its own narrow track. No hits, no runs, no errors and no ball game. The info analyst who sees both the collateral and SIGINT viewpoints from the Agency's vantage point can build the bridge of understanding and get the two sides together to play ball. The fusion of the two viewpoints enlarges the vision, doubles the knowledge and resources of each side, and benefits the intelligence community as well. Fusion would soon remedy the execrable writing which afflicts SIGINT reporting. Why should the reader be forced to interpret what you mean standing on his head? If you can name the target instead of referring to it as an "unidentified high level authority," your sentence automatically becomes taut, crisp, and clear. Good riddance to bad weasel English would enhance our product and relieve our long suffering customers.

Finally, study how the inductive approach resolved the dilemma and untied the knot. As long as you are adding two plus two from either column, deduction can easily arrive at four. When you are dealing with unknowns (which is the usual equation in intelligence), you have to put the cart before the horse. However, the French inductive leap proceeds from Sherlock Holmes plodding. Just suppose the answer is five and eh, voilà! see how she runs. Once you try looking in a new place the leads
will turn up and things start to click. Suddenly the light flashes on. You see the whole brilliant picture, you hear events falling into place, and you feel how every detail fits. It's a brand new ball game! To play in the big leagues requires a free style in analytical research. To succeed, you have to try harder but you will never know until you try. What have we got to lose but our ignorance?

Wave of the Future

The "parallelogram" has been tested on the battlefield and proved a practical and valuable tool. It is not a shortcut to success nor a substitute for work nor a formula to replace thinking. Indeed, analysts on both sides will have to hump to meet the high standards. No longer can either side go it alone or afford the luxury. The "parallelogram" is a sophisticated tool designed for qualitative analysis and research. With such specifications it is tailor-made for subtle, complex intelligence operations demanding integrated research in uncharted fields. Pioneering is for the brave, the bold, and the imaginative. Soaring on gossamer wings of faith and courage with the beacon of hope lighting the way, together we can meet the challenges of a future bright with promise. We recommend the parallelogram as the wave of the future. It's simple, it's beautiful, and it comes with a money-back guarantee.

EDITOR'S NOTE: The above article has provoked comment among the editors and staff—-not all favorable. We present it to you substantially as it was written in the interest of our "Open Door" policy. But we, and the author, would sincerely appreciate your comments on the article, the intelligence "fact" discussed, and the "new technique" represented by the parallelogram presentation.
CRYPTO-SCRAMBLE

By Richard Atkinson

Unscramble each of the five numbered crypto-scrambles, placing one letter in each space, to form five words or names, each of which fits the definition to its right.

1. L A T I C E D
   ___ O ___
   Measure of 2's roughness.

2. P H O N O G R A M
   O O ___ ___
   See 1.

3. I C R A V E A G E
   O O ___ ___
   Width test on STET.

4. M A G G I E S
   ___ ___ ___
   Measure of deviation from normal.

5. B L E A T S
   ___ ___ ___
   Rye program which generates tailor-made mathematical tables to YOUR specifications.

Now arrange the circled letters to form the cryptoanswer suggested by the cartoon at the right.

Print CRYPTOANSWER here.

---

Answers on page 58
REFLECTIONS ON A NON-RANDOM BANE
by Rodney Forbes, B43

The cryptanalyst usually keeps his eyes open and his computers searching for non-random phenomena, and regards such results as a boon.
Wife sells husband

BANGKOK, 27 May—Police at Nonthaburi Precinct Police Station Saturday disclosed a bizarre story to the local Press: A woman came in Saturday morning to file charges "of fraudulent practice" against another woman for non-payment of money after she sold her husband.

According to police, Mrs Somporn Sukhongpol claimed that Mrs Somthavil Akchart recently agreed "to buy" her husband at an agreed price of 8,000 baht (about 400 US dollars). Mrs Somporn said she agreed to take 2,000 baht (about 100 US dollars) in cash first, with the rest of the payment in the form of a post-dated cheque.

However, when she went to the bank to cash the cheque Friday it bounced "and Mrs Somthavil refused to settle the payment,"--NAPAPP
You may ask: "What is this grotesque thing above, fluttering as the page trembles in hand..."

Pulling out the old Thesaurus, we find that it is most often referred to as an insignia, or a symbol, crest, shield, and possibly even a badge. Putting aside the fine printed pages, we find that the definitions fit rather nicely.

The [ ] as pictured above was recently selected by a majority of votes in the [(B1224) among an enormous four and a half] entries to reign as the section's symbol. Whereas each entry contained technical aspects of NSA's policy aiding in the enrichment of the [ ] lives, the winning emblem, which was conceived and drawn by Sgt. Frank Frate, delves into the aesthetics of the country and the people.

Referred to earlier as a grotesque picture, a correction must be made. In black and white, the symbol is misleading; however, as the emblem is posted in B1224, one can easily view the [ ] which symbolize the color and beauty of the country and especially the people.
TOP SECRET UMBRA

A HITCH-HIKING CIPHER
by Mary Ann Laslo, B43
A thousand thanks for your articles!
PROBING A NEW TECHNIQUE: CRIB DRAGGING IN SINGLE TRANSPOSITION USING DIGRAPHIC WEIGHTS

by Dr. Marti Branstad, Pl

This is a report on an experimental examination of crib dragging as a technique for breaking into single transposition. Cribs of length four were used. Experiments were run using both English and For English, digraphic transposition weights were used.

\[ w(i,j) = \log \frac{f_{ij}}{N} \]

\[ = \log \frac{N_{ij}}{\left( \sum_{i}^{\infty} f_{ij} \right) \left( \sum_{j}^{\infty} f_{ij} \right)} \]

For digraphic chained weights were used.

\[ w(i,j) = \log \frac{f_{ij}}{N} \cdot \frac{1}{C} \]

\[ = \log \frac{c_{ij}}{\sum_{ij}} \]

APPROACH #1:

All possible crib placements were located. For each placement, a sequence of preceding and following quadruples were scored. If a sequence of MINSPAN or more quadruples each of which scored above THRESH was found, it was printed. The next placement was then examined. More formally,

if possible crib placement is \(1_1, 1_2, 1_3, 1_4\)

then calculate \(s_i = \text{score} (1_i - 1, 1_i - 1, 1_i - 1, 1_i - 1)\) for \(i=1,2,\ldots\)

until \(s_i < \text{THRESH}\)

then calculate \(s_j = \text{score} (1_1 + j, 1_2 + j, 1_3 + j, 1_4 + j)\) for \(j=1,2,\ldots\)
until $s_j <$THRESH

if max i $+max j$ MINSPAN then output the quadruples just
examined and their scores. Proceed to examine the next possible
placement of the crib.

NOTE: Score \( (a,b,c,d) = w(C(a), C(b)) + w(C(b), C(c)) + w(C(c), C(d)) \)
where \( C(a) \) is the cipher letter at position \( a \).

RESULTS: Four messages in [ ] were processed.

Message No. 1: The cribs were placed correctly and had high
scores.

2: The correct placement wasn't found.

3: The correct placement was found but it had a
low score.

4: The correct placement wasn't found.

Two messages in English were processed.

Message No. 1: The cribs were placed correctly.

2: The correct placement wasn't found.

APPROACH #2:

The same scoring of quadruples was used. The sum of the
scores for SPAN quadruples was examined. The intent was to
lessen the effect of any one "bad" combination of four letters
in the vicinity of the correct placement. More formally,

for possible placement \( l_1, l_2, l_3, l_4 \n
\text{SPAN}-1

\text{calculate SUM } + \sum \text{ score } (l_1-i_1, l_2-i_2, l_3-i_3, l_4-i_4)
\text{i=0}

\text{if SUM/SPAN}>\text{THRESH } \text{print the result}

\text{calculate SUM= score } (l_1+l_1, l_2+l_2, l_3+l_3, l_4+l_4) +
\text{SPAN}-2

\sum \text{ score } (l_1-i_1, l_2-i_2, l_3-i_3, l_4-i_4)
\text{i=0}

\text{if SUM/SPAN}>\text{THRESH } \text{print the result

...
(continue calculating and testing the last SUM is 
SPAN=1 
SUM=\sum_{l=0}^{4} \text{score} (1+i, 1+i, 1+i, 1+i, 1+i) 

Proceed to examine the next possible placement.

RESULTS: The approach was used on message No. 3 of It located many "good" placements (SUM>12 for SPAN=10); however, it failed to locate the correct placement (SUM fell between -5.06 and 1.67 for all alternatives).

CONCLUSIONS: These experiments, done for BL203, seem to indicate crib dragging using digraphic weights is an unreliable technique for breaking into single transposition. BL2 is currently revising the weighting and threshold parameters in an effort to make this technique work.

****
The new rulings on retirement have hastened the exodus of several of our cohorts. Saying "So long" on 30 June are:
Frederick Stires, B04
Margaret Gohrband, B12
Thelma Cook, B21
Dicey Coyne, B21
Mary Talley, B22
Chris Christenson, B41
Fred W. Johansen, B44
Margaret Hickernell, B44
Theodore Lively, B44
Caroline Flaccus, B45
Mary Henley, B61
Dorothy Evans, B63

Good luck! Keep in touch! And, remember the Phoenix Society!

If you're having a bit of difficulty with your AG-22 data base query and response techniques, you may find the series of programs developed by Bill Davis, B2, and catalogued on the 370 the answer to your dilemma. These programs allow the user to make specific SPECCOL queries to manipulate the data base as desired.

An interesting example is the program called DF_FIX, which is designed to provide a fix list by RAD using line bearings retrieved through SPECCOL from the STRUM/AG-22 data base. Routines are available which allow the user to correct identifications (RADs) and delete unusable DF modules before program fixes are attempted.

For information about other programs in his library, Bill can be reached on 5561s.

MANAGERS NEEDED--WANT TO APPLY?
The American Management Association, which has sponsored conferences for women managers since 1967, says attendance at these courses has roughly doubled in the past year. "Women are hungry for management education," asserts Rosemary LeBoeuf, a program director for the Association.

Where are the hungry women in NSA? If what we are really working for is acceptance as a matter of course in being considered for and performing any job for which we have the capability, let's become qualified.

The Cryptologic Management Department of the National Cryptologic School and local universities offer programs which emphasize the issues found in most of the new management courses for women: decision making, communications,
problem solving and group dynamics.

Here is the real challenge. Do you desire management training? Are you personally willing to invest your efforts to participate in management training? Do you know what is available and what the prerequisites are?

If your answer to the first question is no, forget it. If your answer to the second question is yes and you need answers to question three, call Helen Schmidt, ext 6101. This is the first step in getting your training requirement into the system.

---Be a WINner with WIN---

****

---B Group Language Coordinator's Office has recently published the second of a series of language aids, entitled, "Handy-Dandy 2." These aids are being compiled primarily for the many Chinese linguists in the Agency who desire to learn or maintain familiarity with terms commonly used. Many military personnel arriving at the Agency from language schools have mentioned a forgetfulness or unfamiliarity with some Chinese characters and instead of fighting their way through "dozens" of former textbooks they want something "handy."

These language aids are not intended to serve as texts, but as guides for vocabulary studies with some Chinese character exercises that should be beneficial for the serious student.

Copies of these "Handy-Dandy" aids have been provided to various B Group Offices, NCSch, incoming Chinese linguists, and to other interested personnel. Suggestions for new language aids are earnestly solicited by B02.

---B12's Project CALLIGRAPHY, aimed at developing a software package capable of reproducing on-line any of the several writing systems encountered in Southeast Asian communications, produced its first usable Chinese character decrypt on 16 April 73.

The idea behind this undertaking is to provide analysts of languages with non-roman alphabets the same services available to other linguists---decrypts, working aids, etc., in the native script. (See Dragon Seeds Vol 1, Nr 4, Sept 1972).

Being developed is a system similar to G Group's VICEROY system. The significant difference is that VICEROY uses a fixed vector character set which makes it language specific. CALLIGRAPHY is designed for user implemented character sets and is therefore language-independent.

****

---If you would like to have a copy of Military Cryptanalytics (Callimahos and Friedman) Volumes I and/or II, please call the Cryptanalysis Department, NCSch, on 8-8025. There are a limited number of copies of both volumes available.

****
---TRANSCRIBER BONUS CLARIFIED

The Language Career Panel's 1968 edition of the "Criteria for Certification of Professional Linguists" provided for an additional 100 points under the Language Ability Criterion for "demonstrated ability to transcribe operational voice tapes" (Paragraph IIC). The Panel evolved the following policy governing the awarding of this bonus:

1. Certification as to operational transcriber ability was to be accomplished by the aspirant's supervisor by means of a memorandum to the Panel.

2. Transcriber bonus was to be awarded only in cases where it could be certified that the aspirant was or was capable of transcribing operational voice material.

3. The bonus was to be awarded only after the aspirant had passed the PQE and had satisfied all other criteria minima.

4. Where the transcriber certification pertained to non-current experience only, the Panel reserved the right to require the aspirant to demonstrate his transcriber ability by taking a specially prepared test, the forerunner of Part IIB of the present PQE format.

A number of voice transcribers in the Agency achieved their professional certification in this manner and the procedure fulfilled a much needed escape valve for these people.

With the promulgation of the Revised Criteria in June 1972, the procedures for awarding additional points for demonstrated language ability were modified. Credit on the basis of a supervisor's certification was discontinued. The Panel now grants an additional 100 points under the Language Ability Criterion for any one of the following:

a. Exceptional performance on both parts of the PQE.


c. Acceptable (passing) performance in one additional SIGINT discipline (Part II) within the same language.

The Panel's revised Language Ability Criterion became effective upon publication (12 Jun 72). No grace period was allowed. However, the Panel recognized that some special accommodation had to be made for those aspirants who had been counting on the old bonus when they finally passed the PQE. The Panel modified its policy to continue awarding the 100 points for transcriber ability under the 1968 Criteria until 12 Jun 73 for those who had already submitted such certificates. In extending this provision, however, the Panel ruled that to qualify for the old bonus, aspirants would have to take and pass Part IIA (SIGINT Translation) of the new PQE which equates to the former Part II. Passing Part IIB (Transcriber's
option) obviously does not satisfy the intent of the Panel's original policy, for in allowing it the aspirant would receive double credit for the same thing. This point is made here in order to clarify any possible misunderstanding that might arise during the overlap period between the expiration of the 1968 Criteria and the effective date of the 1972 revision.

****

---Did you know that B is investigating the development of a computer system to provide on-line

****

---Be sure to read Jerry Gegan's (B12) interesting article in the May issue of QRL to get some insight into the problems connected with training and utilizing military linguists at field processing sites.

****

ATTENTION BOOKBREAKERS --

"Collected Articles on Code Reconstruction" is the title of a recent NSA publication, edited by Constance Clarke and Kay Swift for collateral reading in the bookbreaking course, CA-301. Anyone wishing a copy may request it through Betty Ames, E13, x8025s, FANX II.
****

---Be sure to read the bulletin titled "College Training Program, Fall Semester 1973" issued by the National Cryptologic School if you're planning to take some after-hours courses next semester. To be eligible for Agency sponsorship (2/3 of your tuition and associated laboratory fees), you must

1. be a full-time (40 hour week) employee.
2. meet the admission requirements of the college or university of your choice.
3. be requesting Agency sponsorship for the first time or have maintained a C+ average in previously sponsored courses.
4. obtain the endorsement of your supervisor or office chief.
5. have no outstanding obligation from previous Agency-sponsored training.

****

---AACC at Fort Meade

Anne Arundel Community College will increase its commitment to educational service this fall by providing a full program of college courses for personnel attached to Fort Meade.

Designated in Spring 1974 a Servicemen's Opportunity College (SOC) by the American Association of community and Junior Colleges, AACC expects an enrollment at Fort Meade of approximately 2000 in study programs leading to the Associate in Arts degree.

The result of collaboration of the AAJCJ with Defense Department Educational agencies, the

SOC program now involves over 100 two-year colleges throughout the nation and is coordinated to provide service personnel easy transfer of credits and continuity of curricula from one college to another.

****

---You computer buffs may wish to mark on your calendars the dates of a series of seminars being presented by Advanced Management Research Inc. on new approaches to solving difficult data processing problems.

The use of microfilm and the development and improvement of microfilm information systems will be discussed at a 3-day seminar to be held in New York City from 16 to 18 October 1973. An intensive course in systems design and analysis will be conducted in the same locale from 24 to 26 October 1973. This seminar will discuss what is expected of the new analyst and how he can best meet the challenge.

Data base design is the subject of the seminar to be held from 28 to 30 November 1973 in Washington D.C. This course has been developed to give an analysis of the current state of the art of data base development.
ASK
THE
DRAGON
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A 10 April 1973 memorandum signed by General Phillips states, "The Management Review has indicated that we may have some problems in our manning of linguist...billets. I wish to examine in detail the nature of these problems and the actions we might take to resolve them."

The Dragon Lady submits the following sentiments voiced by some of our senior language analysts in various conversations on the same subject. Opposing or supporting arguments are solicited.

"The professional linguist at NSA who wants to remain in language work must accept definite career limitations. Except in the rarest instances, he cannot expect to advance beyond a certain point as a linguist. To progress further, he must become strictly a manager—and management is another profession.

"That this situation exists is the fault both of higher management and of Agency linguists themselves. Managers tend to think of linguists as interchangeable "bodies." [After all, "Anyone can look up words in a dictionary."] Skill levels, experience, and capability are given only perfunctory consideration. On the other hand, linguists often find their work at NSA so interesting and enjoyable that they are willing to accept, without protest, the lack of advancement opportunities within their field."

****
On the subject of supply and demand:

"We, because of security, are not allowed to compete in the university world; we are not even allowed to compete on an inter-agency governmental basis. Do you think that there is any real opportunity for linguists to sell their talents to the highest bidder? NSA certainly provides no opportunity for us to get together with fellow professionals of other agencies in any situation except under close official observation.

"Free enterprise for government linguists is strictly out of the question. If linguists' salaries are lower at the Library of Congress, at State, at CIA, at FBIS, don't you think it is partly because of this? Once you have worked at one of these places, no one else will touch you. We build our own little empires and never never leave them. But in how many other businesses and industries are you a prisoner of your profession after five years?

"And the fiction that government salaries are so much better than those of the outside world is strictly that.

"I know that many of the better universities have full professor salaries in the $30,000 to $40,000 range, which is a very rare thing in the technical, as opposed to the managerial, positions at NSA. And in my view the "pure" professor, as distinguished from the department head, provost, deans, etc., is the professional equivalent of the "pure" technician at NSA.

"What I have said is aimed at the more mundane aspect of our profession (money), but it may help to round out the picture of the linguist's professional situation at NSA."

****

"When I was a GG-7, I had fantastic personal responsibility. I was responsible for developing the Agency's whole Xendian language program. Then, as a GG-13, I was reduced to counting chairs in classrooms."

****
"Languages change constantly. The skills required in language jobs take a lifetime to develop, while those required in the other disciplines are teachable in a short range of time. Language analysts are not given enough incentive to make language work a lifetime pursuit. I'm not sure where the stopping point is. In the middle ranges (GG-7 to GG-13), there is a reasonable career. But, beyond that, it's questionable if enough incentive exists to keep people in the field. And then, we're stingy with our training. There is no overall long range training program in terms of the needs of the Agency and the needs and capabilities of the person.

****

"As long as promotion above a certain level is on the basis of management, there will always be a shortage of technicians. This is true for other disciplines, too. Not taking anything away from management, but there are other skills that are just as critical to our mission."

****

"It is wisdom to know others; "It is enlightenment to know one's self."

---Lao Tzu
THE WISDOM OF THE CHINESE SAGE

1  TOMENDBUTTFAILXEQUITNCERI
2  CIUSOHEREWBYTHEYXCLEGHTEO
3  NEDOCASNODPEOPLELANGOVUSNES
4  TRINEIVINEEXXMEERNMESANDC
5  WASSARIGHTNCIUSNTXCOONSCI
6  CREDXOFKINHELDTOPERAENCEW
7  XITHAGSXTHATTTHINGERINE
8  DOMEUNEINEGNEOPUPNATEI
9  DOWNPATAMONRALWELICIMNMANX
10 ROMREGWHOMLFAREPROVEXTHEI
11 MOTEASHOULOTHEMENTXMPLIC
12 NTIQUDBEREPEOLANDLATION
13 ITYXXMOVEDEWASABERTYBEING
14 ROYALBYTHEBOVEAOPCOMTHATM
15 Gavernrequanneimerceoral
16 NMENTALSOREXXXHIXXHEHAWISO
17 WASANXSHOUSVOCEDTHNEWIT
18 INSTILDTHAEWASMUBENHCREA
19 TUITIOTPROCPLIFTEOLETIONX
20 NOFGOEDUREDFOR

Solution to March transposition Puzzle.

Answers to Crypto-Scramble: Delta I.C. Monograph Average I.C. Sigmage Tables

Cryptoanswer: ANAGRAM
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PEGGY BARNHILL joined NSA in 1966 after graduating from Marywood College with a degree in Social Studies. While a participant in the Special Research Intern program, she worked in various areas throughout the Agency. Upon completion of that program, she was assigned to B42, where she has been deeply involved in development of the software for processing AG-22 data. She is professionalized in both Special Research and Data Systems career fields.
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