MEMORANDUM for Mr. Friedman

1. This note is being written in response to your inquiry as to my opinion on the degree of confidence we can have that the Germans are not reading our high-grade machine cipher traffic.

2. The nature of the problem is such that there is slight likelihood of finding any direct evidence bearing on it. The little direct evidence that exists is isolated statements by prisoners of war that no success was attending efforts to break "the big machine" - cannot be relied on because they were probably not in a position to know and their evidence is pure hearsay. The principal basis for the belief that the Germans are not reading the traffic in question is indirect and inferential.

3. The indirect evidence is based upon the intelligence derived from reading German E and Fish traffic. Several million high-echelon German secret messages have been read in the past few years. In them has been found information on every conceivable subject. German confidence in the security of their cryptographic systems appears so great that no restrictions have apparently been imposed on the type of information that may be passed in E and Fish traffic. Strategic as well as tactical plans are freely discussed. Messages to and from Hitler himself are not infrequent. Y service reports pass freely, in fact one E key (Mustard) is exclusively devoted to Y service traffic. Reports of cryptanalytic success on M-209 and other traffic have been found on numerous occasions. It is difficult to imagine, in the light of this evidence, that there is one subject and only one which may not be mentioned in E or Fish messages. And if such a rule existed, it is hard to believe that the Germans would draw a line of demarcation between the M-209 and what we consider high-grade machines.

4. Other sources of intelligence add to the accumulation of indirect or negative evidence. Prisoner of war interrogations and our own espionage have uncovered no hint that the Germans have ever read a single message enciphered in any of our high-grade machine systems. Furthermore, we know of no case where the Germans have appeared to know our plans and the circumstances implied that the source of their information was successful cryptanalysis.
Although we have frequently heard of German use of IBM equipment in cryptanalysis, there is no evidence whatever that they possess any high-speed machinery of the type that would be essential even to attempt solution of some of our machine ciphers.

5. The inferential evidence is based upon German cryptography. We know so much about their cryptographic practices that we can deduce their cryptographic theories and thus determine the stage of development they have reached in cryptanalytics. The overwhelming evidence is that they are far behind us and have no appreciation of solution techniques which we now regard as commonplace. We can see from their security precautions just what they are trying to guard against and can thereby reconstruct their cryptanalytic theories. A recent change in the method of selecting E indicators (designed to prevent the possibility of ciphers) shows a very belated appreciation of the dangers inherent in the old system. The "CY" procedure indicates that they are blissfully unaware of the cryptanalytic techniques that have proved most successful in reading E traffic. In the case of Fish, the introduction of the autokey feature shows that they may have appreciated that machine settings could be entirely reconstructed from messages in depth. The introduction of daily-changing wheel patterns in the summer of 1944 indicates that they may have finally realized the possibility of statistical solution despite their careful efforts to make the generated key completely random. But at the same time, it shows that they have no conception of the possibility of rapid statistical solution with the aid of high-speed machinery because they continued (and still continue) to send transmissions thousands of groups long without changing settings. Numerous other illustrations could be cited - the foregoing are merely isolated bits of evidence.

6. If the Germans were reading our high-grade traffic they would inevitably realize that we are reading theirs. They would know this both from the content of the messages and from the techniques which they had themselves employed. In such event, even though they were unable to adopt improved cryptographic systems and procedures, they would certainly impose drastic restrictions on the topics permitted to be discussed in wireless messages.

7. Never having participated in any studies made on our high-grade machines, I cannot, from any personal knowledge, venture any opinion as to their inherent security. If, by any chance, the Germans have developed methods of analysis wholly beyond our conception they might, after the capture of some of our machines, be able to read traffic enciphered on them. But if we assume that they are so far ahead of us, we must also assume that they have been able to
ascertain the nature of these machines by cryptanalytic means and to recover the wiring of the rotors. I am sure that all security studies made on our machines have assumed that they were in the possession of the enemy and, therefore, see no cause for undue alarm because they may now possess a few machines.

8. I feel it advisable to replace all compromised rotors but do not believe this must be looked upon as an emergency measure which must be accomplished post-haste. There are two reasons why I feel a change advisable. In the first place, it is simply an additional precaution preventing the possibility of cryptanalysis even of an occasional isolated message. But more important, if some key list were compromised, traffic on the captured models would be readable for the period covered by such list, whereas a change in rotors would reduce this danger.

W J F

(filed)
1. Para. 3 says no reports from our agents that Germans are not reading our high-grade traffic. I feel this means more as indirect evidence of Para 4, 2nd sentence of my draft.

2. Para 5. 1st sentence may be too broad since we are reasonably sure that they must have known some of our tactical plans from M-209 solutions (or confessions).

3. Para 7. 3rd line - suggest changing "message" to "messages". 5th line - suggest changing "have to employ" to "would have to employ" or "had employed".

4. I think 8.b is too broad. The variable reflector is the principal, but not the sole, exception. Engineer Who, for example, has done more than make it harder to intercept and segregate the traffic.
5. Para 9 - 3rd line from bottom of p. 7 - suggest changing "these" to "German"

6. Para 2 - There is some discrepancy on speed. at 350 steps per second a 3 wheel man takes 50 seconds not 40. Also it nearer 566 minutes than 565 and both are nearer 9 1/2 hours than 9 1/4. But the errors are on the conservative side and therefore perhaps intentional.

7. Para 3. The highest Colosseum speed I ever heard maintained was 5,000 per second - not 7,000

General - I think its a complete story well presented and very convincing.

W. J. F.
24) $120,960 (5,040 \text{ days})$

\[
\frac{120,960}{120} = \frac{96}{96} = 365 \cdot \frac{5040}{365} \approx 1,513
\]

\[
\frac{1,513}{365} = 4.16
\]

\[
\frac{1,513}{295} = 5.12
\]

\[
\frac{1,513}{439} = 3.43
\]

\[
\frac{1,513}{484} = 3.11
\]

\[
\frac{1,513}{1,095} = 1.40
\]

\[
\frac{1,513}{1,390} = 1.09
\]
Take 9 1/4 hrs

There are 967680 mins

\[
\begin{align*}
2 \times 91680 &= 183360 \\
4838400 &= 4838400 \\
1935360 &= 1935360 \\
8709120 &= 8709120 \\
4475150400 &= 4475150400 \\
1000 &= 1000 \\
\frac{4755}{5} &= 951 \quad (4755) \\
\frac{4838400}{5} &= 967680 \\
\frac{19755360}{5} &= 3951072 \\
\frac{292200}{5} &= 58440 \\
\frac{225}{20} &= 11.25 \\
\frac{192}{15} &= 12.8 \\
\frac{365}{20} &= 18.25 \\
\frac{186}{20} &= 9.3 \\
\end{align*}
\]
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Ultra over air.

Secrecy of matter passed by Fish + E is as clear or greater in value than Ultra.

Germans has passed Ultra to Japs + we have seen none.

Assume Ultra must go by courier, how could they go on using E + Fish —

If they could read 198B CCM — how would they go on using 198B CCM machine?
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