THE NEW YORK TIMES, SUNDAY, APRIL 13, 1952



## Army Intelligence-I

Grow's Diary Incident Brings to Light Misassignments of Military Attaches

By HANSON W. BALDWIN

By HANSON W. BALDWIN Once again the Army has closed the barn door after the horses have been stolen. Its order last week, paralleled by one from the Air Force, pro-hiviting military attachés and Army personnel overseas from keeping dairies was a belated and feeble attempt to rectify funda-mental errors in the Army's ap-proach to the collection and evalu-ation of intelligence information. But, unless this futile order is followed by much more basic re-forms, no major rimprovement in the detarloration of what was a good intelligence service during World War II can be expected World War II can be expected Maj.-Gen, Robert W. Grow, un-matil creativy United States military Maj.-Gen, Robert W. Grow, un-Maj.-Gen, Robert W. Grow, un-mental expected military More and more basis from Maj.-Gen, Robert W. Grow, un-that explored by military More and the famous and unfor-tunate, or humor for years to come. Maj.-Gen, Robert W. Grow, un-man and the states and the state of common the destription of what was an intelligence service, but they are an important link. They are, Maj.-Gen, Robert W. Grow, un-mental mathematical and the state of the service and unfor-time of the discussed in intelligence service, but they are an important link. They are, Maj.-Gen and the states and the state of the service and intelligence service and the state of the service and intelligence service interes and intelligence service interes and interes and inte

ч,

12

tunate, "Grow case," an incident ity.
tunate, "Grow case," an incident intelligence circles with arger, impatience, or humor for years to come.
Maj.Gen, Robert W, Grow, unit a fine World War.II record resentatives who should represent in command of armor, is, deserved their country with tact, distinction, ly, the "goat" of this one, but the shouldered by G-2." (intelligence) and by the Army, titself. \*\*
General Grow, a' fire-eating type with very decided opinions Service and the State Department with ill-disguised contempt and have made themselves virtually inder whom they should work. Personality and prestige fricast with other attaches and ob servers, his various, "contacts" and his dislike 'or. the, Jointed States and bis dislike 'for. the, Jointed States one should work.
Left Diary in His Room
then he left his diary in his room ŧ.

Left Diary in His Room Then, to compound his indiscre-tion he left his diary in his room in a Frankfurt (Germany) hotel, while there on a visit, a nursery-school violation of security. Some-one pilfered and photographed it (before returning it) and the Com-munists are using it with some effect in both propaganda and in-telligence. About the only hopeful note, as one of General Grow's fellow offi-cers said, is that the diary, which displayed little erudition and less information, "might damn well confuse the Russians." show. These criticisms are not, of course, universal; the Army has many good attachés in foreign

displayed little erudition and less information, "might damn well confuse the Russians." As a result of this miscue, General Grow was recalled from Moscow, along with a bevy of others whom he compromised; the unfortunate general has been link-ed unfairly by the Russians with their germ warfare propagands campaign, and his scalp has been demanded" by "the Connecticut Council of Churches and by all and sundry. "U But 'nobody' seems to have 'asked why a man like General Grow was in Moscow at all and nobody seems to have laid the blame where it squarely belongs-on the Army policies that per-mitted and encouraged the placing of a square peg in a round hole General Grow has major military usefulness, but the peculiar attri-butes required by a military attaché of the post in the world, Moscow, has twice now been bestowed upon good, two-fisted, tough fighting men, with no other qualifications whatsoever for the job. Maj, Gen. John W. ("Iron Mike") o'Daniel (now a lieutenant general, com-manding the First Corps in Korea) was 'General Grow's predecessor. Some of his personal reports drew some rather sweeping conclusions from the shabby appearance of the buildings in Moscow, the slowness of the trains and the dress of the people. Excelled As Combat Officer

Excelled As Combat Officer

Excelled As Combat Officer General O'Daniel possessed one of the finest combat records of any division commander in World War II. Later he commanded the Infantry School with credit, and he deserves well of his country, as did General Grow, until he em-barrassed it by his unfortunate carelessness. But the qualifica-tions of either of these officers for the difficuit job of military attaché are veiled in mystery. Neither were experts on rRussia; neither had made military intelligence their specialty.

Inside initially intendential inter-specialty. If Moscow were the only post so distinguished by the Army the re-sult, though had, might not be dis-astrous. But there have been re-peated instances in the years since the war of major misassignments in military attaché posts. Some attachés have been outright fail-ures; many have been exceedingly weak. Two attachés in an Eastern Eur-

capitals, particularly ita younger assistant attachés, some of whom are "live wires," and there are many competent men in G2, in Washington. But there have been enough cases of misassignment, like that of General Grow, to mar the rec-ord seriously and to reduce mate-rially the utility of Army intelli-gence. And it is clear that the fine intent that motivated the post-war re-establishment of our ate tachés system has now been negated, by, among other reasons, the "spoils" system of Army politics.

19.91 01.91