Army Intelligence—I

Grow's Diary Incident Brings to Light Missassignments of Military Attaches

BY HANSON W. BALDWIN

Once again the Army has come under the baleful eye of the investigative reporter, this time by one from the Air Force, precipitating military attachés and Army personnel overseas from the Air Force in a belated and feeble attempt to rectify fundamental errors in the Army's approach to the collection and evaluation of intelligence information.

But, unless this futile order is followed by much more basic reform, no major improvement in the effectiveness of what was the Army's greatest and most vital contribution to World War II can be expected.

The diary order stemmed from what is now the famous and unfortunate "Grow case," an incident that probably will be discussed in intelligence circles with anger, lamentation, or humor for years to come.

Maj. Gen. Robert W. Grow, until recently United States military attaché to Moscow, and an officer with a fine World War II record of command and personal behavior, is deservedly given the "goof" of the case for his apparent failure to halt the larger share of the blame must be placed on the State Department, which long has shown itself to be either negligent or deliberately heedless of the need for an authoritative, intelligent, military attaches service.

General Grow, a fine-selling officer with a very defiled opinion of intelligence by keeping, during his Moscow duty, a compromising diary, which not only urged war against the Soviet Union, but also provided with copious notes for astute observers of Moscow. In his talks with other attachés and observers, his various "contacts" and his failure to "talk to the State Department." From his room, these contacts and his "contacts" and his failure to "talk to the State Department."
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