USCIB: 13.8/21

18 June 1954

7. .4

## TOP SECRET

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE MEMBERS OF USCIBEC:

Subject: Classification of Intercepted Traffic Passed from Intercept Stations to Processing Activities.

Reference: USCIB 13.8/15 (Item 1 of the agenda for 13th Meeting of USCIBEC)

**REF ID:A65705** 

TOP SECRET

1. Pursuant to the decision rendered in the reference, the USCIB Security Committee has completed its study of the above subject and its recommendations are enclosed herewith.

2. This item has been placed on the agenda for the Sixteenth Meeting of USCIBEC to be held on 25 June 1954.


3. THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS COMMUNICATIONS INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION AND SHALL BE HANDLED ONLY IN CHANNELS EXPRESSLY PROVIDED FOR COMINT.

> H. D. JONES Deputy Executive Secretary, USCIB

Enclosure SEC #0009 dtd 18 Jun 54.

USCIB: 13.8/21

Declassified and approved for release by NSA on 02-26-2014 pursuant to E.O. 13526



SEC # 0009

18 June 1954

## TOP SECRET - U.S. EYES ONLY

MEMORANDUM FOR THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARY, USCIB:

Subject: Classification of Intercepted Traffic Passed from Intercept Stations to Processing Activities.

Reference: USCIB 13.8/14 dated 12 March 1954.

1. USCIBEC, at their Thirteenth Meeting, referred to the Security Committee for study and recommendation, a proposal to amend Appendix "B" to authorize the omission of the classification and codeword from individual sheets of annotated raw traffic to be transmitted by classified pouch.

2. SECCOM agreed that as a general rule each page of any classified matter should be stamped with the appropriate classification and codeword (if applicable). Further discussion brought out the fact that no appreciable saving of manpower would result from the proposal since action must be taken to number each sheet whether the proposal is accepted or not. It was also noted that the requirement for stamping the classification and codeword on each sheet of annotated raw traffic should not deter intercept operators from making such annotations since they themselves would not be required to do the stamping.

3. Accordingly the Security Committee upon consideration of the proposal set forth in the enclosure with the reference agreed to recommend that that proposal not be adopted for the reasons set forth in paragraph 2 above.

4. THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS COMMUNICATIONS INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION AND SHALL BE HANDLED ONLY IN CHANNELS EXPRESSLY PROVIDED FOR COMINT.

WILLIAM R. CULMER Major, Arty, USA Chairman, SECCOM

<del>- TOP-SECRET</del>\_