MEMORANDUM for the Executive Officer:

The following study with respect to the duties of the Chief Signal Officer, in so far as the preparation of codes and ciphers is concerned, has been made in connection with the question as to whether the present Signal Intelligence Service is a field or departmental agency.

I. Law and Regulations:

1. There is no specific law which places the responsibility for code and cipher work on the Chief Signal Officer. The Act of October 1, 1860 (10:212 U. S. C.), which states in part that, "The Chief Signal Officer shall have charge, under the direction of the Secretary of War, of all military signal duties and of works, papers and devices connected therewith," may be interpreted to include codes and ciphers. Army Regulations, which have the effect of law, definitely place this responsibility on the Chief Signal Officer.

2. Par. 1741, A. R. 1901, charges the Chief Signal Officer, among other duties, "with the preparation, distribution and revision of the War Department Telegraph Code." This same provision is contained in Par. 1528, A. R. 1904; Par. 1574, A. R. 1906; and Par. 1578, A. R. 1910. Par. 1557, A. R. 1913 charged the Chief Signal Officer with the "preparation and revision of the War Department Telegraph Code" and the distribution of the code was charged to the Adjutant General.

3. Par. 2 e, A. R. 105-5, January 14, 1922 and Changes No. 1, October 20, 1923, and Par. 2 e, A. R. 105-5, December 15, 1923 states: "The preparation and revision of the War Department Telegraph code and such other codes and ciphers required by the Army."

4. Par. 2 e, A. R. 105-5, Changes No. 1, May 16, 1929, and Par. 2 e, A. R. 105-5, May 16, 1929, states: "The preparation and revision of all codes and ciphers required by the Army and, in time of war, the interception of enemy radio and wire traffic, the geodetic location of enemy radio stations, the solution of intercepted enemy codes and cipher messages and laboratory arrangements for the employment and detection of secret links."

5. Changes No. 2, Par. 2 e, A. R. 105-5, July 1, 1929, states: "The preparation, publication, revision, storage, accounting, and distribution of all codes and ciphers required by the Army and in time of war the interception of enemy radio and
wire traffic, the geometric location of enemy movements, the solution of intercepted enemy code and cipher messages, and laboratory arrangements for the employment and detection of secret inks.

II. Preparation of Codes:

J. The War Department Telegraph Code was published in 1885, 1900, 1906, 1915 and 1919 (file 16/52). There is no record of the personnel engaged in the preparation of this code prior to 1901 and it is assumed this work was performed by commissioned officers. The Annual Report of the Chief Signal Officer for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1901, contains the following paragraph:

"The preparation of this code has devolved upon the Chief Signal Officer personally as there was no other available officer having knowledge of telegraphy combined with a familiarity with military usages, special vocabularies, and the cable regulations and methods of the world."

The following is a chronology of subsequent events:

a. May 22, 1913, Harry R. Kirtland was employed as a code specialist at $200 per month in the Signal Service at large for the purpose of revising the War Department telegraph code of 1906. His services were terminated on December 12, 1914.

b. Mr. John R. Browning, clerk-stenographer, was employed at $1000 per annum in the Signal Service at large to assist Mr. Kirtland.

c. April 11, 1919, the Chief Signal Officer, A. L. F., advised the Chief Signal Officer that the Code Compilation Section of the Signal Corps composed of Major H. R. Barnes, Lt. N. L. Chambers, Lt. Mark J. Ryen, 2d Lt. Lewis Hale, 2d Lt. W. J. Gillchrist and three enlisted men, was returning to the United States. "This section has been solely engaged since 1917 in the construction of the various Army codes both for the front line and for headquarters purposes." (W. D. Telegraph Code, 1919 - SIS).

d. November 4, 1919, Order No. 100,700 was placed with Mr. Howard R. Barnes, 3712 Tenth Street, N. W., Washington, D. C., for services in connection with the reconstruction and revision of confidential Staff code and he was paid from Signal Service the Army appropriation. He completed this work on April 20, 1920. The amount paid was $2250.

e. October 29, 1920, letter from Director, Military Intelligence Division (413-607 M. I.) states that as a result of a conference on October 26, 1920, between Major Bournon, Colonel
The Signal Corps, under the supervision of the Chief Signal Officer, will undertake the compilation of such codes and ciphers as are to be approved by the Signal Corps and used in service in connection with the construction of one field code at a cost of $1800, to be paid from Signal Service of the Army.

h. In its search for officers for the construction of codes and ciphers, the Signal Corps will give preference to those who have solved such problems, on the ground that such men are best qualified to devise systems of solution, and further that if any system is discarded, the Signal Corps will be in a better position to furnish any which may be requested by the Military Intelligence Division.

d. Codes and ciphers on their completion will, unless otherwise ordered, be turned over to the Adjutant General for distribution.

f. December 6, 1920, Order No. 101, 166 was placed with William F. Friedman, Easton and Batavia Avenue, Geneva, Illinois, for services in connection with the reconstruction and revision of a confidential staff code and the construction of three field codes at a cost of $1800, to be paid from Signal Service of the Army.

g. July 7, 1921, Order No. 101, 567 was placed with William F. Friedman, Easton and Batavia Avenues, Geneva, Illinois, for services in connection with the preparation of a monograph on military cryptography and for services in connection with the preparation of a monograph on military cryptography and the delivery of a course of lectures and conducting a course of instruction in cryptography at Camp Alfred Vail, N. J., at a cost of $100, to be paid from Signal Service of the Army funds.

h. February 28, 1921, Order No. 101, 363 was placed with William F. Friedman, Easton and Batavia Avenues, Geneva, Illinois, for services in connection with the construction and revision of two field codes at a cost of $700 to be paid from Signal Service of the Army funds.

i. July 27, 1921, Order No. 101, 573 was placed with William F. Friedman, 1803 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., for services in connection with the preparation of a monograph on military cryptography and for services in connection with the delivery of a course of lectures and conducting a course of instruction in cryptography at Camp Alfred Vail, N. J., at a cost of $100 to be paid from Signal Service of the Army funds.
J. August 1, 1921, Order No. 30, 281 was placed with William J. Friedman, 1808 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., for services in connection with the revision and publication of two special editions of the field code. Five sets the code lists for use in the field and twelve sets of cipher lists to be used in connection with the Staff Code, have cost of $135. to be paid from Signal Service of the Army Reserve.

K. November 16, 1921, recommendation was submitted to the Secretary of War for the employment of William J. Friedman as cryptanalyst, $1500 per annum, Signal Service at Large, Washington, D.C., under Section 10 of Rule XI Civil Service rules, which was approved December 7, 1921. (201 Friedman, W. J.)

L. October 25, 1923, James J. Skelly, Clerk-typist was employed at $1200 per annum in the Signal Service at Large, Washington, D.C. as an assistant to Mr. Friedman. He was discharged for cause May 15, 1929. (261 Skelly, James J.)

M. July 19, 1929, a conference was held in this office with reference to the organization of the Signal Intelligence Service consequent upon taking over the Code and Cipher Solution and Secr. Int. Services from R. I. D., at which Lieut. Col. Remsch, Major B. Major Albright and Mr. Friedman were present. Among other things it was concluded that the primary function of the Signal Intelligence Service was to be one of training personnel for war. It was also determined that to accomplish this mission, the organization of the following services was involved:

Code and Cipher Composition
Code and Cipher Solution
Intercept and Conformitage
Secret Ink

N. December 16, 1929, funds in the sum of $6,679.64 were allotted by the Assistant Chief of Staff, G-2 to the Chief Signal Officer via W Hurt 35, 5205A 1119-0 for payment of personnel engaged on code and cipher work.

O. January 4, 1930, the Secretary of War was requested by the Chief Signal Officer to authorize the employment of our junior cryptanalyst at $2000 per annum and two telegraphic clerks at $1600 in the Signal Service to be engaged in the preparation of all codes and cipher documents for the Army in accordance with order No. 1, Army 1930-5.

P. Each of the new responsibilities was met by the Chief Signal Officer as a consequence of these additional duties. It is a conclusion that there must be established within the Signal Corps a section of code and cipher specialists who will be responsible for training in these sciences, who will keep abreast of progress in the field and will in the initial phases of any conflict be prepared to serve as the nucleus for building up the much needed
August 1, 1921, Order No. 101, 581 was issued with William J. Friedland, 803 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., for services in connection with the revision and reorganization of two special editions of the "Field Code", five emergency code lists for use in the field, and twelve sets of cipher tables to be used in connection with the "Staff Code", at a cost of $355.

November 16, 1921, a recommendation was submitted to the Secretary of War for the employment of William E. Friedland, as cryptanalyst, $1,250 per annum, Signal Service at large, Washington, D.C., under Section 10 of Rule II, Civil Service Rules, which was approved December 7, 1921. (201 Friedland, Em. F.)

October 15, 1923, James J. Skelly, clerk-typist, was employed at $1,250 per annum in the Signal Service at large, Washington, D.C., as an assistant to Mr. Friedland. He was discharged for cause May 19, 1926. (221 Skelly, Em. F.)

July 19, 1928, a conference was held at this office with reference to the organization of the Signal Intelligence Service consequent upon taking over the Code and Cipher Solution and Secret Ink Services from W. T. D., at which Lt. Col. Herophil, Major F. A. Major Albright and Mr. Friedland were present. Among other things it was concluded that the primary function of the Signal Intelligence Service was to be one of training personnel for war. It was also determined that to accomplish this mission, the organization of the following services was involved:

- Code and Cipher Compilation
- Code and Cipher Solution
- Intercept and Confrontation
- Secret Ink (231, C. Cryptanalyst)

December 16, 1928, funds in the sum of $40,000 were allotted by the Assistant Chief of Staff, 0-2 to the "Chief Signal Officer, 7.1, No. 36, F. 5065A 1110-0" for payment of personnel engaged on code and cipher work.

A letter dated May 9, 1929, the Secretary of War's reference to "Chief Signal Officer, 7.1, No. 36, F. 5065A 1110-0" stating that the service to be provided shall be that of a clerk to the "Chief Signal Officer, 7.1, No. 36, F. 5065A 1110-0", the preparation of all codes and ciphers required by the army in accordance with Article No. 1, A. 105-6, of the new rules and regulations for the Army Signal Service.

As a consequence of these additional duties, it was concluded that there must be established for each major section of code and cipher a position who will have the full responsibility for the training, instruction and control of personnel in the field, as well as in the case of any exceptions, report to the Director.
q. March 1, 1930, Mrs. Louise N. Nelson (nee Humcluk) was appointed as Assistant Cryptographic Clerk $1620, Signal Service at large. (261 Nelson, Louise ...)

r. March 26, 1930, authority to employ one cryptanalyst at $125.50 per month, Signal Service at large, Washington, D. C., was requested of the Secretary of War. "The transfer of the responsibility for the solution of enemy codes and ciphers in time of war from the Military Intelligence Division, General Staff, to the Chief Signal Officer, included the taking over of practically all of the records and files of the Military Intelligence Division. These records and files constitute an extremely important source of information that is of great value to the War Department as a whole and will be of inestimable value to the Signal Intelligence Service now being established to carry out the assigned mission of organization and training for efficient operation in time of war. The only man known to the Signal Corps and to the Military Intelligence Division who is qualified to do the work described in this letter is Mr. Herbert O. Yardley.---" (231.2 Cryptanalyst).

s. March 29, 1930, approved by the Secretary of War.

t. April 1, 1930, F. B. Rowlett appointed junior cryptanalyst at $2600 per annum, Signal Service at large, Washington, D. C.

u. April 10, 1930, A. Sinek appointed junior cryptanalyst at $2000 per annum, Signal Service at large, Washington, D. C.

v. April 21, 1930, S. Mullbuck appointed junior cryptanalyst at $2000 per annum, Signal Service at large, Washington, D. C.

w. April 22, 1930, letter from The Adjutant General, file 311.5 (4-14-30) Pub.
2. a. Army Regulations 105-5, as amended by APR. 1, placed the responsibility for the following activities upon the Chief Signal Officer:

- Code and cipher construction.
- Code and cipher solution.
- Interpretation of enemy radio and wire traffic.
- Location of enemy radio transmitting stations by sound-metric means.
- Laboratory research for the employment and detection of secret data.

The fundamental reason for placing these activities upon the Chief Signal Officer was to utilize the specialized duties in connection with secret communications, and to form one central agency for efficient control. The service thus organized for the detection of secret data will be organized by the Chief Signal Officer into a single coordinated service.

b. Within the direction of the Chief Signal Officer it is suggested that "Signal Intelligence Service" be the designation for this coordinated service.

c. The general mission of this service is, as for all other military services, the proper organization and development in peace time to the end that the service may be prepared to operate at maximum efficiency in war.

d. The specific missions of this service may be stated as follows:

- The preparation and revision of all codes, ciphers and other means of secret communication to be employed by the Army in time of peace and war.

- Note-In this connection it should be noted that in accordance with current Army Regulations this office is responsible for the printing of codes and ciphers, for their distribution in accordance with distribution tables prepared by the Chief Signal Officer, and for their accounting.

x. April 30, 1930, authority requested to employ one cryptanalyst aide at $1800 per annum, Signal Service at large Washington, D. C., in connection with compilation of codes, preparation of ciphers and cipher tables, research on new cryptographic methods and machinery, research in the solution of codes, and ciphers as required by Changes No. 1, A.R. 105-5. (312 Cryptanalyst)

y. May 1, 1930, above recommendation approved by Secretary of War.
May 13, 1930, P. A. Foran appointed cryptanalyst, paid at $1800 per annum, Signal Service at Large, Washington, D. C.

cc. June 1, 1930, L. O. Yardley declined appointment as cryptanalyst, $1125.50 per month.

cc. September 2, 1930, Lawrence Clark was appointed assistant cryptanalyst clerk, $1620 per annum, Signal Service at Large, Washington, D. C.

cc. August 23, 1935, authority of Secretary of War requested for employment of one assistant cryptographic clerk, $1620 per annum, Signal Service at Large, to replace Lawrence Clark. Approved August 26, 1935.

cc. September 28, 1935, authority of Secretary of War requested for temporary employment of one senior typist, $1440, Signal Intelligence Section, Signal Service at Large. Approved October 1, 1935. (231.3 Clerks)

cc. January 1, 1936, R. F. Sears appointed assistant cryptographic clerk, $1620, Signal Service at Large.


cc. June 2, 1936, Authority of Secretary of War requested to employ 1 junior cryptanalyst, $2000, Signal Service at Large, to replace A. Linskoy, transferred to Panama. Approved June 5, 1936. (231.2 Cryptanalyst)

cc. July 1, 1936, R. O. Fenn appointed junior cryptanalyst, $2000 per annum Signal Service at Large, Washington, D. C.

cc. August 17, 1936, S. S. Snyder appointed assistant cryptographic clerk, $1620 per annum, Signal Service at Large.

cc. February 1, 1937, authority to employ 1 junior cryptanalyst, $2000 per annum, Signal Service at Large, Washington, D. C. requested, to replace C. Kullback, transferred to Hawaii.

cc. February 3, 1937, above approved by Secretary of War.


cc. February 23, 1938, authority of Secretary of War requested for employment of 1 assistant cryptographic clerk, $1620 per annum, Signal Service at Large. Approved February 27, 1938. (231.3 Clerks)
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authorized for temporary employment of 1 junior cryptographer $1440 per annum, Signal Intelligence Service, Signal Service at Large. Approved March 2, 1938 (231.3) (1101)

oo. March 28, 1938, P. S. Cate appointed assistant research analyst $2600 per annum, Signal Service at Large, Washington, D. C.

pp. July 1, 1938, Mary L. Prather appointed assistant cryptographic clerk $1620 per annum, Signal Service at Large, Washington, D. C.

qq. July 1, 1938, Margaret A. Will appointed assistant cryptographic clerk $1620 per annum, Signal Service at Large, Washington, D. C.

III. Budget Estimates:

1. Provision has been made in the Budget Estimates under Project 1, Signal Service of the Army, for personnel for the Signal Intelligence Service as follows:

1922 to 1924

1 Cryptanalyst 4500

1928 to 1929

1 Cryptanalyst 5200

1929 to 1931

1 Cryptanalyst 5600

1932:

1 Cryptanalyst 5600

3 Jr. Cryptanalysts $2100 6300

1 Cryptanalyst Aide 1800

2 Asst. Cryptographic Clerks 3360

7 17060

1933 - Project 1:

1 Cryptanalyst 5600

3 Jr. Cryptanalysts $2100 6300

1 Cryptanalyst Aide 2000

2 Asst. Cryptographic Clerks $1620

7 11420
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Project 1:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1936-1937 | 1. Cryptanalyst: $5,600  
3. Jr. Cryptanalyst: $2,100  
1. Cryptanalyst Aide: $1,800  
2. Asst. Cryptographic Clerks: $3,560  
**Total: $17,050** |
| 1938    | 1. Cryptanalyst: $5,600  
5. Jr. Cryptanalysts: $20,600  
1. Cryptanalyst Aide: $1,860  
4. Asst. Cryptographic Clerks: $6,600  
**Total: $24,360** |
| 1939    | 1. Cryptanalyst: $5,600  
3. Assoc. Cryptanalysts: $3,700  
1. Research Analyst: $2,700  
5. Jr. Cryptanalysts: $20,600  
4. Asst. Cryptographic Clerks: $6,600  
**Total: $43,080** |
| 1940    | 1. Cryptanalyst: $5,800  
3. Assoc. Cryptanalysts: $3,800  
1. Research Analysts: $2,600  
2. Asst. Cryptanalysts: $2,600  
5. Jr. Cryptanalysts: $20,600  
1. Cryptanalysts Aide: $1,800  
5. Asst. Cryptographic Clerks: $8,220  
**Total: $43,520** |
104C - Project 24:

"Required for Signal Intelligence Service. The expanding activities of this service and additional personnel relocated in Project 1 are the basis for the increase in equipment and supplies - $1,132.

Office equipment, including labor-saving devices
Office supplies

IV. Status:

1. The Comptroller General has defined departmental and field work as follows:

"The executive departments of the Government execute the laws which Congress enacts through the instrumentalities sometimes designated 'departmental' and 'field' establishments. What is known as the 'field force' is engaged directly or indirectly in locally executing the laws, while the 'departmental force' is engaged in general supervisory and administrative direction and control of the various field forces. (21 Comp. Dec. "99, 711)

"If officers or employees are directly under the direction, supervision, and control of a Government department, establishment, or bureau reporting directly to the head thereof, their status is that of departmental officers or employees; but if they are directly under the direction, supervision, and control of a local field organization, reporting directly to the head thereof, their status is that of a field officer or employee." (27 Comp. Dec. 122)

"That is known as a 'field' force is engaged, directly or indirectly, in executing the laws locally, while a 'departmental' force is engaged in general supervisory and administrative direction and control of the various field forces. Various general laws such as the postal laws, must be executed in the District of Columbia as well as elsewhere. A force engaged exclusively in the local execution of such laws in the District of Columbia is a field force as much as an army executes the laws in any other section of the country. It is only in this sense that the term 'field' force may properly be applied to the District." (5 Comp. Gen. 272-273)

"A force engaged exclusively in departmental work, that is, in general supervision and administrative direction and control of the various field forces, even though with headquarters outside of the District of Columbia - in this instance, at Baltimore - is nevertheless a departmental force as much so..."
as the departmental force in the District of Columbia." (17 Comp. Gen 565)

2. It will be noted from the above quoted decision of the Controller General that classification as to departmental or field work is based solely upon the character of the work involved i.e., if the work consists of the supervision and administrative direction and control of the various field forces it is departmental work; if the work is performed locally and is other than the supervision and administrative direction and control of the various field forces, it is field work. It is immaterial where the work is performed. Departmental work may be performed outside the District of Columbia, while field work may be performed inside the District of Columbia, and in buildings, housing departmental activities, as, for example, the Finance Officer, U. S. Army.

3. The present Signal Intelligence Service was organized as a "single coordinated service" in accordance with the directive contained in letter from the Adjutant General dated April 32, 1939 filed RG 311, Pub. 24-14-30, paragraph 2 a above. As stated in the above mentioned directive, its general mission is "as for all other military services, (underlining supplied) the proper organization and development in peace time to the end that the service may be prepared to operate at maximum efficiency in war", while its specific mission is "the preparation and revision of all codes, ciphers and other means of secret communication to be employed by the Army in time of peace and war".

4. The Signal Intelligence Service as at present organized is composed of 1 commissioned officer and 14 civilian employees and is operating under authority of paragraph 2 A. R. 105-7. Under this Army regulation the Chief Signal Officer has immediate charge of "the preparation, publication, revision, storage, accounting and distribution of all codes and ciphers required by the Army". There are no specific directions as to how these duties are to be accomplished. That is a decision which is left to the Chief Signal Officer as an administrative officer of the Government, appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate. In the discretion of the Chief Signal Officer, the duties assigned may be performed in his immediate office or by a field agency already organized or one created for the purpose.

5. As early as 1913 it was determined that the work of revising the War Department Telegraph Code was a function of the Signal Corps of the Army, rather than that of the Office of the Chief Signal Officer, and accordingly a code specialist and a clerk were employed for this work and paid from the Signal Corps of the Army Funds. (Par. 2 a and b above)

6. In 1917 the compilation of all codes required by the Army was a function of commissioned officers of the Army (Par. 2a above) and not those assigned to the office.
7. In 1919, 1920, and 1921 the preparation of codes required by the Army was considered as a Signal Corps function, rather than a function of the Office of the Chief Signal Officer and such codes as were required were procured under contract for which Signal Corps funds were used.

8. Since 1921, all personnel engaged in the compilation of codes and since 1930, when the cipher work of the Military Intelligence Division was assigned to the Signal Corps, all personnel engaged in that work have been paid from Signal Corps funds and from those allotted from the appropriation, "Contingency Military Intelligence Division", a military organization.

9. The primary mission of the Signal Intelligence Service is to train personnel in code and cipher work for service with the Army in time of war (Par. 11 1 in above) and the preparation and revision of all codes, ciphers and other means of secret communication to be employed by the Army in time of peace and war. (Par. 11 1 in above) There is nothing in the files to indicate that the training of personnel for service in the Army is a function of the Office of the Chief Signal Officer. This is a military or field function and not a departmental function. Likewise, the preparation of the codes is under the supervision of the Military Intelligence Division, War Department General Staff (A. R. 10-15), which is not a bureau of Office of the War Department listed in A. R. 310-10.

10. It is apparent, therefore, that the Signal Intelligence Service is a field activity for the following reasons:

a. For over a quarter of a century the preparation of codes and ciphers has been a function of personnel paid from field appropriations, i.e., Pay of the Army, Signal Service of the Army, and Contingencies, Military Intelligence Division.

b. The employment of field service personnel on these duties has been authorized by the Secretary of War, the Director, Military Intelligence Division, and the Director of the Bureau of the Budget, and expenditures therefore have been passed without question by the General Accounting Office.

c. The Signal Intelligence Service is not a departmental organization as it is not engaged in "general supervisory and administrative direction and control of the various field forces" since there is no other field force engaged on similar or comparable duties.

11. Since it is obvious that the personnel engaged on code and cipher work constitutes a field force, as defined by
the Comptroller General, and have been paid from Signal Corps appropriations since 1913, it is logical to conclude that all of the services, supplies and equipment required by this field force to perform their duties should be provided from field appropriations, for which adequate provision should be made in the Signal Corps budget estimates.

Edward Burnett,
Civilian Assistant.
SECRET

January 1, 1949

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I have just received from Mr. H. C. Beam, the
statistician, a list which I intended to use in connection with my
study of the results of the C.I. test. The list is believed to
be representative of those returning to the survey in the
class of 1949 and includes all those selected from random from data available in
the office.

P 446 Dated January 7, 1943 calls for 1,414
items of equipment for the Signal Intelligence
section approved by the P 1 and 7 sections.

P 446 Dated January 27, 1943, calls for supplies for the
microfilm machine which were likewise purchased
in Washington, D. C.

P 446 Dated December 28, 1943, calls for miscellaneous
supplies and equipment for the Signal Intelligence
section all items (30) of which except 4 were procured
by the "purchase" section; the 4 items referred to were procured by M 1 PD.

P 446 Dated January 15, 1944, calls for microfilm supplies
and pencil leads for the Signal Intelligence
section which were procured by the Purchase section.

P 446 Dated April 12, 1944, calls for 2 card index cabinets
for the Signal Intelligence section which were
procured by the Purchase section in Washington.

P 446 Dated September 27, 1944, calls for 4 cabinets and
2 extra shelves for the safe storage of cards which
were procured by the Purchase section.

It will be noted that the requisitions state specifically
that this equipment and supplies are for the Signal Intelligence
Service and that no question was raised by the Supply Division
as to the legality of their purchase even though the Signal Intelligence Service was considered a part of the War Plans and Training
Division. Since the Signal Intelligence Service is now a field
activity separate and distinct from the War Plans and Training
Division, it would appear that there is less doubt as to the
legitimacy of supplying this service from Signal Corps funds as
there was in previous years.