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## YYYORANDUE FOR AFSA-COB

sUBJECT: Grypto-Security of APB Communications

1. I mere with MPSA-C that the Crypto-Security of APSA Commicatilons should be under continuous ar periodic scrutiny, but I agree with AFSA-OOB that this should be done by AFSA-O4, we matter of assigned responsibility, rather than by the creation of another panel or committee. I also agree with APSA-02 that using MINERVA (ASAM 2-1) for purposes other than forwarding of raw intercept traffic presents a potential danger.
2. I cannot concur in the statement that vital intelligence will be cleaned through traffic analyals of corn circuits. the Russians know full well we are intercepting their communications just an we know that they are intercepting cora. Every radio mutation is a potential intercept station and lite potentialities are in direct proportion to its size. Traffic analysis of coNiNg circuits can on jr give wimportant negative information certain radio stations are not forwarding intercept traffic via radio. It does not indicate that the natation could not be used for intercept purposes in the future or might not be forwarding intercept material by means other than radio. Traffic analysis will give confirmstron of facts which the Russians already well know, but this is neither vital nor particularly detrimental to either ASA or the Whited States.
3. The pressing need of the moment appears to be restricting the COMINT use of 3INGRVA (ASAM 2-1) to the forwarding of raw intercept manorial and providing other systems for dally coverage reports, order: and instructions from APBA, translations, tc. MINERVA, af course, could be used for re-encryption of the latter. Al wo, one-time encryption should be introduced in the forwarding of raw intercept material if not already in use.
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